

TREATY SERIES No. **16**
OAS OFFICIAL RECORDS

OEA/Ser.X/2 (English)

INTER-AMERICAN PEACE TREATIES AND CONVENTIONS

**GENERAL SECRETARIAT
ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C.**



VIRTUAL CONVENTION TO COORDINATE, EXTEND AND
ASSURE THE FULFILLMENT OF THE EXISTING TREATIES
BETWEEN THE AMERICAN STATES

Signed at Buenos Aires, December 23, 1936

The Governments represented at the Inter-American Conference for the Maintenance of Peace,

Animated by a desire to promote the maintenance of general peace in their mutual relations;

Appreciating the advantages derived and to be derived from the various agreements already entered into condemning war and providing methods for the pacific settlement of international disputes;

Recognizing the need for placing the greatest restrictions upon resort to war; and

Believing that for this purpose it is desirable to conclude a new convention to coordinate, extend and assure the fulfillment of existing agreements, have appointed plenipotentiaries as follows:

Argentina: Carlos Saavedra Lamas, Roberto M. Ortiz, Miguel Angel Cárcano, José María Cantilo, Felipe A. Espil, Leopoldo Melo, Isidoro Ruiz Moreno, Daniel Antokoletz, Carlos Brebbia, César Díaz Cisneros.

Paraguay: Miguel Angel Soler, J. Isidro Ramírez.

Honduras: Antonio Bermúdez M., Julián López Pineda.

Costa Rica: Manuel F. Jiménez, Carlos Brenes.

Venezuela: Caracciolo Parra Pérez, Gustavo Herrera, Alberto Zérega Fom-bona.

Peru: Carlos Concha, Alberto Ulloa, Felipe Barreda Laos, Diómedes Arias Schreiber.

El Salvador: Manuel Castro Ramírez, Maximiliano Patricio Brannon.

Mexico: Francisco Castillo Nájera, Alfonso Reyes, Ramón Beteta, Juan Manuel Alvarez del Castillo.

Brazil: José Carlos de Macedo Soares, Oswaldo Aranha, José de Paula Rodrigues Alves, Helio Lobo, Hildebrando Pompeu Pinto Accioly, Edmundo da Luz Pinto, Roberto Carneiro de Mendonça, Rosalina Coelho Lisboa de Miller, Maria Luiza Bittencourt.

Uruguay: José Espalter, Pedro Manini Ríos, Eugenio Martínez Thedy, Juan Antonio Buero, Felipe Ferreiro, Andrés F. Puyol, Abalcázar García, José G. Antuña, Julio César Cerdeiras Alonso, Gervasio Posadas Belgrano.

Guatemala: Carlos Salazar, José A. Medrano, Alfonso Carrillo.

Nicaragua: Luis Manuel Debayle, José María Moncada, Modesto Valle.

Dominican Republic: Max Henríquez Ureña, Tulio M. Cestero, Enrique Jiménez.

Colombia: Jorge Soto del Corral, Miguel López Pumarejo, Roberto Urdaneta Arbeláez, Alberto Lleras Camargo, José Ignacio Díaz Granados.

Panama: Harmodio Arias M., Julio J. Fábrega, Eduardo Chiari.

United States of America: Cordell Hull, Sumner Welles, Alexander W. Weddell, Adolf A. Berle, Jr., Alexander F. Whitney, Charles G. Fenwick, Michael Francis Doyle, Elise F. Musser.

Chile: Miguel Cruchaga Tocornal, Luis Barros Borgoño, Félix Nieto del Río, Ricardo Montaner Bello.

Ecuador: Humberto Albornoz, Antonio Pons, José Gabriel Navarro, Francisco Guarderas, Eduardo Salazar Gómez.

Bolivia: Enrique Finot, David Alvétegui, Eduardo Díez de Medina, Alberto Ostria Gutiérrez, Carlos Romero, Alberto Cortadellas, Javier Paz Campero.

Haiti: H. Pauleus Sannon, Camille J. León, Elie Lescot, Edmé Manigat, Pierre Eugène de Lespinasse, Clément Magloire.

