I. INTRODUCTION

1. On October 2, 2023, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights ("the Inter-American Commission", "the Commission" or "the IACHR") received a request for precautionary measures urging the Commission to require that the State of Nicaragua ("the State" or "Nicaragua") adopt the necessary measures to protect the rights of Brooklyn Rivera Bryan ("the proposed beneficiary"). According to the request, the proposed beneficiary is an indigenous Miskitu, regional member of the House of Representatives, and leader of the YATAMA organization (Yapti Tasba Masraka Nanh Lashatanka, "Children of Mother Earth United"). He has reportedly been missing since September 29, 2023.

2. Under the terms of Article 25.5 of its Rules of Procedure, the IACHR requested information from the State on October 2, 2023. However, to date, no information has been received from the State.

3. Upon analyzing the submissions of fact and law furnished by the applicant, the Commission considers that the information presented shows prima facie that the proposed beneficiary is in a serious and urgent situation, given that his rights to life and personal integrity are at risk of irreparable harm. Therefore, it requests that Nicaragua: a) adopt the necessary measures to determine the situation and whereabouts of Mr. Brooklyn Rivera Bryan, in order to protect his rights to life and personal integrity; b) report on the detention conditions in which he is currently held. In particular, report on the place of his detention, and allow access to his lawyers and family, as well as the necessary health care; and c) report on the actions taken to investigate the alleged events that led to the adoption of this resolution, so as to prevent such events from reoccurring.

II. BACKGROUND

4. Between May 17 and 21, 2018, the Commission visited Nicaragua. During this visit, it collected numerous testimonies on human rights violations committed in the framework of protests that began the previous month. Subsequently, on June 21, 2018, the IACHR published a report on the serious human rights situation in the country.\(^1\) In order to follow up on the recommendations issued in this report, the Special Monitoring Mechanism for Nicaragua (MESENI) was created, which remained in the country until the State suspended its presence on December 19, 2018.\(^2\) For its part, the Interdisciplinary Group of Independent Experts (GIEI, for its acronym in Spanish) for Nicaragua issued a report that analyzed the events that took place

---


between April 18 and May 30, 2018, confirming the IACHR findings.\(^3\) In its 2018 Annual Report, the IACHR included Nicaragua in Chapter IV.B in accordance with the grounds set forth in its Rules of Procedure.\(^4\)

5. During 2019, the Commission continued to condemn the ongoing acts of persecution, and urged the State to comply with its obligations in matters related to human rights.\(^5\) Subsequently, the Commission once again included Nicaragua in Chapter IV.B of its 2019 Annual Report,\(^6\) noting that the serious human rights crisis in the country extended during 2019, due to the de facto installation of a state of emergency characterized by the abusive exercise of public force to repress any dissenting voices against the Government; the search, closure, and censorship of media outlets; the imprisonment or exile of journalists and social leaders; the closure of civil society organizations without guarantees of due process, as well as the interference and control of the executive branch over other public powers. The Commission also observed that the prolonged weakening of democratic institutions in Nicaragua has perpetuated the human rights crisis in the country and has led to structural impunity for serious human rights violations.\(^7\)

7. During 2020, the IACHR verified the intensification of acts of surveillance, harassment, and selective repression against persons considered to be opponents of the Government.\(^8\) In May 2020, the IACHR condemned the non-compliance with its recommendations and urged the State to implement them.\(^9\) In October 2020, the IACHR again called on the State to immediately cease persecution of persons identified as dissidents and to reestablish democratic guarantees in Nicaragua.\(^10\) Subsequently, the Commission once again included Nicaragua in Chapter IV.B of its 2020 Annual Report.\(^11\)

8. In 2021, the Commission condemned the increasing acts of harassment in Nicaragua. These acts were against persons identified as government opponents, human rights defenders, and the independent press. They\(^12\) also condemned the widespread impunity and the prolonged breakdown of the rule of law that persists in Nicaragua.\(^13\) On June 9, 2021, the IACHR and the Regional Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights for Central America and Dominican Republic (OHCHR) condemned the criminal prosecution of Nicaraguan opposition leaders and urged the State to release all the persons detained

---


\(^8\) IACHR. Press Release No. 80/20. Two Years into Nicaragua’s Human Rights Crisis, the IACHR Stresses its Permanent Commitment to Victims and Confirms the Consolidation of a Fifth Phase of Repression. April 18, 2020.


in the context of the crisis. On September 10, 2021, the Commission and the OHCHR condemned the criminalization of individuals identified as political opponents in Nicaragua.

