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CHAPTER I: GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Creation of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression and institutional support

1. The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression was created in October 1997, during the 97th Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, by unanimous decision of its members. It was established as a permanent and independent office that acts within the framework and with the support of the IACHR. With this, it sought to stimulate the hemispheric defense of the right to freedom of thought and expression, considering its fundamental role in the consolidation and development of the democratic system, as well as in the protection, guarantee and promotion of other human rights. At its 98th Period of Sessions, held in March 1998, the IACHR defined in general terms the characteristics and functions of the Special Rapporteurship and decided to create a voluntary fund for its economic assistance.

2. The IACHR’s initiative to create a permanent Special Rapporteurship found full support in the OAS Member States. Indeed, during the Second Summit of the Americas, the Heads of State and Government recognized the fundamental role of the right to freedom of thought and expression and expressed their satisfaction with the creation of the Special Rapporteurship. Since then, both the Summit of the Americas and the OAS General Assembly have expressed their support for the work of the Special Rapporteurship and have entrusted it with the follow-up or analysis of some of the rights that make up freedom of expression, as well as the monitoring and promotion of the right of access to public information. In recent years, the OAS General Assembly has expanded the agenda of objectives of the Office of the Special Rapporteur in accordance with the situation of the right to freedom of expression in the region.

3. In the framework of the VIII Summit of the Americas, held on April 13 and 14, 2018 in Lima, Peru, the commitment to "Democratic Governance in the Face of Corruption" was adopted through which the representatives of the participating States committed to continue strengthening various anti-corruption measures such as strengthening transparency bodies and access to public information, protection for whistleblowers and journalists against threats and reprisals for investigating or reporting on acts of corruption.

4. In 2018, the IACHR adopted Resolution 1/18, on "Corruption and Human Rights", through which it recommends States to carry out a series of measures to strengthen access to public information as a key tool in the fight against corruption, protection of journalists and the media, among others.

5. The OAS General Assembly through resolution 2928 (XLVIII-O/18) on "Promotion and Protection of Human Rights", mandated the Office of the Special Rapporteur, in conjunction with other bodies, to prepare a practical guide of recommendations to ensure freedom of expression, access to information from various sources for officials of national access to information authorities and justice operators.

6. In the area of freedom of expression, the OAS General Assembly adopted resolutions in different years reaffirming the right to freedom of expression and recognizing the important contributions of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, as well as calling on the States to follow the recommendations of the Office expressed in the annual reports. In 2005, it adopted resolution 2149 (XXXV-0/05); in 2006, resolution 2237 (XXXVI-0/06); in 2007, resolution 2287 (XXXVII-0/07); in 2008, resolution 2434 (XXXVIII-0/08); resolution 2523 (XXXIX-0/09); in 2011, resolution 2679 (XL-11/11). With respect to the right of access to public information, the OAS General Assembly has made several statements supporting the work of the Office of the Special Rapporteur and has urged the adoption of its recommendations. In 2003, it approved resolution 1932 (XXXIII-0/03); in 2004, resolution 2057 (XXXIV-0/04); in 2006, resolution 2252 (XXXVI-0/06); in 2007, resolution 2288 (XXXVII-0/07); in 2008, resolution 2418 (XXXVIII-0/08); in 2009, resolution 2514 (XXXIX-0/09). In this resolution, the General Assembly instructed the Department of International Law to draft, with the cooperation of the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the Inter-American Juridical Committee, the Department of State Modernization and Good Governance, and with the cooperation of the member states and civil society, a Model Law on Access to Public Information and a Guide for its implementation, in accordance with international standards on the subject. In addition, in 2011, it approved resolution 2661 (XL-11/11); in 2012, resolution AG/RES. 2727 (XLI-O/12); in 2013, resolution AG/RES. 2811 (XLII-O/13); in 2014, resolution AG/RES. 2842 (XLIV-O/14); in 2016, it approved resolution AG/RES. 2885 (XLVI-O/16). In this resolution, it instructed the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression to develop and disseminate the content of the inter-American legal framework on the right of access to information, including the Model Inter-American Law on Access to Public Information, by preparing reports on the scope of the right and the application of its principles. It also recommended that the Office of the Special Rapporteur assist member states and organize and participate in training programs for officials of national access to information authorities and justice operators.

Footnotes:

resources on the internet during the development of electoral processes, without undue interference⁴. In 2017, the OAS General Assembly approved resolution AG/RES. 2908 (XLVII-O/17) on the right to freedom of thought and expression and the safety of journalists and media workers in the hemisphere. The proposal was presented by the delegation of Uruguay and co-sponsored by the delegations of Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Costa Rica, Mexico, Peru and the Dominican Republic. The Office of the Special Rapporteur joins the commitment made by the States in this resolution to implement strategies and resources to put an end to the prevailing impunity for crimes against journalists.

6. Since its inception, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has also enjoyed the support of civil society organizations, the media, journalists and, above all, of individuals who have been victims of violations of their right to freedom of thought and expression, and their families.

7. During its 163rd period of sessions, the IACHR renewed the mandate of Special Rapporteur Edison Lanza for a new three-year period, in accordance with Article 15 of its Rules of Procedure⁵.

8. During its 173rd period of sessions in Washington D.C., the IACHR approved the document Guidelines on the performance of the activities and functions provided for in the mandates of the Special Rapporteurships of the IACHR. For the first time, the special rapporteurships have a protocolized framework for action.

9. The IACHR announced on September 15, 2020 the selection of Pedro José Vaca Villarreal as Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, taking office as of October 6, 2020 for a period of one year, renewable for two years depending on the availability of funds, in accordance with Article 15 of its Rules of Procedure. The selection was the result of a broad, open, transparent and participatory process. The call was published on January 13, 2020 and closed on March 13, 2020. Of the 81 applications received, the Commission selected ten finalists at its Internal Session on April 29, 2020. This list was compiled taking into account the criteria established in the call, as well as gender parity and the representation of the different regions of the Americas.⁶

10. The OAS General Assembly, through resolution 2991 (LI-O/22) on "Promotion and Protection of Human Rights", mandated the Office of the Special Rapporteur to prepare, within existing resources and taking into account the contributions of multiple actors and rights holders, such as States, the private sector, academia, civil society and the technical community, an inter-American report on international standards, challenges and best practices on accessibility and digital inclusion, including a component on literacy in digital civic competencies and moderation of online content, in order to guarantee and promote free and equal access, use and appropriation of the Internet and new information and communication technologies by all persons, in accordance with international obligations and norms.⁷

B. Main activities of the Office of the Special Rapporteur

11. During its twenty-four years of existence, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has fulfilled in a timely and dedicated manner each of the tasks assigned to it by the IACHR and other OAS bodies such as the General Assembly.

12. This part of the report summarizes in a very general way the tasks accomplished in 2022:

1) Individual Case System

13. One of the most important functions of the Office of the Special Rapporteur is to advise the IACHR in the evaluation of individual petitions, and to prepare the corresponding reports.

14. The proper promotion of individual petitions, in addition to providing justice in the specific case, makes it possible to call attention to paradigmatic situations that affect freedom of thought and expression, and to create important jurisprudence applicable both by the inter-American system for the protection of human

---

⁵ IACHR. Periods of sessions. Available for consultation at: https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/sessions/default.asp
rights and by the courts of the countries of the region. Likewise, the system of individual cases constitutes an essential factor in the comprehensive strategy for the promotion and defense of freedom of thought and expression in the region, a strategy that the Office of the Special Rapporteur develops through the different work mechanisms offered by the inter-American system for the protection of human rights.

15. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has advised the IACHR in the presentation before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (hereinafter "the Court" or "the Inter-American Court") of important individual cases on freedom of expression.

16. The cases decided in 2022 by the IACHR Court are the following:

**Moya Chacón et al. v. Costa Rica. Judgment of May 23, 2022.** The Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued a judgment declaring the international responsibility of the State of Costa Rica for the violation of the right to freedom of thought and expression, to the detriment of journalists Ronald Moya Chacón and Freddy Parrales Chaves.

On December 17, 2015, the journalists published an article in the newspaper "La Nación" in which they reported on alleged irregularities in the control of liquor imports to Costa Rica in the border area with Panama. One of the police officers involved in the investigation filed a complaint for libel and "defamation by means of the press", as well as a civil action for damages against the journalists, due to the alleged existence of falsehood regarding the information published. Although the journalists were not criminally convicted for the commission of a crime due to the absence of malice, they were ordered to pay, jointly and severally, five million colones as civil indemnity for moral damages. It is alleged that article 145 of the Penal Code and article 7 of the Printing Press Law, which establish the criminal offense of "libel through the press", are incompatible with the principle of strict criminal legality and the right to freedom of expression, since they do not establish clear parameters that make it possible to foresee the prohibited conduct and its elements. Although there was no criminal conviction in the specific case, it is argued that it is appropriate to analyze its legality given that the victims were subjected to a process based on said legislation and it is currently in force in Costa Rica. It is also alleged that the application of Article 1045 of the Costa Rican Civil Code, which regulates tort liability, was not in accordance with Inter-American standards.

In its ruling, the Court determined that "for the press to be able to develop its role of journalistic control, it must not only be free to impart information and ideas of public interest, but it must also be free to gather, collect and evaluate such information and ideas". The court determined that, when faced with information based on an official source, "it is not possible to oblige journalists to carry out additional verifications", nor to impose "a preferential source, according to the judge’s criteria". This ruling is highly relevant because of the value given to the protection of sources and the prohibition of preferential or obligatory sources. On the other hand, the Court determined that "an excessively rigorous control over journalistic methods can produce an inhibiting effect on the work of the press".

On the other hand, the Court emphasized that the Court affirmed that, for investigative journalism to exist in a democratic society, it is necessary to leave journalists "room for error", since without this margin of error there can be no independent journalism and, therefore, no possibility of the necessary democratic scrutiny that flows from it. In addition, the Court also considered that no one may be subjected to subsequent liability for the

---

dissemination of information related to a public matter and based on material that is accessible to the public or that comes from official sources. Finally, it stressed the need that, should it be deemed appropriate to grant reparation to the person whose honor has been damaged, the purpose of such reparation should not be to punish the issuer of the information, but to restore the affected person. In this regard, States should exercise the utmost caution in imposing reparations so that they do not dissuade the press from participating in the discussion of matters of legitimate public interest.

**Flores Bedregal et al. v. Bolivia. Judgment of October 17, 2022.** The Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued a judgment declaring the international responsibility of the State of Bolivia for the forced disappearance of Juan Carlos Flores Bedregal and the violation of his rights to recognition as a person before the law, to life, to personal integrity and liberty, as well as for the violations of the rights to judicial guarantees, to access to information, to judicial protection, and to personal integrity to the detriment of Olga Beatriz, Verónica, Eliana Isabela and Lilian Teresa, family members of Juan Carlos Flores Bedregal.

On July 17, 1980, in the context of a coup d’état in Bolivia led by General Luis García Meza Tejada, military and paramilitary forces attacked and occupied the building of the Central Obrera Boliviana ("COB"). Juan Carlos Flores Bedregal was there at the time along with other people. Those present were forced to descend the stairs and leave the place with their hands up, among them Mr. Flores Bedregal, who was hit by a burst of gunfire. The representative argued that since then there has been no certain news of his whereabouts or the location of his remains. The State alleged that his death was verified. Since July 17, 1980, the Flores Bedregal sisters began the search for their brother. In the development of the process, the Flores Bedregal sisters requested information, they requested the declassification of documents from the archives of the Armed Forces, this information would have been partially declassified, but it was not delivered in a timely manner, the judicial authorities did not have access to the information at the time of issuing the sentence in October 2010. In addition, the information was sent under the warning that "the documentation is secret and inviolable", thus restricting the use that could be made of it by the judicial authorities.

The Court concluded that: (a) there was a lack of observance of due diligence with respect to the development of the investigation and criminal proceedings; (b) there was an excessive and unjustified delay in the processing of the proceedings to investigate, try and, if applicable, punish those responsible for the facts; (c) the autonomous criminal type of forced disappearance was not applied; (d) after more than four decades since the beginning of the forced disappearance of Juan Carlos Flores Bedregal, the trial and eventual punishment of those responsible is still pending; e) the State failed to comply with its duty to adopt domestic provisions or measures to protect and ensure the enforcement of the rights violated as a result of the victim's forced disappearance; and f) not all necessary efforts have been made to clarify the whereabouts of the victim or to locate his remains, in violation of the right to truth of his next of kin.

Regarding the right of access to information, the Court found that the Flores Bedregal sisters reiterated in several instances their request for access to information, without it being provided. Over several decades, the State prevented the next of kin of Juan Carlos Flores Bedregal from accessing information relevant to the clarification of his forced disappearance in the context of the coup d’état of July 17, 1980, and restricted the judicial proceedings related to such information. The Court concluded that the restriction of Article 98 of the Organic Law of the Armed Forces affects the right of access to information of the relatives of the disappeared persons, hindering their search and thus prolonging their suffering. The State did not guarantee access to information in accordance with Ministerial Resolution No. 316/09, thus affecting the right to truth.

**Leguizamón Zaván et al. v. Paraguay. Judgment of November 15, 2022.** The Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued a judgment declaring the international responsibility of the State of Paraguay for the violation of the rights to life and freedom of thought and expression to the detriment of Mr. Santiago Leguizamón Zaván and the rights to personal integrity, judicial guarantees and judicial protection to the detriment of Ana María Margarita Morra and Raquel, Dante, Sebastián and Fernando Leguizamón Morra, wife, daughter and sons of Santiago Leguizamón Zaván, respectively. The State acknowledged its international responsibility for the violation of the rights identified by the Inter-American Commission in the merits report.

On April 26, 1991, Santiago Leguizamón Zaván, a journalist with a long career in Paraguay, was murdered because of his journalistic work, he received threats on different occasions. The murder occurred in Pedro Juan
Caballero, near the border with Brazil, and an ex officio investigation was initiated that day, but the homicide remains unpunished. The Commission found that the investigation and the criminal process did not meet the standards of due diligence and reasonable time, nor did they follow a logical line of investigation. It also found a lack of due diligence and unwarranted delays in the requests for international cooperation addressed to Brazil, due to the fact that the homicide occurred in a border area and that several of the alleged perpetrators were allegedly located in that country.

In the judgment, the Court determined that the State was aware of the situation of risk in which Mr. Leguizamón Zaván found himself and did not adopt the necessary measures of protection, within the scope of its competence, to prevent that risk from being consummated. On the other hand, it found that the homicide had an individual impact on Mr. Leguizamón Zaván’s right to freedom of expression, in that it prevented him from continuing to exercise his journalistic work; and that the homicide and the impunity in which the case remains had an impact on the right to freedom of expression in its collective facet, in that they had a chilling or intimidating effect on other journalists and affected society’s right to be informed.

According to the Court, because Mr. Leguizamón Zaván covered news of great public interest, referring, among others, to the actions of the authorities and businessmen on issues related to the environment, timber smuggling, the situation of peasants and indigenous peoples, corruption, drug trafficking, crime and violence in the border area, the impact on the right of Paraguayan society to be informed was particularly serious. In this regard, the Court recalled that freedom of expression, especially in matters of public interest, is a cornerstone of democratic societies and that, without it, the democratic system is weakened, pluralism and tolerance are undermined, control mechanisms and citizen complaints can become inoperative and, ultimately, a fertile ground for authoritarian regimes is created. Thus, according to the Judgment, to guarantee the pluralism inherent to democratic societies, a greater circulation of reports and opinions on matters of public interest is required, guaranteeing the right of citizens to access information and ideas from a diversity of positions.

In addition, it found that the State is responsible for the violation of judicial guarantees and judicial protection, due to its failure to investigate and prosecute those responsible for the homicide within a reasonable period, and its failure to comply with its obligation to act with due diligence in the investigation.

2) Precautionary Measures and Request for Provisional Measures

17. During 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur collaborated in the study of 39 cases of precautionary measures, including 31 new requests for precautionary measures and 9 studies of requests in the framework of cases already open. Of this universe, 2 new precautionary measures were granted. Precautionary measures were also extended to new beneficiaries in 3 cases. Likewise, this office has collaborated with the preparation of 1 request for the extension of Provisional Measures before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.

18. In MC 449-22 (Resolution 24/2022 of June 11, 2022), the IACHR granted precautionary measures for the protection of journalist Dom Phillips and indigenous activist Bruno de Araújo Pereira in Brazil, following their disappearances on June 5, 2022, while they were conducting information and advocacy work for the rights of indigenous peoples in the Amazonian forest region of Vale do Javari, State of Amazonas. Exceptionally and as authorized by Article 25.5 of its Rules of Procedure, the IACHR granted these measures without a prior request for information from the State on the case, since the Commission considered that the immediacy of the potential harm to the rights to life and personal integrity of the beneficiaries did not admit delay.

19. In November, the IACHR extended MC 449-22 in favor of 11 members of the União dos Povos Indígenas do Vale de Javari in Brazil (Resolution 59/22 of October 27, 2022). The IACHR identified that the beneficiaries had been harassed and threatened with death due to their work to protect the indigenous peoples of the Vale do Javari and their territory, as well as for actively participating in the search for Bruno Araújo Pereira and Dom Phillips and the demand for justice for their murders.

20. MC 652-22, were granted to detained journalist Juan Lorenzo Holmann Chamorro and his immediate family in Nicaragua (Resolution 49/2022 of September 29, 2022). The precautionary measures granted to
independent journalists in Nicaragua are framed in the context of repression and restrictions on press freedom imposed in the country since the protests of April 2018. The IACHR considered the existence of inadequate conditions of detention, lack of necessary, timely and adequate medical care for the health of the beneficiary and restrictions on the right to visit; it also highlighted its concern about the violations of the right to freedom of expression and a series of events against the newspaper La Prensa - of which the beneficiary is part -; and observed retaliation, harassment and harassment against the journalist and his family.

21. The Rapporteurship also collaborated with the preparation of a request for the extension of Provisional Measures, presented by the IACHR on December 28, 2022 before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, in favor of 11 persons deprived of liberty and their families in Nicaragua. The request includes as beneficiaries Juan Lorenzo Holmann Chamorro and his family, in addition to all the beneficiaries of precautionary measures granted by the IACHR between 2020 and 2022 and who are detained in the country. The IACHR has appealed to the IACHR Court due to the lack of response on measures adopted to address the situation of risk identified in these measures and the consideration that the beneficiaries remain in a situation of extreme gravity and urgency of irreparable damage to their rights.

22. In the context of Nicaragua, MC 693-18 was also extended in favor of journalist Katya Milady Reyes Ortiz (Resolution 64/2022 of November 14, 2022). The journalist, a member of Radio Dario, has been subjected to threats and harassment for her work as an independent journalist, facts that are related to the elements that the Commission considered at the time of granting precautionary measures in 2018 in favor of other members of the Radio Dario team.

23. At the same time, it extended the measures of MC 1051-20, with respect to El Salvador, in favor of 3 other journalists from the Digital Newspaper El Faro (Resolution 32/2022 of July 8, 2022). On the same occasion, the IACHR also followed up on the situation of another 28 journalists, who were already beneficiaries of these precautionary measures. Among other elements, in the framework of the complaints about the use of the Pegasus software, the IACHR considered that "the extraction of personal information or information related to the journalistic work of the beneficiaries, in the terms presented in this procedure, is an element to consider in the situation of vulnerability in which they are placed, and that ends up impacting the security of the beneficiaries".

24. It should be noted that the granting of precautionary measures by the IACHR or provisional measures by the Inter-American Court does not constitute a prejudgment on the merits of the case. Precautionary measures arise from the need to adopt mechanisms to avoid serious, imminent and irremediable harm to any of the rights enshrined in the American Convention, or to maintain jurisdiction over the case, without the object of the case disappearing.

25. In this regard, it is also emphasized that the IACHR periodically evaluates the precautionary measures in force and the measures adopted by the State with respect to the recommendations, in order to maintain, modify or lift them. In 2022, follow-up resolutions were published, maintaining the precautionary measures in force, with respect to MC 264-13, granted in 2013 in favor of members of the organization Damas de Blanco in Cuba. At the same time, the IACHR may request the adoption of provisional measures by the Court in situations of extreme gravity and urgency and when necessary to avoid irreparable harm to persons. The consideration that any precautionary measures granted have not been effective is one of the criteria for presenting such a request.

3) Public hearings

26. The IACHR has received several requests for hearings and working meetings on freedom of expression in recent sessions. The Office of the Special Rapporteur participates actively in the hearings on freedom of expression, preparing reports and making the corresponding interventions and follow-up.

27. The following is a summary of the hearings in which the Office of the Special Rapporteur participated in 2022:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State / Regional</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title of the Hearing</th>
<th>Date / Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>183rd Regular Period of Sessions of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>The human rights situation in the context of impunity in Nicaragua</td>
<td>March 14, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>183rd Regular Period of Sessions of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Human Rights Situation of Afro-descendants in Uruguay</td>
<td>March 14, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>183rd Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>The human rights situation of LGBTI people in Honduras</td>
<td>March 16, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>184th Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>RELE Hearing: Situation of freedom of expression in Venezuela</td>
<td>June 22, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Session/Period</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>184th Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Human rights situation and rule of law in El Salvador</td>
<td>June 23, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>184th Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Situation of the human rights of women defenders of sexual and reproductive rights</td>
<td>June 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>185th Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>RELE Hearing: The situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala</td>
<td>October 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>185th Regular Period of Sessions of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Social protests and indigenous peoples in Ecuador</td>
<td>October 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>185th Regular Period of Sessions of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Arbitrary detentions and the situation of persons deprived of liberty during the State of Emergency in El Salvador</td>
<td>October 25, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>185th Regular Period of Sessions of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Militarization of public security in Mexico</td>
<td>October 25, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>185th Regular Session of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights</td>
<td>Status of the right to gender identity and the recognition of same-sex family ties in Bolivia</td>
<td>October 27, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4) Seminars and workshops with strategic stakeholders in the region

28. Seminars, workshops and training sessions are a fundamental tool in the Special Rapporteurship’s work to promote the inter-American system for the protection of human rights and the right to freedom of expression. In the last twenty-four (24) years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has organized several seminars throughout the region with the cooperation of universities, governmental institutions and non-governmental organizations.

29. Hundreds of journalists, lawyers, university professors, judges, members of the Public Prosecutor's Office, communication and law students, among others, have attended these trainings given by staff of the Office of the Special Rapporteur, both in the capitals of the countries and in the most remote regions, where there is often no access to information on the guarantees that can be invoked to protect the right to freedom of thought and expression.

30. Meetings with stakeholders enhance the possibility that more people will use the Inter-American Human Rights System to raise their problems and present their complaints. Likewise, the seminars have expanded the network of contacts of the Office of the Special Rapporteur. Furthermore, the workshops and working meetings have allowed the Office of the Special Rapporteur to work closely with strategic actors to promote the application of international standards in domestic legal systems.

31. The following is a summary of the main seminars and workshops held by the Office of the Special Rapporteur during the year 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date / Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organizers</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>January 7, 2022</td>
<td>Commission workshop on the challenges of the future: &quot;draft law on digital platforms</td>
<td>Senate of Chile</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca participated in a workshop at the invitation of the Future Challenges Commission of the Chilean Senate in a workshop to evaluate improvements and modifications to the &quot;Digital Platforms Bill&quot;. The objective of this law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Organization/Location</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Would be to regulate the situation of digital service platforms in Chile, as well as that of its users.</td>
<td>Training course on &quot;Human rights defenders and journalists&quot;.</td>
<td>Office of the Ombudsman for Human Rights Defenders and Journalists of the Human Rights Ombudsman's Office of Guatemala</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur prepared a video interview as part of the course on human rights defenders and journalists offered to PDH staff. During the segment, topics of interest were addressed such as: Who are the holders of the right to freedom of expression? What are the main challenges for the exercise of freedom of expression in Latin America?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>January 13, 2022</td>
<td>Training course on &quot;Human rights defenders and journalists&quot;.</td>
<td>Office of the Ombudsman for Human Rights Defenders and Journalists of the Human Rights Ombudsman's Office of Guatemala</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was invited to participate as a panelist in the launch of the report on Cuba prepared by Article 19, which aims to show the different types of violence and obstacles faced in Cuba to exercise the right to freedom of expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>January 18, 2022</td>
<td>Presentation of the report on Cuba: Paper Democracy.</td>
<td>Article 19</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was invited to participate as a panelist in the launch of the report on Cuba prepared by Article 19, which aims to show the different types of violence and obstacles faced in Cuba to exercise the right to freedom of expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>February 9, 2022</td>
<td>Workshop IGO 'Light Touch' Taskforce for the Safety of Journalists - Side event and private (closed-doors activity) of the III Global Conference for Media Freedom</td>
<td>UNESCO (workshop); Media Freedom Coalition and Estonian Institute of Human Rights</td>
<td>Participation of Paula Roko, lawyer, and Giovanny Padovam Ferreira, Orlando Sierra Fellow, moderating the two panels of the workshop, where they discussed best practices and challenges in promoting the safety of journalists (panel 1) and in the implementation of national mechanisms for the protection of journalists (panel 2). During the event, Paula Roko and Giovanny Ferreira pointed out the Inter-American standards on the subject, the challenges identified by RELE over the years in various thematic reports and the latest Joint Declaration, which focuses, among others, on the role of the speeches of the authorities to ensure the safety of journalists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>February 22, 2022</td>
<td>Launching of the report &quot;Low risk: How to overcome the shortcomings of journalist protection programs in Latin America and how to protect journalists in Latin America?</td>
<td>Reporters Without Borders</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur participated in the launch of the project entitled Under Risk, a broad investigation led by the organization Reporters Without Borders that analyzes the protection mechanisms for human rights defenders and journalists in Latin America, specifically studying the programs in Brazil, Colombia, Mexico and Honduras. The objective of the activity was to address the issue of violence against journalists and the mechanisms for their protection in the region. The activity was attended by representatives of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Title</td>
<td>Organizer</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Connected citizenship: digital literacy and the role of civil society and academia.&quot;</td>
<td>Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (RELE) together with EducaDigital</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur organized a webinar with civil society organizations and academics as part of the Dialogue of the Americas on Freedom of Expression Online, an initiative led by the Office that addresses the challenges between technology and human rights. This meeting was a space to reflect on the evolution and conceptual differences between digital literacy for the development of civic competencies and other terms such as digital inclusion, digital fluency and data literacy. Issues such as legal and social obstacles in the region were discussed, i.e. the digital divide in all its manifestations, the lack of adequate infrastructure and the training of public education administrators, from a human rights perspective. See more details of the event at the following link [ESP].</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 23, 2022</td>
<td>Academic Freedom in the Americas: Challenges and Opportunities</td>
<td>University of Ottawa Centre for Human Rights Research and Education, University of Monterrey, Scholars at Risk and The Coalition for Academic Freedom in the Americas.</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur was invited to participate as a panelist to discuss the challenges and opportunities related to academic freedom in the Americas region due to the publication of the Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy on December 9, 2021 by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) in conjunction with the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (RELE), and the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Economic, Social, Cultural and Environmental Rights (REDESCA). The purpose of this meeting was to strengthen the protection and guarantee of academic freedom in the region. See more details of the event in the following link [ENG].</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 24, 2022</td>
<td>Fifteenth public lecture &quot;Academic freedom and university autonomy, premises of the rule of law&quot;. Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas de la Universidad Autónoma de México (UNAM), through the Observatory of the Inter-American System of Human Rights</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur was invited to participate as a panelist in a virtual seminar organized by the Universidad Autónoma de México (UNAM) on the Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy, to discuss their relevance in the Mexican context and scope. This space served to present the scope of the right to academic freedom and the duties of the State for its protection and guarantee, as a preamble to a reflection on the relevance of these standards in the Mexican context. See more details of the event in the following [link][ESP].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2022</td>
<td>Twitter space: &quot;For everyone to be able to: accessibility, inclusion and digital literacy&quot;. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (RELE) and the Asociación para los Derechos Civiles (ADC)</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression organized a meeting through Twitter Space as part of the series of events in the framework of the Dialogue of the Americas on Freedom of Expression Online. Understanding that the Internet is an indispensable instrument for the full enjoyment of human rights, in this space the different panelists discussed the positive actions of multiple actors to facilitate the conditions of access, use and exploitation of technologies. This, from a cross-cutting approach that addresses the vulnerabilities and particular requirements of historically discriminated groups and incorporates accessibility criteria that allow all people to access in an autonomous and inclusive manner. See more details of the event in the following [link][ESP].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Organization/Group</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 5, 2022</td>
<td>Presentation of annual report 2021 &quot;Denial&quot;.</td>
<td>Article 19 Mexico</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was invited to participate as a panelist in the presentation of the annual report of the organization Article 19 Mexico &quot;Denial&quot;. The report presented analyzes problems that affect freedom of expression in the country such as practices of ignorance and selective absence of the State that translate into mechanisms of official (mis)information, public stigmatization, discretion in the allocation of official advertising, shortcomings in the transparency system, violence against the press, digital divide, lack of mechanisms to guarantee information for communities and indigenous peoples, restrictions on Internet rights and the lack of truth in cases of serious human rights violations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 25, 2022</td>
<td>Continental Meeting of Community, Feminist and Indigenous Communication: &quot;Freedom of Expression and Defense of Territory&quot;.</td>
<td>Basque Government Basque Agency for Development Cooperation Community Press Guatemala Mayan Association Mugarik Gabe</td>
<td>Journalists from Guatemala, Bolivia, Mexico, El Salvador, Honduras, Colombia, Brazil and Argentina met at this event to discuss the current challenges in the continent to practice journalism from the territories with community, feminist and indigenous approaches. Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was in charge of opening the event where he had the opportunity to present the Commission’s protection mechanisms and the concrete actions taken in specific cases of journalists threatened, persecuted and harassed by criminal actors, political and economic powers or governments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Organizers/Participants</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26, 2022</td>
<td>Hearing of the Permanent Peoples' Tribunal on Mexico.</td>
<td>Free Press Unlimited, the Committee to Protect Journalists and Reporters without Borders</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was invited by Free Press Unlimited, the Committee to Protect Journalists and Reporters Without Borders to participate in the Permanent Peoples' Tribunal hearing on Mexico on April 26-27. The hearing discussed the case of the murder of journalist Miguel Angel Lopez Velasco and analyzed the Mexican government's conduct in the case and the government’s alleged responsibility for the lack of protection for Lopez Velasco and the continued impunity following his murder. During the hearing, the judges and invited experts heard from witnesses about impunity for crimes against journalists in Mexico. Statements were made about specific groups of journalists at risk, about impunity for crimes against journalists, and about the role of the state in preventing and investigating these crimes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 28, 2022</td>
<td>Conference on freedom of expression and the right to regroup in the media in Panama.</td>
<td>National Council of Journalism (CNP) OAS Embassy in Panama</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur, Pedro Vaca, held a discussion with Panamanian authorities and media representatives during his academic visit to Panama. The meeting was organized by the National Council of Journalism (CNP) and the OAS Embassy in Panama and took place as part of the celebration of World Press Freedom Day. The Rapporteur highlighted the work of journalists and the media in their role as disseminators of public debate and stressed that the press is the cornerstone on which any democracy is based and, therefore, must be protected. The event was also attended by Judge Eduardo Valdés Escoffery as special guest, authorities from the three government agencies, the Diplomatic Corps, civil society and journalists from different media outlets in the country. See more details of the event here: <a href="#">LINK</a>, <a href="#">LINK</a>, <a href="#">LINK</a>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 2, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14</th>
<th>10th Anniversary of the UN Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity - Achievements and Challenges in Latin America and the Caribbean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNESCO, the Government of Uruguay and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) at the World Conference on World Press Freedom Day Uruguay 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur was invited as a panelist to discuss the importance of ensuring that journalists and media workers can work freely, independently and safely, without hindrance, threats or violent reprisals. This event was a reminder of how vital the information they provide is to democracy, the promotion and protection of human rights, the fight against corruption, sustainable development and the preservation of international peace and security. In particular, commemorating the tenth anniversary of the UN Plan of Action this year, the panel took stock of governments that have made the safety of journalists a priority, within and beyond their borders; highlighted the contribution of civil society organizations that are committed to advancing media freedom and safety; identified challenges such as the high levels of impunity for crimes against journalists, the rise in the number of journalists in detention and increased harassment against them, online violence, the use of surveillance tools to hinder the safety of journalists, and the increase in attacks against women journalists, and the increase in murders in non-confrontational environments. See more details of the event in the following link [ESP].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2, 2022</td>
<td>Regional Event: Transparency of Internet Platforms - An agenda for Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2, 2022</td>
<td>High-level interventions and ministerial statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>May 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>May 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>May 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>May 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>May 4, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 9, 2022</td>
<td>Digital Siege in the Americas: Human Rights Mechanisms in the Region to Address Digital Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 11, 2022</td>
<td>Forum for the construction of a comprehensive public policy for the protection of human rights defenders and journalists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 May 18, 2022</td>
<td>Varandas #119: Registration and Dialogue: Human Rights and Freedom of Expression Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 May 19, 2022</td>
<td>Disinformation, democracy and the inter-American system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>May 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>May 31 to June 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>June 6, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>June 7, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>June 7, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>June 7, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 8, 2022</td>
<td>On surveillance technologies and the fight against hate and online violence in the framework of RightsCon 2022 and the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of Human Rights of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR/RELE).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 9, 2022</td>
<td>The road to (co)regulation of content governance in Latin America (El Diálogo) and the Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression of the IACHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 21, 2022</td>
<td>Migrants and Freedom of Expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Thematic session &quot;Democracy, Digital Platforms and Freedom of Expression&quot; at the Regional Forum of the Americas Electoral Democracy Summit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 11, 2022</td>
<td>Opportunities and Challenges of Digital Democracy in the Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 29, 2022</td>
<td>Who regulates public debate in social networks? Presentation of the report &quot;Freedom of expression online: challenges, opportunities and trends in Latin America&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2, 2022</td>
<td>Freedom of expression in Venezuela: situation and lines of action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 12, 2022</td>
<td>I International Youth Forum - Commemoration of International Youth Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 17, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Freedom of expression in times of authoritarianism&quot; as part of the Podcast El Diván del Periodismo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 2022</td>
<td>Commemoration of Freedom of Expression Day in Costa Rica and presentation of the new website of the Institute of Press and Freedom of Expression (IPLEX).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 2022</td>
<td>Specialized Course on Human Rights and Freedom of Expression for Central American Journalists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 7 and 8, 2022</td>
<td>10th edition of the CELE Workshop, City of Buenos Aires - Argentina. Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca and Tech4Rights Fellow attended the discussions of the 10th edition of the CELE workshop in Buenos Aires, Argentina. During the workshop, the Office of the Special Rapporteur had the opportunity to present the next steps of the Dialogue of the Americas on Freedom of Expression. Likewise, the Rapporteurship actively participated in the different discussions developed during the event that addressed relevant topics on freedom of expression on the Internet such as regulation, moderation and transparency of Internet platforms, regulation and use of artificial intelligence, among others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 13, 2022</td>
<td>International Seminar for magistrates, prosecutors, operators of the Judicial System, journalists and social communicators on Freedom of Expression. Directorate of Transparency and Access to Public Information (DTAIP), the International Center for Judicial Studies (CIEJ) of the Supreme Court of Justice, the Institute of Environmental Law and Economics (IDEA) and the Roundtable for the Safety of Journalists with the support of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and the Association of Judges of Paraguay (AJP). Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca was invited to lead a seminar for magistrates, prosecutors, judges and journalists of Paraguay. During the presentation, the Rapporteur addressed issues related to freedom of expression, access to public information and the protection of journalists from the Standards and Guarantees in the Inter-American Human Rights System.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 19, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Exiled, not silenced: Journalism under siege.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 21, 2022</td>
<td>Ninth meeting of the Integrated Network of civil society organizations on the human rights situation in Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 26, 2022</td>
<td>Public political violence: gender and human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>October 11, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>October 19, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>October 20, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>October 21, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 22, 2022</td>
<td>Emerging journalism in Latin America’s political and social turmoil at the Gabo 2022 Festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 23, 2022</td>
<td>Women journalists, a challenge for their safety and against discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27, 2022</td>
<td>OAS Diploma Course: Governance, Management and Public Leadership in the Inter-American System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 28, 2022</td>
<td>Panel presentation of the book &quot;Use of force in the context of social protests: practical contributions from a comparative analysis of national regulations&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Memoria y Voz: A Tribute to Journalism in the Americas in the Deadliest Year for the Press.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 3, 2022</td>
<td>Virtual conference &quot;Prevention and justice to end impunity: International Day to End Impunity for Crimes against Journalists - IDEI&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 4, 2022</td>
<td>High-Level International Multilateral Conference to commemorate the 10th anniversary of the United Nations Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 7 &amp; 8, 2022</td>
<td>Dialogues on &quot;Freedom of artistic expression in the Latin American context&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 9, 2022</td>
<td>Colpin 2022 Forum &quot;Freedom of expression: repression and solidarity networks in Latin America&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11, 2022</td>
<td>First Conference on Academic Freedom in the Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 24, 2022</td>
<td>Meeting of OHCHR Civic Space Focal Points in Latin America.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 28 to 30, 2022</td>
<td>Joint Meeting between the UNSR FoAA, Regional Mechanisms and Civil Society: Strengthening global and regional cooperation on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 30</td>
<td>Closed consultation on the state of civic space in the Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>December 5, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>December 5, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2022</td>
<td>&quot;Journalism and democracy in the Americas, standards and challenges&quot; at the V Forum of the Inter-American Human Rights System.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8 and 9, 2022</td>
<td>International Forum for Democratic Studies &quot;Countering Authoritarian Influence in International Institutions: How to Revive and Advance Democratic Standards&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2022</td>
<td>Hearing of the PEGA Committee of the European Parliament.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During his presentation, Rapporteur Vaca referred to the various cases of surveillance against journalists and human rights defenders through software such as Pegasus and insisted on the importance of States intensifying their efforts to fully clarify the facts reported and effectively punish those responsible. The SR also noted with concern that progress in judicial investigations into the use of Pegasus in the region has been scarce and, in some cases, non-existent. Stating that, since the first Pegasus findings in 2017, civil society representatives have expressed concern about the lack of impartial and autonomous investigations. Based on the public hearings held by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, as well as closed-door meetings held with various actors, the Rapporteurship remains concerned about the lack of significant progress in the pursuit of justice, as well as the opacity that prevails with respect to the processes of contracting equipment and systems for the intervention of communications, data collection and processing.

