

Jamaica's Drug Treatment Courts: Treatment Programmes & Data Requirements

Mark Coote & Uki Atkinson

DTC Expanding in Jamaica Since 2001

- ✓ Court supervised Treatment for Offenders with drug abuse problem
- ✓ Jointly implemented by MOJ, CMS, MOH, MNS & NCDA
- ✓ Courts:

3 Adult:

- Kingston & Montego Bay (2001)
- St. Catherine & St. Thomas (2014)
- Manchester (2016)

2 Children:

- Kingston & St. Andrew Family Court (2014)
- St. James Family Court (2017)

Role of the Treatment Provider in DTC

- ✓ Provides rehabilitative therapy sessions
- ✓ Drug screening
- √ Case management
- ✓ Shares information as required/ guided by ethics/legalities
- ✓ Monitoring for drug court participants in keeping with
 the holistic recovery of the participant

Role of the Treatment Provider in DTC

- ✓ All treatment centers accept referrals from DTC
- ✓ Client must agree to treatment voluntarily (residential or outpatient)
- ✓ Collaboration with DTC personnel re client follow-up (progress, visits, compliance)
- ✓ Treatment protocol is the same as all other clients
- ✓ Standardized treatment data form for used with all clients

Data Requirement & Information Needs

- ✓ Court specific information from DTC clients

 Submission of progress report regarding compliance/completion etc.
- ✓ Information received for DTC client
 - A Brief summary is submitted Reason for current arrest, Recommendations for treatment duration, Agreements/stipulations
- ✓ Requirements for specific case management information to the court during the treatment process
 Not required to do this

Data Requirement & Information Needs

- ✓ Information provided to the court system on the outcome of the treatment upon graduation
 - Discharge and summary of performance in treatment and commitment to follow-up for 1 year/Exit plan for Teen Challenge
- ✓ Perspectives on adequacy of information collected and shared in the DTC
 - ATSU Lack of communication among DTC team members. Clarity on expectations necessary basic operation on unit (fee structure, space available etc misleading)

Preliminary Framework for Evaluating Drug Treatment Courts in the Americas (OAS 2012)

- ✓ Member states should collect a common set of core performance indicators for M&E
- ✓ Performance indicators should be SMART
- √ Value-neutral benchmarks for success not established for Caribbean

✓ Collecting performance data will facilitate the development and validation of benchmarks - comparability within and across countries

National Drug Observatory Perspective – Documenting and Measuring Treatment Outcomes

Process Evaluations:

- √ Functioning as planned
- ✓ Treating intended target population
- ✓ Delivering types and dosages of services

- ✓ Problems and barriers? Addressed?
- √ Characteristics and needs of participants? Met?
- ✓ Rewards and sanctions?
- ✓ Cases resolved more or less rapidly for DTC than offenders in traditional criminal justice system?

DTC Evaluation Designs

Short-Term Outcome Evaluations:

- ✓ Measure participants' performance while enrolled
- ✓ During-treatment outcomes that predict posttreatment outcomes (retention/graduation – criminal recidivism)

- ✓ Percentage successfully graduated?
- ✓ Average length of stay?
- ✓ Average attendance rate?
- ✓ Proportion of urine drug tests negative for all substances?

DTC Evaluation Designs

Long-Term Outcome Evaluations:

✓ Measure participants' performance after they are no longer enrolled in DTC

- ✓ Percentage arrested or convicted for a new offense after program?
- ✓ Percentage incarcerated for new crime and how long?
- ✓ Percentage employed or enrolled in educational program at follow-up?
- ✓ Percentage experiencing serious medical, psychiatric, family problems at follow-up?
- ✓ Percentage of babies delivered drug free ?

DTC Evaluation Designs

Cost Evaluations:

- ✓ Attach monetary values to results of DTC evaluation
- ✓ Estimates net financial impact distinctions between investment costs, outcome costs and outcome savings

- ✓ Additional costs of providing treatment and supervision?
- ✓ Additional costs of holding frequent court hearings?
- ✓ Comparison of DTC cost to traditional approaches?
- ✓ Were investment costs recouped by outcome savings?

JA DTC Monthly Report Recommended Core Indicators

- ✓ Dose of Court Hearings # of hearings scheduled # of hearings attended #of hearings cancelled
- ✓ Dose of Drug & Alcohol Testing # urine, saliva or breath analyses scheduled # urine, saliva or breath samples provided # samples invalid or adulterated # samples excused

Recommended Core Indicators

- ✓ Dose of Substance Abuse treatment
 # treatment sessions scheduled
 # treatment sessions attended
 # treatment sessions cancelled, excused of rescheduled
- ✓ Graduation/Retention Rate # participants entered program #participants graduated # neutral discharges # still enrolled

Recommended Core Indicators

- ✓ Length of stay
- ✓ Re-arrest rate
- ✓ Re-conviction rate
- ✓ Re-arrest rate
- ✓ Re-incarceration length
- √ Negative drug and alcohol test rate
- ✓ Risk level
- ✓ Clinical diagnosis
- ✓ Need for Mental Health/social services

Current Situation

Ongoing effort to institutionalize DTC M&E systems

- ✓ Secured buy-in from DTC stakeholders
- ✓ Capacity building for data capturing undertaken
- ✓ Regional DTC M&E Framework to be finalized



DTC Kingston May 2001- November 2014



Location	Court Referral	Eligible	Graduated
Kingston	390	202	97

Treatment Court Testing and Counselling: April 2015 - August 2017

	Number of Clients Seen	Number of Sessions Conducted	Number of Tests Conducted
Children	84	573	248
Adults	148	2,644	1,924
Total	232	3,217	2,172 NCDA NCDA NCDA NCDA NCDA

Drug Treatment Court Testing and Counselling April 2015 - March 2016

	Number of Clients Seen	Number of Sessions Conducted	Number of Tests Conducted
Adults	43	682	466

Treatment Court Testing and Counselling April 2016 - March 2017

	Number of Clients Seen	Number of Sessions Conducted	Number of Tests Conducted
Children	50	324	80
Adults	39	1,208	878
Total	89	1,532	958

Treatment Court Testing and Counselling April 2017 - August 2017

	Number of Clients Seen	Number of Sessions Conducted	Number of Tests Conducted
Children	34	249	168
Adults	66	754	580
Total	100	1003	748





ACHIEVEMENTS

- Since 2015, 28 individuals have graduated from the adult programme and 11 from Family Court (Children's' DTC).
- Through COMET II, 32 participants have been included in their Workforce Empowerment Development Programme.
- Through COMET II, 28 participants have been assisted to continue their education/skills training.
- The Children's Drug court is in the pilot phase in the Kingston and St Andrew and Montego Bay Family Court
- Through social integration, partnerships have been formed in which clients have received assistance to acquire school books and snacks

Upcoming Regional DTC Evaluation (CCI)

- □ Process evaluation implementation
 - Identification of strengths, gaps, opportunities
- **☐** Components
 - I. Court Operations
 - II. Target Population
 - Legal eligibility
 - Legal screening
 - Clinical eligibility
 - III. Clinical Screening & Assessment
 - IV. Deterrence & Incentive Strategies
 - Legal leverage
 - Court Supervision
 - Interim Sanctions and Incentives
 - V. Treatment Strategies
 - VI. Program Oversight
 - VII. Team Collaboration
 - VIII.Participation Timeline
 - IX. Drug Court Data