10. On October 25, 2021, the IACHR published the report "Concentration of Power and the Undermining of the Rule of Law in Nicaragua." In this regard, the Commission identified acts of harassment, threats, raids, arbitrary detentions, and mistreatment against any person considered to be an opponent of the current Government, perpetrated by police and vigilante groups. On November 20, 2021, the IACHR made a statement in which it looked unfavorably upon the State of Nicaragua’s decision to denounce the Charter of the Organization of American States on November 19, 2021 during the ongoing context of serious crisis in the country. On the occasion, the Commission reaffirmed its jurisdiction over Nicaragua and stated that it would continue to exercise its monitoring mandates through the Special Monitoring Mechanism for Nicaragua (MESEN), as well as continue to analyze and process cases, petitions, and precautionary measures. The IACHR once again included Nicaragua in Chapter IV.B of its 2021 Annual Report, when it referred to the severe deterioration of democratic institutions in Nicaragua in the context of the 2021 general elections.

11. In 2022, the IACHR urged the State of Nicaragua to release the individuals who allegedly continue in arbitrary detention and under unsanitary detention conditions. They reportedly suffer ill-treatment and are subject to the arbitrary application of maximum-security regimes, in addition to the lack of adequate, timely, and specialized medical care. In February 2022, the IACHR condemned the manipulation of criminal law and criminalization of persons identified as opponents due to the lack of judicial independence and separation of powers. These acts have seriously affected the judicial guarantees for political prisoners in Nicaragua. On August 19, 2022, the IACHR condemned the escalation of repression against members of the Catholic Church due to their critical role in denouncing human rights violations. In September 2022, the IACHR and its SRESCER urged the immediate release of the political prisoners who are held in deplorable conditions in Nicaragua. The rapporteurs of the UN and IACHR urged the State to restore and make effective the full enjoyment of civil and political rights in the face of the closure and governmental co-optation of civic spaces and democratic participation in Nicaragua. On November 4, 2022, the IACHR alerted of the lack of conditions to hold free and fair elections in the country, taking into account the resurgence of repression and persecution against political opponents through the implementation of measures aimed at preventing their participation in these elections.

---


19 IACHR Press Release RD026/22. REDESCA condemns the cancellation of the status of 26 universities and associations for academic and social purposes by the National Assembly of Nicaragua. February 10, 2022.


22 IACHR, Press Release R218/22. In light of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs urge authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms. September 28, 2022.

12. On February 9, 2023, the IACHR learned about the release of 222 people, who are deprived of their liberty in Nicaragua due to political reasons, and who were deported to the United States of America on the same day of their release in compliance with a judgment of Criminal Chamber One of the Managua Court of Appeals. This chamber ordered the immediate deportation of these individuals and the revocation of their Nicaraguan nationality. On the same day, the National Assembly approved reforms to Article 21 of the Nicaraguan Political Constitution. This Article established the acquisition, loss, and recovery of nationality and approved Law 1145 that regulates the loss of Nicaraguan nationality. The IACHR urged the State to release all persons arbitrarily detained in the context of the crisis in Nicaragua, without this implying the loss of nationality and deportation.\(^{24}\) In February 2023, the IACHR and the OHCHR stressed that more than 3,000 national and international NGOs that have been canceled since 2018. Their assets have been confiscated and countless individuals have been left without access to their services or assistance. Therefore, they urged the State to put an end to the incessant repression and persecution of those who seek the return of democracy in Nicaragua or exercise their public freedoms.\(^{25}\)