5) Official and working visits

32. The following is a summary of the official and working visits carried out by the Office of the Special Rapporteur during 2022:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Date/ Place</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>May 16 to 20</td>
<td>Official Visit of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression to Peru</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) conducted an official visit to Peru to assess the situation of freedom of expression in the country. The Rapporteurship visited the country between May 16 and 20, traveling to Lima and Ayacucho. During the visit, Special Rapporteur Pedro Vaca and his technical team met with high-level State officials, including President Pedro Castillo, the Foreign Minister, the Minister of Justice and Human Rights, the Vice Ministers of the Interior, Transportation, Communications and the Attorney General. The delegation also met with representatives of various entities, including the Secretariat of Management and Social Dialogue, the Tribunal of Transparency and Access to Information, the Directorate of Democratic Security, the board of the National Institute of Radio and Television of Peru (IRTP), the President of Congress and other members of the legislature, the Acting Ombudsman and the President of the National Jury of Elections. The Rapporteurship also received valuable information from journalists, media executives, representatives of journalistic unions, representatives of civil society organizations and academia, victims and relatives of victims of violations of freedom of expression. In Ayacucho, the Rapporteurship met with local journalists and representatives of journalistic associations from the interior of Peru, family members, witnesses and victims of violence, and visited the Museum of Memory &quot;So that it does not happen again&quot;. Finally, meetings were also held with officials of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), as well as with representatives of the embassies of various member states of the Media Freedom Coalition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>September 12 and 13</td>
<td>Academic visit of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the IACHR to Paraguay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression accompanied the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) on a preparatory visit to Peru in the context of the institutional crisis and the social protests that have been taking place in the country since the first days of December 2022.

6) Annual report and production of expert knowledge

33. One of the main tasks of the Office of the Special Rapporteur is to prepare a report on the state of freedom of expression in the hemisphere. Each year, this report analyzes the situation of this right in the region, including the main threats to its exercise and the progress that has been made in this area.


35. In 2022, in the framework of the 184th and 185th Period of Regular Sessions, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights approved, respectively, the Documents Disinformation and Pandemics, and the Document and Index on Access to Information in Pandemics. The first one analyzes the phenomenon of disinformation in the more general framework of the restrictions to fundamental rights adopted in the context of the pandemic by most of the States of the region. In this regard, the first part of the document recalls the strict legal standards required by the Inter-American system for restrictions on fundamental rights to be legitimate, even in emergency situations. The report assumes a legal approach to disinformation, adopted by the Office of the Special Rapporteur in 2019 in its document on disinformation in the electoral context; subsequently, these general principles are projected to the current situation of pandemics and states of emergency. Positive, non-restrictive approaches to rights are addressed, such as state obligations to guarantee access to public information, which in this context acquires certain characteristics and particularities, and the obligation to promote “digital literacy” measures. On the other hand, the document and Access Index proposes an index of criteria for transparency and access to public information, which expands the existing guidelines for risk communication in public health emergencies. The document arises from the challenges faced by national transparency and access to information agencies in responding to requests for information, publishing unofficial information, preparing and providing health and scientific information. In addition, the Office monitored an increase in the risks of misuse of public funds supported by states of emergency that allowed states to execute funds without accountability. The process of preparing the document included an expert meeting with representatives of National Access to Information Bodies, who offered their experience during the pandemic, and with CSOs and journalists, who also offered their comments on requests for information, and on possible irregularities in public spending.

36. Likewise, in the framework of attention to the pandemic, the Office of the Special Rapporteur provided expert advice to the IACHR for the elaboration of Resolution 1/20 - Pandemic and Human Rights and Resolution 4/20 - Human Rights of Persons with IDVID-19 in the sections related to the right of Access to Information, including the prohibition of censorship, and the guarantee of access to the Internet; the special care to be taken in statements by public officials; the fight against disinformation; the role of journalism; the right to privacy and protection of personal data; and safeguards to surveillance activities.

37. In October 2022, the IACHR Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression published the thematic report: Women Journalists and Newsrooms: Progress, Challenges and Recommendations to Prevent Violence and Fight against Discrimination, as well as the documents Disinformation and Pandemic and Index on Access to Information in Pandemic are an integral part of this Annual Report 2022 of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, and can be consulted through the website of the Office of the Special Rapporteur, in the section of thematic reports: https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/reports/thematic.asp.
Prevent Violence and Combat Discrimination, which analyzes some of the main advances and challenges that persist in relation to patterns of discrimination and forms of gender-based violence against women journalists and media workers within their newsrooms and in the exercise of their profession. Recognizing the decisive role that newsrooms play in eradicating and addressing these facts, the report presents a set of guidelines and recommendations that have been or should be implemented by media companies to promote environments that are increasingly safe and free from any form of discrimination and violence.

7) Special Statements

38. Through daily monitoring of the state of freedom of expression in the region, which is carried out through a broad network of contacts and sources, the Office of the Special Rapporteur issues statements such as press releases, reports and opinions on specific cases or situations that are relevant to the exercise of this fundamental freedom. The Special Rapporteurship’s press releases are widely disseminated and constitute one of its most important working mechanisms.

39. The Office of the Special Rapporteur receives a large number of e-mails, most of which refer to alerts, press releases, requests for information and queries on freedom of expression in the region; a small group of e-mails also refers to formal requests to the IACHR’s individual case system; and another group refers to issues that do not fall within its competence. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reviews, purges and classifies the information received in order to determine the course of action to be taken.

40. Moreover, since its creation, the Special Rapporteurship has participated in the elaboration of declarations with the other UN and regional rapporteurships for freedom of expression. Joint statements are usually signed by the rapporteurs of the UN, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), the OAS and the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights. When regional issues are involved, statements are signed by regional experts and the UN and OAS rapporteurs.

41. Joint statements are a fundamental working tool for the Office of the Special Rapporteur. In previous years, these statements have dealt with various topics related to freedom of expression depending on the context and time.

42. On January 28, 2022, in response to the third murder of a journalist in Mexico in less than a month (November), the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), its Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression (RELE) and the Office in Mexico of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (UN-HCHR) issued a joint press release condemning the murder of journalist Lourdes Maldonado López.

43. On January 30, 2022, the IACHR, its Office of the Special Rapporteur and the OHCHR Regional Office issued a joint press release in which they expressed their concern about new evidence of the use of the Pegasus malware for the illegal surveillance of journalists and civil society organizations that investigate and report on matters of public interest in El Salvador. In the statement these institutions urge the State of El Salvador to clarify what happened, punish those found guilty in relation to these events and provide guarantees of non-repetition, modifying the rules of electronic surveillance and ensuring compliance with the country’s obligations under international human rights law.

44. On May 3, 2022, the UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Opinion and Expression, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) Representative on Freedom of the Media, the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights (ACHPR) Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression and Access to Information, and the Organization of American States (OAS) Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression, launched their Joint Declaration 2022 on Freedom of Expression and Gender Justice. The Declaration sets out important recommendations for States, technology companies, the media and other stakeholders. In their Joint

---

10 The report “Women Journalists and Newsrooms: Progress, Challenges and Recommendations to Prevent Violence and Fight against Discrimination”, as well as the documents “Disinformation and Pandemic” and “Index on Access to Information in the Pandemic” are an integral part of this Annual Report 2022 of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, and can be consulted through the website of the Office of the Special Rapporteur, in the section of thematic reports: https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/reports/thematic.asp.

Statement, the Special Rapporteurs offer key recommendations on how the protection of the right to freedom of expression and gender equality should be jointly promoted, taking into account the essential role these rights play in ending inequality and other forms of gender discrimination around the world. The Joint Declaration provides guidance on how to address gender inequalities in relation to freedom of expression by taking into account biases rooted in existing narratives and patriarchal conventions that have created structural and systemic barriers that actively exclude women and other marginalized communities from expressing themselves. Finally, the statement offers a series of recommendations to all key stakeholders - states, private sector, media and civil society - on how to promote gender equity and justice both online and offline to ensure the full and effective exercise of the right to freedom of expression. The Joint Declaration hopes to establish itself as an intersectional tool to encourage all stakeholders to address the issue of gender inequality.

45. On August 30, 2022, Special Rapporteurs Irene Khan (UN) and Pedro Vaca (OAS) issued a Joint Declaration in which they express their concern about the worrying limitations to the exercise of freedom of expression in Venezuela, marked by the harassment and persecution of dissenting voices, in particular journalists, media workers and independent media, as well as social leaders and human rights defenders. Of particular concern, according to the Rapporteurs, is the use of criminal law against the media, including the crimes of treason or terrorism, and the repeated application of the 2017 Anti-Hate Law.

46. On World Democracy Day, September 15, 2022, the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association, together with the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, expressed concern about the increasing use of crisis situations and the declaration of states of emergency to suppress peaceful assemblies around the world. The Joint Declaration reaffirms the essential role of peaceful assembly in promoting peace, security and development, and condemns attempts by some governments to suppress peaceful assembly during emergency situations, including through the imposition of blanket bans or disproportionate restrictions on peaceful assembly. The declaration includes American, African and UN standards and sets out principles to ensure political pluralism and the independence of civil society and the media. The declaration recommends that states respect, protect and facilitate assemblies in emergency situations, and ensure accountability and redress for human rights violations in the context of assemblies, as well as dialogue and public participation. The Joint Declaration was signed by Clément Voule, UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of assembly and association, Pedro Vaca, Special Rapporteur on freedom of expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, and Remy Ngoy Lumbu, Special Rapporteur on human rights defenders and focal point for reprisals in Africa and Chairperson of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights.

47. On September 28, 2022 and in the face of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, the United Nations (UN) Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and Association and the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), issued a Joint Declaration urging the State authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms in Nicaragua. In light of the grave situation observed, the Rapporteurs expressed their strong rejection of these actions and reminded the State of its obligation to immediately cease judicial persecution of all dissenting voices, release those imprisoned for political reasons, and ensure prompt, impartial and thorough investigations into allegations of human rights violations. Refrain from arbitrary and selective use of the law and abusive government practices to hinder or restrict citizen participation and freedom of expression. The rapporteurs also made an urgent appeal to the State to guarantee the life and integrity of persons deprived of liberty and to provide protection and humanitarian assistance to the various actors of Nicaraguan civil society who are forced into exile as a result of the social, political and human rights crisis. Finally, within the framework of their mandates and functions, the Rapporteurs emphasized their commitment to continue promoting and defending the reestablishment of the rights to freedom of assembly, association and expression of Nicaraguan society and expressed their willingness to visit the country and offer technical advice.

48. During 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur issued several press releases to draw attention to facts related to freedom of thought and expression. These pronouncements highlight facts of particular concern
and local best practices and explain the respective regional standards. The press releases issued during 2022 can be consulted on the website of the IACHR Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression\textsuperscript{12}.

**Press Releases 2022:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Place / Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R9/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murder of journalists John Wesley Amady and Wilguens Louissaint in Haiti and urges the State to diligently investigate those responsible.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., January 10, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>State regulations</td>
<td>R12/22</td>
<td>RELE is concerned about the conviction for defamation for the publication of the journalistic book &quot;Plata como cancha&quot; in Peru, and warns about its potential intimidating effect.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., January 11, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists</td>
<td>R16/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murders of journalists José Luis Gamboa Arenas and Alfonso Margarito Martínez Esquivel in Mexico.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., January 21, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists</td>
<td>R19/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur is concerned about stigmatizing statements, media closures and judicial proceedings against journalists in Venezuela.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., January 28, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Call for the second edition of its &quot;TECH4RIGHTS&quot; scholarship.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., February 18, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists</td>
<td>R43/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murder of journalist Givanildo Oliveira in Brazil, and calls on the State to investigate the facts in relation to his journalistic activity.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., March 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists in Protests</td>
<td>R44/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the use of firearms against a protest in Haiti that resulted in the death of a journalist and several people injured.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., March 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) has opened the call for applications for the ninth edition of its &quot;Orlando Sierra&quot; 2022/2023 scholarship.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., March 4, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists</td>
<td>R62/22</td>
<td>The Rapporteurship warns about the normalization and worsening of violence against journalists in Mexico and urges the authorities to activate complementary measures.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., March 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{12}Available at: [https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/artListCat.asp?year=&countryID=&lID=1&catID=1](https://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/artListCat.asp?year=&countryID=&lID=1&catID=1)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Violence against Journalists</td>
<td>R73/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murder of journalist Orlando Villanueva in Guatemala and calls on the State to investigate the facts and determine their link to the practice of journalism.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., April 8, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>LEX Criminalization / Legislative Reforms</td>
<td>R80/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur warns of the risk of criminalization of legitimate exercises of freedom of expression in El Salvador as a result of legislative reforms.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., April 13, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional / International</td>
<td>Joint declarations</td>
<td>R95/22</td>
<td>Joint Declaration on freedom of expression and gender justice of the thematic special rapporteurships of regional organizations in the Americas, Africa and Europe.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., May 9, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>R96/22</td>
<td>The IACHR and its RELE presented the creation of the Core Group of Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism of the OAS.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., May 9, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Visit</td>
<td>R100/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression announces official visit to Peru.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., May 10, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R102/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the persistence of murders of journalists in Mexico.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., May 11, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R106/22</td>
<td>The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murder of journalist Francisca Sandoval during a protest and calls on the State of Chile to investigate the facts.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., May 17, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Visit</td>
<td>R124/22</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression of the IACHR concludes its visit to Peru and presents its preliminary observations and conclusions on freedom of expression in the country.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., June 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Announcement s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>The IACHR and RELE publish a consultation questionnaire for the preparation of the thematic report on the closure of civic space in the Americas.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., June 9, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R138/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murders of the beneficiaries of precautionary measures, journalist Dom Phillips and indigenous peoples expert Bruno Araújo Pereira in Brazil.</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., June 17, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Statement</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R157/22</td>
<td>Mexican authorities must stop violence against journalists and guarantee their right to practice their profession freely and safely.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., July 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R156/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murder of journalist Ricardo Ávila in Honduras.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., July 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R163/22</td>
<td>Nicaragua must cease persecution of the independent press and be held accountable for its actions.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., July 15, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Censorship</td>
<td>R169/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the increase of censorship in Venezuela and reaffirms its support and commitment to the full freedom of the press in the country.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., July 29, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R175/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murder of journalist Mike Cabrera in Ecuador and calls on the State to take measures for the prevention and protection of the press.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., August 3, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R180/22</td>
<td>The Rapporteurship condemns the murder of journalist Ernesto Méndez in Mexico and notes that 2022 marks the highest number of crimes against the press in the country in the last 24 years.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., August 16, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R186/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murder of journalist Gerardo Delgado Olmedo in Ecuador and urges the authorities to redouble their efforts to contain violence against journalists.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., August 24, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Elections and Freedom of Expression</td>
<td>R192/22</td>
<td>Authorities and candidates for public office in Brazil are called upon to protect public debate and freedom of expression.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., August 30, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Judicial harassment / attacks on journalists</td>
<td>R196/22</td>
<td>The State of Guatemala must fully and effectively guarantee the right to freedom of expression and freedom of the press.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R199/22</td>
<td>The State of Colombia must investigate the murder of journalists Leiner Enrique Montero and Dilia Contreras and punish those responsible.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 7, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Joint declarations</td>
<td>R202/22</td>
<td>UN and regional experts call on States to protect peaceful assemblies during emergencies.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 15, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R211/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murder of journalist Humberto Coronel in Paraguay and calls on the State to strengthen prevention and protection measures for the press.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 22, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R213/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the murder of journalists Frantzsen Charles and Tayson Lartigue in Haiti and calls on the State to provide security guarantees to the press.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 23, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Access to information</td>
<td>R217/22</td>
<td>On the International Day of the Universal Right of Access to Information, RELE reiterates the importance of the autonomy of the guarantor bodies.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 28, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Joint declarations</td>
<td>R218/22</td>
<td>Faced with serious allegations of the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR rapporteurs urge the authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., September 28, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Projects</td>
<td>R225/22</td>
<td>RELE - UNESCO project to strengthen freedom of expression and the safety of journalists in the Americas.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., October 5, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Academic freedom</td>
<td>R235/22</td>
<td>RELE calls on the U.S. authorities to create the conditions for a broad, plural and robust deliberation in school and academic spaces.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., October 20, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R237/22</td>
<td>The Rapporteurship urges the State of Colombia to investigate and punish those responsible for the murder of journalist Rafael Emiro Moreno Garavito, as well as to examine the mechanism for the protection of journalists.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., October 20, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R240/22</td>
<td>RELE condemns the kidnapping and murder of journalist Edwin Josué Andino Canaca and calls on the State of Honduras to provide security guarantees to the press.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., October 27, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Violence against journalists</td>
<td>R245/22</td>
<td>RELE has recorded the highest number of murders of journalists in the Americas since 1998, and calls on the States of the region to promote comprehensive policies for prevention, protection and justice.</td>
<td>Washington D.C., November 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Haiti**

| Violence against journalists | R253/22 | RELE condemns the murder of journalists Romelo Vilsaint, Garry Tess and Fritz Dorilas, and the attack against journalist Roberson Alphonse in Haiti, and calls on the State to clarify the facts. | Washington D.C., November 10, 2022 |

**Regional**

| Commemorations | R264/22 | On the International Day for the Elimination of Violence against Women, RELE calls on the States of the region to promote, protect and guarantee the right to freedom of expression of women. | Washington D.C., November 25, 2022 |

**Colombia**

| Violence against journalists | R268/22 | The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the murder of journalist Wilder Alfredo Córdoba and urges the State of Colombia to redouble its efforts to prevent violence against the press. | Washington D.C., December 2, 2022 |

**Regional**

| Advertisement | N/A | RELE and UNESCO invite you to participate in the consultative phase of a project that seeks to identify recurring challenges and best practices for the participation of the different stakeholders of the Americas in the United Nations Universal Periodic Review (UPR). | Washington D.C., December 20, 2022 |

**C. Financing**

49. The Office of the Special Rapporteur would especially like to thank the OAS member states, observer countries and international cooperation agencies for their contributions. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights the projects successfully implemented thanks to contributions from the States of Costa Rica, the United States of America, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, as well as the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency, the Swiss Confederation, the Open Society Foundations, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). This funding has allowed the Office of the Special Rapporteur to fulfill its mandate and continue its work of promoting and defending the right to freedom of expression. Once the official OAS figures on the resources received and executed by this office have been issued, they will be published in the audited financial statements available on the organization’s website.

---

D. Workforce

The Special Rapporteur's Office has operated under the coordination of the Special Rapporteur, with a team of two or three lawyers with expertise in freedom of expression issues and one person who assists with projects and administrative tasks. Since July 2009, the Rapporteurship has had a person in charge of project management and resource mobilization. The additional resources obtained have served to provide greater stability and better working conditions for the members of this team. The Special Rapporteurship has also benefited from the presence of interns who have been a fundamental part of the work team. At different times during 2022, the following interns contributed very constructively to the Special Rapporteurship with their work and enthusiasm: Agustín Perez Aledda (Argentina), Carla Bonella (Canada), Daniel Corredor (Colombia), Laura Piperno ( Uruguay), Olivia Arana (Bolivia) and Renzo Diaz (Peru). The Special Rapporteurship has also been supported during 2022 by fellows Alvaro Montenegro Muralles (Guatemala) (Orlando Sierra Fellowship, 2022), Juliana Fonteles (Brazil) (Tech4Rights Fellowship 2022) and Ricardo Rosales (Venezuela) (Tech4Rights Fellowship 2022).

CHAPTER II: SITUATION OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN THE HERMISPHERE

1. This chapter describes some of the most important aspects of the situation of freedom of expression in the hemisphere during 2022. Its objective is to foster a constructive dialogue with the Member States of the OAS, calling attention to the reported advances as well as the problems and challenges that have required action during this year. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has confidence in the will of the OAS Member States to promote resolutely the right to freedom of expression and, to that end, publicizes their best practices, reports some serious problems observed, and offers viable and practical recommendations rooted in the Declaration of Principles.

2. As in previous annual reports, this chapter notes those aspects of the right to freedom of expression that most merit attention and that have been reported to the Office of the Special Rapporteur during the year. Following the methodology of previous annual reports, this chapter is based on information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur from various States, intergovernmental and non-governmental sources. The Office of the Special Rapporteur takes particular interest in the information provided by States, presented during the hearings held by the IACHR, submitted by non-governmental organizations in the region and contained in alerts sent by media outlets and media workers. In all cases, the information is contrasted and verified. The Office presents only that information which it thinks will help the States identify worrisome problems or tendencies that could eventually cause irreparable effects if not addressed.

3. The information in this report is presented in an orderly and systematic manner that takes note of the advances, setbacks, and challenges regarding various aspects of the exercise of the right to freedom of expression. Since 2021, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has organized its monitoring and systematization of information into four thematic sections, in order to provide a holistic analysis of the situation of freedom of expression, which also has unified criteria in all the countries observed. The four thematic sections correspond to: (i) Journalism and Democracy, which addresses information related to different forms of violence against the press; the use of criminal mechanisms and imprisonment of journalists who report on matters of public interest; cases of censorship of journalistic material; undue state control and closure of media outlets; stigmatizing statements against journalists and media; protection mechanisms for journalists at risk; confidentiality of sources; surveillance of communications, cyber-patrolling and profiling of journalists; attacks on community radio and media; indirect restrictions on freedom of expression through official advertising; and media concentration; (ii) Freedom of Expression, Rule of Law and Democratic Institutions, which aims to address issues related to social protest; guarantees of freedom of expression in electoral contexts; access to public information; and stigmatizing statements against public leaders; (iii) Freedom of Expression and the Fight against Discrimination and Exclusion, which will examine the obstacles faced by different groups in situations of vulnerability in exercising their freedom of expression and for their realities to be included in the public debate; and (iv) Freedom of Expression and the Internet, which will discuss legislative initiatives and/or public policies aimed at regulating the operation of the Internet that have an impact on freedom of expression, as well as issues related to state or private measures on moderation of Internet content; blocking or deliberate interference with Internet access; governance of the digital space, universal access and digital literacy.

4. The cases selected in each topic serve as examples in relation to the respect and exercise of freedom of expression. Sources are cited in all cases. In the majority of cases, the Office of the Special Rapporteur identifies the direct source, citing the address of the corresponding website. When the information is not published directly by the source, the report cites the date the Office of the Special Rapporteur received the information in its electronic mailbox. This report does not include information submitted to the Office of the Special Rapporteur through requests for precautionary measures, or other information which has not yet been made public.

5. In preparing this chapter of its 2022 Annual Report, the Office of the Special Rapporteur generally took into account information received until December 31, 2022, and, to the extent relevant, considered information received before 2022. Information regarding cases that occurred after the closing date of the 2022 Annual Report can be consulted in the press release section of the websites of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/index.asp) and the IACHR (http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/expression/index.asp).
6. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur acknowledges the collaboration of the OAS Member States and the civil society organizations that, following existing practice, contributed information about the situation of the exercise of freedom of expression in the hemisphere. As it does every year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur encourages the continuation of such practice, which are indispensable to the value of future reports.
7. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports regarding the tension between the press and the government. This tension was reportedly accentuated by the alleged salary debts to employees of public media outlets, which reportedly resulted in protests and legal disputes. This Office also followed up on the progress of criminal proceedings against demonstrators who protested the mandatory vaccination in the country in the second semester of 2021. On the other hand, the Office of the Rapporteur welcomes information related to the development of technical skills through workshops, seminars, and training for journalists in Antigua and Barbuda. Likewise, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur followed up on judicial decisions that represent progress in terms of freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion, such as decriminalization in laws that criminalize the consensual sexual activity of LGBTI persons. This Office also salutes the celebrations surrounding Emancipation Day, commemorating 188 years since the abolition of slavery. In terms of connectivity and Internet access, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed that, despite the high variation in the region, the cost of mobile telephony in Antigua and Barbuda is reportedly among the most affordable in the Caribbean.

A. Journalism and Democracy

8. On March 5, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information about an alleged confrontation between the Prime Minister’s administration and the radio station Observer Radio. According to the information available, the station’s director, Algernon “Serpent” Watts, allegedly accused the Chief of Staff of spreading false information, and allegedly suggested that the official should not return to the radio station. Subsequently, the director of Observer Radio reportedly clarified that his presence in the station would not be prohibited. The public official had a radio space, every Monday, in prime time, to report on the work of the government.

9. According to public information, on March 9, 2022, the Prime Minister's administration took action against Observer Radio, arguing that the aforementioned acts by the director of the radio station constituted acts of censorship against the entire government party. Cabinet members reportedly voted to refuse any appearances on Observer Radio and to avoid Observer Radio reporters. The Prime Minister reportedly accused Algernon Watts of having “declared war on the ABLP [Antigua and Barbuda Labor Party] government” and pointed out that Observer Radio intends to “undermine freedom of expression and democracy.” Other cabinet members reportedly urged the communicator to refute the cabinet chief’s assertions instead of removing his weekly radio intervention.

10. On March 12, Gemma Handy, Managing Editor of the Observer Media Group, parent company of Observer Radio, reportedly asked the chief of staff directly not to extend the government boycott to all reporters associated with the outlet. According to public information, Handy affirmed that reporters would be affected by the lack of access to official sources, which would also have a negative impact on citizens. Likewise, she said she did not agree "with censorship in principle" for which she rejected the statements of Algernon Watts and the current administration. In her petition, the managing editor assured that the Observer reporters are "decent, honorable and hard-working young people", who cover the news in a "fair and balanced" manner, so they should not be affected by a "political dispute".

11. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, in accordance with principle 5 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, "[p]rior censorship, direct or indirect interference in or pressure exerted upon any expression, opinion or information transmitted through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual, or electronic communication must be prohibited by law. Restrictions to the free circulation of

---

ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the imposition of obstacles to the free flow of information violate the right to freedom of expression”\textsuperscript{18}.

12. On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a workshop for journalists on news coverage on climate change and the responsibility of journalists and media in this context, held between March and April 2022 by the Media Institute of the Caribbean (MIC).\textsuperscript{19} According to the information received by this Office, the workshop had the participation of at least 40 journalists from 26 countries. The panelists and participants reportedly addressed the concept of "solutions journalism" so that reporters can, for example, make visible actions in favor of reducing the carbon footprint. Likewise, the meeting addressed challenges around denial expressions on climate change, as well as strategies to identify "greenwashing policies" activities and projects that do not contribute to the climate emergency.

13. Likewise, this Office received information about a workshop for journalists organized by High Media Group, on April 4, 2022. The workshop was designed to contribute to the training of a group of journalists in reporting techniques, writing articles, photography, videography, editing and production, as well as social media management. The workshop reportedly had the participation and moderation of the journalist and broadcaster Orin Gordon, who was part of the \textit{BBC} in the Caribbean\textsuperscript{20}.