Cuba: José Manuel Cortina, Ramón Zaydin, Carlos Márquez Sterling, Rafael Santos Jiménez, César Salaya, Calixto Whitmarsh, José Manuel Carbonell.

Who, after having deposited their full powers, found to be in good and due form, have agreed upon the following provisions:

ARTICLE 1. Taking into consideration that, by the Treaty to Avoid and Prevent Conflicts between the American States, signed at Santiago, May 3, 1923, (known as the Gondra Treaty) the High Contracting Parties agree that all controversies which it has been impossible to settle through diplomatic channels or to submit to arbitration in accordance with existing treaties shall be submitted for investigation and report to a Commission of Inquiry;

That by the Treaty for the Renunciation of War, signed at Paris on August 28, 1928 (known as the Kellogg-Briand Pact, or Pact of Paris) the High Contracting Parties solemnly declare in the names of their respective peoples that they condemn recourse to war for the solution of international controversies and renounce it as an instrument of national policy in their relations with one another;

That by the General Convention of Inter-American Conciliation, signed at Washington, January 5, 1929, the High Contracting Parties agree to submit to the procedure of conciliation all controversies between them, which it may not have been possible to settle through

diplomatic channels, and to establish a "Commission of Conciliation" to carry out the obligations assumed in the Convention;

That by the General Treaty of Inter-American Arbitration, signed at Washington, January 5, 1929, the High Contracting Parties bind themselves to submit to arbitration, subject to certain exceptions, all differences between them of an international character, which it has not been possible to adjust by diplomacy and which are juridical in their nature by reason of being susceptible of decision by the application of the principles of law, and moreover, to create a procedure of arbitration to be followed; and

That by the Treaty of Non-Aggression and Conciliation, signed at Rio de Janeiro October 10, 1933, (known as the Saavedra Lamas Treaty), the High Contracting Parties solemnly declare that they condemn wars of aggression in their mutual relations or in those with other states and that the settlement of disputes or controversies between them shall be effected only by pacific means which have the sanction of international law, and also declare that as between them territorial questions must not be settled by violence, and that they will not recognize any territorial arrangement not obtained by pacific means, nor the validity of the occupation or acquisition of territories brought about by force of arms, and, moreover, in a case of non-compliance with these obligations, the contracting states undertake to adopt, in their character as neutrals, a common and solidary attitude and to exercise the political, juridical or economic means authorized by international law, and to bring the influence of public opinion to bear, without, however, resorting to intervention, either diplomatic or armed,

subject nevertheless to the attitude that may be incumbent upon them by virtue of their collective treaties; and, furthermore, undertake to create a procedure of conciliation;

The High Contracting Parties reaffirm the obligations entered into to settle, by pacific means, controversies of an international character that may arise between them.

ARTICLE 2. The High Contracting Parties, convinced of the necessity for the cooperation and consultation provided for in the Convention for the Maintenance, Preservation and Reestablishment of Peace signed by them on this same day, agree that in all matters which affect peace on the Continent, such consultation and cooperation shall have as their object to assist, through the tender of friendly good offices and of mediation, the fulfillment by the American Republics of existing obligations for pacific settlement, and to take counsel together with full recognition of their juridical equality, as sovereign and independent states, and of their general right to individual liberty of action, when an emergency arises which affects their common interest in the maintenance of peace.

ARTICLE 3. In case of threat of war, the High Contracting Parties shall apply the provisions contained in Articles 1 and 2 of the Convention for the Maintenance, Preservation and Reestablishment of Peace, above referred to, it being understood that, while such consultation is in progress and for a period of not more than six months, the parties in dispute will not have recourse to hostilities or take any military action whatever.