13. In June 2023, the Commission expressed its concern regarding the new arbitrary detentions of defenders, journalists, and members of the Catholic Church. The information mentioned that the judicial proceedings lacked judicial guarantees and informed of a generalized context of lack of official information on the whereabouts of the detained persons and their legal situation.\(^{26}\) More recently, in August 2023, the IACHR and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights for Central America and the English-speaking Caribbean (OHCHR) condemned the continued violations of his human rights, such as the lack of access to medical care and essential medicines, the isolated detention since he has been in the La Modelo prison system, and the limitation of family visits.\(^{27}\) In August 2023, the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurs condemned arbitrary interference with academic freedom, declaring the cancellation of the legal status and arbitrary confiscation of the facilities of the Central American University (UCA), as well as the forced eviction of members of the Society of Jesus from their residence.\(^{28}\)

14. On September 15, 2023, the IACHR urged the State of Nicaragua to cease the violations of religious freedom, the persecution of the Catholic Church, and to release all persons who are arbitrarily deprived of their liberty.\(^{29}\)

**III. SUMMARY OF FACTS AND ARGUMENTS**

**A. Information provided in the request**

15. According to the request, the proposed beneficiary is Brooklyn Rivera Bryan, a Miskitu indigenous, regional Member of the House of Parliament, and leader of the YATAMA organization (Yapti Tasba Masraka Nanih Aslatakanka, “Children of Mother Earth United”). The proposed beneficiary was allegedly detained in an illegal and arbitrary manner on September 29, 2023 and, to this day, he is reportedly unaccounted for. It is alleged that they were unable to obtain an order from a competent judge and his location is therefore still unknown.


16. By way of background, the applicants states that the YATAMA organization was founded with the aim of vindicating the territorial rights of the indigenous peoples on the Caribbean Coast and promoting communal self-government through a “community democracy”, based on the uses and customs of the indigenous peoples. Thus, YATAMA is reportedly at the forefront of the struggle for the vindication of the rights of indigenous and Afro-descendant peoples, through various legal, political and even military mechanisms, at the national and international level for the effective recognition of fundamental human rights. They referred to the Matter of Yatama v. Nicaragua that concluded with the judgment of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in 2005. In that judgment, the Court concluded that the State should guarantee the participation of the indigenous peoples of the Atlantic Coast of Nicaragua “from their own institutions and in accordance with their values, uses, customs, and forms of organization”. The judgment urged the State to carry out a reform of the electoral system to guarantee indigenous participation on an equal footing. It was indicated that this Court judgment has not been complied with to date.

17. In response to the crisis and the political repression of the Nicaraguan State, various civil society organizations and political parties launched a project to form a broad spectrum “National Coalition”, with the objective of becoming a political option to the current Nicaraguan government. On January 24, 2020, YATAMA was invited to be part of the project of the National Coalition as a regional political party. On February 24, 2020, YATAMA signed the act of intention to form the National Coalition together with Alianza Cívica por la Justicia y la Democracia (ACJD), Unidad Nacional Azul y Blanco (UNAB), and YATAMA, joined by the organizations Fuerza Democrática Nicaragüense (FDN), Partido Liberal Constitucional (PLC), Partido Restauración Democrático (PRD), and Movimiento Campesino (MC). With a view to drafting the Statutes of the National Coalition, the so-called Multilateral Table was formed. The main objective at YATAMA was to position the agenda of indigenous and Afro-descendant peoples on the opposition’s agenda and achieve compliance with the judgment in the Matter of YATAMA v. Nicaragua.

18. On April 22, 2021, through the National Assembly, the government promoted a process to reform Electoral Law No. 330 and called for consultations with the political parties represented in the National Assembly. Being at that time the only political party part of the National Coalition with a Member in the House of Representatives in the Assembly, YATAMA presented a consolidated proposal on behalf of the National Coalition before the Special Constitutional Commission for Electoral Matters of the National Assembly (Comisión Especial Constitucional para Asuntos Electorales de la Asamblea Nacional). The document was presented by the proposed beneficiary in his capacity as president and member of the House of Representatives of YATAMA. However, it was not taken into consideration. On November 6, 2021, national elections were held. Mr. Rivera Bryan was elected regional member of the House of Representatives by the YATAMA organization for the period of 2022 – 2026.