14. The Office of the Special Rapporteur salutes the efforts of Caribbean media institutions and associations and journalists to facilitate workshops that seek to contribute to the quality of journalism in the region. This Office considers that seminars, workshops, and training constitute a fundamental tool to create capacities and promote standards on the right to freedom of the press; and encourages the States to generate the necessary conditions so that these training instances can develop and be accessible to all journalists.

15. On March 30, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports alleging that \textit{Antigua & Barbuda Broadcasting Services} (ABS), a public media outlet, owed overtime pay to several of its employees\textsuperscript{21}. That day, ABS workers reportedly went on strike to protest the outstanding payments since 2014. According to public information, ABS management refused to accept responsibility, which led to legal action before the Industrial Court by the workers.\textsuperscript{22} According to the information available, the court’s panel of judges were requested to recuse themselves for an alleged lack of impartiality and competence in the case. The Minister of Information reportedly assured that ABS employees and management would be seeking a resolution to the ongoing dispute, which would also include determining overtime and holiday payments owed to workers\textsuperscript{23}.

16. In view of the foregoing, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the rights to freedom of expression, assembly and association, in relation to freedom of association, collective bargaining, and strike, constitute fundamental rights for workers and their representatives to organize and express specific demands about their working conditions, and participate in issues of public interest with a collective voice\textsuperscript{24}. Likewise, the Inter-American Human Rights System has established that States have the duty to respect and guarantee these rights, which make it possible to level the unequal relationship that exists between workers and employers and access to fair wages and safe working conditions\textsuperscript{25}.

\textsuperscript{20} Antigua Breaking News. April 1, 2022. \textit{Journalists and commentators to benefit from 3-day workshop}.
\textsuperscript{23} Antigua Observer Newspaper. April 5, 2022. \textit{ABS workers report another setback in payment resolution}.
\textsuperscript{24} I/A Court HR. Rights to freedom to organize, collective bargaining, and strike, and their relation to other rights, with a gender perspective. \textit{Advisory Opinion OC-27/21 of May 5, 2021. Series A No. 27. Para 141}.
\textsuperscript{25} I/A Court HR. Rights to freedom to organize, collective bargaining, and strike, and their relation to other rights, with a gender perspective. \textit{Advisory Opinion OC-27/21 of May 5, 2021. Series A No. 27. Para 141}.
B. Freedom of expression, rule of law and democratic institutions

17. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to observe legal processes related to protests that occurred in August 2021. These protests, mostly led by the group called Freedom Fighters of Antigua & Barbuda (FFAB), demanded the elimination of measures against COVID-19, including mandatory vaccination for certain sectors of society. According to public information, the police used tear gas and rubber bullets during these demonstrations to disperse the demonstrators, who did not have prior authorization to congregate. Due to the foregoing, several people were reportedly arrested and charged with violations of the Public Order Act. One year after these events, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the current government had defended its actions to dissolve demonstrations without official approval. According to available information, the protesters had requested permission from the Police to carry out the protest. Faced with claims from the opposition, which argued that the police response to the protests had not taken into account the presence of minors and the elderly, Cabinet members reportedly asserted that the Police acted responsibly and that an investigation into the matter was not necessary.

18. In this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also received various reports on the progress in the trial against Donette Simon of Tindale Road and Shenel Williams of Villa, two women arrested for their participation in the August 2021 protests. The two women would be accused of “organizing a prohibited public meeting” and participating in said event. In addition, Williams would also face charges related to incitement and encouraging unlawful behavior, which constitute criminal offenses under the Public Order Act. In 2022, she would have started the trial. According to what SRFOE was able to learn, in March, the two women would have declared their innocence; and between August and October 2022, different witnesses would have testified the same.

19. Additionally, on April 27, this Office was informed about a series of demonstrations by personnel employed by the Central Board of Health (CBH) that occurred on April 27. According to the information received, there were at least three protests in which dozens of workers demanded that they be paid overtime and delayed wages. The workers argued that the cost of living has risen significantly, so they urgently need the money due. For their part, the authorities reportedly ensured that the pending payments had already been authorized by the Treasury.

20. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that protests are a manifestation of the right to freedom of expression, so the authorities must facilitate its exercise and must not consider them a threat to public order or internal security. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that States must protect the legitimate exercise of social protest and prevent the use of disproportionate restrictions that can be used to inhibit or restrict critical or dissident expressions. In accordance with international human rights standards, protesters have the freedom to choose the modality, form, place, and message to carry out the peaceful protest. The IACHR’s report on protest and human rights indicates that the State must avoid the use of generalizing and prohibitive approaches to the various forms of protest in the exercise of the right to protest, inasmuch as some of them are modalities of protest that channel the social listening of some voices that would otherwise hardly enter the public agenda. In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that any measure that may affect the right to social protest must comply with the requirements of legality, necessity, and proportionality.
Likewise, the protection of the right to freedom of expression requires that the authorities ensure the necessary conditions so that journalists can cover events of notorious public interest such as those related to social protests. 44

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

21. On July 5, 2022, the High Court of Justice of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court held that the crimes known as buggery and serious indecency are unconstitutional in the extent to which they violate the rights of LGBTI persons with the legal capacity to consent to sexual activity. 35 In its ruling, the Superior Court of Justice found that the law in question violated rights to freedom of expression, protection of personal privacy, and protection against discrimination based on sexual orientation. In this sense, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) welcomed the Court’s decision as it "analyzed international jurisprudence, with a human rights approach, and inter-American standards that protect the rights of LGBTI persons." 36

22. According to the information available, the aforementioned decision of the High Court of Justice of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court would be the result of efforts by civil society to challenge anti-LGBTI legislation in jurisdictions of the Eastern Caribbean. These efforts, as this Office learned, were spearheaded by the Eastern Caribbean Alliance for Diversity and Equality - ECADE. 37

23. The Office of the Special Rapporteur, like the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), welcomes the decriminalization in laws that criminalize the consensual sexual activity of LGBTI persons. Likewise, this Office celebrates the work of civil society and human rights activists in the Caribbean who contributed to this judicial decision. As the IACHR Merits Report No. 401/20 pointed out, the criminalization of consensual relationships violates the principle of equality, non-discrimination, and the right to privacy. 38 Additionally, the Commission pointed out that these types of laws promote an environment of discrimination, stigmatization, violence, and social control over LGBTI persons, since they have been used to justify arbitrary arrests, detentions, and even torture. 39

24. On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomed the information on the commemorative celebrations of Emancipation Day, held between July 29 and August 12, 2022. 40 This Office salutes the efforts behind all public gatherings and cultural activities that commemorated 188 years since the end of slavery.

25. In September, as part of the new school year, this Office registered with concern the case of a five-year-old student in Antigua who was reportedly denied access to school for having dreadlocks (locs). According to public information, the school institution had a policy that prohibited this type of hairstyle, for which reason the school’s rector informed the student’s mother that, unless she cut her daughter’s hair, she could not return to the institution. 41 Although the family does not identify as "Rastafarian", the government of Antigua and Barbuda reportedly expressed a "strong condemnation" of schools that discriminate against this minority group. In a statement, the government explained that the country’s constitution protects "freedom of religion, freedom of association, and the freedom to have a system that differs from the majority." 42 The statement also

34 OAS, UN. Joint declaration on violence against journalists and communicators in the context of social demonstrations. 2013.
said that schools in the country cannot discriminate against members of the Rastafarian community or pupils who choose to wear their hair in the same way as Rastafarians\textsuperscript{43}.

26. Regarding this incident, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, as indicated by the Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom, academic freedom must be promoted, protected, and guaranteed equal opportunities without discrimination for any reason, whether it be based on political opinions, ethnic-racial origin, nationality, age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, language, or of any other nature\textsuperscript{44}.

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

27. According to the conclusions of a study carried out by a telecommunications company that analyzes the cost of mobile telephony in the Caribbean, Antigua and Barbuda is the country with the third most affordable data plan after Haiti and Trinidad and Tobago.\textsuperscript{45} The study included the participation of 31 Caribbean countries. However, according to public information, the cost of mobile telephony in the Caribbean continued to be in the range of the relatively most expensive worldwide, although with large variations within the region\textsuperscript{46}.

28. This Office reiterates that it is essential that States adopt progressive measures aimed at guaranteeing universal access to the Internet, in order to reduce the digital divide. For this, the States are called upon to promote infrastructure development policies, protecting the quality and integrity of the service at all times, and establishing explicit prohibitions regarding arbitrary, partial, or total blockages and slowdowns\textsuperscript{47}. Likewise, as the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have already stated, it is important to ensure that the costs of the service are inclusive, so as not to hinder access; that connectivity be extended to the entire territory, to effectively promote access for rural users and marginal communities; that communities have access to community information and communication technology centers and other public access options; and that training and education efforts be reinforced, especially in poor, rural sectors and among the elderly population\textsuperscript{48}.

\textsuperscript{43}ABS. September 7, 2022. Government crafts draft policy on student’s hair and grooming; condemns discrimination.

\textsuperscript{44}IACHR. Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy. 2021. Principle No. 3.


ARGENTINA

29. During 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has received reports of threats, violent acts and intimidation against journalists, particularly against journalists covering drug trafficking issues in the city of Rosario, Santa Fe province. The Rapporteurship also observed the increase of judicial actions against journalists and media outlets. Likewise, this Office received reports on alleged disproportionate use of public force in the context of social protests; and reports from various actors on a deterioration of public debate, particularly an increase in hate speech. This Office also highlights the decision of the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation in the case of Natalia Denegri, in which a request for de-indexation of content based on the “right to be forgotten” was analyzed, finding that there were no constitutional or legal grounds to support such a claim; and that any sanction or limitation to freedom of expression, widely guaranteed in the National Constitution, must be of restrictive interpretation. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Argentina to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

30. During 2022, this Office recorded numerous physical aggressions and intimidations against journalists, as well as threats in coverage related to organized crime. According to the information received, on July 29, in San Martín de los Andes, a demonstrator shot at least twice at Federico Soto, photographer of LMNeuquén, and Patrío Rodríguez, of Río Negro. This attack took place while the journalists were covering a protest by demonstrators of the Asociación de Trabajadores del Estado (ATE).49

31. Particularly, this Office has observed an increase in violence in the city of Rosario, where attacks, threats and intimidations against several journalists and media outlets have been reported, especially those who carry out investigations related to organized crime and drug trafficking. In this regard, the Rapporteurship learned that on October 11 a poster was hung in front of the Telefónica facilities in Rosario, which read: “To all the media of Rosario... stop dirtying and condemning the kids with your tongue because we are going to kill journalists. Don’t mess with the mafia”, 50 The Secretary of Human Rights of the Nation repudiated these actions and expressed its concern.51 For his part, the Minister of Security warned that this was a 20 year old problem that was not easy to solve.52 Weeks earlier, according to what the Rapporteurship was able to learn, a lawyer had written on social networks “The world will be a livable place when every day a journalist is executed; they are the cancer of humanity” and called for the “execution of one journalist per day”.53 The post was subsequently removed. Several press organizations spoke out against this and demanded that it be sanctioned by the Bar Association.54 On October 4, a prosecutor dismissed the complaint filed by the Rosario Press Union against the lawyer but another prosecutor, on December 30, decided that the case should be reopened for incitement to violence.55

50 La Nación. October 12, 2022. “We are going to kill journalists”: the strong threat from a narco group that appeared on the door of a Rosario TV channel; El Día. October 11, 2022. “We are going to kill journalists”: the threat left on a Rosario TV station.
51 Twitter account of the National Secretariat of Human Rights (@SDHArgentina). October 11, 2022.
52 Rosario 3. October 12, 2022. Aníbal Fernández on threats to journalists: “It is not easy, it has been 20 years that this issue has been installed in Rosario”; La Capital. October 12, 2022. Aníbal Fernández: on the threat to journalists: No magic solutions can be expected.
53 Redacción Rosario. September 26, 2022. The SPR denounced the person who called for “killing a journalist per day”; FOPEA Twitter account: September 26, 2022.
54 FOPEA Twitter account. September 26, 2022; La Capital. September 26, 2022. The Press Union repudiated statements made by a lawyer who incited to kill journalists.
55 La Capital. October 4, 2022. For the prosecutor, to say that a journalist is executed every day is not a crime; Algo en Común. September 26, 2022. Rosario Press Union will file a criminal complaint for incitement to kill one journalist per day.
32. Likewise, on December 12 and 29, 2022, the facilities of Televisión Litoral de Rosario (TVL), where Channel 3, Radio 2, Rosario 3 and FM Vida and Plus operate, were reportedly the target of armed attacks.57

33. In May, journalists Germán de los Santos, of the media La Nación and Aire de Santa Fe, Roberto Cafera, of Radio Mitre and Radio Dos, Hernán Lascano, of the newspaper La Capital, among others, received threats allegedly from criminal groups. The letter stated that “The media are the fundamental part in stoking everything that is happening. Some media are to blame for so many deaths, for so much corruption. They report with weeds, evil, and meanwhile the prisons are filled with lazybones”.58 In 2017, Lascano and de los Santos had received threats and aggressions while presenting their book on the criminal gang Los Monos.59

34. This Office learned that after the increase of these threats in the city of Rosario, media outlets decided to protect their journalists by providing them with bulletproof vests to prevent attacks.60 The Rapporteurship notes that this escalation of violence has generated a climate of self-censorship in the press, and therefore considers it essential that the authorities take the necessary measures to create the conditions for journalists to exercise their work freely and safely.

35. On the other hand, journalists from the province of Santa Fe, Rodrigo Miró, of the Redboing portal, and Mauro Yasprizza, of Radio Mitre Rosario and CNN Rosario reported having been intimidated by the relatives of a financier under investigation for money laundering who is facing criminal proceedings.61

36. According to the information available, on January 3, police forces detained two journalists from the Tiempo de San Juan media outlet for approximately one hour without bringing them before a judge or informing them of the charges against them.62 The police officers reportedly detained the journalists in compliance with an order issued by a prosecutor, who reportedly called one of the journalists to tell him “either you put the drone down or I’ll put you in jail”.63 This situation occurred in the middle of the coverage of the murder and rape of a minor in the department of Sarmiento, in the Province of San Juan, in which a photojournalist from Tiempo de San Juan reportedly took photographs of the investigation from a drone.64 The police officers allegedly forced the reporters to erase the photographs.65 Subsequently, another prosecutor announced an investigation into the possible aggression against the journalists.66

37. Likewise, this Office received information on aggressions against journalists during public demonstrations following the attack against the vice-president of Argentina. For example, on August 27,
journalist Ezequiel Guazzora, from AM 1300, was allegedly assaulted by police officers during a protest in front of the vice-president’s house.67

38. This Office also learned of aggressions against the press in the context of sporting events. Reporter Diego Pablo Fernández and cameraman Martín Fiotta of channel CSN, and other journalists of the media A24 and TN were assaulted on July 22 at a protest in Avellaneda where supporters of the soccer club Independiente were demonstrating denouncing irregularities in the team’s budget, which had been approved that day.68 This Office was also informed of the attack suffered by TyC Sports cameraman Fernando Rivero, who was injured while covering a soccer match on October 6, following clashes between groups of fans outside the stadium. Rivero was recording the incidents and was allegedly shot by a police officer. “I was recording. They were repressing and a policeman turned around and gave me three rubber bullets in the groin”, said the cameraman on a radio program, and claimed that the policeman shot him “so that I would not continue recording the events”.69

39. According to public information, the Infobae columnist and specialist in international terrorism, George Chaya, has been the target of an attempted attack by a terrorist group.70 After learning of the threat, the National Ministry of Security informed the journalist of the information. The head of the Buenos Aires government expressed his solidarity and called for an investigation into the facts.71

40. On the other hand, this Office learned about alleged espionage actions against Nahuel Caputto, the majority shareholder of the newspaper La Litoral, in the province of Santa Fe, following the revelation of a telephone recording in which the former minister of security of Santa Fe gave orders to “profile” the owner of the media outlet and insinuated the possibility of a criminal case being created against him.72 Caputto described these practices as “extortion”.73

41. This Office recalls that Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that “[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation of and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators and to ensure that victims receive due compensation”.74 Commission has asserted that attacks on journalists are intended to silence them, and therefore also constitute violations of society’s right to have free access to information. An independent and critical press is fundamental to ensuring respect for other liberties that form part of a democratic system of government and the rule of law.75

42. The Rapporteurship is also concerned about the stigmatizing remarks made by authorities or public officials against journalists in Argentina. In this regard, this Office recorded that the Government spokeswoman described the press as a “national disgrace” after a series of media publications.76 The spokeswoman also asked not to generate “terrorism” through the media.77 Likewise, the Rapporteurship learned that on September 1,
through his Twitter account, the president criticized the “decrepitude exhibited by a haughty part of Argentine journalism”, in reference to the work of the newspaper *La Nación*. On the other hand, journalist Daniel Enz, of the media *Análisis* from the province of Entre Ríos, was accused during a court hearing by the former governor of Entre Ríos and current Argentine ambassador to Israel and Cyprus, of being the author of a “persecution operation” against him. In addition, this Office learned that a deputy of the party *La Libertad Avanza* had reportedly accused the journalist of *La Nación* Laura Serra before the Chamber of Deputies of “harassment” and held her responsible for “distorting” his words. That same day, the deputy refused to give statements to Serra, arguing that *La Nación* “misrepresents his words”.

43. The Rapporteurship recalls that public officials have a duty to ensure that their statements are not damaging the rights of those who contribute to the public debate through the expression and circulation of their thoughts, such as journalists, media outlets, and human rights organizations, and must pay attention to the context in which they express themselves in order to ensure that their expressions do not constitute “forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute [to] public deliberation through the expression and [dissemination] of their thoughts”.

44. The Rapporteurship also received information on alleged indirect restrictions to freedom of expression, for example, through the cancellation of official advertising. For example, this Office received information on the complaint made by journalist Vanessa Escudero, of *Canal 13 San Luis* and radio FM Latina 103.9, in which she reported that the Communications Secretariat of the government of San Luis had notified her by telephone that she would no longer receive official advertising for the radio station where she works. Likewise, *Canal 13* notified her that she would no longer receive any more material, without explaining the reasons for this decision.

45. In addition, this Office learned that on October 14, the new representative of the state-owned television station *Canal 10* of Tucumán, upon taking over the management of the station, said that they would place “great emphasis on the control of content”.

46. The Rapporteurship recalls that Article 13(3) of the American Convention prohibits restrictions on freedom of expression through indirect mechanisms, such as “the abuse of government or private controls over newsprint, radio broadcasting frequencies, or equipment used in the dissemination of information, or by any other means tending to impede the communication and circulation of ideas and opinions”. Likewise, Principle 13 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression establishes that “[t]he exercise of power and the use of public funds by the state, the granting of customs duty privileges, the arbitrary and discriminatory placement of official advertising and government loans, the concession of radio and television broadcast frequencies, among others, with the intent to put pressure on and punish or reward and provide privileges to social communicators and communications media because of the opinions they express threaten freedom of expression, and must be explicitly prohibited by law. The means of communication have the right to carry out

---

83 FOPEA Twitter account @FOPEA. August 8, 2022; La Posta de San Luis Noticias. August 1, 2022. *The Government of San Luis censured a well-known journalist from San Luis.*
84 FOPEA Twitter account @FOPEA. August 8, 2022; La Posta de San Luis Noticias. August 1, 2022. *The Government of San Luis censured a well-known journalist from San Luis.*
On the other hand, this Office has continued to monitor the judicial processes against journalists and media outlets linked to their informative work. In 2022, this Office followed with particular concern the criminal proceedings against the journalist of La Gaceta of Tucumán province, Irene Benito, who was accused in 2020 of alleged fraud and threats against the authority by a lawyer and public official of the provincial government. According to the information available, the complaint was based on the journalist’s failure to deliver a book that the Bar Association had commissioned from her, arguing that said engagement had been used to “buy” the journalistic services of Irene Benito, in order to favor certain political interests. The journalist was reportedly summoned to a deposition hearing, which was held on December 21, 2021 behind closed doors and without authorization for press coverage. Although the journalist had a favorable judicial decision by the Criminal Chamber in July, the Public Prosecutor’s Office filed an appeal before the Supreme Court of Justice of Tucumán. Several human rights organizations described these events as judicial harassment and criminalization of Irene Benito’s journalistic work, which has focused mainly on the coverage of alleged acts of corruption and irregularities in the actions of the Judiciary in Tucumán in cases of high public interest.

Additionally, this Office learned that a deputy of the La Libertad Avanza party filed a civil lawsuit claiming 5 million pesos for alleged “injury to honor” and “moral damage”, against journalists Débora Plager, Pablo Duggan, Fabián Doman, Paulo Vilouta and Martín Candalaft. Likewise, according to public information, journalists Luis Majul and Daniel Santoro, as well as the producer of the television program La Cornisa and América TV channel, were sentenced by a Civil Court. The Court ruled that the journalists had to pay two million pesos plus interest for six years to a witness for disclosing his name on air. The witness had provided information for the arrest of a businessman convicted of drug trafficking. The judge who examined the case pointed out that the witness had been included in a

Videos: Press prevented from covering the questioning of a journalist from LA GACETA in the criminal courts

In the face of a new judicial attack against Tucumán journalist Irene Benito, FOPEA warns that it will reinforce its repudiation and denunciation of this harassment before international courts; La Gaceta. July 9, 2022. A ruling stops the criminalization of a journalist; Information sent by lawyers of the Argentine Journalism Forum (FOPEA). August 10, 2022. Attacks on Argentine journalists. Violations of freedom of expression in Argentina. Archive of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression; FOPEA expresses its concern about the lawsuit filed by national congressman Javier Milei against journalists. Clarín. May 27, 2022. Javier Milei sued five journalists and claims $5 million from them. FOPEA expresses its concern over the conviction of journalists Daniel Santoro and Luis Majul; Palabras del Derecho. July 12, 2022. Two journalists were sentenced to pay compensation for moral damages for mentioning a protected witness on the air.
confidential file; however, the journalists stated that they had access to the file through legal channels and the name of the person was not redacted, as happens in cases of protected witnesses.\textsuperscript{94}

50. This Office registered with concern that the Auditor General of the Public Prosecutor’s Office of the province of Santa Fe made a request to the journalist Maximiliano Duffort, of the media \textit{AIRE}, to hand over personal information that was contained in a WhatsApp conversation between Duffort and a prosecutor.\textsuperscript{95} The conversation reportedly referred to the relationship between the president of the Supreme Court of Santa Fe and a senator, whom the prosecutor requested to be disqualified for being “organizer of a criminal organization”. The Santa Fe Press Association denounced that this action of the Public Prosecutor’s Office was “a violation of Article 43 of the National Constitution and Article 11 of the Provincial Constitution and puts at risk the protection of journalistic sources, which extends to the files and material and digital supports on which press workers base their investigations”.\textsuperscript{96}

51. The Rapporteurship also welcomes the resolution of the Supreme Court of Justice that suspended the court order ordering the seizure of recordings of an interview that journalist Santiago O’Donnell had conducted with the brother of former President Mauricio Macri. The interview was conducted as part of the investigation for his book “Hermano. La confesión de Mariano Macri sobre la trama de poder, política, negocios y familia de su hermano Mauricio”\textsuperscript{97}. In its last annual report, this Office emphasized that orders to obtain the journalist’s recordings contravenes national and international standards on the protection of the secrecy of news sources, and that it could pose a risk to his personal integrity.\textsuperscript{98}

52. This Rapporteurship recalls that, according to Principle 8 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, “[e]very social communicator has the right to keep his/her source of information, notes, personal and professional archives confidential”.\textsuperscript{99} One of the primary bases of the right to reserve sources is based on the fact that journalists, in their work, render an important public service by gathering and disseminating information that otherwise, without the secrecy of sources, could not be known. Likewise, RELE has pointed out that “it has to do with granting legal guarantees to ensure anonymity and preventing possible reprisals that may result from having disclosed certain information”.\textsuperscript{100}

53. On the other hand, the Rapporteurship continued monitoring the judicial process against journalist Diego Masci, director of \textit{ZBol} media.\textsuperscript{101} In this regard, this Office welcomes the decision of the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation that annulled the decision of the Superior Court of Justice of the Province of San Luis, which had condemned the journalist to pay a fine of $90,000 for having broadcasted a video of the Minister of Education of San Luis consuming marijuana.\textsuperscript{102} The Supreme Court understood that the Superior Court of Justice had not carried out “a broad and comprehensive review of the conviction, in particular of the offense...
related to the exemption from liability provided for in Article 155 of the Criminal Code in light of the right to freedom of expression”, and annulled the conviction and ordered that a new judgment be issued.\textsuperscript{103}

54. The IACHR and its Rapporteurship have pointed out that journalism represents one of the most important manifestations of freedom of expression in democratic societies, since it fosters more robust, pluralistic and informed public debates; therefore, States must ensure full respect for freedom of the press, which is also a fundamental element for the exercise of other fundamental freedoms.

55. The Inter-American jurisprudence indicates that the exercise of the right to freedom of expression may not be subject to prior censorship but to subsequent liability, which will be legitimate as long as it complies with the requirements of legality, legitimate objective, necessity and proportionality. Likewise, the Inter-American Court has held that "criminal prosecution is the most restrictive measure to freedom of expression, therefore its use in a democratic society must be exceptional and reserved for those eventualities in which it is strictly necessary to protect fundamental juridical goods from attacks that damage or endanger them, since the contrary would imply an abusive use of the punitive power of the State". Therefore, according to the Court "in the case of a speech protected by its public interest (...), the punitive response of the State through criminal law is not conventionally appropriate to protect the honor of the official". Furthermore, the Court has stated that the use of criminal law can produce “a deterrent, chilling and inhibiting effect on all those who practice journalism. This, in turn, obstructs public debate on issues of interest to society.”\textsuperscript{104}

56. The Inter-American Court has also pointed out, with regard to possible civil liability, that civil convictions in matters of freedom of expression must be strictly proportionate so that they do not have an inhibiting effect on this freedom, since "the fear of a civil sanction, considering the claim [...] for a very steep civil reparation, may be, in any case, equally or more intimidating and inhibiting for the exercise of freedom of expression than a criminal punishment, since it has the potential to attain the personal and family life of an individual who accuses a public official, with the evident and very negative result of self-censorship both in the affected party and in other potential critics of the actions taken by a public official".\textsuperscript{105}

57. The Office recalls that, according to Principle 11 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR, "[p]ublic officials are subject to greater scrutiny by society. Laws that penalize offensive expressions directed at public officials, generally known as “desacato laws,” restrict freedom of expression and the right to information".\textsuperscript{106}

58. Finally, the Rapporteurship reiterates its call to the Argentine State to strengthen guarantees for the press throughout the country, and particularly in those provincial territories that face particular obstacles, as shown by the cases mentioned in this report. Likewise, as mentioned in its last annual report, this Office observes that a considerable part of the respect for and guarantee of the exercise of freedom of expression and press freedom in Argentina is deposited in the courts, so it insists in its call to the State to take into account the standards of the Inter-American human rights system.\textsuperscript{107}

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

59. In 2022, this Office became aware of various questions about the deterioration of the public debate in Argentina, particularly in the days following the armed attack against the vice president by an unknown subject on September 1.\textsuperscript{108} The Special Rapporteurship observes that there are allegations of an increase in

\textsuperscript{103} Clarín. August 1, 2022. The Court annulled a conviction against a journalist who broadcast a private video of a former minister of San Luis. Infobae. August 12, 2022. The Supreme Court vacated the conviction against a journalist for broadcasting a video of an officer smoking marijuana.


hate speech in the social and political sphere and in the media ecosystem, which together are generating an environment conducive to the perpetration of acts of violence on political, racial, religious and gender grounds, among others.109

60. In this context, a communiqué signed by 110 civil society organizations made a joint call “to the entire leadership and society as a whole” to “stop the advance of political violence and hate speech that threaten the peaceful coexistence that has been built during these 40 years of democracy”.110

61. In a message broadcast on national television hours after the attack against the vice-president, the President of Argentina condemned the events and called for “recovering democratic coexistence, which has been broken by hate speech, which has been spread from different political, judicial and media spaces in Argentine society”.111 Likewise, it emphasized that “in a democratic society, speeches that promote hatred cannot have a place because they engender violence”.112 Likewise, the Office of the Public Defender of Audiovisual Communication Services warned that “hate speeches that are reproduced in the media and social networks also generate violence in real life” and therefore “recommended journalists and communicators to be attentive to the new formats in which hate is expressed, when reporting and expressing public opinion”.113 The State also made a statement through its National Institute against Discrimination, Xenophobia and Racism (INADI) of the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, which considered that this event “should not be analyzed as an isolated event but as part of a growing context of hatred”, “which endangers the democratic life of Argentina” and “should generate (...) a deep reflection on how violence escalates from the installation of hate speeches”.114

62. As noted in a report of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, “[u]nder international human rights law, the limitation of hate speech seems to demand a reconciliation of two sets of values: democratic society’s requirements to allow open debate and individual autonomy and development with the also compelling obligation to prevent attacks on vulnerable communities and ensure the equal and non-discriminatory participation of all individuals in public life (...)”. Freedom of expression, the rights to equality and life and the obligation of non-discrimination are mutually reinforcing”.115

63. Article 13.5 of the American Convention prohibits “propaganda for war and any advocacy of national, racial, or religious hatred that constitute incitements to lawless violence or to any other similar action against any person or group of persons on any grounds including those of race, color, religion, language, or national origin...”. In this regard, this Office emphasizes that the application of any restrictions on such speech under international human rights law must include an assessment of the six factors identified in the UN Rabat Plan of
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64. On the other hand, in 2022, this Office became aware of allegations of human rights violations in the context of social protests in the province of Jujuy. According to public information, on March 3, at least seven demonstrators were allegedly detained by the police in the context of a demonstration demanding food for soup kitchens, and charged with the alleged crime of “hindering transport and services” and “disobedience to authority and obstruction of transport and services.” The individuals were released a week later and, according to public complaints, allegedly suffered cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment while in detention. The reports also indicated that several people, both demonstrators and police officers, were injured in the violent clashes during the protests.

65. In connection with the above-mentioned events, on April 6, the courts reportedly issued a warrant for the search, arrest and seizure of the cell phones of the social leaders Sebastián Copello, of the Polo Obrero, and Juan Manuel Chorolque, of the Movimiento Teresa Rodríguez (MTR)-12 de Abril, accused of the alleged crimes of “hindering transport and services” and “disobedience to authority”. Representatives of various local human rights organizations expressed their concern over the conditions of detention of the two social leaders, and the opening of criminal proceedings against them. On April 12 and 13, Copello and Chorolque were released, and as far as this Office was able to learn, the criminal proceedings against them continue their judicial course.

66. In this matter, this Office also took note of a study published in 2022 by the Center for Justice and International Law (CEJIL), the Human Rights Center of the University of Buenos Aires and the Konrad Adenauer Foundation, which concluded that Argentina has no national regulations to guide or orient security forces in their actions in social protest, nor related to the facilitation of protest or the regulation of the use of force.

67. This Office recalls that social protest, which includes the rights of peaceful and unarmed assembly, association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights and therefore it is the duty of the State to guarantee its free exercise. In its report “Protest and Human Rights”, the IACHR emphasized that the holding of meetings, demonstrations and protests is a central activity of many associations and organizations and, in this regard, States have the duty to facilitate the necessary means for them to freely carry out their activities and to refrain from imposing obstacles that hinder the
68. Likewise, this Office reiterates that, in the framework of the Inter-American Human Rights System, the use of force is understood as “a last resort that, qualitatively and quantitatively limited, is intended to prevent a more serious occurrence than that caused by the State’s reaction”. Within this framework characterized by exceptionality, both the Commission and the Inter-American Court have agreed that “for the use of force to be justified one must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity, and proportionality”. Likewise, regarding any detentions carried out by security forces in the context of public demonstrations, the IACHR has emphasized that they must comply with all the requirements imposed by domestic laws and international standards. The IACHR and its Rapporteurship have also held that “the application of criminal law to the acts of participants in a demonstration constitutes a serious restriction with far-reaching consequences for freedom of expression, as well as the rights of assembly, association, and political participation, which under the principles developed above can only be used on a very exceptional basis and is subject to a heightened level of scrutiny”. In this regard, the Rapporteurship stresses that “should stop applying criminal definitions that characterize conduct commonly observed in protests as criminal acts, such as roadblocks or disorderly acts that, in themselves, do not affect interests such as the life, safety, or freedom of persons; in the context of protests, these acts constitute forms of exercising the rights to freedom of expression, assembly, and association”.  