ARTICLE 4. The High Contracting Parties further agree that, in the event of a dispute between two or more of them, they will seek to settle it in a

spirit of mutual regard for their respective rights, having recourse for this purpose to direct diplomatic negotiation or to the alternative procedures of mediation, commissions of inquiry, commissions of conciliation, tribunals of arbitration, and courts of justice, as provided in the treaties to which they may be parties; and they also agree that, should it be impossible to settle the dispute by diplomatic negotiation and should the States in dispute have recourse to the other procedures provided in the present Article, they will report this fact and the progress of the negotiations to the other signatory States. These provisions do not affect controversies already submitted to a diplomatic or juridical procedure by virtue of special agreements.

ARTICLE 5. The High Contracting Parties agree that, in the event that the methods provided by the present Convention or by agreements previously concluded should fail to bring about a pacific settlement of differences that may arise between any two or more of them, and hostilities should break out between two or more of them, they shall be governed by the following stipulations:

(a) They shall, in accordance with the terms of the Treaty of Non - Aggression and Conciliation (Saavedra Lamas Treaty), adopt in their character as neutrals a common and solidary attitude; and shall consult immediately with one another, and take cognizance of the outbreak of hostilities in order to determine either jointly or individually, whether such hostilities shall be regarded as constituting a state of war so as to call into effect the provisions of the present Convention.

(b) It is understood that, in regard to the question whether hostilities

VIRTUAL LIBRARY OF INTER-AMERICAN PEACE INITIATIVES

actually in progress constitute a state of war, each of the High Contracting Parties shall reach a prompt decision. In any event, should hostilities be actually in progress between two or more of the Contracting Parties, or between two or more signatory States not at the time parties to this Convention by reason of failure to ratify it, each Contracting Party shall take notice of the situation and shall adopt such an attitude as would be consistent with other multilateral treaties to which it is a party or in accordance with its municipal legislation. Such action shall not be deemed an unfriendly act on the part of any state affected thereby.

ARTICLE 6. Without prejudice to the universal principles of neutrality provided for in the case of an international war outside of America and without affecting the duties contracted by those American States members of the League of Nations, the High Contracting Parties reaffirm their loyalty to the principles enunciated in the five agreements referred to in Article 1, and they agree that in the case of an outbreak of hostilities or threat of an outbreak of hostilities between two or more of them, they shall, through consultation, immediately endeavour to adopt in their character as neutrals a common and solidary attitude, in order to discourage or prevent the spread or prolongation of hostilities.

With this object, and having in mind the diversity of cases and circumstances, they may consider the imposition of prohibitions or restrictions on the sale or shipment of arms, munitions and implements of war, loans or other financial help to the states in conflict, in accordance with the municipal legislation of the High

Contracting Parties, and without detriment to their obligations derived from other treaties to which they are or may become parties.

ARTICLE 7. Nothing contained in the present Convention shall be understood as affecting the rights and duties of the High Contracting Parties which are at the same time members of the League of Nations.

ARTICLE 8. The present Convention shall be ratified by the High Contracting Parties in accordance with their constitutional procedures. The original convention and the instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Argentine Republic, which shall communicate the ratifications to the other Signatory States. It shall come into effect when ratifications have been deposited by not less than eleven of the Signatory States.

The Convention shall remain in force indefinitely; but it may be denounced by any of the High Contracting Parties, such denunciation to be effective one year after the date upon which such notification has been given. Notice of denunciation shall be communicated to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Argentine Republic which shall transmit copies thereof to the other Signatory States. Denunciation shall not be regarded as valid if the Party making such denunciation shall be actually in a state of war, or shall be engaged in hostilities without fulfilling the provisions established by this Convention.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Plenipotentiaries above mentioned have signed this Treaty in English, Spanish, Portuguese, and French, and have affixed thereto their respective seals, in the City of Buenos Aires, Capital of the Argentine Republic, this twenty-third day of December, of the year 1936.

That State shall be considered as an aggressor which becomes responsible for one or several of the following acts:

Argentina:

In no case, under Article VI, can food-stuffs or raw materials destined for the civil populations of belligerent countries be considered as contraband of war, nor shall there exist any duty to prohibit credits for the acquisition of said food-stuffs or raw materials which have the destination indicated.

With reference to the embargo on arms, each Nation may reserve freedom of action in the face of a war of aggression.