19. In April 2023, Mr. Rivera Bryan participated in the 22nd session of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in New York. During his intervention in the interactive dialogue, he denounced the situation of indigenous peoples and Afro-descendants in Nicaragua. Afterwards, when attempting to take the flight back to Nicaragua, on April 24, 2023 from George Bush International Airport, Houston, United States, the airline informed him that the Nicaraguan authorities did not authorize his entry into the country. After remaining abroad for a few days, he entered the country where he remained in the Mosquitia region of Nicaragua, North Caribbean Coast, under siege and persecution by the National Police, who knew of his every move.

20. Regarding his detention, the applicants alleged that at 8:00 a.m. on September 29, 2023, State agents broke into Mr. Rivera Bryan’s residence located in the city of Bilwi, Autonomous Region of the Northern Caribbean Coast. They first stated they were workers from the Ministry of Health (MINSA). Subsequently, around 9:00 a.m., the National Police patrols violently entered the proposed beneficiary’s home. According to the request, the National Police officers did not present a search or an arrest warrant. The proposed beneficiary was allegedly beaten, handcuffed, and arrested.
21. According to the applicants, to date, the proposed beneficiary’s location is unknown. Unofficially, there is information that he was transferred to the city of Managua, but he was not located in the detention centers and the police authorities did not inform the grounds for his arrest. His family is reportedly concerned about his health, as he has respiratory problems as a result of COVID-19. Following the arrest of Mr. Rivera Bryan, police forces took over the headquarters of the YATAMA organization known as the “green house”, located in the city of Bilwi, as well as the YAPTI TASBA community radio stations, located in the cities of Bilwi and Waspam.\footnote{\textsuperscript{30}}

22. To date, the proposed beneficiary has not been subject to legal proceedings to strip him of his parliamentary immunity as a regional member of the House of Representatives for the YATAMA organization. However, he has since been removed from the Assembly's list of members.

23. Lastly, the request states that the proposed beneficiary is allegedly at risk considering the particular context Nicaragua is experiencing and in view of the fact that, as an indigenous leader and member of the House of Representatives who opposes the government, he has not been brought before a judge following his detention, which is considered illegal and arbitrary. His detention conditions (place of detention, physical condition, and health or the treatment he receives, despite suffering from previous illnesses) are also unknown. His whereabouts are still undetermined. The applicants consider that Mr. Rivera Bryan’s situation is not an isolated situation, but rather part of the arbitrary detention, criminalization of opponents, and human rights defenders, as well as the subsequent lack of medical attention for persons deprived of liberty for political reasons.

B. Information provided by the State

24. In this matter, the IACHR requested information from the State on October 2, 2023. However, the State has not submitted information to date.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE ELEMENTS OF SERIOUSNESS, URGENCY, AND IRREPARABLE HARM

25. The precautionary measures mechanism is part of the Commission’s function of supervising compliance with the human rights obligations established in Article 106 of the Charter of the Organization of American States (“OAS”), based on Article 41(b) of the American Convention on Human Rights (“American Convention”) and Article 18(b) of the IACHR Statute. Furthermore, the mechanism of precautionary measures is described in Article 25 of the Rules of Procedure, according to which the Commission grants precautionary measures in situations that are serious and urgent as well as necessary to prevent irreparable harm.