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

69. According to the information received, the Government of the Autonomous City of Buenos Aires issued a resolution in which it established that “in the exercise of their functions, teachers in educational establishments at the initial, primary and secondary levels, both state and privately managed, must develop teaching activities and carry out institutional communications in accordance with the rules of the Spanish language, its grammatical norms and the official guidelines for its teaching”. The head of government stated that the measure aims to “simplify” the way in which students learn. According to the information available, this measure would seek to discourage the use of expressions used for inclusive language, such as “e”, “x” and “@”, and received criticism from various sectors of civil society for considering it a measure that undermines inclusion and diversity in educational environments. The Minister of Education of the City of Buenos Aires
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stated that “this type of distortions generate difficulty in learning the grammatical and basic rules of the language”.  

70. According to the information available, the National Institute against Discrimination, Xenophobia and Racism (INADI) of the national Ministry of Justice and Human Rights filed an “amicus curiae” in a case initiated by a civil society organization that works in defense of the rights of LGBT+ people. INADI considered that the decree of the Government of the City of Buenos Aires “discriminates against those who do not self-perceive themselves within the male-female binomial and excludes the identities recognized by the Gender Identity Law No. 26,743 and Decree No. 476/21, which recognizes non-binary identities in the National Identity Card”.  

71. The Argentine State reported that, through the National Institute against Discrimination, Xenophobia and Racism (INADI), it has developed programs for the eradication of discrimination, racism and xenophobia. On the one hand, they indicated that they are carrying out, from a prevention approach, a guide of recommendations and eradication of hate speech, and a series of reports that analyze its impact on social networks. Likewise, individual cases are being accompanied by means of formal complaints related to this issue.  

72. The State further reported that the Commission for the Historical Recognition of the Afro-Argentine Community-INADI carried out in March 2022 the “Conference on Memory, Racism and Hate Speeches” to reflect from an “ethnic-racial perspective” on the consequences of crimes against humanity on the identity of Latin America. As part of the activities, three conferences were held: “Crimes against humanity, memory processes and restorative justice”, “Economic power, hate speech and forms of domination”, and “Intersectionality and anti-racism, for a politics of love and equality”.  

73. This Office considers it essential that States adopt positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as women, LGBT+ persons, indigenous peoples, among others. The Inter-American system grants reinforced protection to those speeches that express constitutive elements of the personal identity or dignity of those who express themselves. In this sense, the Rapporteurship invites States to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to align institutional practices with the enhanced protection of this type of speech. As noted in the IACHR Compendium on Equality and Non-Discrimination, States are obliged to “adopt positive measures to reverse or change existing discriminatory situations in their societies, based on the notion of equality and the principle of non-discrimination”.

---


D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

74. On June 28, the Argentine Supreme Court of Justice overturned a ruling of the Civil Chamber related to the “right to be forgotten”. As this Office pointed out in its 2020 annual report, the Court had ordered Google to unindex images, videos and content linked to the words “Natalia Denegri”, “Natalia Ruth Denegri” or “Natalia Denegri caso Cúppola” and “any possible image or video, obtained twenty years ago or more.”.\textsuperscript{147} Natalia Denegri, Argentine actress and TV host, had requested the urgent application of the “right to be forgotten”, stating that, although she was the protagonist of this event that in 1996 had high media attention, more than twenty years later the information continues to appear in the search results, which causes her harm. She pointed out that such information “belongs to a past that she wishes to forget” and that “it is old, irrelevant, unnecessary and obsolete, without any informative and journalistic importance”.\textsuperscript{148}

75. On this occasion, the Supreme Court rejected the claim because it “considered that there was no constitutional or legal basis to support the claim”.\textsuperscript{149} In addition, the highest court emphasized that the National Constitution guarantees broad protection to freedom of expression, “which has a preeminent place in the framework of constitutional freedoms and which includes the right to transmit ideas, facts and opinions through the Internet”.\textsuperscript{150} Therefore, “any restriction, sanction or limitation to such freedom must be of restrictive interpretation and that an eventual judicial decision to de-index certain addresses with respect to a result would imply a limitation that would interrupt the communicational process”.\textsuperscript{151} In the resolution, the Supreme Court affirmed that Denegri became notorious for her connection with the so-called “Coppola case”, that she continues to be a public person and therefore, disregarding the information already published would “seriously jeopardize history as well as the exercise of social memory”.\textsuperscript{152} Likewise, the Court left open the possibility of a “preventive tutelage to request the blocking of content, on an absolutely exceptional basis”.\textsuperscript{153} This Office noted that, within the framework of this judicial decision, the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation convened two public hearings where different points of view were examined in this regard.\textsuperscript{154}

76. In the report “Standards for a Free, Open and Inclusive Internet”, the Rapporteurship pointed out that the application in the Americas of a system of private removal and de-indexing of online content with limits in the terms in which the “right to be forgotten” has originated in the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) could be problematic “in light of the wide regulatory margin of the protection of freedom of expression provided by article 13 of the American Convention on Human Rights”.\textsuperscript{155} In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur considered that the removal and de-indexing of content on the Internet “constitute a clear interference with the right to freedom of expression, in both its individual and social dimensions, as well as the right of access to information by the people (...) Both have a limiting effect on the right to freedom of expression because they restrict the possibility to seek, receive and impart information and ideas regardless of national frontiers”.\textsuperscript{156} This Office reiterates that any State measure based on the “right to be forgotten”, or aimed at regulating the “right to be forgotten”, must take into consideration inter-American standards on freedom of expression, particularly those that provide enhanced protection for matters of general interest, and linked to public officials or persons involved in matters of public interest.\textsuperscript{157}
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77. In addition, the Argentine State sent information to this Rapporteurship on two reports by the "Observatory of Discrimination on the Internet" of the National Institute against Discrimination, Xenophobia and Racism (INADI), which investigate phenomena related to "trolling" activities and the impact of the propagation of hate speech online. Among the recommendations, the Observatory proposes to deepen awareness campaigns as a way to prevent discriminatory speech. The reports also call to denounce actions that could violate the policies of use of the various Internet platforms. The State emphasized that this work is a starting point for studying these phenomena with a view to promoting an Internet environment free of discriminatory manifestations that affect the rights of individuals, groups, or communities.

78. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the presentation of the “Olimpia Bill”, proposing to incorporate digital violence as a form of gender violence into Law 26.485. This is the Law on the comprehensive protection to prevent, punish and eradicate violence against women in the environments in which they develop their interpersonal relationships. In addition, according to the information available, the “Belén Bill” project was presented. This project would seek to incorporate crimes of non-consensual obtaining and dissemination of intimate material and/or nudity, pornographic montages and the crime of extortion with the dissemination of other materials into the Criminal Code.

79. This Rapporteurship recalls that freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way as to all media. This Office reiterates that restrictions on freedom of expression on the Internet are only acceptable if they comply with established international standards, including that they are provided for by law, and that they are necessary to protect an interest which is recognized under international law (the ‘three-part’ test). In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates the importance that any legislation regulating the Internet does not contain vague and sweeping definitions or disproportionately affect legitimate websites and services.

80. Finally, this Office took note of the national government’s announcement of an investment of more than 289 million pesos (approximately $2.24 million) in the distribution of digital connectivity to increase access to free Internet in regions that do not have this service. Also, according to the National Entity of Communications (Enacom), in the next two years access to broadband networks will be guaranteed to more than 1 million inhabitants of the country.

81. This Office also welcomes the initiative of the Defensoría del Público de Servicios de Comunicación Audiovisual that, together with UNESCO and the Ministry of Education of Argentina, held the teacher training sessions "Media and information literacy for the school of the present and the future", which brought together 4,100 people. According to the entity, they held three meetings in which they developed the conceptual bases
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of media and information literacy and addressed experiences and pedagogical innovations on the use of digital tools and the design of institutional policies.\textsuperscript{171}

82. As has been pointed out by the IACHR and its Rapporteurship on numerous occasions, access to the Internet is a condition sine qua non for the effective exercise of human rights today, especially including the rights to freedom of expression and opinion, association and assembly, education, health, and culture.\textsuperscript{172} Internet access must be universally guaranteed by taking measures to bridge the digital divide, promoting infrastructure development policies, and protecting the quality and integrity of service at all times, establishing explicit prohibitions against arbitrary blocks (partial or total), and slow-downs.\textsuperscript{173} Likewise, since its 2013 report "Freedom of Expression and the Internet", the Rapporteurship has reiterated that “authorities should foment educational measures intended to promote the training of all individuals in the autonomous, independent and responsible use of the Internet and digital technologies”.\textsuperscript{174}

\textsuperscript{171} Office of the Public Defender of Audiovisual Communication Services. July 15, 2022. \textit{The Media and Information Literacy Workshops ended}.


In 2022, the administration of the new prime minister reportedly sought to consolidate better relations with the Bahamian media. According to the information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the new president is maintaining greater contact with the press, although there was criticism from the hiring of journalists in his government, as well as the challenges in implementing transparency policies, including the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). During the year, civil society also reportedly promoted reforms and initiatives to improve accountability in the country, although these were not entirely successful, especially those concerning access to information. This Office welcomes the fact that, after five years, the State has started a pilot to implement the Freedom of Information Law in ten ministries. The Office of the Special Rapporteur registered with concern complaints about hate speech against people from the LGBTQ community, disseminated through the opinion space of a recognized media outlet. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of new initiatives to combat cybercrime and strengthen security in this area.

A. Journalism and democracy

On February 1, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that multiple reporters from various media outlets had been prevented from interviewing cabinet ministers before their weekly meeting with the prime minister. According to public information, security devices from the prime minister’s office placed orange cones at the entrance to prevent reporters from approaching, an allegedly unusual practice up to that time. In light of this incident, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the right of access to information is a critical tool for monitoring the functioning of the State and public management, as well as for the prevention of corruption. The right of access to information is a fundamental requirement to guarantee transparency and good public management of the government and the other state authorities. The full exercise of the right of access to information is an essential guarantee to avoid abuses by public officials, promote accountability and transparency in state management, and prevent corruption and authoritarianism.

In February 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the prime minister’s administration had appointed journalists and television presenters to public and diplomatic positions.

On May 3, 2022, within the framework of World Press Freedom Day, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of various statements by the media on the state of freedom of expression and of the press in the Bahamas. Through some of these statements, this Office received information about alleged acts of intimidation and harassment against the media in the country. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the administration had decided to stop advertising in The Nassau Guardian newspaper for allegedly having carried out critical coverage of its policies.

Regarding the complaints about the alleged manipulation of the government advertising, the Office of the Rapporteur reiterates that measures must be adopted to prevent government advertising from generating a dependency of the private audiovisual media—for or non-profit—with respect to public power. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that States must adopt specific legal rules on government advertising at each of their levels of government. The lack of a specific and adequate legal framework for the definition of the objectives, the allocation, contracting, and control of the government advertising allows an arbitrary use of
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these resources to the detriment of freedom of expression\(^\text{180}\). Additionally, this Office further clarifies that States must adopt specific legal rules on government advertising at each of their levels of government. The lack of a specific and adequate legal framework for the definition of the objectives, the allocation, contracting, and control of the state advertising allows an arbitrary use of these resources to the detriment of freedom of expression\(^\text{181}\).

**B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law, and democratic institutions**

89. In January 2022, this Office received information about the public policy priorities for The Bahamas according to representatives of civil society. These priorities would include the full enactment and operation of the 2017 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA); full enactment and enforcement of the Public Procurement Act of 2019; amending the Fiscal Responsibility Act to strengthen the role of the Fiscal Responsibility Committee; the approval of anti-corruption legislation and the creation of a comprehensive public service reform program that includes legislation, evaluation, and training\(^\text{182}\).

90. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has received complaints about what would constitute a lack of transparency in conciliation agreements between the State and a series of plaintiffs who, in turn, are public figures. Since 2019, the Office of the Attorney General entered into conciliation agreements with different people with public responsibilities.\(^\text{183}\) Faced with new claims for the alleged abuse of confidentiality clauses, the Attorney General assured that the value of these reconciliations would be exempt from the Freedom of Information Act - FOIA, which is why he would share said information with the public for now\(^\text{184}\).

91. Regarding access to information from public bodies, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that State bodies must be obliged to publish fundamental information, including operational information on how the State body works, including costs, objectives, accounts audited, standards, achievements, etc., in particular, in cases where the body provides direct services to the public; information on any request, complaint, or other direct action that members of the public may take in relation to the State body; guidance on the procedures by which the public can contribute to major policy or legislative proposals; the types of information the body holds in its possession and the manner in which this information is retained, and the content of any decision or policy affecting the public, together with the reasons for the decision and important background information that constitutes the context of said decision\(^\text{185}\).

92. This Office wishes to clarify that certain information may legitimately be secret for reasons of national security or protection of other overriding interests. However, laws regulating secrecy must accurately define the concept of national security and clearly specify the criteria that must be used to determine whether or not certain information can be declared secret, in order to prevent abuse of the “secret” classification to prevent disclosure of information that is in the public interest. Laws regulating secrecy should clearly specify which officials are authorized to classify documents as secret and should also set general limits on the length of time documents can be kept secret. Such laws should be subject to public debate\(^\text{186}\).

93. On February 10, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that the first Freedom of Information Commissioner of the Bahamas had announced that 10 government ministries and
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agencies would begin to implement the Freedom of Information Act - FOIA] 187. In its last annual report, this Office had expressed its concern regarding the lack of progress in the implementation of this Law, sanctioned in 2017.188 According to public information, the 10 agencies that would be in this pilot plan would be the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Public Service, Ministry of Public Works, Public Ministry, Bahamas Investment Authority, Department of Treasury, Bahamas Power and Light, and Department of Immigration. Commissioner Thompson reportedly clarified that, with the implementation of this pilot, the State’s Freedom of Information Unit would be operational in 2023. The objective of the pilot plan would be to assess to what extent the 10 bodies can public respond to requests for information. Regarding the most effective technology to process the requests, the commissioner ensured that two systems are being studied, either to create their own or to buy a license for its use. Finally, the commissioner would have anticipated that his Office will begin an education campaign so that citizens know their right to information, although he would not have specified dates or further details.

94. On February 10, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also received information according to which the Deputy Information Commissioner reportedly assured that there are many reforms that must be carried out throughout the public sector to implement the Freedom of Information Act - FOIA The Deputy Commissioner also assured that another challenge in the implementation of the law would be the lack of digitization and standardization of public document records. Additionally, the official anticipated that information managers will be trained and appointed in each of the 10 selected government ministries and agencies to implement the FOIA, although he did not mentioned dates. Finally, Miller reportedly suggested that the provision of public information would have a fee and that the requests would ideally be dealt with within a period of 30 days, although the law contemplates conditions for extensions. In the event that the applications are rejected, the Deputy Commissioner indicated that there will be an application process before the Office of the Commissioner and, ultimately, before the Supreme Court.

95. On May 4, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that not all public officials were complying with the transparency obligations established by the Public Disclosure Act. According to public information, this law would be a legal anti-corruption mechanism, under the Public Disclosure Commission (PDC), which would require each member of parliament to provide a declaration of assets, income, and liabilities for the past year until the beginning of March. According to the complaints received by this Office, there are several public servants who have not provided their statements, even after the 30-day extension allowed by law, for which they could face fines of up to $10,000 Bahamian dollars and imprisonment for up to two years.189

96. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the specialized entity for the supervision and enforcement of access to information laws must be capable of generating uniform policies on public information for all the organizations bound by the regulations and must have the power to coordinate the efforts of different areas. Therefore, it must have the capacity to monitor compliance with the obligation of active transparency of the regulated entities.190 In this sense, this Office emphasizes that in order to continue making progress in its obligation to implement a culture of transparency and guarantee the right of access to information, it is essential to persist in building robust supervision entities with sufficient power to give life and meaning to the transparency mandates of the respective laws on access to information and adapt international jurisprudence to state practices.191 Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur points out that it is important that the entities responsible for defending the right to information have a budget and human resources that allow them to fulfill the important mission assigned. Without resources and without personnel, it is very complex to fulfill all the functions assigned to these bodies, such as disseminating regulations.

---

exercising control over the rest of the state organization, and resolving appeals with the speed necessary to adequately guarantee the right of access to information.

97. As of the publication date of this Annual Report, citizens cannot yet make requests through the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) as the pilot plan has not been fully implemented.

98. In terms of implementation, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that State has the duty to adequately implement the rules on access to information. Therefore, the State must design a plan that allows the real and effective satisfaction of the right of access to information in a reasonable period of time. This obligation implies the duty to allocate the necessary budget to be able to progressively satisfy the demands that the right of access to information will generate. Likewise, the State must adopt norms, policies, and practices that allow the conservation and adequate administration of the information. Finally, the State must adopt a systematic policy of training public officials aimed at satisfying, in each of its facets, the right of access to public information, as well as the training of the bodies, authorities, and public agents in charge of respond to requests for access to information under the control of the State on the regulations governing this right. Likewise, this obligation implies the training of public officials in relation to the laws and policies on the creation and custody of files related to the information that the State is obliged to safeguard, manage, and produce or capture.

99. Additionally, this Office points out that the State has the obligation to adapt its domestic legal system to international standards regarding access to information, in the sense of: (a) implementing an adequate legal framework for such purposes; (b) removing legal or administrative obstacles that hinder access to information; (c) promoting the implementation of the right of access within all the entities and authorities that make it up—through the adoption and execution of standards, procedures, and training for the authorities in matters of custody, administration, filing, and provision of information—in; and (d) in general terms, adopting a public policy favorable to the full exercise of this right.

100. The Office of the Special Rapporteur encourages The Bahamas to continue investing resources and joining efforts for the full implementation of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). It is important that the State of The Bahamas continue with its plans to bring into force all relevant legislation to promote transparency and accountability in the country. Likewise, this Office also encourages the government to commit to include more government agencies in the FOIA to guarantee citizen access to public information.

101. On March 4, 2022, this Office received information indicating that hundreds of residents and business owners in Grand Bahama held a march to protest the state of the economy on the island; the protesters were reportedly concerned about unemployment, inflation, and lack of investment.

102. Between March 23 and 26, 2022, this Office was informed about a series of demonstrations in the framework of the visit of the now Prince of Wales, William, and his wife, Princess Catherine of Wales, to the Bahamas. On March 31, this Office received reports indicating that the Prime Minister, Phillips Davis, would have assured that the visit of the members of the British royal family had an impact on the public debate on the
role of the monarchy in the Bahamas, and that his administration would be willing to listen and participate in
discussions about it.

103. On July 18, this Office also received reports of another wave of protests, this time from the Bahamas
Unified Bus Drivers Union. According to public information, the drivers would have protested to demand an
increase in the bus fares since the drivers would be affected by the inflation levels.

104. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that protest is a form of individual or
collective action aimed at expressing ideas, visions, or values of dissent, opposition, complaint, or claim.
Examples include the expression of political, social, or cultural opinions, visions, or perspectives; the
vocalization of support or criticism related to a group, party, or the government itself; the reaction to a policy
or the denunciation of a public problem; the affirmation of the identity or visibility of the situation of
discrimination and marginalization of a group. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights
that there is a strong interconnection between the right to protest and the right to freedom of expression and
the right of assembly. It is also strongly associated with activities in defense of human rights, including demands
for recognition, protection, or exercise of a right, as well as the promotion and defense of democracy.
Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the protest as a form of participation in public affairs
is especially relevant for groups of people historically discriminated against or in conditions of
marginalization.

C. Freedom of expression and fight against discrimination and exclusion

105. In July 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received complaints about the dissemination of
stigmatizing comments against the LGBTIQ population through a recognized media outlet in the Bahamas.
According to public information, a citizen would have used an opinion space of said medium to express
stigmatizing and discriminatory messages, accusing the LGBTIQ population of allegedly victimizing themselves,
seeking preferential treatment, and discriminating against heterosexual Bahamians. The opinion column
would respond to claims by the LGBTIQ population to be included in the government plan of Prime Minister
Phillip Davis.

106. Regarding the foregoing, the Office of the Special Rapporteur affirms, once again, that it is of
particular importance that States adopt actions to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression
of LGBTI persons and that they empower affected and invisibilized persons. Likewise, this Office reiterates
that the censorship of the debate on controversial issues will not attack the structural inequalities and
prevalent prejudices that affect LGBTI persons in America. On the contrary, as a principle, instead of restricting
them, States should promote preventive and educational mechanisms and promote broader and deeper
debates, as a measure to expose and combat negative stereotypes.

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

107. In August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of an alleged increase in digital
threats and malicious cyber activities. According to the information received, this increase would be due to new
data breaches against public infrastructure, losses due to digital fraud, and illicit financial flows, among other

---


The alert would have been shared within the framework of the first national symposium of the Computer Incident Response Team (CIRT), which in turn would be part of The Bahamas National Cybersecurity Project launched in 2021. Prime Minister Phillip Davis reportedly asserted that the development of cybersecurity would be part of the country’s digital transformation, noting that the CIRT "will serve as a focal point to coordinate cybersecurity incident response to cyberattacks, providing support services of cybersecurity to the government, private organizations, and citizens of The Bahamas, particularly those in critical infrastructure and essential services to defend against malicious cyber activity." The current administration would have designated cybersecurity and cyber resilience as fundamental elements to develop a digital society in which every citizen is protected.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that in order to avoid a broad concept that could lead to the criminalization of the use of the Internet, the concept of cybersecurity must be reduced to the protection of a series of legal assets, such as infrastructure and information stored or managed in any way through the Internet, but not to the technological means used to commit an offense of any nature. The response of States in terms of security in cyberspace must be limited and proportionate, and seek to comply with precise legal purposes, which do not compromise the democratic virtues that characterize the network. In this sense, governments should refrain from privileging the concentrated and centralized use of criminal law as a fundamental instrument to face all possible threats that may threaten online security. When taking initiatives to protect security in cyberspace, States must include explicit safeguards in the standard to ensure that regular behaviors or behaviors inherent to the use of the Internet are not criminalized. It must also require that the defined acts entail effective damage and that the harmful behaviors are committed with the intention of committing a crime.

Additionally, this Office emphasizes that public policies on cybersecurity must be proportional to the risk they face and, in any case, must balance the objective of security and the protection of fundamental rights. The Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights that States must report, among other things, on the general guidelines of the policies and on the agencies in charge and their responsibilities. In the face of attacks or imminent risks, States must be held accountable or order investigations that allow knowing the dimension of what happened.

---
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110. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented positive steps forward on freedom of expression and the media in the country, such as training workshops for journalists, technology donations to the media, and recognition of journalists and communicators for their work in 2021. This Office also received information on a series of demonstrations over the reopening of educational institutions and the labor demands of healthcare workers; no demonstration was repressed, and on the contrary, State authorities have been receptive to these demands. With regard to the rights to association, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observe significant progress on guaranteeing the labor union rights of penitentiary workers. Regarding the rights of historically-marginalized minorities, such as the LGBTI population, this year also saw greater visibility of the barriers they face and progress toward access to justice following sexual abuse between persons of the same gender. Lastly, this Office learned that the emergence of debates and conversations over public policy around cybersecurity.

A. Journalism and democracy

111. On February 5, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of an incident in which the Chief Medical Officer of Barbados asked a journalist to keep his distance while giving a statement.215 Subsequently, according to the information available, the Ministry of Health and Wellness issued a release indicating that because of COVID-19, all interviews with officials must be conducted "six feet away from the individual who is speaking at the time." The measure was supposed to protect biosecurity in these circumstances.

112. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the right to access information entails obligations for all public authorities in all branches of government and autonomous bodies, at all levels of public administration. This right also binds those who perform public functions, provide public services, or execute public resources on behalf of the State. Regarding the latter, the right to access requires that information be provided exclusively on the management of public resources, the performance of the services entrusted, and compliance with the aforementioned public functions.216

113. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was pleased to note a 4-day training course for Caribbean journalists that concluded on March 4, 2022. As this Office learned, the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office’s (FCDO) International Communications Skills Course attracted 20 professionals from Barbados, Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent, and the Grenadines.217 Participants benefited from training on effective writing for print and broadcast media, visual journalism, mastering interviews, podcasting, and using digital tools to advance one’s career. According to publicly-available information, the course was based on previous cross-regional media training, which was facilitated by the UK Government prior to the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in January 2020.

114. This Office learned that on April 29, 2022, in anticipation of World Press Freedom Day, the Embassy of the United States donated six computers to the Caribbean Broadcasting Corporation (CBC).218 The CBC is the only national outlet in Barbados and is a vital source of information on many local, regional, and international events. The Rapporteurship also documented the participation of the diplomatic mission of the United States in the Virtual Caribbean Media Summit organized by the Media Institute of the Caribbean (MIC). The summit addressed the theme of "journalism under digital siege," raised by UNESCO.

115. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the bilateral and multilateral efforts in the framework of international cooperation to plan and execute courses for journalists in the Caribbean.

B. Freedom of expression, the Rule of Law and democratic institutions

116. At the beginning of February 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed of demonstrations demanding the reopening of schools in Barbados. This Office welcomes the fact that the protests have been peaceful and that Kay McConney, the Minister of Education, Technological and Vocational Training, has expressed her support for citizens exercising "their democratic right" and feeling that they can "freely express what their concerns are."219 According to official information, the phased opening of schools in Barbados began on February 21 of this year.

117. This Office also documented protests in January 2022 by nurses who were members of the United Workers Union (UWU), demanding better working conditions and a solution to staff shortages on the island. As the Office of the Special Rapporteur has reported, close to 300 nurses and nurses aides went on strike, allegedly causing interruptions at the two main hospitals in Barbados: Queen Elizabeth, in Bridgetown, and Bayview, in Bayville.220 The strike was criticized for coinciding with an increase in cases of COVID-19, putting greater pressure on the health system. The Barbados Nurses Association (BNA) estimates the country's staff shortfall at 552 starting in 2021, given that compensation is better in other countries and the workload lighter.

118. This Office recalls that social protest, which includes the rights to peaceful, unarmed assembly, association, and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool for the defense of democracy and human rights. The State therefore has a duty to guarantee its free exercise. In its report "Protest and Human Rights", the IACHR highlighted that holding meetings, demonstrations, and protests is a central activity of many associations and organizations and, in this regard, States have a duty to provide the necessary means for them to freely carry out their activities and to refrain from imposing obstacles that hinder the performance of their work. Additionally, the IACHR has found that the exercise of the right of assembly through social protest must not be subject to the requirement of obtaining authorization from authorities or excessive requirements that make them difficult to carry out. Legal requirements that enable the banning or limiting of an assembly or demonstration by, for example, requiring a permit are not compatible with the exercise of the right to assembly or with freedom of expression in the Inter-American system.

119. With respect to labor rights, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the rights to freedom of expression, assembly, and association as they pertain to the freedom to unionize, collectively bargain, and strike constitute fundamental rights for workers and their representatives to organize and express specific grievances regarding their working conditions in order to effectively represent their interests before employers, including by participating in matters of public interest with a collective voice. States have a duty to respect and guarantee these rights, which balance out existing inequality between workers and employers to provide access to fair pay and safe working conditions.221

120. In April 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that the right of penitentiary officials to join unions had been reestablished. According to public information, a High Court judge ruled that the entire Prison Amendment Act of 1982 was "unconstitutional" and was therefore "null and void." This law made it unlawful for prison officers to join trade unions, limiting their ability to issue demands and bargain collectively.222 In his ruling, the judge found that the "substantial intent" of the amendment act was to significantly restrict prison officers' freedom of association, which was unconstitutional given that the right to free association is enshrined in Section 21(1) of the Constitution. In May, Prime Minister Mia Mottley announced that her government would not appeal the High Court decision granting prison officers the right to join trade unions in Barbados.

---


Additionally, also in April 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the police and fire officers of Barbados were also demanding that they be granted the same freedom of association that prison officers now enjoy. According to what this Office learned, these workers’ demands were receiving the support of the Congress of Trade Unions and Staff Associations of Barbados (CTUSAB) since the freedom of association of police and fire officers operate under legislation similar to that of prison officials. According to public information, police and fire officials were seeking to be able to join freely and benefit economically from the trade unions.\(^{223}\)

The IACHR has found that protest is often an important means of action used by organizations and groups to pursue legitimate objectives and is therefore protected by the right to freedom of association. The legal and legitimate aims of freedom of association include public demonstrations and social protests.\(^{224}\)

As established in Article XXII of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, “Every person has the right to associate with others to promote, exercise and protect his legitimate interests of a political, economic, religious, social, cultural, professional, labor union or other nature.” Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the exercise of fundamental freedoms like freedom of expression and association cannot be conditioned on political ideas of one party or subjected to the absolute control of State power. In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the ruling of the High Court guaranteeing the rights of association—and therefore to form a union—of penitentiary officials. The ruling in favor of the workers establishes a precedent for the full guarantee of the rights to association of public employees in Barbados.

In August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomed the holding of the 53rd general assembly of the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU). The CBU held its assembly in Trinidad and Tobago, although its main headquarters are in St. Michael, Barbados. During the assembly, the association of 37 regional media outlets highlighted the work of press professionals with the Caribbean Media Awards (CBU).\(^{225}\) There were 231 nominations from 16 CBU members and 27 nominations from 9 non-member media houses, for a total of 258 nominations in 46 categories. Some of the radio and television categories included best documentary programme; best news item; best investigative report; best public service spot; best coverage of the COVID-19 pandemic; best responsible coverage of children’s issues; best coverage of disaster risk reduction; best coverage of people with disabilities; best health education journalism; and best financial literacy journalism, among others.

C. Freedom of expression and the struggle against discrimination and exclusion

On May 17, in the framework of the International Day Against Homophobia, Biphobia, and Transphobia, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received a report from the Open for Business coalition of companies, entitled “The Economic Case for LGBT+ Inclusion in the Caribbean.” The investigation looked at the systemic legal and institutional barriers that pose significant challenges to LGBTI persons within their families, their educational institutions, the labor market, and the justice system. These factors incentivized emigration from the members of this community in the Caribbean and reduced the likelihood of international tourists choosing to visit the region.\(^{226}\) As the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn, the investigation into the economic impact of discrimination against LGBTI persons is the largest ever conducted in the Caribbean. The report suggests that in addition to being an imperative for guaranteeing human rights in the region, eliminating structural discrimination against this population would also bring economic benefits.

It is the Office of the Special Rapporteur’s view that it is especially important for States to take action to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression by LGBTI persons and empower those who are

\(^{223}\) Barbados Today. April 8, 2022. Police, fire officers want same freedom to join unions as prison officers.


impacted and made invisible.\textsuperscript{227} This Office also wishes to reiterate that the IACHR calls on authorities to contribute decisively to building a climate of tolerance and respect in which all individuals, including LGBTI persons and those defending their rights, can express their thoughts and opinions without fear of being attacked, punished, or stigmatized for doing so.\textsuperscript{228}

127. In February 2022, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) and its Office of the Special Rapporteur were pleased to receive the decision of the Caribbean Court of Justice (CCJ) finding that rape as defined by the Sexual Offences Act is not restricted by the gender of the victim of the attacker.\textsuperscript{229} Therefore, the court concluded that both men and women can be victims of rape, expanding the State’s capacity to protect members of the LGBTI population. The case \textit{Commissioner of Police v. Stephen Alleyne} arose from the decision of Barbados courts to dismiss the case of a man accused of rape on the grounds that a man cannot be accused of rape when the victim is another man, since the applicable charge would only be the so-called crime of “sodomy,” which is still in force in domestic law.

128. The IACHR resolutely reaffirms that the mere existence of laws criminalizing consensual relationships between adults of the same-sex is harmful to LGBTI persons and society as a whole, including by obstructing effective access to justice. Additionally, the fact that these laws remain in force creates an environment of tolerance for discrimination against persons of real or perceived diversity in terms of their sexual and gender identities.\textsuperscript{230} The IACHR therefore urges the States that still have this legislation in place to repeal it immediately. The Commission also urges these States to continue taking measures to guarantee the right to a life free of all types of violence and discrimination, including by providing protection from sexual violence.

D. Internet and freedom of expression

129. In terms of connectivity, the government has set up Wi-Fi hotspots in some areas of Bridgetown, such as the Fairchild Street and Princess Alice bus terminals and around the Treasury building. According to public information, these zones will be extended to other locations as part of the Smart City initiative, including Cheapside Market, Jubilee Gardens, around the Parliament building, Swan Street, and Suttle Street.\textsuperscript{231} The government is also working on digitizing thousands of files from multiple ministries as part of an online platform enabling management of documents and public service records.\textsuperscript{232}

130. In June 2022, this Office learned of new efforts by the Ministry of Industry, Innovation, Science and Technology to strengthen information technology and communications departments within government. The efforts come after a ransomware attack three months earlier that forced the government to shut down all of its platforms.\textsuperscript{233} State authorities are holding talks on restructuring the departments in charge of cybersecurity monitoring, regulation, verification, training and facilitation.