Paraguay:

In no case, under Article VI, can food-stuffs, or raw materials destined for the civil populations of belligerent countries be considered as contraband of war, nor shall there exist any duty to prohibit credits for the acquisition of said food-stuffs or raw materials which have the destination indicated.

With reference to the embargo on arms, each Nation may reserve freedom of action in the face of a war of aggression.

El Salvador:

With reservation with respect to the idea of continental solidarity when confronted by foreign aggression.

Colombia:

In signing this convention, the Delegation of Colombia understands that the phrase "in their character as neutrals," which appears in Articles V and VI implies a new concept of international law which allows a distinction to be drawn between the aggressor and the attacked, and to treat them differently. At the same time, the Delegation of Colombia considers it necessary, in order to assure the full and effective application of this Pact, to set down in writing the following definition of the aggressor:

a) That its armed forces, to whatever branch they may belong, illegally cross the land, sea or air frontiers of other States. When the violation of the territory of a State has been effected by irresponsible bands organized within or outside of its territory and which have received direct or indirect help from another State, such violation shall be considered equivalent, for the purposes of the present Article, to that effected by the regular forces of the State responsible for the aggression;

b) That it has intervened in a unilateral or illegal way in the internal or external affairs of another State;

c) That it has refused to fulfill a legally given arbitral decision or sentence of international justice.

No consideration of any kind, whether political, military, economic or of any other kind, may serve as an excuse or justification for the aggression here anticipated.

Argentina: CARLOS SAAVEDRA LAMAS, ROBERTO M. ORTIZ, MIGUEL ANGEL CÁRCANO, JOSÉ MARÍA CANTILLO, FELIPE A. ESPIL, LEOPOLDO MELO, ISIDORO RUIZ MORENO, DANIEL ANTO-KOLETZ, CARLOS BREBBIA, CÉSAR DÍAZ CISNEROS.

Paraguay: MIGUEL ANGEL SOLER, J. ISIDRO RAMÍREZ.

Honduras: ANTONIO BERMÚDEZ M., JULIÁN LÓPEZ PINEDA.

Costa Rica: MANUEL F. JIMÉNEZ, CARLOS BRENES.

Venezuela: CARACCILO PARRA PÉREZ, GUSTAVO HERRERA, ALBERTO ZÉREGA FOMBONA.

El Salvador: MANUEL CASTRO RAMÍREZ,
MAXIMILIANO PATRICIO BRANNON.

Mexico: FRANCISCO CASTILLO NÁJERA,
ALFONSO REYES, RAMÓN BETETA,
JUAN ALVAREZ DEL CASTILLO.

Brazil: JOSÉ CARLOS DE MACEDO SOARES,
JOSÉ DE PAULA RODRÍGUES ALVES,
HELIO LOBO, HILDEBRANDO POMPEU
PINTO ACCIOLY, EDMUNDO DA LUZ
PINTO, ROBERTO CARNEIRO DE MEN-
DONÇA, ROSALINA COALHO LISBOA DE
MILLER, MARÍA LUIZA BITTENCOURT.

Uruguay: PEDRO MANINI RÍOS, EUGENIO
MARTÍNEZ THEDY, FELIPE FERREIRO,
ABALCÁZAR GARCÍA, JULIO CÉSAR
CERDEIRAS ALONSO, GARVASIO POSA-
DAS BELGRANO.

Guatemala: CARLOS SALAZAR, JOSÉ A
MEDRANO, ALFONSO CARRILLO.

Nicaragua: LUIS MANUEL DEBAYLE,
JOSÉ MARÍA MONCADA, MODESTO
VALLE.

Dominican Republic: MAX HENRÍQUEZ
UREÑA, TULIO M. CESTERO, ENRIQUE
JIMÉNEZ.

Colombia: JORGE SOTO DEL CORRAL,
MIGUEL LÓPEZ PUMAREJO, ROBERTO
URDANETA ARBELÁEZ, ALBERTO
LLERAS CAMARGO, JOSÉ IGNACIO DÍAZ
GRANADOS.