26. The Inter-American Commission and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (“the Inter-American Court” or “I/A Court H.R.”) have repeatedly established that precautionary and provisional measures have a dual nature, both protective and precautionary.\footnote{\textsuperscript{31}} Regarding the protective nature, these measures seek to avoid irreparable harm and protect the exercise of human rights.\footnote{\textsuperscript{32}} To do this, the IACHR shall assess the

\footnote{\textsuperscript{30} The request attaches an official letter from the National Institute of Telecommunications and Postal Service (TELCOR) dated September 2023 (day illegible) addressed to the proposed beneficiary as legal representative of YATAMA, ordering the immediate cease of its transmission since it was said to be operating the broadcasting service in an illegal manner.}

\footnote{\textsuperscript{31} See in this regard: I/A Court H.R. Matter of the Yare I and Yare II Capital Region Penitentiary Center. Request for Provisional Measures submitted by the IACHR regarding the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. Order of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights of March 30, 2006, considerandum 5; I/A Court H.R. Case of Carpio Nicolé et al. v. Guatemala. Provisional Measures. Order of July 6, 2009, considerandum 16.}

problem raised, the effectiveness of state actions to address the situation described, and how vulnerable the persons proposed as beneficiaries would be left in case the measures are not adopted.33 Regarding their precautionary nature, these measures have the purpose of preserving legal situations while under the consideration of the IACHR. These measures aim to safeguard the rights at risk until the petition pending before the inter-American system is resolved. Their object and purpose are to ensure the integrity and effectiveness of an eventual decision on the merits and, thus, avoid any further infringement of the rights at issue, a situation that may adversely affect the useful effect (effet utile) of the final decision. In this regard, precautionary or provisional measures allow the State concerned to comply with the final decision and, if necessary, to implement the remedies required.34 In the process of reaching a decision, according to Article 25(2) of its Rules of Procedure, the Commission considers that:

a. “serious situation” refers to a grave impact that an action or omission can have on a protected right or on the eventual effect of a pending decision in a case or petition before the organs of the inter-American system;

b. “urgent situation” refers to risk of threat that is imminent and can materialize, thus requiring immediate preventive or protective action; and

c. “irreparable harm” refers to injury to rights which, due to their nature, would not be susceptible to reparation, restoration or adequate compensation.

27. In analyzing those requirements, the Commission reiterates that the facts supporting a request for precautionary measures need not be proven beyond doubt. The information provided should be assessed from a prima facie standard of review to determine whether a serious and urgent situation exists.35 Similarly, the Commission recalls that, by its own mandate, it is not called upon to determine any individual liabilities for the facts alleged. Moreover, in this proceeding, it is not appropriate to rule on violations of rights enshrined in the American Convention or other applicable instruments.36 This is better suited to be addressed by the Petition and Case system. The following analysis relates exclusively to the requirements set forth in Article 25 of the Rules of Procedure, which can be resolved without making any determinations on the merits.37


37 In this regard, the Court has indicated that “[i]t cannot, in a provisional measure, consider the merits of any arguments pertaining to issues other than those which relate strictly to the extreme gravity and urgency and the necessity to avoid irreparable damage to persons.” See in this regard: I/A Court H.R. Matter of Jaima et at. regarding Trinidad and Tobago. Provisional Measures. Order of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights of August 29, 1998, considerandum 6; I/A Court H.R. Case of Barrios Family v. Venezuela. Provisional Measures. Order of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights of April 22, 2021, considerandum 2 [only in Spanish].
28. As a preliminary aspect, the Commission considers it important to recall that in the Matter of Yatama v. Nicaragua, the Court ordered, by judgment of June 23, 2005, a series of measures and reparations to the State of Nicaragua. Among them, legislative reforms in electoral matters were ordered to guarantee the participation of members of indigenous and ethnic communities in electoral processes in an effective manner and taking into account their traditions, uses, and customs. It is worth mentioning the participation of Mr. Brooklyn Rivera Bryan as a witness, due to his status as participant and member of the House of Representatives for YATAMA, during the development of the Matter of YATAMA v. Nicaragua before the Inter-American Court. The Commission highlights that, in its last judgment to monitor compliance with resolution of November 20, 2015, the Court concluded that, for more than five years, the State has failed to comply with its duty to report on the measures adopted from the Judgment issued on June 23, 2005. Therefore, the Commission observes that the orders of the Inter-American Court are still pending. In this context, the proposed beneficiary as “main leader” of the YATAMA organization and regional member of the House of Representative in the National Assembly had been seeking its effective fulfillment by presenting several actions, such as legislative reforms in electoral matters.