131. Given the rising number of cyber attacks, this Officer urges authorities in the Caribbean to intensify their efforts to introduce and implement robust legislation on data protection and cybercrime prevention. This task is particularly important given the rapid digitization of government services in the region. Likewise, this
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Office welcomes the private sector and civil society efforts to offer and participate in spaces for discussing how to protect personal information and civil liberties in the digital age.\textsuperscript{234}

132. The Office of the Special Rapporteur wishes to state that in order to avoid broad definitions that could lead to criminalization of the use of the Internet, the concept of cybersecurity narrowly refers to protection of a series of legal rights like infrastructure and information that is stored or in any way administered over the Internet, but not the technological means used to commit a crime of any kind.\textsuperscript{235} To the extent that governments legislate on cybercrimes, the Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that the State response in terms of security in cyberspace must be limited and proportionate and seek to pursue specific legal purposes that do not compromise the democratic virtues that characterize the network. In this sense, governments should refrain from preferring concentrated and centralized use of criminal law as the basic tool for addressing all possible threats to online safety.\textsuperscript{236} Likewise, when taking the initiative to protect security in cyberspace, States should include specific safeguards in the law to ensure that normal conduct inherent to the use of the Internet is not criminalized. States also must ensure that the acts defined cause actual harm, and that the harmful conduct is committed with criminal intent.\textsuperscript{237} Lastly, public policies on cybersecurity must be proportionate to the risk in question, and in all cases the security objective must be balanced with the protection of fundamental rights.\textsuperscript{238} Authorities must report and be held accountable with respect to the cybersecurity measures taken, both the measures implemented directly and the measures implemented by private intermediaries engaged by the State.\textsuperscript{239}
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BELIZE

133. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports of multiple tensions between media outlets and State authorities, including public figures and politicians. According to what the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn, these tensions emerged from a failure to investigate the murder of a producer from the US, the expulsion of a journalist from a multilateral summit of regional leaders, and the broadcasting of allegedly slanderous comments against the country’s prime minister. Specifically, this Office learned of a lawsuit involving at least two news outlets seeking the broadcasting of an apology and possibly compensation to the Prime Minister for broadcasting the apparently false accusations of the leader of the opposition. Over the course of the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also learned that an update to the country’s defamation legislation had been presented, leading to a series of criticisms from the journalism association. Additionally, the national police opened a center against cybercrime with an enhanced ability to intercept communications in the country. As in other Caribbean States, the visit by members of the British monarchy in 2022 led to protests, especially by historically marginalized groups. Lastly this year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was pleased to receive reports of workshops aimed at training future journalists in the country, especially on fact checking.

A. Journalism and democracy

134. On January 17, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that six years after the murder of American producer Anne Swaney, of ABC7, the whereabouts of her remains were still unknown. Additionally, information received by this Office indicates that no progress has been made on identifying and punishing the perpetrators and masterminds of the crime.240 The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) reportedly offered a reward to anyone with information about the crime.241 Although the original lines of investigation indicated that the journalists had been strangled by assailants while on vacation in the western part of the country, the authorities had no additional information as of the publication of this annual report.242

135. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that violence against journalists in general and the murder of journalists over their work specifically is the most serious violation of freedom of expression, not only because it endangers the lives and safety of victims but because these crimes are multifaceted offenses. That is, they affect a series of legally protected rights, including: i) the right of victims to express themselves and express their ideas; ii) the chilling effect on journalists working in the same area, region, or country; and iii) effects on the right of freedom of expression in its collective dimension by depriving society as a whole of its right to be informed. Consequently, democratic debate is also affected, as the kind of free and open debate necessary for a healthy and robust democracy is restricted or suppressed.243 Additionally, this Office emphasizes that States are required to adopt adequate measures to put an end to the climate of impunity. Among other things, they must allocate sufficient resources and attention to prevent attacks on journalists and other persons to exercise their right to freedom of expression, investigate these attacks when they take place, prosecute those responsible, and provide compensation to the victims.244

136. Toward the beginning of March 2022, this Office received reports alleging that Cherisse Halsall, a journalist with Channel 7, had been expelled from a supposedly restricted area of the 33rd Inter-Sessional Meeting of the Heads of Government of the Caribbean Community, held in San Pedro Town. According to the

240 ABC. January 15, 2022. Murder of ABC7 producer Anne Swaney in Belize remains unsolved 6 years later; Love FM Belize.
244 OAS, UN, OSCE. Joint Declaration on Censorship by Killing and Defamation. 2000.
information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the reporter was removed for having broken a protocol denying access to the media; her removal was captured by a cameraperson.245

137. This Office also learned that the journalist had accused the Belizean government of having supposedly granted an “all-access pass” to Channel 5 in an alleged display of favoritism.246 According to public information, the Chief Executive Officer for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade, and Immigration served as CEO of Channel 5 until February 2021, despite having been appointed to her new position in November 2020.247

138. In a press release, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade, and Immigration rejected the journalist’s accusations of censorship and favoritism, stating that all media were informed on multiple occasions of restricted access areas, and it therefore accused Channel 7 of "blatantly ignor[ing]" the protocol.248 The press release expressed regret at “the decision by Channel 7 to play victim and cry foul with extreme sensationalism.”

139. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that government transparency and accountability—both national and multilateral—strengthen democratic systems. Principle 4 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that, “Access to information held by the state is a fundamental right of every individual. States have the obligation to guarantee the full exercise of this right. This principle allows only exceptional limitations that must be previously established by law in case of a real and imminent danger that threatens national security in democratic societies.” Also, as has been noted, this right is even more important because it is intimately related to the principle of government transparency and the public nature of a government’s actions. The State “is a vehicle for ensuring the common good. In this context, the owner of the information is the individual who has delegated the management of public affairs to his or her representatives.”

140. The Office of the Special Rapporteur wishes to underscore that the right to access information is a critical tool for citizen oversight of State operations and public administration, and for controlling corruption. The right to access information is a fundamental requirement for guaranteeing transparency and good public administration of the government and other State authorities. The full exercise of the right to access information is crucial for preventing abuses by public officials. It fosters the rendition of accounts and transparency in State administration and prevents corruption and authoritarianism.249

141. As regards the comments from State entities and representatives against journalists, the IACHR has recommended that public officials be careful to ensure that their comments are accurate, avoid stigmatizing and discrediting the media by labeling them in a way that implies “fake news” or other descriptions that discredit the media, and do not threaten journalists or undermine respect for the independence of the media.250 Politicians and public officials should also refrain from taking actions that undermine the independence of the media, such as politically interfering in operations, assuming commercial control of regulatory bodies or commercial, community, or public media, or pressuring online platforms to regulate content.251

142. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was pleased to learn that on March 9, 2022, a group of 50 young people from Belize and Trinidad and Tobago gathered to participate in a virtual journalism program called

245 7 News Belize. March 1, 2022. The Moment When The 7News Team Was Ejected From CARICOM Inter-sessional; Breaking Belize News. March 1, 2022. Channel 7’s cherisse Halsall ejected as controversy erupts over coverage of CARICOM summit; Opposition issues condemnation.
“Fact Check: Critical Journalism for Youth.” According to the information received by this Office, the program lasted two weeks and was sponsored by the Embassy of the United States. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the efforts to train future journalists in the region. This Office also welcomes training on fact checking in the midst of an increase in disinformation and false news in the Caribbean and the Americas.

143. On April 1, 2022, this Office was informed that a law firm representing Prime Minister John Briceño sent a letter to Channel 7 and opposition leader Moses Barrow for having made allegedly defamatory statements against Borrow during a television program. According to public information, the letter asked the network to issue a retraction and an apology on television and on all the digital platforms where the media outlet had a presence. The attorneys also said they were seeking compensation of $100,000 from Channel 7 and Borrow for damaging the prime minister’s reputation. The letter was also received by Channel 5 even though it had neither broadcast nor commented on Borrow’s on-air statements.

144. On April 4, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that Channel 7 had apologized during its evening broadcast that day. In its retraction, available to the public, the network said it wished for “all its viewers in Belize and abroad” to know that the statements made by opposition leader Borrow on air were "untrue and without any factual basis whatsoever." Additionally, the media outlet apologized for any negative impact the comments may have on the prime minister and his family committing to refrain from disseminating the statements in question on television or any other digital form. The information received by this Office indicates that the opposition leader refused to apologize to the prime minister.

145. Regarding these facts, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States must guarantee that civil defamation laws are adjusted to provide greater protection for speech about politics and other matters of public interest, including by requiring politicians and public officials to tolerate a higher level of criticism than ordinary citizens; ensure that damages awards are proportionate taking into account all of the circumstances and are not so large as to exert a chilling effect on freedom of expression; ensure that courts have the power, either at the request of the defendant or on their own motion, to dismiss, in a summary fashion at an early stage of the proceedings, defamation lawsuits involving statements on matters of public interest that do not have a realistic chance of success; and ensure that appropriate defenses are available to defendants in defamation cases involving statements on matters of public interest so that they do not bear strict liability for inaccurate statements of fact.

146. That said, this Office underscores that the State’s obligation to protect the rights of others is fulfilled by providing for statutory protection from intentional attacks on honor and reputation via civil action and enacting laws guaranteeing the right to rectification or response.

147. On July 13, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a decision by the administration of the Prime Minister to hire a media activist as an advisor to the government press office. According to public information, the prime minister had to explain to citizens and media that the hiring was a request of the government press office.”

148. Regarding public scrutiny, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the types of information relevant for State control—such as information on public procurement, contracting, budgets, and

---

253 Channel News 5. April 1, 2022. Lawyers Send Letter to Leader of the Opposition Regarding Comments Made About Prime Minister; PlusTV Belize. April 1, 2022. PM threatens legal action after LOO accuses him of cartel affiliation; Breaking Belize News. April 10, 2022. Opposition Leader maintains he was not directly referring to Prime Minister in 'Uncut' statement.
other information—must not be subject to classification but instead disclosed proactively.258 This Office likewise emphasizes that democratic control of public administration through public opinion fosters transparency for State activities and holds public officials responsible for their actions, along with representing a means of achieving the highest level of citizen participation. Hence, the proper development of democracy requires greater circulation of reports, opinions, and ideas on matters of public interest.259

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

149. On February 4, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the cabinet of Prime Minister John Briceño had tabled the Defamation Bill. According to what this Office was able to establish, the bill would repeal the Libel and Defamation Act and strike a new balance between freedom of expression and citizens’ right to their good name.260 According to public information, the Libel and Defamation Act, effective December 31, 2000, allows criminal prosecution by order of a judge if it is found that “any proprietor, publisher, editor or any person responsible for the publication of” the information has committed defamation.261 Although the original law does not define defamation, the Supreme Court of Belize has defined it as the publication of defamatory words about an individual which may impugn or injure a person’s reputation. Additionally, the Libel and Defamation Act also defines seditious libel as another criminal offense, punishable with up to two years in prison. It defines it as “the publication, by print, writing, painting or by any means otherwise than solely by gestures, spoken words or other sounds, of any matter with a seditious purpose.” A “seditious purpose” is defined as “a purpose to excite any of Her Majesty’s subjects to the obtaining by force or other unlawful means of an alteration in the laws or in the form of Government.”

150. This Office was also informed that the Attorney General, Magali Marin-Young, had stated in February that the Defamation Bill would modernize the Libel and Defamation Act.262 According to the Attorney General, the new bill would simplify the lawsuit and defense by combining the crimes of defamation and seditious libel. According to the information received by this Office, the reform would extend defamation and slander to include social media, whereas the original law focused solely on material published in traditional media, including newspapers, television, and the radio. Likewise, the statements of the Attorney General indicate that both the media and members of the general public would be responsible for moderating supposedly defamatory content online.

151. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also took note of the reactions to the Defamation Bill by the media and members of journalism associations. In particular, some journalism houses expressed disappointment at having not been consulted during the process to draft the law in question.263 There was also clear frustration at the lack of a national media and journalist association in Belize. This Office learned that the new law is up to three times longer in terms of the number of clauses than its predecessor, meaning the ground cover is far wider, including images, photographs, maps, drawings, audio recordings, and film, both print and digital.

152. On February 21, 2022, in response to criticisms of the first draft of the Defamation Bill, the Constitution and Foreign Affairs Committee met with representatives of the leading media outlets.264 According to the reports received by this Office, the media representatives argued that the new law must include greater protection for journalists and the media, distributing the duties and responsibilities among all parties equitably. The representatives therefore asked that they not be required to moderate the comment sections on digital platforms, as this would also be required of social networks. Lastly, the representatives expressed...
concern at the possibility that the law, like its predecessor, would be used for legally harassing journalists and media outlets, especially by public figures and high-profile persons to intimidate and silence the media. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that following a meeting between the sector and the Constitution and Foreign Affairs Committee, the Defamation Bill would be sent back to the Attorney General’s ministry for additional adjustments. This Office also received information indicating that new individual comments on the bill would be received until March 15, 2022. In July 2022, the bill was submitted to the Senate following its passage by the House of Representatives. The House Committee reportedly proposed a significant number of amendments, most of which were included; the Senate made no objection.\textsuperscript{265}

153. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that all State members must revise their legislation on defamation to ensure it does not restrict the right to freedom of expression and is compatible with their international obligations.\textsuperscript{266} Therefore, States must consider the possibility of repealing criminal defamation laws and adopting civil laws in their place, in keeping with the pertinent international guidelines. Defamation laws must reflect the importance of an open debate on matters in the public interest, as well as the principle that public figures are required to submit themselves to more intense scrutiny by private citizens. In particular, laws that provide special protection to public figures—like desacato laws—must be repealed. Civil penalties for defamation must not be so large as to have a chilling effect on freedom of expression and must be designed to reestablish the reputational damage, rather than indemnify the plaintiff or punish the defendant. In particular, pecuniary sanctions must be strictly proportional to the real damage caused, and the law should prioritize the use of a range of nonpecuniary reparations.

154. With regard to restrictions on social media, this Office reiterates that Internet restrictions based on content, applied to either the dissemination or receipt of information, must be imposed only in strict compliance with the guarantee of freedom of expression, in view of the special nature of the Internet.\textsuperscript{267} Persons must not be held responsible for Internet content that they have not authored unless they have adopted it as their own or refused to obey a court order to remove it. Jurisdiction on cases related to the Internet must be restricted to States where authors have established themselves or where the content is specifically directed. A State must not have jurisdiction simply because the content was downloaded there.

155. As the Office of the Special Rapporteur has indicated previously, journalism is a primary unprincipled manifestation of freedom of expression, and States have a positive obligation to provide a safe working environment for the media. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also indicated on previous occasions that fear of criminal sanctions necessarily discourages and inhibits citizens from expressing themselves on matters of public interest. The IACHR has held that “[t]he sort of political debate encouraged by the right to free expression will inevitably generate some speech that is critical of, and even offensive to those who hold public office or are intimately involved in the formation of public policy.” Additionally, Principle 5 of the IACHR’s Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression indicates that, “Prior censorship, direct or indirect interference in or pressure exerted upon any expression, opinion or information transmitted through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual or electronic communication must be prohibited by law. Restrictions to the free circulation of ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the imposition of obstacles to the free flow of information violate the right to freedom of expression.”

C. Freedom of expression and the struggle against discrimination and exclusion

156. July 2022, this is received reports alleging that the new representative of the media before the People’s Constitutional Commission (PCC), from Plus TV, was accused of participating in events described as stigmatizing and misogynist.\textsuperscript{268} The PCC is preparing a draft constitutional reform for Belize. Although the media have been excluded from the initial meetings on drafting a new Constitution, they were offered the

\textsuperscript{265} Amandala Newspaper, July 20, 2022. Efforts to modernize Belize’s legislation continue.
\textsuperscript{266} OAS, UN, OSCE. Joint Declaration on Censorship by Killing and Defamation. 2000.
\textsuperscript{267} OAS, UN, OSCE. Joint Statement on Internet and on Anti-Terrorist Measures. 2005.
opportunity to select a representative to participate in the conversations. The representative was eventually chosen by 6 of the 11 independent media outlets in the country.

157. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that it is especially important for States to take action to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression by LGBTI persons and empower those who are impacted and made invisible.269 This Office also underscores that promoting and protecting the right to freedom of expression must be joined with efforts to combat intolerance, discrimination, hate speech, and incitement to violence, and especially with promoting proactive public policies on social inclusion in the media and to ensure that LGBTI persons and communities can effectively exercise their right to freedom of expression without discrimination. All these efforts must, in general, adhere strictly to international human rights law and, in particular, to standards on freedom of expression.270

158. Toward the end of August, this Office documented with concern reports from a number of students that they were being refused entry to their educational institutions because they did not comply with school policy on hairstyles.271 The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes that in response to this, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Science, and Technology expressed its rejection of the measure, referring to the country’s Constitution and the guarantees set forth therein on freedom of expression, conscience, and nondiscrimination.

D. Internet and freedom of expression

159. On February 22, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Police Department had established a new center for fighting cybercrime. Additionally, the police had received training from the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) to combat these illegal activities.272 According to public information, the training and new equipment for the police enables them to penetrate cellular phones, computers, and other devices to detect and prevent cybercrime. As corroborated by this Office, the Commissioner of Police said that thanks to the UNODC’s support, the police would be able to "unmask those fake accounts" that "defame the character of people" on social media, especially on Facebook.

160. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that public policies on cybersecurity must be proportionate to the risk in question, and in all cases the security objective must be balanced with the protection of fundamental rights. In this regard, the State response in terms of security in cyberspace must be limited and proportionate and seek to pursue specific legal purposes that do not compromise the democratic virtues that characterize the network. When taking the initiative to protect security in cyberspace, States should include specific safeguards in the law to ensure that normal conduct inherent to the use of the Internet is not criminalized. States also must ensure that the acts defined cause actual harm, and that the harmful conduct is committed with criminal intent. In general, States must provide information on the general policy guidelines, the agencies in charge of them, and their responsibilities. In the event of attacks or imminent risks, States must be held accountable or order investigations to gauge the extent of what happened. Official programs and public policies on cybersecurity must have mechanisms for supervision and control overseen by a judge.

BOLIVIA

161. The challenges to the guarantees for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression are framed in a context of tension, the fragmentation of the social fabric shows serious signs of deterioration of public debate and is rooted in processes of discrimination and racism. The conflicts surrounding the Civic Strike in Santa Cruz are examples of these social tensions, where both the excessive use of force and acts of violence by some individuals in the context of demonstrations are reported. The stigmatization by political leaders of different sectors towards voices considered as opposition is another constant element in the deterioration of public debate, where the use of social networks by people who exercise public functions to disseminate content without due verification also stands out. Journalistic work is often considered part of these voices and one of the main actors to whom stigmatizing speeches are directed, which are often repeated by citizens and lead to aggressions against them, especially in events where polarization and conflict are exhibited. In 2022, the Rapporteurship recorded a tendency to prosecute journalists who report matters of public interest and warned of an increase in complaints about threats as well as institutional challenges to guarantee the confidentiality of sources. RELE observes that there are important challenges for the guarantee of the right of access to public information in Bolivia, which include the lack of a detailed and comprehensive legislative framework on this right. Building consensus and a sense of common destiny in the diversity of the Plurinational State also requires the adoption of measures against intolerant speeches, based on prevention and education, aimed at combating structural discrimination.

A. Journalism and democracy

162. The Rapporteurship received reports on the alleged persistence of episodes of violence against the press in Bolivia, framed in a context of high social polarization. The main aggressions were reportedly recorded in demonstrations, with particular escalation in the context of the Santa Cruz Civic Strike and protests related to the operation of ADEPCOCA and the coca leaf market in Bolivia. Journalistic coverage of incidents related to this context in different circumstances has been hindered by stigmatizing statements, threats, harassment and even physical violence. Likewise, the Rapporteurship has noted with concern threats to the confidentiality of sources and the activation of lawsuits against journalists covering matters of public interest.

163. The Office has learned of the aggression against Vladimir Rojas Ramos, a journalist of the Unitel television network, who was assaulted during a news coverage by groups protesting against the mandatory vaccination card for Covid-19. Rojas Ramos received blows, shoves and a stone that injured his head in January 2022, in a context where journalists from different media also reported acts of threats and harassment against him while trying to cover the protests against the mandatory vaccination card. On January 4, 2022, anti-vaccine protesters stood in front of the headquarters of the newspaper El Deber and threatened to violently enter the building.

164. Subsequently, a group of workers from one company reportedly held for more than three hours a team of journalists who were covering, outside the company's headquarters, events related to lawsuits over the ownership of its machinery. The team was only released on the condition that the recorded material would not be disseminated. The Rapporteurship was also informed of aggressions against a Unitel team when they were trying to carry out their work in the context of a demonstration organized in Santa Cruz in March.

---

2022. In June, while attempting to cover a demonstration in support of the government in the vicinity of the presidential building and an episode of confrontations between demonstrators and persons questioning the protest in which a journalist was allegedly physically assaulted by persons participating in the demonstration.

165. Between August and September, in the context of escalating tension in the mobilizations over the management of the coca market in La Paz, the Rapporteurship also received reports of aggressions against journalists and obstructions to their right to document the facts and report. For example, on August 2, a journalist reportedly suffered injuries to an arm while covering demonstrations between police and sectors linked to coca leaf production, according to information received. Also, on August 9, a journalist and a cameraman were reportedly physically assaulted by persons allegedly participating in the demonstrations while covering live events in the Villa Fatima area. This Office also received information that a man not involved in the demonstrations, who was filming the events from his vehicle, was reportedly beaten and detained by people allegedly demonstrating and released only after a transport leader confirmed his identity.

166. On October 12, the Ombudsman's Office condemned the aggression suffered by a journalist of the Cadena A’ television network, who was stoned while covering the clashes between El Alto drivers and neighbors. The clashes reportedly took place in the context of a demonstration against a Transport Summit called by the La Paz City Hall. Different teams of journalists covering the events also reportedly suffered physical and verbal aggressions and damage to their cameras and microphones. On December 8, a journalist from El Deber was hit in the head with a stone, beaten and stripped of his belongings and work equipment when he tried to do his work in a confrontation between neighbors and people occupying property belonging to third parties.

167. During the Civic Strike in Santa Cruz, the press has also faced challenges to the exercise of its work. The episodes of violence and aggressions against the press include acts attributable to police agents, as well as alleged pro and anti Civic Strike demonstrators, in addition to the actions of groups known as "shock groups." The reports include verbal and physical aggressions, attempts to damage equipment, theft of equipment, threats to journalists to erase material, among others. The Rapporteurship has recorded with
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The attention of this Office is drawn to the cases in which journalists were prevented from carrying out journalistic work in relation to matters of public interest, public events, or other activities promoted by the State. In this regard, RELE highlights that on April 4, 2022, by decision of judicial authorities, journalistic coverage of a virtual hearing of the trial against former President Jeanine Añez was arrested for aggravated robbery and criminal association and that on November 25, 2022 a court of second instance would have determined the continuity of his detention, in preventive mode, for 30 days. According to the National Press Association of Bolivia, the case is based on a "simulation of a crime", since the police allegedly tried to "incriminate him by implanting a homemade explosive to justify his arrest".

The Rapporteurship notes that, on different occasions, episodes of violence and harassment against journalists are accompanied by stigmatizing speeches by the people who perpetrate the violence. In this context, the Office has monitored reports of other actions against the work of the media by agents working under the control of the judiciary, including the seizure of equipment and the removal of recordings when attempting to cover hearings in cases related to matters of public interest. Similarly, according to the information available, different teams of journalists were allegedly pushed by security agents and prevented from covering the events held to commemorate the 213th anniversary of the liberation of the country against the Spanish colony. Likewise, a cameraman was reportedly assaulted by a security guard while trying to cover the raid by the Attorney General's Office on the offices of the Santa Cruz Governor's Office.

The Rapporteurship notes that, on different occasions, episodes of violence and harassment against journalists are accompanied by stigmatizing speeches by the people who perpetrate the violence. In this context, the Office has monitored reports of other actions against the work of the media by agents working under the control of the judiciary, including the seizure of equipment and the removal of recordings when attempting to cover hearings in cases related to matters of public interest. Similarly, according to the information available, different teams of journalists were allegedly pushed by security agents and prevented from covering the events held to commemorate the 213th anniversary of the liberation of the country against the Spanish colony. Likewise, a cameraman was reportedly assaulted by a security guard while trying to cover the raid by the Attorney General's Office on the offices of the Santa Cruz Governor's Office.

The Rapporteurship notes that, on different occasions, episodes of violence and harassment against journalists are accompanied by stigmatizing speeches by the people who perpetrate the violence. In this context, the Office has monitored reports of other actions against the work of the media by agents working under the control of the judiciary, including the seizure of equipment and the removal of recordings when attempting to cover hearings in cases related to matters of public interest. Similarly, according to the information available, different teams of journalists were allegedly pushed by security agents and prevented from covering the events held to commemorate the 213th anniversary of the liberation of the country against the Spanish colony. Likewise, a cameraman was reportedly assaulted by a security guard while trying to cover the raid by the Attorney General's Office on the offices of the Santa Cruz Governor's Office.
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IACHR Rapporteur calls for guarantees for the press in Bolivia.
context, RELE rejects the stigmatization of the press by political leaders and people who exercise public functions in Bolivia. The Vice President of the Republic affirmed that "licensed" journalists could only teach "how to steal" to unlicensed journalists ("What are they going to teach us? They are going to teach us how to steal!")\textsuperscript{301}. After receiving criticism for his pronouncement, the vice-president pointed out that the media had disseminated his message in a decontextualized manner with the objective of "making us fight among ourselves" and "confuse"\textsuperscript{302}. At the same time, after the publication of newspaper articles questioning the public management of ambulance purchases in the State of Potosí, the governor of Potosí publicly stated that he did not care what the media said\textsuperscript{303}. Despite this, two days later the governor publicly retracted his statement, arguing that his "eloquence was wrong and offensive to the people who work in the media"\textsuperscript{304}.

170. The Rapporteurship has also learned of stigmatizing statements made by political leaders who, although they do not currently hold public office\textsuperscript{305}, their speeches have a significant impact on public debate. Thus, for example, a former president stated that some media "are worse than the atomic bomb, worse than NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization), they destroy the people"\textsuperscript{306}. Likewise, a former governor reportedly used the expression "good afternoon, sexual harassers" to greet journalists who tried to interview him about judicial proceedings and investigations opened by the Attorney General's Office against him\textsuperscript{307}.

171. The Rapporteurship is also concerned about the constant filing of lawsuits - or threats of lawsuits - against journalists who cover matters of public interest, based on the alleged abusive exercise of freedom of expression. For example, this year the president of the Departmental Legislative Assembly (ALD) of Potosí threatened to file lawsuits against the media that published that he had not finished high school. The parliamentarian said that he did have that school degree and that he was collecting the certificates to prove it\textsuperscript{308}. At the same time, on January 27, 2022, the 13th Criminal Sentencing Judge of the city of La Paz determined, under the reasoning that the content broadcast was based on false data, that three journalists should take off the air and suspend the broadcasting of informative material with videos in which a sports leader allegedly acted in an irregular negotiation of votes in favor of the president of the Bolivian Soccer Federation during his candidacy for reelection\textsuperscript{309}.

172. Throughout 2022, the Rapporteurship has become aware of different judicial processes that have not observed the right to confidentiality of journalistic sources. In general, the Rapporteurship has observed subpoenas, summons and summons to hearings addressed to journalists who had covered various topics of public interest (such as public health, food in public schools, possible acts of corruption, police actions in social protests, prison conditions and damage to public buildings), with the aim of providing information on the reported issues and their sources\textsuperscript{310}. In some cases, it was reportedly stressed that failure of journalists to

\textsuperscript{301} Unitel. April 11, 2022. "A robar nos van a enseñar", el vicepresidente habló sobre los profesionales licenciados; Agencia de Noticia Fides. 9 April 2022. Choquehuanca: Los licenciados a robar nos van a enseñar, bien flojos son.

\textsuperscript{302} ABL. June 22, 2022. President calls for unity of social organizations in the face of the opposition’s attempted onslaught, Unitel. April 11, 2022. "A robar nos van a enseñar", vice president spoke about licensed professionals.
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appear voluntarily could result in their arrest\textsuperscript{311}. However, the Rapporteurship has also observed that, as a result of complaints from journalists and trade organizations against such acts, the injunctions have been cancelled by the prosecutorial authorities\textsuperscript{312}. In this context, the Rapporteurship highlights the case of journalists who were summoned as witnesses in order, according to information provided by the State, to collaborate with the work of the Public Prosecutor's Office investigating the aforementioned human rights violations\textsuperscript{313}. The State reported that the aforementioned subpoenas were without effect\textsuperscript{314}. The State has also reported that the municipal mayor of Yacuiba, Tarija, has requested that the court request for protect independent journalism and cease economic pressure against 'Los Tiempos' of notice and the Vice Minister of; Eju; Asociación Nacional de la Prensa; Report of the Chapultepec Index of Freedom of Expression andomic asphyxiation".

The Rapporteurship has also learned of reports of the seizure of a property where Radio Panamericana is said to keep transmission equipment, with damages estimated at US$25,000 for the destruction of electronic equipment and antennas, in the context of an occupation of agrarian properties\textsuperscript{318}. At the same time, in the case of El Diario, the Inter-American Press Association has reported a "tax persecution" that "extended to its advertisers, whom the government is threatening not to advertise in its pages",\textsuperscript{321}

The Rapporteurship has also recorded other serious incidents of threats and acts of violence against the press. The Rapporteurship has continued to receive information about threats against cartoonist Abel Bellido Córdova (Abecor), of Página Siete, including threats against his life. During 2022, Abel Bellido Córdova denounced that the threats now extend to his family\textsuperscript{317}.

Finally, the Rapporteurship has become aware of the context of crisis that the newspaper Los Tiempos is going through, which was defined as a scenario of "economic asphyxiation"\textsuperscript{319}. According to information reported by the Inter-American Press Association, the newspaper has been subject to "disproportionate and periodic fiscal and administrative audits against the media and the hostility of a businessman who is allegedly close to the Government and the official party, who would be exerting pressure on the shareholders of Los Tiempos to take over the company at a derisory price"\textsuperscript{320}. In this context, the Rapporteurship highlights the case of journalist reveal the source of information is said to
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176. Freedom of expression is a cornerstone in the very existence of a democratic society and journalism is the primary and main manifestation of freedom of expression and thought. The RELE reiterates that attacks and aggressions committed against journalists and the press violate the right to freedom of expression in both its individual and collective dimension and should not be tolerated in a democratic society. Likewise, this Office has previously pointed out that the fear of judicial sanctions, especially criminal sanctions, discourages and inhibits citizens from expressing themselves on matters of public interest. The lack of transparency and accountability of public authorities has a negative impact on the strengthening of democratic systems and, as a general rule, the media should be allowed to cover events of public interest, without discrimination based on editorial line. RELE highlights that in contexts of social protests, the right to freedom of expression protects the right to record and broadcast any incident.

177. Therefore, the practice of journalism, as a privileged channel for public debate, needs the State to provide guarantees for its work, fulfilling both its obligations of respect and its obligations of guarantee -which implies preventing, protecting and investigating judgining and punishing acts of violence and threats against the press and undue hindrance to its deployment, in addition to respecting the right of journalists to reserve their sources of information, notes and personal and professional archives. In this sense, the duty of States to prevent violence against the press implies that public officials and political leaders adopt a public discourse that contributes to prevention and that, on the contrary, does not encourage violence against journalists. Finally, although the right to freedom of expression may be subject to subsequent responsibilities, these must meet the requirements of legality, legitimate objective, necessity and proportionality.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

178. Throughout the year, the Rapporteurship learned of violent confrontations in the context of protests and social demands that demonstrate the need to rebuild the social fabric in Bolivia. On the one hand, as highlighted by the IACHR, this is rooted in historical social tensions that can lead to acts of violence. On the other hand, it is related to the increase in social conflict and the deployment of the State under the assumption of preventing serious disturbances to public order and maintaining social peace. The Rapporteurship has received communications that have reported episodes of detentions described as arbitrary and possible attempts to disrupt and disperse marches. In this context, in 2022 the Rapporteurship has followed the demonstrations related to the management of the coca market, especially between August and September, in La Paz, and the self-styled civic strike in Santa Cruz, during the months of October and November. At the same time, the Rapporteurship has recorded challenges to guarantees of academic freedom in a public university in Bolivia.
the country and, although it welcomes some progress regarding the right of access to information, it emphasizes that the country still lacks a comprehensive and integral regulation in this area.