Panama: HARMODIO ARIAS M., JULIO J.
FÁBREGA, EDUARDO CHIARI.

United States of America: CORDELL HULL,
SUMNER WELLES, ALEXANDER W.
WEDDELL, ADOLF A. BERLE, JR.,
ALEXANDER F. WHITNEY, CHARLES
G. FENWICK, MICHAEL FRANCIS
DOYLE, ELISE F. MUSSER.

Chile: MIGUEL CRUCHAGA TOCORNAL,
LUIS BARROS BORGÑO, FÉLIX NIETO
DEL RÍO, RICARDO MONTANER BELLO.

Ecuador: HUMBERTO ALBORNOZ, ANTONIO
PONS, JOSÉ GABRIEL NAVARRO, FRAN-
CISCO GUARDERAS.

Haiti: H. PAULEUS SANNON, CAMILLE
J. LEÓN, ELIE LESCOT, EDMÉ MANI-
GAT, PIERRE EUGÈNE DE LESPINASSE,
CLÉMENT MAGLOIRE.

Cuba: JOSÉ MANUEL CORTINA, RAMÓN
ZAYDIN, CARLOS MÁRQUEZ STERLING,
RAFAEL SANTOS JIMÉNEZ, CÉSAR SA-
LAYA, CALIXTO WHITMARSH.

RESERVATIONS MADE AT THE
TIME OF RATIFICATION

Colombia:

With the reservations made at the
time of signature.

El Salvador:

With the reservation made at the time
of signature.

United States of America:

The United States of America holds
that the reservations to this convention
do not constitute an amendment to the
text, but that such reservations, inter-
pretations, and definitions by separate
governments are solely for the benefit
of such respective governments and are
not intended to be controlling upon the
United States of America.

Honduras:

El Gobierno de Honduras consigna
su reserva en el sentido de que en el
Arbitraje no habrá más excepciones que
los casos que hayan sido resueltos por
aquel medio; y que las disposiciones de
la presente Convención no serán apli-
cables a los asuntos o controversias
pendientes ni a los que se promuevan en
lo sucesivo sobre hechos anteriores a la
fecha en que esta Convención entre en
vigor.

[Translation]

The Government of Honduras formu-
lates a reservation in the sense that there

VIRTUAL LIBRARY
INTER-AMERICAN PEACE INITIATIVES

shall be no exceptions to arbitration except questions already settled by that procedure; and that the provisions of this Convention may not be applied to

pending matters or controversies, nor to those which may arise in the future concerning events which took place before the Convention enters into effect.

CONVENTION TO COORDINATE, EXTEND AND
ASSURE THE FULFILLMENT OF THE EXISTING TREATIES
BETWEEN THE AMERICAN STATES

Signed at Buenos Aires, December 23, 1936, at the
Inter-American Conference for the Maintenance of Peace

SIGNATORY COUNTRIES	DATE OF DEPOSIT OF THE INSTRUMENT OF RATIFICATION
Argentina ¹	
Bolivia	
Brazil	January 10, 1939
Chile	August 18, 1938
Colombia ¹	March 10, 1938 ¹
Costa Rica	
Cuba	March 25, 1938
Dominican Republic	July 1, 1937
Ecuador	September 10, 1937
El Salvador ¹	April 12, 1938 ¹
Guatemala	August 4, 1938
Haiti	August 20, 1938
Honduras	August 16, 1938 ¹
Mexico	December 15, 1937
Nicaragua	November 24, 1938
Panama	December 7, 1938
Paraguay ¹	
Peru	
United States	August 25, 1937 ¹
Uruguay	
Venezuela	

1. With reservations.

The original instrument is deposited with the Ministry of Foreign affairs of the Argentine Republic, which is also the depository of the instruments of ratification.

It came into effect on November 24, 1938, the date on which Nicaragua deposited its instrument of ratification, constituting the eleventh ratification required by the convention.

It was superseded by the American Treaty on Pacific Settlement (Pact of Bogotá), 1948, continuing in effect, however, among the States that have not ratified the Pact of Bogotá.