29. Given the proposed beneficiary’s situation, the Commission recalls that, in relation to persons deprived of liberty in general, the State is in a special position of guarantor that implies the duty to respect their life, integrity, health, and other human rights, inasmuch as prison authorities exercise a strong control or command over the persons in their custody. This is due to the unique relationship and interaction of subordination between the person deprived of liberty and the State, characterized by the particular intensity with which the State can regulate their rights and obligations, and by the very circumstances of imprisonment, where prisoners are prevented from satisfying on their own a series of basic needs that are essential for the development of a dignified life.

30. In the matter at hand, the Commission observes that the alleged situation of risk that the proposed beneficiary faces takes place within the current context that Nicaragua is experiencing (referred to above), which is particularly hostile towards persons considered, perceived, or identified as opponents of the government and, in general, towards any person critical of the current Nicaraguan government. This particularly hostile context has intensified over time. Thus, the Commission considers that the proposed beneficiary’s situation is not an isolated event, but rather takes place within the current context of Nicaragua, characterized by the practice of arresting and criminalizing human rights defenders and political opponents.

---

31. Taking into account the preliminary aspects and the particular context that Nicaragua is experiencing and the alleged unknown whereabouts of the proposed beneficiary, the Commission will proceed to analyze the procedural requirements regarding Mr. Rivera Bryan in Nicaragua.

32. With regard to the requirement of seriousness, the Commission takes into account, based on the information available, that the whereabouts or fate of the proposed beneficiary have been allegedly undetermined since September 29, 2023, following his violent arrest by police officers.

33. The Commission notes that the proposed beneficiary currently acts as a regional member of the House of Representatives and Miskitu leader of the organization YATAMA (Yapti Tasba Masraka Nanh Aslatakanak, “Children of Mother Earth United”) which has the aim of vindicating the territorial rights of indigenous peoples on the Caribbean Coast and has been at the forefront of the struggle for the vindication of the rights of indigenous and Afro-descendant peoples. YATAMA was also part of the National Coalition along with several civil society organizations and political parties in order to become a political option. The proposed beneficiary has participated in political activities in his capacity as leader of the YATAMA organization and as a regional member of the House of Representatives. Recently, he participated in the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, where he denounced the situation of indigenous and Afro-descendant peoples in Nicaragua. It was also reported that the proposed beneficiary was prevented from returning to the country after participating in the Permanent Forum. Upon successfully returning, the proposed beneficiary had been subjected to siege and persecution by the National Police in his town.

34. The Commission observes that on the morning of September 29, 2023, agents of the National Police showed up and violently broke into the proposed beneficiary’s residence located in Bilwi, Northern Caribbean Coast Autonomous Region. The police officers reportedly did not issue a search warrant or an arrest warrant for Mr. Rivera Bryan. The proposed beneficiary was allegedly beaten, handcuffed, and arrested. Unofficial information indicated that he was transferred to Managua. However, Mr. Rivera Bryan was not placed in any detention facility nor have the police authorities reported the grounds for his arrest. In addition, the information provided in this matter indicates that the proposed beneficiary has not been subject to any legal proceeding that has stripped him of his parliamentary immunity while he holds the position of regional member of the House of Representatives in the Nicaraguan Assembly. Notwithstanding, he was reportedly removed from the list of representatives. Considering the foregoing, the Commission believes that the facts described are related to Mr. Rivera Bryan’s critical position of the current Nicaraguan government. In particular, the Commission observes that prior to the proposed beneficiary’s whereabouts being unknown, the existence of acts of siege and harassment by State agents was alleged, and that to date his next of kin have not obtained information on his location following his arrest by State agents.