179. The Rapporteurship learned that, since July 25 and, with particular intensity since August 1, some sectors of the Departmental Association of Coca Producers have carried out days of protests in the area of Villa El Carmen and in the area of Villa Fatima, in the city of La Paz, with the objective of making visible different claims and demands related to the current management of the coca market in Bolivia. The Rapporteurship takes note of episodes of particular conflict during the month of August. This Office has received information on alleged detentions of demonstrators; aggressions against police and demonstrators; obstructions to the work of journalists and media; and disproportionate use of public force to disperse protests and generate destruction to the facilities of Adepcoca’s headquarters. According to information provided by the Ombudsman’s Office, the indiscriminate use of “dynamite sticks” and firecrackers by demonstrators was reported, as well as the use of tear gas by police officers to disperse those participating in various demonstrations. They also reported cases of demonstrators seriously injured by the detonation of dynamite and the impact of gas grenades. As reported by the State of Bolivia, at least 28 people were treated in hospitals in La Paz for events related to the social conflict; and another 22 police officers needed to be treated in health institutions. Likewise, the IACHR and the Rapporteurship learned that a policewoman had been detained by demonstrators on August 9 and subsequently released. At the same time, the Ombudsman’s Office reported on 8 schools that had been seriously affected because they were located in the vicinity of the Adepcoca markets, both in Villa Fatima and Villa El Carmen. In addition, the deterioration in the quality of life of the citizens and an affectation to the rights of education and health, due to the lack of timely and effective response of the authorities to resolve the confrontation.

180. According to information provided by the State, between June and September 2022, at least 45 people were detained for acts related to the demonstrations and the social conflict, despite the fact that most of them had been granted house arrest or release. The State also reported that “most of the persons arrested or detained were found in flagrante delicto with explosives, dynamite and devices that, if they had not been detained and confiscated by the competent authorities, could have affected the life and integrity of the residents of the areas where the Adepcoca conflict is taking place.”

---

334 DW. 20 September 2022. Bolivian government invites coca growers to set “route” to solve crisis | The most important news and analysis in Latin America; Swissinfo. 9 September 2022. Coca growers’ conflict in Bolivia worsens amidst demands and announcement of trial.

335 Office of the Ombudsman. August 24, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office warns that the State fails to fulfill its duty to guarantee education, health, quality of life, transportation and integrity of the residents of the areas where the ADEPCOCA conflict is taking place; Defensoría del Pueblo evidences that the ADEPCOCA conflict affects the development of educational activities; Defensoría del Pueblo. August 22, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office observes indiscriminate use of chemical agents by police and dynamite sticks by mobilized coca growers; August 18, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office urges authorities and actors in the Adepcoca conflict to renew dialogue to find a peaceful solution; Ombudsman’s Office. August 9, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office verifies 11 polycontusions among the 25 people arrested for Adepcoca mobilizations; Defensoría del Pueblo. August 8, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office verifies one seriously injured in the Adepcoca mobilizations and calls for dialogue with both sectors of this coca growers’ organization; Office of the Ombudsman. September 28, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office investigates police excesses allegedly committed to the apprehension of coca grower leader; Defensoría del Pueblo. September 8, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office condemns excesses during the march of coca leaf producers in La Paz; Ombudsman’s Office. August 8, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office verifies a serious injury in the Adepcoca demonstrations and calls for dialogue with both sectors of this coca growers’ organization.


340 Office of the Ombudsman. August 24, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office shows that the ADEPCOCA conflict affects the development of educational activities.

341 Office of the Ombudsman. August 24, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office warns that the State is failing in its duty to guarantee education, health, quality of life, transportation and integrity of the residents of the areas where the ADEPCOCA conflict is taking place.

342 Office of the Ombudsman. August 24, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office warns the State of its responsibility to guarantee education, health, quality of life, transportation and integrity of the residents of the areas where the ADEPCOCA conflict is taking place.

surrounding population”\textsuperscript{344} . On August 9, during a press conference in which 24 arrests were reported, the Bolivian Minister of Government affirmed that certain sectors of the Departmental Association of Coca Producers hire people to generate "terror and anxiety”\textsuperscript{345} . Likewise, the persons detained were allegedly publicly exhibited\textsuperscript{346} . In this context, the Mission in Bolivia of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) stated that "excessive use of force and public exhibition of detainees are of concern, contrary to human rights obligations”\textsuperscript{347} . According to information from the Ombudsman’s Office, at least 11 of the detainees had signs that they may have been beaten during their detention\textsuperscript{348}.

181. The State has informed the Rapporteurship that the executive branch, through the Ministry of Rural Development and Lands, the Vice-Ministry of Coca and Rural Development, the Ministry of the Presidency, as well as the legislative branch, has repeatedly called for dialogue with the coca-producing sectors\textsuperscript{349} . Between August and September, the summons were reiterated on at least 8 occasions. The State particularly emphasized the organization of the Working Group "Dialoguing for Peace in the Yungas", with the objective of formulating strategies to solve the conflict, identifying demands, agreements and defining mechanisms to solve the problem\textsuperscript{350} . The proposed methodology would include technical representatives of the State, representatives of ADEPCOCA and the full board of directors, representatives of COFECAY, and representatives of producers and retailers. In this regard, the Rapporteurship takes note of the challenges for the establishment of spaces for dialogue on the part of the Government, for example, because some of the parties have requested the fulfillment of certain preconditions for the installation of dialogue tables and because of the momentary rejection of attempts at dialogue\textsuperscript{351} . The Rapporteurship emphasizes that, according to the State, the result of the conflict is also related to "the intransigent will of the parties’ not to heed calls for dialogue and to avoid conflict\textsuperscript{352} .

182. The IACHR and its RELE have monitored the citizen protests that resulted in a Civic Strike in Santa Cruz, which began last October 21 due to the disagreement of some social sectors with the Decree of the Executive Branch, which postponed the National Population and Housing Census to 2024\textsuperscript{353} . The strike would have lasted 36 days and, since day one, there have been multiple confrontations between sectors that support the strike and those who reject it\textsuperscript{354}.

183. The IACHR and its RELE regretted the death of a person on October 22 after receiving physical aggressions and called on the State to investigate the facts and promote a broad dialogue with all sectors of society, prioritizing human rights\textsuperscript{355} . On November 2, the Ombudsman’s Office reported confrontations between civilians and police in the municipality of La Guardia\textsuperscript{356} . According to what the IACHR and its RELE were able to learn, at least 8 people were reportedly detained there, now under investigation for crimes of


\textsuperscript{347} OHCHR-Bolivia Twitter account (@Oacnudh_BO). August 11, 2022.

\textsuperscript{348} Office of the Ombudsman August 11, 2022. \textit{The Ombudsman’s Office verifies 11 polycontusions among the 25 people who were arrested for the mobilizations of Adepcoca}.


\textsuperscript{353} Deutsche Welle (DW). November 26, 2022. \textit{Santa Cruz lifts strike after 36 days of mobilizations}.


\textsuperscript{356} Ombudsman’s Office. November 2, 2022. \textit{The Ombudsman’s Office investigates 18 cases of violation of rights registered during the civic strike in Santa Cruz}.
destruction and deterioration of State property, serious and light injuries and criminal association. On the other hand, the Ombudsman’s Office expressed its concern regarding discriminatory expressions and threats of eviction against the Ayoreo people in the municipality of Concepción.  

At the same time, on November 9, the Ombudsman’s Office reported the death of a person in a traffic accident, when his motorcycle collided with a cable in a place where there was a road blockade. Between November 16 and 17, RELE received information on constant confrontations between demonstrators and security agents with indiscriminate use of chemical agents, which reportedly affected an 11-month-old girl. Likewise, this Office was informed of a report of a fire at the Federation of Peasants, as well as the looting of the building of the Central Obrera Departamental (Central Obrera Departmental). During the strike, the Ombudsman’s Office documented at least 71 complaints of violations of human rights, life, freedom of expression, freedom of information, health, violence against women, education, personal integrity and indigenous peoples.

In response to the claims, the State informed that it had set up a technical commission to define the date for the census. The Commission concluded that the census could be brought forward to March 2024 and, subsequently, the President issued a decree setting this date for the census. However, according to available information, on November 24, the political leaders of Santa Cruz recommended acceptance of the census in 2024, considering the unsustainability of the process in 2023, but a regional strike was still called pending a law on the census process. The Ombudsman’s Office has stressed the importance of such a law in order to "give certainty to the population so that it can recover peace". On November 30, the National Assembly approved the Law for the Application of Census Results, published on December 2.

Additionally, in December, the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship followed the development of a series of demonstrations that began in Santa Cruz de la Sierra on December 28, following the arrest of the Governor of that department, Luis Fernando Camacho. According to public information, in the context of these demonstrations, confrontations between civilians and police officers were reported, resulting in injuries and complaints of possible cases of excessive use of police force, including against journalists and social communicators, according to information from the National Association of the Bolivian Press and the Inter-American Press Association. The Ombudsman’s Office reported that, according to its records, during the 13 days of protests, there were "25 cases of alleged human rights violations, including excessive use of police force, attacks on journalists, injuries, burning of property and vehicles (private and public), among others". In
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359 Southern Mail. November 9, 2022. Santa Cruz: Motorcyclist loses his life after being cut by a cable at a blockade point; Defensoría del Pueblo. 22 October 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office regrets the death of a person at the beginning of the indefinite strike in Santa Cruz and asks for urgent clarification.

360 Office of the Ombudsman. November 17, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office warns that social protests in Santa Cruz make cases of gender violence invisible and leave them unpunished.

361 Office of the Ombudsman. November 13, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office registers 42 cases of violation of rights during the indefinite strike and demands urgent pacification of the country.


364 Deutsche Welle [DW]. November 24, 2022. Largest Bolivian region accepts census in 2024 but maintains strike; Swisinfo. 24 November. Relative calm on day 24 of the indefinite strike in Bolivia’s largest region.

365 Office of the Ombudsman. October 22, 2022. The Ombudsman’s Office regrets the death of a person at the beginning of the indefinite strike in Santa Cruz and asks for urgent clarification.


369 Plurinational State of Bolivia, Ombudsman’s Office. January 10, 2023. Ombudsman issues five exhortations to guarantee the exercise of human rights and presents cases of Santa Cruz and La Paz.
addition, the IACHR received reports of violent actions committed by organized groups against public and private property, including the house of a Minister of State.370

187. The State reported cases of violence against public officials. In addition, it reported damages to 44 vehicles and 27 infrastructures, including fires in state institutions, as well as attempts to take over police facilities.371 Regarding these events, the Ministry of Government reported that 76 people were apprehended for destruction or damage to state property, among other crimes, of which 24 have already been sentenced.372

188. In this context, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur are concerned about the threats made by violent groups against human rights defenders and members of the media through social networks. This situation generates a serious violation of freedom of expression and the right to defend human rights, with detrimental consequences for the exercise of these activities.373

189. As reported by the State in 2022, the right to freedom of expression and the right to protest have constitutional status in Bolivia and therefore the State affirms its respect and guarantee for freedom of expression “as a fundamental element on which the existence of a democratic society is based, recognizing among its state obligations the respect for public demonstrations and protests, as well as the relationship of the same with other rights”374. It also emphasized that it observes the principles of proportional, legal and absolute necessity of the use of force and that police deployment is used in contexts where the physical integrity of demonstrators and police officers has been affected and that, in some cases, there are non-peaceful events in the marches, such as the indiscriminate use of “dynamite sticks”, firecrackers and other explosive materials that would lead to the need for political intervention with chemical agents and dispersal of the mobilization.375 The State highlighted the normative framework that evidences the obligation to respect and guarantee human rights during the use of force, which evidences the need for its planned use in accordance with the principle of last resort and under the prior need for the agents to exhaust mechanisms of dialogue, prevention and persuasive resources.376 This normative framework would include the Constitution itself, the Organic Law of the National Police, a Manual of Basic Techniques of Police Intervention in the Context of Human Rights, the Code of Police Conduct of the Bolivian Police, the Manual for Police Operations for the Maintenance and Restoration of Public Order, among others.377

190. RELE reiterates that the rights of assembly and association are essential elements for the existence and functioning of democracy.378 The IACHR and the Rapporteurship have stressed that while the right to protest does not protect acts of violence committed by individuals in the context of demonstrations, the State must take measures to identify those involved in acts of violence and must consider that the fact that some groups or individuals exercise violence in a demonstration does not, per se, make the entire protest violent.379 RELE rejects the excessive use of force, since the deployment of security forces must be governed by principles
of legality, necessity and proportionality and be characterized by exceptionality\textsuperscript{380}. Human rights violations and acts of violence must be investigated, prosecuted and punished in accordance with due process\textsuperscript{381}.

191. Likewise, RELE reiterates that the exercise of the right to protest assumes different forms and the choice of a roadblock as a form of protest is not sufficient to declare the protest as illegitimate. The IACHR has pointed out that when the possible disruption of daily life during protests extends over time and reaches the point of seriously compromising the guarantee of other rights, the State's duty to facilitate all possible mechanisms for dialogue and the coexistence of all rights in tension is accentuated, with the use of force as a last resort\textsuperscript{382}. In this line, RELE recalls that the spaces for dialogue must be open, plural and inclusive and that the stigmatization of protest by public authorities hinders all efforts of dialogue as a mechanism to reach solutions to social conflict\textsuperscript{383}.

192. At the same time, regarding the situation of academic freedom in Bolivia, the Rapporteurship recorded Resolution No. 144/2022, issued by the Rector of the Universidad Mayor de San Andrés (UMSA), which "institutionally establishes as grounds for university proceedings defamation and slander carried out by any means whether written or digital in abuse of information and communication technologies through digital platforms, social networks or computer systems", also providing for the hiring of a "computer expert" to "identify possible aggressors in order to initiate the corresponding disciplinary or jurisdictional actions"\textsuperscript{384}. According to the information available, the University Council rescinded the Resolution\textsuperscript{385}. The Rapporteurship has also learned that the Third Chamber of the University Processes Commission of the same university issued a Resolution that sanctioned two students with definitive expulsion and three others with temporary suspension for three years for facts related to participation in a university protest in November 2021, when an occupation of a building of the institution was carried out to denounce alleged irregularities in elections of the Local University Federation of the UMSA\textsuperscript{386}.

193. Regarding guarantees for access to information and strengthening the culture of transparency in the State, the Rapporteurship welcomes the decision of the Plurinational Constitutional Tribunal of Bolivia (TCP) that ruled in favor of attorney Henry Pinto Dávalos, who requested reports on the contracting of legal services in the State University Social Security (SSU) of the city of Cochabamba and received a refusal from the Social Security Management\textsuperscript{387}. The judgment of December 2, 2021 establishes that the right of access to information is part of freedom of expression, and implies the prerogative of every person to request information from public entities\textsuperscript{388}. The Rapporteurship also welcomes the approval and publication of the Law on Transparency in the Public Service, which establishes the creation of a digital platform "Transparency in the Public Service" and guidelines for the processing, generation and payment of payrolls in public entities\textsuperscript{389}.

194. However, despite the announcement by the Minister of Justice in 2021 about the ongoing work on a draft Law on Access to Information, which had been sent for analysis to the National Anti-Corruption Council, the Rapporteurship did not receive information on any progress in this area. On the contrary, the

\textsuperscript{381}IACHR. RELE. \textit{Protesta y Derechos Humanos: Estándares sobre los derechos involucrados en la protesta social y las obligaciones que deben guiar la respuesta estatal}. OEA/Ser.L/V/II IACHR/RELE/INF.22/19. September 2019. para. 251 et. al.
\textsuperscript{382}IACHR. Observations and recommendations: working visit to Colombia, Visit: June 2021. para. 157.
\textsuperscript{384}Office of the Ombudsman. May 19, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office observes that Resolution issued by the Rector of UMSA violates freedom of expression.
\textsuperscript{385}Universidad Mayor de San Andrés Facebook account. \textit{May 19, 2022}; EL Potosí. May 20, 2022. UMSA backs down and annuls resolution that intended to sanction those who insult Quelali.
\textsuperscript{386}Universidad Mayor de San Andrés Facebook account. \textit{May 30, 2022}; Ombudsman’s Office. October 5, 2022. The Ombudsman claims that UMSA violates the right to education by expelling students who participated in a mobilization.
\textsuperscript{387}National Press Association of Bolivia. March 10, 2022. Access to public information wins its first battle in the Bolivian justice system; Guardián. March 9, 2022. \textit{Maximum guardian sets precedent for citizens to access information}.
Rapporteurship has learned of challenges regarding the absence of comprehensive legislation on access to information 390.

195. Although Article 21 of the Political Constitution of the Plurinational State of Bolivia establishes that the right to "access information, interpret it, analyze it (...)") and that Supreme Decree 28168/2005 "guarantees access to information as a fundamental right of every person", RELE reiterates the importance for the State to move towards a legal framework that regulates access to public information in Bolivia, according to the standards of the Inter-American human rights system and especially taking into account the guidelines of the OAS Model Law and the standards issued by the Inter-American Commission and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.

196. In this context, it urges Bolivia to comply with the reparation measures set forth in the recent judgment of the IACHR Court in the case of Flores Bedregal et al. v. Bolivia, of October 17, 2022, as well as to observe its standards 391. As defined by the IACHR Court, "the right to access to information requires the active participation of all authorities involved" 392. Likewise, the IACHR Court has highlighted the importance that in contexts of serious human rights violations, such as cases of forced disappearance of persons, "it is essential to declassify and access documents of the security forces, in order to ensure a transparent investigation." 393

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

197. The Rapporteurship expresses its concern regarding the report of a case of non-consensual sterilization in a clinic located in La Paz. According to information reported by the Ombudsman’s Office, on February 23, 2022, the 3rd Judge of Anticorruption and against violence against women of La Paz determined the preventive detention of the gynecologist allegedly responsible for directing the delivery of a child of the victim approximately two years ago, when the salpingoclasia procedure would have been performed without the consent of the alleged victim 394. The RELE recalls that in the case of I.V. vs. Bolivia, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has recognized that the right to informed consent in cases of health procedures is protected, among others, by Article 13 of the ACHR -which includes the right to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds and therefore protects the right of access to information, including information related to the health of individuals 395.

198. Likewise, on March 30, the Ombudsman’s Office published the report "Absence of State Guarantees for the Exercise of the Right to Gender Identity in Bolivia", in which it exposes the challenges for transgender people to use their own name and carry out the adaptation of their records in different institutions in the country 396. The Rapporteurship also highlights incidents of violence against LGBTI people in Bolivia, which included the death of a trans woman and physical aggression against a homosexual man 397. RELE recalls that, according to the IACHR Court, "the right to identity, and in particular the manifestation of identity, is also

394 Office of the Ombudsman. February 24, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office highlights the judge’s decision to send the gynecologist accused of having performed a non-consensual sterilization to preventive detention.
protected by Article 13, which recognizes the right to freedom of expression. From this perspective, arbitrarily interfering in the expression of the different attributes of identity may imply a violation of that right".398

199. In this context, the Rapporteurship’s attention is drawn to the campaigns against the exhibition "Pride Revolution" at the El Altillo Beni Museum in the city of Santa Cruz de la Sierra, an institution dependent on the municipal government, during the celebrations of LGBTI diversity month. The Rapporteurship learned that a councilwoman sent a minute to the municipal government recommending the withdrawal of the exhibition for containing images "not appropriate for all audiences".399 Also, a group calling itself "La Pesada Subversiva" (The Subversive Heavy) allegedly burst into the exhibition space on June 14 with shouts of homophobic expressions.400 The Office of the Special Rapporteur considers that these events have a negative impact on the right to freedom of artistic expression and the right of citizens to access information, ideas and opinions of all kinds. The right to artistic or symbolic expression, to the dissemination of artistic expression, and to access to art in all its forms are explicitly protected by Article 13 of the ACHR. In addition, speech that expresses essential elements of personal identity or dignity and speech that relates to the expression of one's sexual orientation and gender identity are considered specially protected in the inter-American legal framework401.

200. The RELE also recognizes the challenges present in Bolivian society towards consensus building for the recovery of the social fabric and to overcome social tensions, which often find structural causes in elements of discrimination. In this sense, the final report of the GIEI recognized that racism and contempt for indigenous elements and gender violence are important factors in analyzing historical, recent or longer-standing events in the country. The RELE emphasizes, as the IACHR has done on previous occasions, the need for the State to "promote dialogues that aim to generate spaces of consensus that allow to work a sense of common destiny in diversity and deepen the process of analysis and mutual understanding Bolivian society to promote dialogues that aim to generate spaces of consensus that allow to work a sense of common destiny in diversity and deepen the process of analysis and mutual understanding"402. This implies not only that social leaders and people in public office refrain from and reject the use of hate speech, stigmatization or violence, but also that such rejection is also publicly assumed by the leadership of the media.

201. RELE stresses the importance for the State to heed the recommendation of the GIEI to develop an educational policy for citizens to understand themselves as part of a diverse and plural society and to reject hate speech, intolerance, racism and all forms of discrimination. These types of measures are crucial because they target the cultural roots of discrimination, and as such, can be valuable instruments to encourage the development of a society based on the principles of diversity, pluralism and tolerance403.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

202. While Bolivia needs to continue advancing to meet the challenges of universal access to the Internet for its population -which, according to data from the Data Reportal’s Digital initiative has a penetration of

399 Office of the Ombudsman. June 15, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office requests action by the Committee against Racism and Discrimination for incitement to discriminate against the LGBTQ+ population in Santa Cruz.
402 GIE-Bolivia. Informe Final Sobre los hechos de violencia y vulneración de los derechos humanos ocurridos entre el 1 de septiembre y 31 de diciembre de 2019, July 23, 2021.
55.1% of the total population, the country must advance in mechanisms to address the deterioration of public debate in the digital environment. For example, faced with the increase in anti-vaccine campaigns, the Ombudsman’s Office called on the government to prioritize and take positive alternative measures in the face of refusals to vaccinate.

203. The Rapporteurship recalls that access to the Internet is a sine qua non condition for the effective exercise of human rights. However, efforts to increase Internet connectivity must be made in conjunction with the promotion of “educational measures aimed at promoting the ability of all people to make autonomous, independent and responsible use of the Internet and digital technologies,” a set of processes known as digital literacy and that should be oriented towards the development of civic skills in the perspective of democratic coexistence and with a human rights approach.

204. The Rapporteurship notes with concern that, according to an investigation carried out by the Latin American Center for Investigative Journalism, the Director of Biofuels at the National Hydrocarbons Agency and the Director of Marketing at the Administration of Port Services Bolivia had shared, on their social networks, images with quotes attributed to opposition political figures and with the logos of media outlets. In the cases, news checkers were unable to locate neither the notes attributed to the new spapers nor to verify that the statements attributed in the quotes had been made by the referenced political figures. In response to the facts, the director of Biocombustibles reportedly replied that he “did not realize” the possibly misleading content of the publications and that regardless of the exercise of his public function, he was a citizen with the right to free expression and to “communicate on a platform that is in the public domain.” For her part, the marketing director reportedly thanked the alert and stated that “her page was not a press medium, but a medium for public debate” and pointed out that “the media lie every day by telling half-truths or outright lies.”

205. This Office urges that political leaders and persons exercising public functions refrain from making statements that may promote intolerance, discrimination or misinformation and, instead, should take responsibility for their leadership positions to counteract these social harms and promote intercultural understanding and respect for diversity. Likewise, as the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has established, persons exercising public functions must reasonably, though not necessarily exhaustively, ascertain the facts on which they base their opinions, and should do so with even greater diligence than that employed by private individuals.

206. The Rapporteurship has also learned that the Executive President a.i. of the National Tax Service sent a letter requesting the removal of a publication, under the possibility of legal action before the Public
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Prosecutor’s Office, to an influencer of the social network TikTok for a publication in which he made critical references to the entity.416

Likewise, RELE learned that the 5th Court for the Fight against Corruption and Violence against Women ordered the preventive imprisonment for a period of 4 months of a journalist after the publication of a meme on social networks, following a lawsuit filed by the director of the Mining Administrative Jurisdictional Authority who alleged that such publication was discriminatory to her detriment.417

The Rapporteurship recalls that freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way as to all media. This Office reiterates that restrictions on freedom of expression on the Internet are only acceptable when they comply with international standards which provide, inter alia, that they must be provided for by law and pursue a legitimate aim recognized by international law and be necessary to achieve that aim (the "tripartite" test).418

417 La Patria. April 2, 2022. Journalist sent to jail for meme against AJAM director; Erbol. April 1, 2022. Communicator is sent to jail denounced by AJAM director; Defensoría expresses concern.
BRAZIL

209. In 2022, at least 3 journalists were murdered in Brazil, including journalist Dom Philips – murdered together with the indigenous expert Bruno Pereira – event which had great international repercussion and triggered warnings about the risks that the Amazon region, especially the border region, could become a silenced zone. Attacks, threats and aggressions against journalists are framed in a context of stigmatization of the press and fear of prosecution, which includes both criminal lawsuits that could end in imprisonment and high economic sanctions. Progress is still required in the fight against impunity concerning murders and judicial protection of journalistic work, whether by modifying decisions contrary to freedom of expression standards or through actions for collective protection of rights. In the electoral year, tension grew between the presidency of the Republic and the Electoral Power, which, in addition to the constant questioning of the role of judicial authorities and the electoral system, has generated risks of rupture of the social fabric, a deep discontent among citizens, and the fear of political violence – which even took the form of assassinations. The electoral campaign was also marked by the judicialization of cases of alleged deliberate disinformation, including online content, possible artistic productions and journalistic platforms and content. Electoral and judicial authorities have faced a great challenge to respond in time to speeches that, for example, questioned the legitimacy of the elections, attacked candidates based on untruthful information or that sustained statements aimed at deliberately eroding confidence in democratic institutions. Both the judiciary and the legislature have made progress with respect to initiatives that would respond to the challenges to freedom of expression online. In this regard, this Office highlights the follow-up of the “Fake News Bill” and proposals for the regulation of artificial intelligence. The Rapporteurship has also received with concern complaints about the deterioration of guarantees for the exercise of freedom of artistic expression in Brazil and the persecution against women journalists who covered relevant cases on access to reproductive rights in 2022. Finally, the Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Brazil to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

210. The practice of journalism in Brazil continued to be framed by stigmatizing speeches, intimidation and attacks, especially by public authorities and in contexts associated with political issues, which resulted in an escalation of violence in 2022. According to reports from civil society organizations, there were at least 376 attacks against the press, including threats, harassment, arbitrary detentions and attacks, in all of which the key actors were state agents.419 The family members of former President Jair Bolsonaro were reportedly involved in 157 episodes (53.9%), of which 60 involved the participation of the former president.420 Despite the drop in the number of attacks with respect to 2021, the number of violent attacks has grown.421 On February 1, some reports noted that 4 journalists from SBT’s Aruatu TV were assaulted, including with gunshot attempts, and that their equipment was damaged during the coverage of a murder in Salvador.422 On March 3, a TV Globo cameraman was reportedly intimidated and injured by a man while producing a report in São Paulo and had to undergo surgery on his hand due to the attack.423 On May 10, two female journalists of GloboNews were reportedly insulted and attempted to be run over while filming a report.424 On June 4, a journalist from

419 Fenaj. 23 January 2023. *Brazil registers one aggression against journalists per day in 2022*; Abráji. 2022. *Gender Violence Against Journalists*.

420 Abráji. August 15, 2022. *Serious violence against journalists increased 69.2% in 2022*.

421 Fenaj January 23, 2023. *Brazil registers one aggression against journalists per day in 2022*; Abráji. 15 August 2022. *Serious violence against journalists increased 69.2% in 2022*.


the website Congresso em Foco was reportedly the victim of doxxing, following the publication of a report on the tactics of an anonymous forum to produce false news in favor of President Jair Bolsonaro.425

211. This Office was informed of the death of journalist Givanildo Oliveira after being shot and killed by an unknown assailant on February 7 near his home in the Pirambu neighborhood in the city of Fortaleza. Oliveira was a reporter for the Pirambu News portal – and also its founder – which covers local general interest issues, including the police agenda. According to reports, the journalist had previously received threats, allegedly linked to his coverage of criminal issues in the city. In this context, the Office learned that the murder occurred after the publication of a report on the arrest of a man allegedly suspected of a double homicide.426 The IACHR and its Rapporteurship maintain that the lack of sanctions for those responsible for these crimes can send a permissive message of violence, encouraging the occurrence of new crimes and contributing to self-censorship. In this regard, the Office is concerned that another media outlet in the city of Fortaleza that reports on issues of local interest has announced that it will stop covering the police agenda in order to preserve the integrity of its team.427

212. Subsequently, this Office learned of the murder of journalist Dom Phillips and indigenous peoples’ defender and expert Bruno Araújo Pereira, beneficiaries of precautionary measures from the IACHR.428 According to the information available, they were on a work and investigative journalistic trip related to alleged illegal fishing and hunting activities in the state of Amazonas. According to information received, they were last seen on June 5, 2022 in the community of São Rafael, while they were on a boat heading to the municipality of Atalaia do Norte to visit the Indigenous Surveillance team and conduct interviews. Dom Phillips was recognized for his specialized work covering environmental issues, land conflicts and the situation of indigenous peoples in Brazil. Bruno Araújo Pereira was a recognized expert on indigenous peoples and accompanied Dom Phillips as a guide and facilitator due to his extensive knowledge of the region. On June 11, the IACHR granted precautionary measure No. 449-22 in their favor, after considering that they were in a serious and urgent situation of risk of irreparable harm to their rights. Likewise, the Special Rapporteurship learned about the detention of three alleged perpetrators in Atalaia do Norte. At least two of them confessed their participation in the disappearance of Dom Phillips and Bruno Pereira.429

213. The Rapporteurship was informed of the murder of journalist Luiz Carlos Gomes on August 12 in the municipality of Italva, Rio de Janeiro, after his vehicle was shot at by unknown persons driving a motorcycle. Luiz Carlos Gomes was the owner of the newspaper Tempo News and host of the program Quinta no Ar (“Thursday on the Air”) on Rádio Oásis FM, a community media outlet in Italva. On the program, the journalist reported on issues of local public interest, including events related to the municipality’s public administration and personnel management. In his last program, he announced that he was going to disclose data on appointments and secret payments of the Ceperj Foundation (State Foundation Center for Statistics, Research and Training of Public Servants of Rio de Janeiro).430

214. The Rapporteurship recalls that Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR states that “[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation of and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators and to ensure that victims receive due compensation.”

425 Congresso em Foco. 06 June 2022. AFTER REPORTER, EDITOR IS THREATENED WITH DEATH AND RAPE; Correio Brazilienze. 09 June 2022. Publisher is threatened after exposing Bolsonarist fake news scheme.


430 Abrají. 15 August 2022. Police search security camera footage to identify newspaper owner’s killers; ABL. 15 August 2022. Journalist is shot to death in Italva (RJ); ABL calls for rigorous prosecution and punishment of the culprits.
215. On the other hand, the Rapporteurship welcomes that on December 9, 2022, a popular jury convicted four people for the intellectual and material authorship of the murder of sports journalist Valério Luiz de Oliveira. Two of the defendants were sentenced to 14 years in prison and the others to 16 years. Valério Oliveira was killed on July 5, 2012, when a motorcyclist fired several shots at the journalist as he was leaving Radio Jornal, the radio station where he worked. Valério Luiz was considered a critical voice in sports journalism in his region and had recently received death threats. The Rapporteurship urges Brazil to continue to make progress in the pursuit of justice in cases of violence and murder of journalists, as the persistence of aggressions and murders in different regions of the country, together with the situation of impunity for many of these crimes, can lead to censorship.

216. For its part, the Rapporteurship notes that attempts to censor journalistic work are present in investigations and civil and criminal judicial proceedings, with at least one arrest for crimes against honor, defamation and indemnification suits against journalists who report on matters of public interest, particularly matters linked to public figures. This Office learned that on June 9 journalist Juliana Dal Piva was sentenced to pay US$ 2,000 for publishing a threatening message sent by President Jair Bolsonaro’s lawyer, following the publication of a report on alleged corruption involving Bolsonaro and members of his family.

217. In 2022, a penalty of approximately R$ 300,000 (US$ 60,000) was enforced against the journalist Rubens Valente and the publisher Geración Editorial, for publishing a book on the investigations of a well-known case of money laundering, diversion of public funds and corruption in Brazil. In the book, the author criticized the judicial actions of a minister of the Federal Supreme Court in the context of some of the proceedings related to the investigation, for which the minister initiated a civil law suit against him, as well as against the publisher of the book.

218. In addition, this Office has taken cognizance of decisions that could affect the dissemination of information on matters of public interest in the electoral context. For example, the Court of Justice of the Federal District and Territories issued a decision to censor a report on the alleged cash acquisition of 51 properties of the family of the President of the Republic, then candidate for reelection. The Rapporteurship welcomes the Federal Supreme Court’s ruling to suspend the decision to censor and thus allow the dissemination of the report.

219. The Rapporteurship also learned that the Superior Electoral Court had granted rights of reply to a presidential candidate, ruling that a media outlet should refrain from “promoting new insertions and...


435 El País. June 9, 2021. Police investigation against journalist from ‘The Intercept’ adds to offensive to intimidate the press; CPI. 22 de julho de 2021. Police investigate the editor of The Intercept Brazil; Leandro Demori, for reporting on police killings; The Intercept. 9 May 2022. Denounce a judge in the press and go bankrupt (if you don’t go to jail); Abraji. 13 October 2022. Abraji expresses concern over criminal complaint filed against Fábio Leite and Guilherme Amado; CONJUR. June 11, 2022. MPF defends acquittal of journalist accused of slandering Congressman; Abraji. 02 September 2022. Abraji rejects sentence that condemns journalists to indemnify judge in MT.


statements” on “facts known to be false” against said candidate. The Court applied a fine of R$ 25,000 for the failure to comply with the order. Several press entities expressed concern about such orders. The Rapporteurship also learned of decisions based on the failure to comply with court rulings on this issue and based on the alleged risk of disclosure of “false” information in the electoral process. The Superior Electoral Court admitted an action requesting an electoral judicial investigation against this same media outlet, arguing that one of the candidates would have received a “privileged treatment” “resulting from the production and transmission of disinformative contents (knowingly false or seriously decontextualized)” attacking the other candidacy, the STF, the TSE and the electoral process.

220. Nevertheless, the Rapporteurship recorded important decisions of the Judiciary for the protection of freedom of the press and access to information by citizens at different levels, including addressing issues for which the Rapporteurship had expressed its concern in the 2021 Annual Report.

221. This Rapporteurship also continued monitoring alleged editorial pressures at Empresa Brasileira de Comunicação (EBC), responsible for TV Brasil, Rádio Nacional and MEC and Agência Brasil. According to a report prepared by the Union of Journalists of the Federal District, based on anonymous surveys with EBC staff, 64 cases of censorship were reported between August 2021 and July 2022, particularly on human rights issues, indigenous issues and conflicts in the countryside. Additionally, 228 cases of “governalismo” were reported, concerning instances of favoring the government, and 245 cases labelled as irrelevant news, which do not present significant public interest.

222. At the same time, stigmatizing speeches and harassment of journalists by public officials continued to be reported. This would have worsened in the electoral context. On August 16, journalist Alexandre Megale, owner of the Sul de Minas website, was allegedly attacked with stones in the head by councilman Luiz de Santuário in the city of Ouro Fino, Minas Gerais. The case allegedly occurred after the journalist published a report on a criminal conviction of the councilman. In the context of election campaigns, the newspaper Radar Amazônico, in the city of Manaus, reported that its team suffered reprisals by the municipality in the coverage of events and that the mayor threatened to ban the portal from press conferences after the newspaper published a report on the mayor’s alleged association with a criminal organization. On August 28, then President Jair Bolsonaro reportedly attacked journalist Vera Magalhães, claiming that she would be a “disgrace to Brazilian journalism”, during an electoral debate after she asked him and another candidate a question about disinformation in the context of Covid-19. On September 13, in another electoral debate, a bolsonarista federal deputy reportedly reproduced the president and candidate’s statement, indicating that the journalist would be a disgrace to Brazilian journalism.

223. The Rapporteurship recorded an important court decision for the protection of the press against attacks by President Jair Bolsonaro, which has a positive effect in preventing attacks against the exercise of journalism with respect to investigating and holding accountable public officials. In a civil suit filed by the São Paulo State Professional Journalists Union Organization, Bolsonaro was ordered to compensate journalists in the amount of R$ 100,000 for collective moral damages. The Rapporteurship welcomes this decision and
recalls that speeches by high-ranking authorities with a stigmatizing effect may “constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute with public deliberation through the expression and diffusion of their thoughts”.451

224. With respect to press freedom in the context of social protests, obstacles to press coverage were reported through violent attacks on journalists. This Office received information that on March 9, during a protest by the Minas Gerais police in the city of Belo Horizonte, unidentified members threw explosive devices at journalists covering the protest.452 The Rapporteurship also observed that in 2022, protests in support of the President of the Republic would have been particularly hostile environments for journalistic coverage, especially after the first round of elections and the victory of Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva in November.453 According to civil society reports, more than 40 journalistic teams and 70 professionals suffered attacks while covering protests between October 30 and November 6.454 In one of the cases, some demonstrators allegedly surrounded and intimidated a reporter and a cameraman from the SBT media company because of the terms used in the report.455

225. The Rapporteurship is also concerned about attacks against media outlets in retaliation for journalistic coverage, especially in response to criticism of the protests. This includes, among other documented incidents, a fire outbreak at the local radio station Rondoniaovivo following threats sent to its owner.456

226. The escalation of online violence against the press, which has been used to amplify threats and narratives discrediting journalism and has contributed to the deterioration of public debate in Brazil, is also of concern. On April 3, federal deputy Eduardo Bolsonaro reportedly posted on his Twitter account that he regretted the snake, in reference to the torture carried out with a snake against journalist Miriam Leitão during the dictatorship, following the journalist’s statement that Jair Bolsonaro “is the enemy of democracy”.457 According to reports from Reporters Without Borders, who monitored the election period between 16 August and 30 October, there were at least 2.8 million posts with offensive and aggressive content against journalists, including 264 different hashtags used in the attacks. Also, among the 10 most targeted journalists, 88% of the aggressive content posted on networks in the monitored period would have been directed at female journalists. The reports noted that journalists who covered the decisions of the Superior Electoral Court in the fight against disinformation became targets of aggressions.458

227. This Office welcomes the vote of the Federal Supreme Court’s Justice Carmen Lúcia in an action seeking to declare unconstitutional all acts of the federal government to monitor the activities of journalists and parliamentarians on social networks. In the decision, Justice Carmen Lúcia stressed that the monitoring violates the constitutional principle of public morality and the fundamental rights of those monitored, such as the free expression of thought.459 The action is currently suspended following a request for a hearing by one of the Ministers of the Court.460

---

452 CPJ, March 18, 2022. Brazilian journalists injured by explosive devices at police protest.
453 Folha do Sul. December 20, 2022. A councilwoman from Vilhenense is in solidarity with a reporter from Folha who had her cell phone snatched by a demonstrator during a protest.
454 Abrai, November 10, 2022. Organizations linked to freedom of the press speak out against aggression during the election period.
455 GauchaZH. 02 November 2022. Reporting crews from Band, TV Record and SBT are assaulted and intimidated by Bolsonarists.
456 Rondoniaovivo. November 24, 2022. Vandal: criminals set fire to radio that was against anti-democratic protests.
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458 Congresso em Foco. 03 April 2022. EDUARDO BOLSONARO SAYS HE HAS SNAKE FEATHER USED IN MIRIAM LEITÃO’S TORTURE.
460 Migalhas. February 7, 2022. STF: Mendonça asks for hearing in action on government to monitor journalists.
This Rapporteurship also became aware of a 9.5% reduction in the number of municipalities considered news deserts in Brazil. According to reports, 5 out of 10 Brazilian cities do not produce local journalistic information. In Brazil, 2,968 cities qualified as news deserts in 2022 with a total of 29.3 million inhabitants, which corresponds to 13.8% of the Brazilian population. In 2022, three cities would have returned to news desert status compared to the previous year. According to the Atlas da Notícia project, “online journalism is diversifying as it manages to explore new formats, experiment with other financing models, obtain remuneration on social platforms and also use them as a means of distributing its content”.

### B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

The year 2022 was marked by the tension between the Government and the judiciary and its electoral justice, as well as its political opponents. Ministers Luiz Edson Fachin, Roberto Barroso and Alexandre de Moraes, who in recent years exercised functions in both the Supreme Federal Court and the Superior Electoral Court - including the presidency of the latter - were targets of criticism and accusations of abuse of authority by former President Jair Bolsonaro. In August, during a state visit to England, Bolsonaro stated that “If we did not win in the first round, then something unusual has happened inside the TSE [Superior Electoral Court]”. On Independence Day, several demonstrations took place in Brazil in support of then President Bolsonaro. The president made speeches to the demonstrators in Brasília and Rio de Janeiro. On both occasions, he stressed the obligation for “everyone to play within the 4 lines of the Constitution” and that “today the people know what the Supreme Federal Court is”. Previously, in July, during the launch of his electoral campaign, the former president stated that on September 7, 2022 “we will take the streets” so that “those few deaf men in black coats [referring to the Federal Supreme Court Justices]” will have to understand “the people’s voice”. On this occasion, he also affirmed that the Army and the Armed Forces would not admit “frauds”. Likewise, the former president called a meeting with different ambassadors where he raised questions about the security and credibility of the electronic voting system in Brazil.

The Rapporteurship highlights that, despite the fact that on several occasions the former president has affirmed the existence of fraud in the electoral process, the president was repeatedly summoned by the electoral authorities to present evidence of his affirmations and did not do so. In this context, the former president’s party, following the election results of the second round, filed a lawsuit questioning the counting of votes in part of the electronic ballot boxes. The former president’s accusations against the electronic ballot boxes during the electoral period would have motivated the opening, in December, of an electoral justice investigation for alleged abuse of economic power. However, the party did not respond to the summons from the Superior Electoral Court to amend its initial petition and include the first round and the legislative elections in the object of the lawsuit, since the ballot boxes had also been used. The lawsuit was rejected by the electoral justice, which considered it “inconsistent with the purpose of promoting criminal and anti-democratic
movements” and applied a fine of R$ 22.9 million against the party. In said lawsuit, the party had cited a dossier prepared by the Instituto Voto Legal pointing out the existence of "serious and irremediable inconsistencies in the operation of part of the electronic ballot boxes”. The institute would have been hired by the party itself against payment of approximately R$ 450.000. The Superior Electoral Court qualified the dossier as "false", both the preparation and financing of said dossier is being investigated by the Court and by the Federal Supreme Court as part of the “Fake News Investigation”.473

231. This Office emphasizes that the worsening of the tension between the government and the judiciary must be analyzed together with the decisions taken by both the Superior Electoral Court and the Federal Supreme Court, which would impose the limitation of certain online content. This is especially true in Investigations 4.781, 4.828, 4.874, 4.879211, which the Federal Supreme Court would be advancing, as reported by the Rapporteurship in its Annual Report 2021.474 The President stated, for example, that his government “did not admit any control of social networks” and has publicly criticized decisions that impose suspensions, demonetization and other restrictions on content and users that “would be on our [his] side”,476

232. In this framework, in April 2022, the Federal Supreme Court sentenced a federal congressman to 8 years and 9 months of prison and payment of a fine of R$ 212.000 for the crime of attempted incitement to the violent abolition of the rule of law and coercion in the course of criminal proceedings.477 This after the disclosure, between November 2020 and February 2021, of videos of the congressman on social networks making statements with respect to: acts of violence that could be committed against public authorities, especially ministers of the Federal Supreme Court; the role of the armed forces as a “moderating power” to intervene and “close” the Federal Supreme Court; the possibility of removing all Supreme Court judges from their positions and convene new members; and to the alleged fraud in electoral processes; among others.478 After the conviction, the President of the Republic granted a pardon (“graça constitucional”) to the congressman, emphasizing that “freedom of expression is an essential pillar of society in all its manifestations” and that the congressman “only made use of his freedom of expression”.479 Several actions were filed against the pardon decree, which are awaiting a decision by the Federal Supreme Court.480 Until the Court analyzes the constitutionality of the pardon decree, the Court decided to maintain the precautionary measures against the convicted congressman, such as the prohibition to use social networks.481

233. In August 2022, the social networks of the congressman’s wife, who was then also a candidate for federal congresswoman, were suspended by order of the Federal Supreme Court. Although this person was not part of any process or investigation in the Court, the judicial body considered that the congressman used his wife’s networks to circumvent the observance of the precautionary measures against him which are in force

476 CNN. 20 October 2022. There is an interest of the Judiciary for a candidate, says Bolsonaro.
479 Federative Republic of Brazil. Published on 04/21/2022. Issue: 75-D, Section 1, Extra D. Decree of April 21, 2022.
480 Federal Supreme Court. April 22, 2022. Parties question Bolsonaro’s grace to Congressman Daniel Silveira; Migalhas. 23 April 2022. Rosa Weber is rapporteur of the action requesting annulment of Silveira’s pardon; Poder 360. 25 May 2022. Indulto de Bolsonaro a Silveira é constitucional, diz PGR.
until the Court resolves the constitutionality of the pardon. In May, the congressman was fined more than R$400,000 for failing to comply with the precautionary measures.  

234. In June, the Federal Supreme Court did not grant the request for a provisional injunction to suspend the effects of a decision of the Superior Electoral Court in the case involving a federal congressman for serious damages in the 2018 electoral process. The congressman had allegedly made live transmissions, on the election day, claiming that the electronic ballot boxes were not correctly computing the votes for the presidency of the Republic. The congressman had requested the suspension of the effects of the decision until the final phase of an appeal filed before the Federal Supreme Court. 

235. Likewise, a former federal congressman and former president of the Brazilian Labor Party, fired more than 50 shots and threw 3 grenades against Federal Police officers who were serving a prison sentence signed by Minister Alexandre de Moraes, of the Federal Supreme Court. The politician is a defendant in a criminal action heard by Federal Supreme Court for incitement to crime, attempting to impede the free exercise of Powers with violence or serious threat, slander and homophobia. The politician had previously been investigated in proceedings brought by the Federal Supreme Court.

236. At the same time, the Rapporteurship notes the existence of various provisions in the Brazilian institutional and legal framework that would allow the Superior Electoral Court to justify and impose restrictions on the exercise of freedom of expression to prevent the dissemination of false news that may negatively impact the electoral processes. For example, Court Resolutions prohibit the "dissemination or sharing of facts known to be false or seriously out of context that affect the integrity of the electoral process, including voting, counting and voting results". The Court also considers that "the use of digital instant messaging applications aimed at promoting mass shootings containing disinformation and falsehoods to the detriment of opponents and to the benefit of the candidate can be constitute abuse of economic power and misuse of social media". Likewise, among other electoral crimes is that of "revealing, in electoral propaganda or during the electoral campaign period, facts that are known to be false in relation to parties or candidates and that may influence the electorate".

237. The Rapporteurship recognizes that "in the case of the dissemination of misinformation, it does not always seek to damage the reputation of one of the participants of public life or an election, but to affect a more diffuse interest such as democratic public order, involved in the integrity of the electoral process. In these cases, it is clear that the civil responsibilities provided would not be suitable for safeguarding that interest and electoral law could develop specific responses to this kind of phenomena". However, the Rapporteurship notes the need, even in these cases, to take into account inter-American standards on freedom of expression, such as the legality, legitimacy, necessity and proportionality of the restriction and the prohibition of prior censorship.

238. This Office notes that the Superior Electoral Court in a precautionary order suspended, prior to its dissemination, the release of an audiovisual work produced by Brasil Paralelo about the attack against the then

---
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presidential candidate that took place in 2018. The decision also determined the demonetization of the production company’s social media profiles. The decision mentions that the company produced audiovisual content ideologically oriented to endorse support for a candidacy, with the aim of providing journalistic appearance and objectivity to these ideologically oriented contents, despite the fact that it would have repeatedly used “fake news” against the main opposition candidate. In voting in favor of upholding the decision, a member of the Superior Electoral Court pointed out that the decision was of great concern to her, because there is jurisprudence “preventing any form of censorship”.

239. At the same time, the IACHR and its Rapporteurship have been informed, throughout 2022, about the self-censorship and the increasing dissuasive effects for demonstrations, especially those organized for the demand of rights by vulnerable groups and by human rights defenders, due to the fear of acts of violence against them. The IACHR learned about the use of flying devices (drones) to drop human excrement on people participating in political elections on June 15. Likewise, as reported in previous sections of this report, there are reports of stigmatization and aggressions against journalists covering social protests, particularly in the context of protests supporting the government in power and linked to the distrust of democratic institutions in Brazil.

240. In 2022, political violence claimed several fatalities in Brazil. On July 9, 2022, Marcelo Aloizio de Arruda, municipal guard and treasurer of the Workers’ Party (PT), was murdered by a federal police officer, who broke into his celebration and shot him for political reasons. The perpetrator has precautionary measures against him, including the use of an electronic anklet and house arrest. In addition, Claudinei Coco Esquarci, the private security guard of the recreational area where the celebration was held and responsible for the surveillance cameras of the place where the crime was committed, was found dead on July 17, 2022. The Rapporteurship also learned of at least killings in the context of political discussions, with at least five victims who supported different political candidacies. On the eve of the second round of voting, a deputy from São Paulo killed a man of African descent at gunpoint for alleged political differences. On the day of the second round, an Afro-descendant girl was murdered in a shooting that was allegedly politically motivated, in Belo Horizonte, a situation in which a man also died and a woman was wounded. On the same day, a child fainted due to asphyxiation caused by a military policeman after expressing his political preference.

241. For its part, in 2022, decisions of the Federal Supreme Court determined that security forces should adopt all necessary measures to guarantee access to roads in the face of roadblocks carried out by protesters after the results of the 2022 elections and – according to decisions of the Federal Supreme Court itself – the...
merking ‘eventual excesses committed in demonstrations’

Since October, the use of tear gas and other allegedly non-lethal devices against demonstrators obstructing roads, highways or urban and local roads, has been recorded. Likewise, the Rapporteurship has learned of judicial decisions that would have been motivated by sanctions or prevention requests, public calls for demonstrations, including by State officials. For example, Minister Alexandre de Moraes of the Federal Supreme Court, determined the temporary suspension of the mandate and functions of a mayor on the grounds that the mayor had instigated the breakdown of democratic order by encouraging demonstrations that raise questions about the outcome of the elections.

This Office also notes that some of these blockades took place on roads near barracks and other military institutions, calling for the armed forces to “intervene” in order to guarantee the exercise of constitutional powers, law and order. During the year, military representatives reportedly sent letters to the Superior Electoral Tribunal, questioning the functioning of the electoral system, requesting changes, and suggesting the possibility of the armed forces conducting a parallel scrutiny and counting of votes. The Superior Electoral Tribunal has provided technical responses to the consultation. In this context, this Office was informed that on January 8, 2023 a camp was set up in front of the Brazilian Army headquarters in Brasília as the starting point of a march that ended in the attacks, with the damage of buildings and the invasion of the headquarters. In November, the Armed Forces published a note condemning "eventual restrictions to rights, by public agents, as well as eventual excesses in the demonstrations" indicating that "society should make use of the legal instruments of the democratic rule of law in the face of controversies". Likewise, a report confirming the security of the electronic ballot boxes used in the electoral process was published.

In this context, through a joint press release, the IACHR and its Rapporteurship expressed their concern for the acts of violence registered in the context of demonstrations against the electoral results. It was reported that at least 40 journalists suffered physical or verbal aggressions, harassment by demonstrators since the proclamation of the election results; that children and adolescents, women and elderly people were allegedly used as shields against the security forces that freed the roads; an agent of the Federal Highway Police was assaulted while participating in an operation to free roads occupied by demonstrators; as well as the running over of people demonstrating in São Paulo.

This Office recalls that protesters have the right to choose the content and messages of the protest, based on the general presumption of coverage of all expressions, except for speeches that, by virtue of express prohibitions set forth in international human rights law, do not enjoy such protection (Article 13.5 of the ACHR). In this sense, the restrictions imposed on protests due to the possible disruption of daily life, such as roadblocks, must take into consideration the presumption of coverage ab initio and that, in the case of protected speech, the restrictions must be applied exceptionally, defined case by case, justified on the basis of the
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protection of individuals and take place only when the disruption of daily life extends over time and scales to the point of seriously compromising the guarantee of other rights related to the life and personal integrity of individuals.516

245. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that, in this context, the former president did not publicly or explicitly acknowledge the electoral results. In his first public statement to the press after the electoral defeat, he affirmed that “the current popular movements are the result of indignation and a feeling of injustice for the way the electoral process was carried out” and that “as President of the Republic and citizen, [he] will continue to comply with all the commandments of our Constitution”.517 On the day of the delivery of the election victory certificate to candidate Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva, demonstrators attempted to invade a Federal Police building in Brasília and cars and buses were set on fire.518 At the same time, three people reportedly planned to fire a bomb outside the Brasilia airport on December 24, allegedly motivated by political reasons and dissatisfaction with the election results.519

246. In relation to the right to access to information, the Rapporteurship has been informed of an investigation by Transparency Brazil that indicates that, between 2019 and 2022, the federal government would have denied access to information in at least 413 cases that could be related to matters of public interest and that should be public, by imposing a 100-year reservation for the protection of personal information or personal data.520 The Rapporteurship has also been informed about challenges to the transparency of government budget management.521 In December, the Federal Supreme Court declared the unconstitutionality of the extensive use of the mechanism of “rapporteur’s amendments”, which should be a mechanism intended to fix punctual errors or omissions in the budget law.522

247. Regarding the situation of academic freedom in Brazil, the IACHR has received reports produced by the Center for the Analysis of Freedom and Authoritarianism that report various challenges to this right in the country, including self-censorship motivated by fear of reprisals, such as judicial and administrative lawsuits. In some cases, teachers have reported fear of addressing certain topics, even for fear of complaints from other teachers or the student body.523 The Rapporteurship has followed criminal lawsuits filed by high-ranking public authorities against a professor at the University of São Paulo and a columnist for Folha de São Paulo. In 2021, the Attorney General of the Republic and a Minister of the Federal Supreme Court filed criminal lawsuits against the professor for alleged crimes against the honor resulting of publications critical of their actions as public officials. Although last year a first instance court rejected the lawsuit filed by the Attorney General of the Republic, in 2022 the Federal Regional Court of the First Region annulled such decision.524 An appeal filed by the Minister of the Federal Supreme Court against the first instance decision which also rejected his criminal complaint,525 is still pending analysis by the Federal Regional Court. The Rapporteurship also learned that a professor at a public university had notified two of her students that she would no longer act as director of their doctoral research because of “leftist” ideologies.526
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248. Based on the monitoring and reporting work carried out by the Brazilian Integrated Movement for Freedom of Artistic Expression (MOBILE), the Rapporteurship received various inputs about the challenges faced by artists in Brazil.\textsuperscript{527} The Rapporteurship recognizes that this is one of the pioneering initiatives in the Americas in the systematized monitoring of issues dedicated to freedom of artistic expression.

249. In 2021, a public hearing took place in the framework of the 182nd Session of the IACHR. At the hearing, the civil society organizations that make up MOBILE presented their project “censorship map”,\textsuperscript{528} which counts information on violations of freedom of artistic and cultural expression since 2019.\textsuperscript{529} According to information collected in this initiative, between 2019 and 2022 at least 251 cases were reported in the “censorship map”, which include prior censorship measures, restriction on the circulation of works, measures of institutional weakening of state bodies responsible for cultural rights policies – including budget cuts and restriction of their autonomy – imposition of restrictions on policies aimed at the cultural sector justified on the basis of ideological or partisan criteria, lack of transparency, among others. The Federal Executive Branch was reported to be responsible for the restrictions in 71% of the cases. Works with LGBTQIA+ themes, related to the identity affirmation of groups in vulnerable situations and with political criticism, were particularly affected.\textsuperscript{530}

250. During the year 2022, when MOBILE had registered at least 74 cases, in addition to organizing listening sessions with representatives of MOBILE and the artists’ movement in Brazil, this Office was invited to participate in working sessions as part of an academic visit to Brazil. On that occasion, the Rapporteurship was able to record a great effect of self-censorship, based on the accounts of artists, which included the fear of physical violence.\textsuperscript{531}

251. Likewise, it was reported that cultural and artistic expressions that take place in favelas or on the outskirts of metropolises often suffer direct restriction by police agents who carry out operations that hinder the access of the population to these spaces, impose orders to close events and even destroy technical equipment used by artists arguing that it is part of the fight against crime and drug trafficking.\textsuperscript{532} The Rapporteurship also rejects the destruction, by police officers, of a memorial to the 28 victims of a military operation that took place in Jacarezinho, Rio de Janeiro, in 2021, based on the justification that the space was an apology for drug trafficking.\textsuperscript{533}

252. Among the cases reported in 2022, there is also the reduction of 50% of the maximum amount that can be contributed to projects and the reduction of 93% of the maximum amount paid per artist under the Culture Incentive Law.\textsuperscript{534} This Law had been criticized by the former president throughout his term and which, as reported by the State at the public hearing held in the 182nd session, needed changes in its application so as not to privilege a “cultural elite”. In March, the Ministry of Justice published, as part of an informal administrative process, a decision ordering streaming platforms to suspend the exhibition of the movie “Como se tornar o pior aluno da Escola” in the name of child protection, despite being classified for those over 18 years.
of age.\textsuperscript{535} The decision was suspended by the 7th Federal Court of Rio de Janeiro.\textsuperscript{536} At the same time, at least two municipalities imposed the prohibition of political manifestations in cultural events as a condition for artists to be hired by the municipality in the framework of one of the main popular festivals in Brazil.\textsuperscript{537} In March, the courts reportedly banned political demonstrations at a major music festival in response to a request for injunctive relief in a lawsuit filed by President Jair Bolsonaro’s political party based on alleged irregular electoral propaganda.\textsuperscript{538} In September, the Legislative Assembly of Minas Gerais withdrew the exhibition "Deslocamentos", installed in one of its halls, due to pressure from deputies who criticized the use of Christian symbols in the works.\textsuperscript{539} Likewise, the Municipality of Nova Friburgo reportedly decided the withdrawal of an art exhibition organized by the Municipal Secretariat of Culture for the International Women’s Day on the grounds of child protection due to the presence of nudity.\textsuperscript{540}

C. Freedom of Expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

\textsuperscript{253} As this Office has reported throughout the year, in the context of allegations about the deterioration of guarantees to exercise the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation in Brazil, the Rapporteurship has received reports of an increase in politically motivated violence against groups in vulnerable situations, for instance with threats to the life and integrity of women who exercise parliamentary functions at different levels; in addition to a generalized environment of self-censorship, especially among vulnerable groups.\textsuperscript{541} The Rapporteurship has also received complaints that, during the electoral period, there has been an increase in attacks against women journalists, especially those covering political events.\textsuperscript{542}

\textsuperscript{254} The Rapporteurship notes that on August 1, 2022, the Superior Electoral Court and the Attorney General’s Office of the Republic signed a Protocol for Joint Action in Confronting Political Gender Violence, which includes “combating political violence against women”. The Protocol is framed in the context of the application of Law 14.192/2021, approved in August 2021, which prescribed “political violence against women” as a crime as well as other norms to prevent and combat said crime.\textsuperscript{543} According to data from the Federal Public Prosecutor’s Office, between August and November 2022 there were the opening of 112 investigation procedures related to the application of Law 14.192/2021.\textsuperscript{544} In August, the Regional Electoral Court of Rio de Janeiro decided that the parliamentary immunity of deputies - which makes them inviolable for their words, opinions and votes in the exercise of their function - does not apply to “legitimize, cover up, or encourage” gender-based political violence and remove their responsibility for their speeches and opinions that may fall under this assumption.\textsuperscript{545}

\textsuperscript{255} At the same time, the Rapporteurship highlights the granting of precautionary measures in favor of Councilwoman Benny Briolly Rosa da Silva Santos and members of her team, after the IACHR considered that they were in a serious and urgent situation of risk of irreparable harm for receiving death threats as a result of
her identification as a black transvestite woman and her work as a politician and human rights defender. In the context of her electoral campaign in 2022, federal deputy Duda Salabert, one of the first two trans women to be elected to the position of federal deputy in Brazil, also denounced various death threats received by email.

256. In the context of worsening fractures in the Brazilian social fabric, the Rapporturship takes note with concern of the reports on the use of discriminatory expressions against inhabitants of the northeastern region of the country, after the number of votes that the candidate Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva received in that region. During a live broadcast on his social networks, the then president and candidate for reelection, Jair Bolsonaro, mentioned the victory of his opponent in most of the states of that region in the first round and highlighted the high illiteracy rate in the region. During October 30, the day of the second round in Brazil, there were reports of problems in getting to the polling places due to the deployment of operations carried out by the Federal Highway Police against buses transporting voters from regions, especially in states in the northeastern region of the country. Although the Superior Electoral Court reported that the operations did not prevent the vote, the Superior Electoral Court would have suspended any operation of the Federal Highway Police related to public transportation made available to voters, in order to ensure broad access to the right to vote.

257. At the same time, the Rapporturship rejects the acts of intimidation and retaliation against journalistic work, in addition to acts that put at risk the confidentiality of sources of journalists of Portal Catarinas and The Intercept Brasil following the publication of a journalistic investigation related to restrictions on access to the voluntary termination of pregnancy of a 10-year-old girl victim of sexual violence. This investigation helped raise public awareness about the case and, in the end, ensure the girl's access to this medical procedure. Among the intimidating acts, in addition to statements that could have a stigmatizing impact on the defense of women's rights, this Office was informed about the installation of a Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry by the Legislative Assembly of Santa Catarina. The Commission, after an extraordinary session to accelerate the procedure and without guaranteeing the right to access to information for the reserved nature of the sessions to protect children's rights, made public only a limited version of its final report concluding the existence of "evil and institutionalized engineering articulated with digital media portals the propagation of false news and subject to the protection of judicial secrecy". The report also concludes...
that there would be “strong indications” that the report made by The Intercept Brasil and Portal Catarinass on 20 June 2022 “was produced through a lawyer’s publication of confidential information”.

258. The Rapporteurship believes that this case is part of the debate on issues of high public interest in Brazil, which has been brought to light by the performance of investigative journalism by women journalists. In covering cases of sexual violence from a gender perspective, women journalists contribute decisively to eradicate violence and discrimination against women because of their gender and bring about changes in the customs, attitudes and stereotypes of the general population. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that the right to freedom of expression includes the right of access to information by the public. In the fight against gender violence, it is a duty of the State to guarantee the right of access to information on sexual and reproductive matters, with the State’s obligation to provide it in a timely, complete, accessible, reliable and informal manner.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

259. The Rapporteurship learned that a judge of the Court of Justice of São Paulo rejected the implementation of a system for capturing and processing biometric data of the city’s subway users for use in facial recognition systems, on the grounds that it would have the “potential to affect the fundamental rights of citizens”. However, the judge would have authorized the installation of the system. In the decision, the judge reportedly emphasized that the subway company “has not provided information on the criteria, conditions, implementation purposes”. The lawsuit was filed by civil society organizations and the Public Defender’s Office, which reportedly emphasized the surveillance nature of the measure in the public space. They also argued that “even the best algorithms have little accuracy in recognizing black and transgender people”. As part of the mobilization around the issue, the Rapporteurship noted the initiative “Get my face out of your sight” created by Brazilian civil society to ban the use of facial recognition in public security and launched the guide “Facial recognition: what happens when the machine makes a mistake?”.

260. In addition, this Office followed the country’s mobilizations to route a proposal for the regulation of artificial intelligence. In March 2022, the Senate installed a commission of jurists, which developed discussions and public hearings throughout the year and presented a regulation proposal that will be debated in Congress. The objective of the text would be to reduce the impacts of artificial intelligence on fundamental rights and freedoms, including the impacts of the recommendations of Internet advertising content. Likewise, the document would propose the creation of a regulatory authority, a liability system according to the risk of the artificial intelligence system and prohibits an excessive risk of decision. The Rapporteurship learned that Brazilian civil society attended the hearings and was directly involved in the issue since the contributions to the public consultation of the National Strategy of Artificial Intelligence in 2020 based on concerns about algorithmic bias and the applicability of automated systems.

261. In the context of the 2022 elections, the Rapporteurship observed risks to the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, particularly on social media. Reports received indicate allegations of a proliferation of disinformation content and hate speech on social media platforms aimed at attacking the electoral process and
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political candidates, particularly on the basis of race and gender. The Electoral Court reportedly signed agreements with digital platform companies (TikTok, Kwai, Google, Meta and Telegram) in order to combat electoral disinformation based on three axes: provide quality information, promote training and act in the control of behavior. The platforms would have established mechanisms to denounce and promote official sources, such as the Court’s website. By adopting a policy of content promotion, some platforms have promoted disinformation content on voting day.