35. Having requested information from the State under Article 25 of the Rules of Procedure, the Commission regrets the lack of response to the request for information. Although the foregoing is not sufficient per se to justify the granting of a precautionary measure, the lack of response from the State prevents the Commission from knowing the measures that would have been reported implemented to address the situation that places the proposed beneficiary at risk dispute the facts alleged by the applicants. Therefore, the Commission does not have information that would allow it to assess whether the situation that places the proposed beneficiary at risk has been mitigated, nor regarding the actions taken to determine the whereabouts or fate of the proposed beneficiary. Moreover, the Commission also notes that the proposed beneficiary could be in the custody of the State, which would have the information on his location and current situation.
36. Considering the information available to date, the Commission understands that the family members do not have any information on the legal situation of the proposed beneficiary which would allow them to know: the reasons for his detention; the existence of an arrest warrant, whether it was subject to judicial review; the location where he would eventually be transferred; the detention conditions in which he is being held; the possibilities of contacting a trusted lawyer; the procedural status of the investigation for which he was detained; the existence of an investigation file against him; any health care he may have received; among others. Since he is a regional member of the House of Representatives in the Nicaraguan Assembly, the Commission has not been informed of the existence of the corresponding legal procedure to strip the proposed beneficiary of his parliamentary immunity. The proposed beneficiary is subjected to a situation of total incommunicado detention by state agents, which is further aggravated by the impossibility of knowing where he is being held. This prevents their relatives and close people from timely activating internal mechanisms to protect his rights. In this regard, the Commission recalls that the Inter-American Court has indicated, in the Matter of Juan Sebastián Chamorro et al. v. Nicaragua, that “detention without communication not only makes it impossible to verify the current situation of the proposed beneficiaries, their conditions of detention, and their health status, but it also implies a curtailment of the procedural guarantees of all detainees.”

37. In view of the foregoing considerations and in light of the *prima facie* standard, the Commission considers that the rights to life and personal integrity of Brooklyn Rivera Bryan are at serious risk given that, since September 29, 2023 to date, there has been no news of his fate or whereabouts after being detained by State agents.

38. Regarding the requirement of urgency, the Commission deems that it has been met, inasmuch as the passage of time without establishing his whereabouts is likely to generate greater impacts on the rights to life and personal integrity of the proposed beneficiary. In this sense, after the proposed beneficiary was arrested, his whereabouts have not been known, nor has any information been obtained about his location or regarding any investigative measures to determine his whereabouts.

39. Regarding the requirement of irreparable harm, the Commission considers that it has been met, since the potential impact on the rights to life and personal integrity constitutes the maximum situation of irreparable harm.

V. BENEFICIARIES

40. The Commission declares Brooklyn Rivera Bryan a beneficiary, who is duly identified in this proceeding.

VI. DECISION

41. The Inter-American Commission considers that this matter meets, *prima facie*, the requirements of seriousness, urgency, and irreparable harm set forth in Article 25 of its Rules of Procedure. Consequently, it requests that Nicaragua:

a) adopt the necessary measures to determine the situation and whereabouts of Mr. Brooklyn Rivera Bryan, in order to protect his rights to life and personal integrity;

b) report on the detention conditions in which he is currently held. In particular, report on the place of his detention, and allow access to his lawyers and family, as well as the necessary health care; and

---

c) report on the actions taken to investigate the alleged events that led to the adoption of this resolution, so as to prevent such events from reoccurring.

42. The Commission requests that the State of Nicaragua report, within 15 days as from the day after the notification of this resolution, on the adoption of the precautionary measures granted and to regularly update this information.

43. The Commission emphasizes that, in accordance with Article 25(8) of its Rules of Procedure, the granting of this precautionary measure and its adoption by the State do not constitute a prejudgment on any violation of the rights protected under the applicable instruments.

44. The Commission instructs its Executive Secretariat to notify this resolution to the State of Nicaragua and the applicant.

45. Approved on October 9, 2023, by Margarete May Macaulay, President; Esmeralda Arosemena de Troitiño, First Vice-President; Roberta Clarke, Second Vice-President; Julissa Mantilla Falcón; and José Luis Caballero Ochoa, members of the IACHR.

Jorge H. Meza Flores
Assistant Executive Secretary