262. In electoral context, the Electoral Tribunal issued Resolution 23714/2022, which allows the removal of content “knowingly false or seriously out of context that would affect the integrity of the electoral process” and allows the Court to extend the removal order to other “identical” content. Although the resolution does not define “integrity of the electoral process”, the text includes the voting, counting and tallying processes and reports show that the expression also refers to candidates’ campaign content.

263. In addition, the Rapporteurship took note that the Electoral Tribunal promoted the suspension of accounts and the removal of content indicated as disinformative and linked to the electoral process on digital platforms. In one of the decisions, the judge would have determined the removal of publications from Facebook, Twitter and TikTok and pointed out that the publications constitute a “strategy of disinformation, with an evident and serious distortion of facts that are known to be untrue” with the objective of “demonstrating that the electronic voting system is subject to fraud.” In a decision, the judge would have determined the suspension of the account of a deputy and held that she “encourages anti-democratic acts in apology of the crime against democracy”. It would also prohibit the creation of new accounts on social networks until the diplomacy of President-elect Lula (PT).

264. In addition, this office received reports of bills that would criminalize the removal of content or hold digital platforms accountable for their operations and tools. Bill 2,782/2022 would criminalize as an abuse of authority the act of “determining the unjustified removal of a publication, page or profile on the internet of a deputy or senator, violating the parliamentary immunity of his or her opinions and words”. In addition, the Bill 2821/2022 intends to change the Civil Internet Framework to establish that social network and search application providers implement solutions to prevent search results that direct users to content that incites hate and discrimination as well as solutions to mitigate algorithmic biases that induce discriminatory decision-making or behavior.

265. In 2022, discussions continued on Bill 2630/2020 – the “Fake News Bill” – which culminated in the presentation of a substitute bill by the rapporteur, Congressman Orlando Silva. The regulation would apply to providers of social networks, search engines and instant messaging that offer services to the Brazilian public, including companies based abroad, whose number of registered users in the country exceeds 10 million. The proposal was based on the text proposed by the Working Group for the Improvement of Brazilian Legislation on the Internet – GTNET of the Chamber of Deputies, which led to public hearings with experts throughout the processing of the bill and incorporated substantive modifications. In the new proposal, the equivalence of social networks to social media was included, especially for the purpose of complying with Article 22 of the Electoral Disqualification Law, which allows the request for the opening of an investigation in the Electoral Tribunal on the Internet.
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the improper use of social media for the benefit of a candidate or party. The Rapporteur’s Office draws the attention to the permanence of the deputies’ proposals to establish parliamentary immunity with respect to the deputies’ opinions in social networks and to remunerate the use of journalistic contents by internet providers. The first one establishes that they are criminally and civilly inviolable for their "opinions, words and votes", issued as representatives inside or outside the formal spaces of politics. According to experts and civil society organizations – including the Internet Rights Coalition and the Institute for Technology and Society – such a regulation would lead to the interpretation that these actors would be above the content moderation rules of digital platforms, thus hindering the fight against disinformation. Regarding journalistic remuneration, they emphasized the risk that unreliable media that disseminate disinformation are paid or that the distribution of resources privileges large media corporations.

266. The Rapporteurship followed the trial of an action that questioned the constitutionality of resolution 305/2019 of the National Council of Justice that sets rules for the use of social networks by members of the judiciary. The judge-rapporteur’s decision would have rejected the plaintiffs’ argument that the Council usurped the competence of the Federal Supreme Court to legislate on the judiciary and that the resolution violates the principles of freedom of thought and expression. According to the judicial decision, the resolution would simply be "a deployment of a positive rule of the Code of Ethics of the Judiciary, which provides for the relationship of the magistrate with the media in general". It further noted that the right to freedom of expression would be subject to limitations in case of conflict with other fundamental principles such as to "maintain the authority, impartiality and transparency of the Judiciary". The resolution would include the prohibition of the expression of opinion or public criticism of a political party, candidate and political leadership.

267. The Rapporteurship recalls that public officials are subject to special duties in the exercise of freedom of expression because of their status as State officials, including the special duty to reasonably establish the facts on which their statements are based and the duty to ensure that their statements do not violate human rights violations. This Office also notes that any restriction on the right to freedom of expression must meet the requirements of the tripartite test.

268. Likewise, this office monitored the Judiciary’s decision related to political demonstrations on social networks. In March, Judge Alexandre de Moraes suspended Telegram’s activities in Brazil on the grounds that the company had failed to comply with repeated decisions to suspend the account and the monetization of blogger Allan dos Santos, as well as to report the measures adopted by the platform to combat disinformation. According to Moraes, Santos would have "used the reach of his profile on the Telegram app (with more than 121 thousand subscribers) as part of the structure aimed at propagating attacks against the Democratic Rule of Law, the Supreme Federal Court, the Superior Electoral Court and the Federal Senate, in addition to authorities linked to these bodies". In the decision, the judge emphasizes that the company would have no legal representative in Brazil and that the lack of respect for Brazilian law is incompatible with the constitutional order. Two days later, the decision was revoked, after Telegram appointed a legal representative in Brazil and filed actions to combat disinformation. Telegram would have made several commitments, such as monitoring the 100 most popular channels in the country; establishing working relationships with content checking agencies; restricting publication to users banned for spreading misinformation; as well as updating terms of service and promoting verified information. The blocking measures of digital platforms in Brazil are monitored by the initiative Bloqueios.info created by InternetLab.
In August 2022, Moraes ordered raid operations and violation of telematic and banking secrecy of 8 businessmen who exchanged private messages in a WhatsApp group. In his decision, Moraes highlighted “the presence of strong and significant indications that point to the existence of a real criminal organization, with strong digital presence and with production, publication, financing and political nuclei with the clear purpose of attacking Democracy and the Rule of Law”. The legal action was instituted from a report in which it was informed that the entrepreneurs, in a WhatsApp group called “WhatsApp Entrepreneurs & Politics” would be defending “a coup d’état”. One of them allegedly wrote that he would prefer a coup d’état if Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva (PT) won the 2022 presidential elections. According to the information available, the conversation did not present indications of the development of concrete activities to attack democracy.

In addition, the Rapporteurship is concerned about media reports in 2022 indicating that the Army purchased forensic software that would allow the extraction of data from cell phones, even if they are blocked. According to the reports, this type of software is used for investigations only under judicial determination. The media stressed that the contracts do not specify which cell phones would be accessed nor what is the legal basis for this type of access to private data.

However, the National Congress approved a bill that establishes the National Digital Education Policy. The policy seeks to promote inclusion, training, research and digital school education. The approved Bill establishes the sources of resources to finance this policy, “including budget allocations from the Union, states, Federal District and municipalities; public or private donations; a Fund for the Universalization of Telecommunications Services (Fust) as of January 1, 2025; and a Fund for the Technological Development of Telecommunications (Funttel).”

The Rapporteurship also welcomes the initiative of the Technical Group of the communications area of the transitional government regarding the Plan for the Universalization of Broadband Internet Services. According to media reports, the objective of the plan is to promote Internet access in regions without coverage or of low quality and for families in situations of socio-economic vulnerability and includes measures to improve Internet infrastructure, education programs for the population and differentiated rates for low-income families.
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CANADA

273. In previous annual reports, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has indicated that the rights to freedom of expression and association are broadly guaranteed in Canada. However, 2022 was a particularly complex year due to severe impacts to public order and an increase in threats to and attacks on the media and journalists in the country. The first two months of the year were characterized by mass demonstrations against COVID-19 vaccinations and pandemic restrictions; Ottawa, the Canadian capital, was the epicenter of these protests for three weeks. The impact on public order led the federal government to declare a state of emergency for the first time in history. In the framework of the demonstrations, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that multiple journalists had been threatened and harassed while reporting in Ottawa, as well as in other parts of the country. Additionally, this Office continues to observe with concern a pattern of intimidation against journalists through social networks, especially against minority women. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of a dispute between the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) and the CBC/Radio-Canada over the use of the term considered racist. With respect to exclusion and discrimination, this Office learned of reports of the alleged deterioration of the mental health of journalists in the country, particularly members of the media who identify with minority groups due to their gender, sexual identity, and ethnicity. Lastly, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to document new bills that would directly and indirectly impact the exercise of freedom of expression and freedom of the press in the country.

A. Journalism and democracy

274. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of multiple verbal and physical attacks on members of the media as they were reporting during protests against COVID-19 restrictions during January and February 2022. Additionally, this Office registered instances in which the authorities prevented a number of media professionals from entering areas where the gatherings were taking place.

275. This Office learned that Global News journalist Rachel Gilmore alleged that one day prior to the first Freedom Convoy demonstration, she received a series of stigmatizing messages over social media for writing an article detailing the presumably extremist characteristics of the organizers of these protests. The journalist posted a number of these messages on her Twitter account, stating that her romantic partner and her sister had also received similar comments.

276. Additionally, this Office received information indicating that Dale Manucdoc, a journalist of African descent with CBC Toronto, had been called a “slave blooded traitor” by a representative of the Freedom Convoy in Toronto after he requested an interview in anticipation of the protests that were to begin in the following days. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that photojournalist Frank Gunn alleged on his Twitter account that several Freedom Convoy participants had shoved him, shouted, and spit on him. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was alerted that journalist Evan Solomon of CTV News had been attacked with a can of beer seconds before broadcasting live from Ottawa on January 29.

277. In February, this Office learned that a demonstrators shouted at Global News journalist Sean O’Shea that he “should be embarrassed to be a journalist” as he was surrounded by a crowd. On February 2, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that journalist Yves Poirier, with TVA nouvelles, had been intimidated by demonstrators as she broadcast live; the police, who were behind the reporter when the incident took place, did not act promptly. On the same day, this Office received a complaint from Global News journalist Abigail Binman alleging that she had received a threatening e-mail of an anti-Semitic nature from an anonymous
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person. Lastly, this Office received reports on February 3 of at least four threats against journalist Brandi Morin for her coverage of the protests after she reported that white supremacists were participating.

278. As the Freedom Convoy protests dragged on and tension increased between demonstrators, the police, and members of the media, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered a new wave of attacks on journalists and camerapersons between February 18 and 20, especially in the capital and in British Columbia.

279. On February 18, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that journalist Raymond Filion, with TVA, had been violently shoved while covering the protests that night in Ottawa. One day later, on February 19, this Office was alerted to how Global News reporter Kamil Karamali and his cameraperson had been surrounded by demonstrators who chased them, insulted them, and spit on them after they interviewed a representative of the police in Surrey, British Columbia. According to the information received, the journalists had to be escorted by the police and had a difficult time leaving the area. On that same day, this Office registered that CBC News reporter Dan Burritt had been insulted while doing his work. On February 21, this Office was also informed that demonstrators had harassed and spit on journalists Yasmin Grandham while she covered protests in Osoyoos, British Columbia. According to public information, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) of Surrey open an investigation into the attacks on Karamali and Burritt and their camerapersons.

280. In parallel to the aforementioned attacks, this Office was also informed that security forces had blocked a number of journalists from accessing gatherings of demonstrators in Ottawa, even though they had presented the documentation that was supposedly required, such as their press credentials. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that The Globe and Mail journalist Marieke Walsh had attempted to cover the rollover of a truck on February 19, but the police blocked her from covering what happened. That same day, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that Global News journalist Mercedes Stephenson had alleged that police officials had threatened to arrest her if she entered a supposedly restricted area. This Office was also informed that CTV News journalists Annie Bergeron-Oliver and Rachel Aiello were not able to report for their network because the parliament building was closed by police and they did not grant her access. Lastly, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that on February 20, independent photojournalist Carlos Osorio reported that a police official had asked him not to take pictures even though he was a journalist and had his credentials with him. Another photojournalist, Scott Olson of Getty Images, reported something similar: A policeman also approached him to tell him that because it was a restricted area, news photography was not allowed.

281. Regarding the journalists and camerapersons who were doing their work during a public demonstration, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that they must not be hassled, detained, transported, or have their rights limited in any way for exercising their professions. On the contrary, any action intended to obstruct their work must be avoided, as long as the rights of third parties are not at risk. Likewise, this Office reiterates that communicators must not be detained for doing their work, nor should they be harassed or attacked by security forces. On the contrary, security forces have a duty to protect them when they are the
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victims of acts of violence at the hands of third parties. Their equipment and materials cannot be confiscated, seized, or destroyed.\textsuperscript{606}

\textbf{282.} This Office recalls that violence against journalists compromises the rights to personal integrity, life, and freedom of thought and expression. Likewise, the lack of due diligence in the investigation, pursuit and punishment of all those responsible can result in an additional violation to the rights to access to justice and judicial guarantees of those affected and their family members.\textsuperscript{607}

\textbf{283.} The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that it is important for the media to adopt protocols for protecting journalists and providing them with opportunities to receive training on tools for protecting themselves, both physically and online.\textsuperscript{608} Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that communicators have the right to receive State protection in circumstances where their safety, integrity of person, or lives could be threatened due to the exercise of their profession.\textsuperscript{609}

\textbf{284.} During the second half of 2022, following the protests toward the beginning of the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to observe with concern further attacks on the media in Canada. According to the information received by this Office, the new attacks on the media were directed toward women journalists, particularly ethnic and racial minorities, and included death threats and threats of sexual violence.

\textbf{285.} In August, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was advised of an online campaign to harass, silence, and commit gender-based violence against Pakistani-Canadian journalist Saba Eitizaz and her colleagues, Rachel Gilmore and Erica Ifill, via encrypted email services.\textsuperscript{610} According to Eitizaz, one of the threats she posted on her Twitter account was part of a “clear, sustained and extremely organized hate campaign” against Canadian journalists, particularly people who are vulnerable due to their gender and racial background. Gilmore posted a video on her Twitter account in which a leader of an far-right group insults her and severely stigmatizes her for her work.\textsuperscript{611} The journalist also said on Twitter that a person who was possibly armed threatened and harassed her and her family directly.\textsuperscript{612} Also, journalist Erica Ifill took to Twitter to describe the most recent death threats and threats of sexual violence she and her colleagues had received.\textsuperscript{613}

\textbf{286.} This Office was also informed that reporter Graeme Frisque, who expressed support for her threatened colleagues, denounced that she had also been threatened via encrypted email.\textsuperscript{614}

\textbf{287.} This Office received reports indicating that in the days after Eitizaz and Gilmore were threatened, the police in Toronto and Ottawa—where they live, respectively—had not taken measures to protect their safety. On August 8, Eitizaz reported having to wait hours to communicate with the police.\textsuperscript{615} She also stated that the officer who responded had not opened a new case file into threats but had added the complaint to a report from the previous year.\textsuperscript{616} The same officer told her that he needed to verify the credibility of the threats and the country of origin, even though Eitizaz insisted that the death threats against her and her colleagues were
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intensifying. Gilmore, for her part, accused the police of failing to listen to her in detail and interrupting her while she described the facts.

On August 18, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received with concern reports of death threats against journalists, in this case against Anna Junker, a reporter with the Edmonton Journal and the Edmonton Sun. Junker posted emails on her Twitter account indicating a coordinated campaign against ethnic-minority women journalists. The threats posted by Junker included racist, anti-Semitic comments and calls for sexual violence.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that after they recorded a podcast about the threats, journalists Saba Eitizaz, Rachel Gilmore, and Anna Junker again received threats in their e-mail.

This Office learned that in response to the wave of threats against ethnic-minority women journalists, the Canadian Association of Journalists and representatives of the Toronto Star, The Hill Times, and Global News, sent a letter to federal ministers, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), and police in Toronto and Ottawa asking if the media could provide police with reports of multiple incidents and patterns of harassment; requesting that the police commit to regularly updating complainants on the progress of investigations and the action taken; and asking them to give media organizations an opportunity to file complaints of threats on behalf of journalists. These same requests were sent to the Prime Minister, Justin Trudeau, through an additional letter signed by dozens of journalism associations and media outlets. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Prime Minister of Canada, Justin Trudeau, urged the authorities to take action in response to the pattern of hatred and harassment against journalists and public figures.

This Office underscores that all actions taken by States to comply with their obligations to prevent, protect, and investigate violence against journalists must take into account the differentiating effect of crimes against journalists who are women. These same requests were sent to the Prime Minister, Justin Trudeau, through an additional letter signed by dozens of journalism associations and media outlets. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the safety of journalists is a prerequisite for the exercise of freedom of expression and freedom of the press. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that online violence against women journalists must also be addressed from a gender perspective that guarantees that this group of women is able to exercise the right to freedom of expression both off-line and online. The obligations to prevent, protect, and investigate violence against journalists must be met not only regarding journalists who work for traditional media outlets but also for journalists who work for online media or on the various platforms that the Internet provides.

This Office underscores that all actions taken by States to comply with their obligations to prevent, protect, and investigate, try, and criminally punish those responsible for crimes against journalists must take into account the differentiating effect of crimes against journalists who are women. In this regard, the measures of protection for journalists and media workers must include a gender perspective that takes into account both the specific forms of violence suffered by women and the specific ways in which the protective measures are implemented that may be necessary or adequate for women journalists.

---

617 Twitter account of Saba Eitizaz (@sabaeitizaz). August 8, 2022.
623 Canadian Association of Journalists. September 1, 2022. Open letter to PM Justin Trudeau concerning the online hate and harassment of journalists.
The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the special risks to which women journalists are exposed must be taken into account in order to prevent the intimidation or fear that may arise from an attack or the risk of one from leading to women journalists self-censoring.630 This Office recalls that the media outlets must prepare self-defense guides and seek to properly train women journalists on security to help minimize the risk of gender-based violence—especially sexual violence and online violence—during the exercise of their journalism work, assignments, and on the ground reporting, as well as provide protective equipment.631

In August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that veteran journalist Lisa LaFlamme, the lead anchor of CTV National News, was fired, possibly because she clashed with an executive of Bell Media, CTV News’ parent company.632 After 35 years with the media outlet, LaFlamme was informed on June 29 that due to a “business decision” and “changes to the habits of viewers,” her labor relationship with the media outlet had been terminated.633 According to multiple reports, LaFlamme had clashed with the vice president over the budget that CTV News had allocated for covering the war in Ukraine.634

However, the Rapporteurship was also informed of other possible reasons for her departure, such as her physical appearance and the decision to not dye her hair.635

The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the importance of the exercise of the right to freedom of expression for women derives from, among other things, the role this right plays in securing effective gender equality and strengthening democracy. At the same time as gender equality is inherent to freedom of expression as a fundamental right, the exercise freedom of expression is, in turn, a key instrument for promoting gender equality.636 This Office recalls that States are under an obligation to adopt positive measures aimed at guaranteeing the substantive equality of women in the exercise of freedom of expression and the transformation of the institutions, systems, roles, and stereotypes that perpetuate inequality and their exclusion from the public debate.637

B. Freedom of expression, the Rule of Law and democratic institutions

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered a series of protests and roadblocks against COVID-19 mandates and pandemic restrictions, known together as the Freedom Convoy. The movement was additionally started to protest the vaccine mandates required for crossing the U.S. border, but later turned into a mass demonstration against pandemic restrictions in general638. Starting on January 22, 2022, hundreds of vehicles gathered in different parts of the country and set off toward Ottawa. From January 29 onward, the demonstrators occupied the center of the city and declared that they would not leave until all the COVID-19 restrictions and mandates were repealed.639

The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that more than 3000 trucks and 15,000 demonstrators participated during the first day of protests in Ottawa on January 29.640 Over the following three weeks, this Office received reports indicating that hundreds of demonstrators and trucks had taken over the

center of Canada’s capital city. Although according to public information, the demonstrations started over the introduction of a requirement for cross-border truckers to be vaccinated, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the initial demands expanded to oppose all provincial and federal COVID-19 restrictions. This Office thus also received reports of other demonstrations in Quebec City, Toronto, Victoria, Halifax, and New Brunswick.

298. Over several days of demonstrations, this Office received information on increasing accusations of hate speech, along with physical and verbal attacks, in several cases of a racist nature, against medical staff, members of the media, and passersby wearing surgical masks.

299. According to the information provided by the State, the protests in January and February 2022 constituted a threat to the rights enshrined in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. According to the authorities, the threat “comprised the blockades themselves, the continuing threats to oppose measures to remove the blockades, including by force, and the related activities directed toward or in support of the threat or use of acts of serious violence against persons or property, including critical infrastructure”. The State informed this Office that “protestors adopted a number of tactics that were threatening, causing fear, disrupting the peace, impacting the Canadian economy, and feeding a general sense of public unrest”. Such practices included “harassing and berating citizens and members of the media, slow roll activity, slowing down traffic and creating traffic jams, in particular near ports of entry, as well as reports of protestors bringing children to protest sites”.

300. On February 14, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the federal government had invoked the Emergencies Act over the Freedom Convoy blockades and protests. Prime Minister Justin Trudeau’s decision to invoke this law for the first time in history gave the federal government sweeping new powers to control public order in Ottawa. According to the information received by this Office, the measure was lifted on February 23 once the protests were dispersed. The State reported that the measures permitted under the law were “necessary to to supplement existing municipal, provincial and federal powers”. The State also indicated that the measures had been “proportionate in the circumstances” and the declaration was

---

revoked “as soon as those additional powers were no longer deemed to be required to manage the situations”.

301. Starting on February 14, and as a consequence of the Emergencies Act, the Office of the Special Rapporteur began receiving reports indicating the possible escalation of tensions between police, demonstrators, and members of the media. This Office received information suggesting the arrest of demonstrators had picked up and that dozens of demonstrators had tried to assault officers and take their weapons. Likewise, according to public information, the police had used tear gas, antiriot weapons, nightsticks, smoke grenades, flashings, and bulletproof vests to disperse crowds. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that the financial resources supposedly linked to the protests had been frozen, amounting to 206 bank accounts, 306 entities, 253 Bitcoin requests, and an account worth $3.8 million.

302. During the three weeks of protests, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that authorities had arrested more than 200 demonstrators in the provinces of Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia. This Office also learned that nearly 400 charges had been laid against members of the Freedom Convoy for obstruction and assault of police officers. The State has said it is difficult to compile information on the status of all the ongoing legal processes given that its judicial system is not "designed, either institutionally or technologically, to rapidly produce aggregate data".

303. In response to two incidents in which demonstrators were injured by the police, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the Special Investigations Unit of the Ontario Police had launched an investigation on February 20, 2022. On June 20, 2022, this Office was informed that the unit had absolved at least two police officers of any irregularity after concluding that they had fired "less lethal" weapons.

304. On April 25, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the federal government had established a Public Order Emergency Commission to investigate the circumstances leading to the issuance of the state of emergency that was in place February 14-23, 2022. The commission’s final report, with findings and recommendations, will be presented before the Canadian House of Commons and Senate in February 2023. The public hearings began on Thursday, October 13, 2022, and concluded on Friday, December 2, 2022. As of the publication date of this annual report, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau was the last official to testify before the commission on November 25, 2022. The prime minister defended his government’s decision to invoke the Emergency Law for the first time since its creation 34 years ago.

---


Social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful, unarmed assembly, freedom of association, and freedom of expression is a fundamental tool for the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is required to respect, protect, and guarantee these rights. As regards the obligation to respect, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have indicated that “the right of assembly through social protest must not be subject to the requirement of obtaining authorization from authorities or excessive requirements that make them difficult to carry out.” Likewise, regarding the obligation to protect and facilitate, the Commission has underscored that “The social interest imperative associated with the right to participate in public demonstrations is such that there is a general presumption in favor of its exercise.” As indicated in the IACHR’s report on protest and human rights, States must act with the assumption that public protests and demonstrations are legal and not a threat to public order, even when they are held without prior notice. Lastly, with respect to the obligation to guarantee, the IACHR has established that it “entails the duty to investigate and punish all violations that take place in the context of a public demonstration.”

This Office also reiterates that the use of force in the context of protests should be understood as “a last resort that, qualitatively and quantitatively limited, is intended to prevent a more serious occurrence than that caused by the state’s reaction,” and that, within this framework of exceptionality, the State must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity, and proportionality. Lastly, this Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that “in principle, criminalization per se of demonstrations in public thoroughfares is inadmissible,” and States must refrain from conducting mass, collective, or indiscriminate detentions at public demonstrations.

This Office reiterates that States have a duty to adopt the measures necessary to prevent acts of violence, guaranteeing the safety of persons and public order. However, when using force in these contexts, States must adopt proportional measures to achieve these objectives and not arbitrarily block the exercise of the rights in play during protests.

Likewise, in contexts of social protest, States must refrain from carrying out mass, collective, or indiscriminate arrests. An arrest based exclusively on the act of participating in a public protest or demonstration does not meet the requirements of reasonableness and proportionality established by international standards.

Additionally, with respect to declaring states of exception, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that “Measures taken by States, particularly those that may result in restrictions on rights or guarantees, should follow the pro persona principle, and the principles of proportionality, and temporary basis, and should have as their legitimate purpose strict compliance with comprehensive public health and protection objectives, such as proper, timely care for the population, over and above any other consideration or interests of a public or private nature.” In the same sense, the Commission has held that states of exception must be reserved
exclusively for truly exceptional cases, situations of extreme gravity that endanger the life of a nation. For all other situations, standard administrative measures must be taken.\textsuperscript{676}

310. Likewise, as indicated in the report on social protest and human rights, "public protests and demonstrations, as legitimate and protected forms of the exercise of various rights and a fundamental instrument of democratic coexistence—even when they express social unrest—cannot be used as a justification for declaring states of emergency or for suspending rights in other ways. Many of the nuisances caused by these events are inherent to the exercise of the rights involved in protest, and any violent events that may occur in the context of demonstrations should be prevented, investigated, and punished as they normally would, without the need to resort to the suspension of rights."\textsuperscript{677}

311. Additionally, during the second half of 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports of tensions between the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) and the CBC/Radio-Canada over the use of a term considered racist. On June 30, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the CRTC had ordered CBC/Radio-Canada to issue a public apology for the use of a racist term on air.\textsuperscript{678} According to public information, the decision was the result of an episode of “15-18” broadcast on August 17, 2020, during which host Annie Desrochers and columnist Simon Jodoin discussed a petition seeking the firing of a professor at Concordia University who had cited a book with the “N-word” in its title. Desrochers and Jodoin used the N-word repeatedly, prompting a black listener to file a complaint. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the CRTC ruling ordered CBC/Radio-Canada to “indicate how it intends to mitigate the impact of the 'N-word' in this segment of the program” and publish a report “on internal measures and programming best practices that it will put in place to ensure that it better addresses similar issues in the future.”\textsuperscript{679}

312. On July 13, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that CBC/Radio-Canada had apologized for repeatedly using the word, as required by the CRTC ruling. This Office was also informed that the group intended to appeal the CRTC decision, arguing that the regulator had overstepped its authorities.\textsuperscript{680} In a statement, CBC/Radio-Canada said the CRTC’s decision demonstrated an attempt to “give itself the power to interfere with journalistic independence.” This Office learned that in its public apology, the outlet acknowledged that although the N-word was used in a journalistic context, it was hurtful to some members of the audience and employees. "Some of our journalists have expressed the view that this is solely an issue of free speech, but we all know that words can wound and need to be used with care.” CBC/Radio-Canada added that "The use of this word is hurtful to many in our audience and to our own employees and for that, we are deeply sorry."\textsuperscript{681}

313. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that broadcasting regulation must aspire to promote and expand the scope of the right to freedom of expression, not restrict it. To this extent, the legal framework must ensure that the media can serve as a vehicle for the free, vigorous, open, plural, and diverse exercise of freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{682} Likewise, this Office recalls that the Inter-American system for human rights protection, States are only required to prohibit hate speech under limited circumstances—that is, when the speech constitutes insight into violence or any similar legal action against any person or group of persons based on their race, color, religion, language, or national origin, among other things.\textsuperscript{683}

\textsuperscript{681} CBC. July 13, 2022. CBC/Radio-Canada apologizes for using N-word, but says CRTC 'overstepped' authority.
\textsuperscript{683} IACHR. Hate Speech and Incitement to Violence against LGBTI Persons in the Americas 2015. Para. 13.
C. Freedom of expression and the struggle against discrimination and exclusion

314. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered with concern reports of discrimination against journalists and members of civil society based on their gender, sexual orientation, and ethnicity. However, this Office also received information on progress made on raising the profile of the country’s indigenous communities.

315. In February 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received a report based on a talk about journalism and hate on digital platforms in Canada. The report indicated that in the media industry, women, members of ethnic minorities, and 2SLGBTQ+ persons are the main targets of attacks on social media. This Office noted with concern the assertion that these messages of hate are part of broader campaigns to harass and intimidate journalists, many of which are allegedly coordinated by trolls, politicians, and even other members of the media. This Office was also dismayed at the possibility that the trauma caused by the selective harassment campaigns has moved the most vulnerable journalists to abandon the profession completely, depriving Canadian media of a more representative diversity of perspectives.

316. In May 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of a study morning of the high levels of stress and trauma experienced by media workers. According to the 1,252 people interviewed, only 19 percent of journalists in Canada are members of ethnic minorities. According to the information in the study, Asian, black, and Arab workers have reported harassment—both online and in person—more frequently than their white colleagues, concluding that journalists of non-Caucasian origin therefore have worse mental health. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that at least a third of media workers deal with online harassment, something made clear by the reports discussed in other sections of this annual report.

317. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that, where local circumstances require, States must place a special focus on combating—including by designing programs to counteract—historical discrimination, prejudice, and bias from preventing certain groups from the equitable exercise of the right to freedom of expression. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States must adopt affirmative measures (legislative, administrative, or in any other nature), in a condition of equality and non-discrimination, to reverse or change existing discriminatory situations that may compromise certain groups’ effective enjoyment and exercise of the right to freedom of expression.

318. Additionally, this Office recalls that States and non-State actors must guarantee the highest degree of protection to journalists. In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that all the measures taken must take into account the intersectional forms of discrimination against certain groups of women journalists. In particular, labor protections must be offered to women from groups that face exclusion or vulnerability.

319. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the information received indicating that the country’s public media commemorated National Indigenous History Months with special audiovisual programming on First Nations, Métis, and Inuit peoples showing their cultures, perspectives, and experiences. According to public information, during the month of June, they broadcast documentaries, movies, and series with

---
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indigenous protagonists; shared playlists of indigenous music; and launch podcasts to raise awareness on the system of residential schools and confront the perpetrators of abuse.

320. At the same time, during 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also received reports of discrimination based on sexual orientation against members of civil society, especially minors. In January 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports that the Waterloo Region District School Board meeting had expelled Carolyn Burjoski, a grade school teacher, for her stance on LGBTQ+ books. On January 17, 2022, during a school board meeting about the library collection, Burjoski questioned whether two books—one with an asexual protagonist and one with a transgender protagonist—were appropriate for her students.693 The board cut off her presentation, arguing that her comments violated the Ontario Human Rights Code, which provides protections for gender identity and expression. The board reportedly removed her from the virtual meeting and, a day later, suspended her from the school. This Office was informed that Burjoski had requested a judicial review of the facts, arguing that the school board had violated her right to freedom of expression. Burjoski said she was concerned about the alleged abuse of power to silence anyone who questions their policies, adding that boards must respect the rights of parents and teachers to free expression.694

321. In July 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received with concern reports that a 2SLGBTQ+ activist and organizer had been threatened online for helping to plan a drag show for teens. According to public information, Seth Compton, the founder of Outloud North Bay, received violent messages via email and social media accounts after Libs of TikTok—a U.S.-based TikTok account—learned that his organization was planning an event for 2SLGBTQ+ young people to freely express themselves in a safe environment.695 The account is known for taking videos and social media posts primarily from 2SLGBTQ+ people to disseminate them and spark outrage in its homophobic followers.696

322. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that it is especially important for States to take action to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression by LGBTI persons and empower those who are impacted and made invisible.697 As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have expressed previously, promoting and protecting the right to freedom of expression must be joined with efforts to combat intolerance, discrimination, hate speech, and incitement to violence, and especially with promoting proactive public policies on social inclusion in the media and to ensure that LGBTI persons and communities can effectively exercise their right to freedom of expression without discrimination. All these efforts must, in general, adhere strictly to international human rights law and, in particular, to standards on freedom of expression.698

323. Regarding alleged instances of racial discrimination by State authorities, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of at least one incident in Québec in June 2022. On June 24, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that the police in Montréal had harassed members of the Caribbean community who were holding an open-air event to raise funds. According to public information, the city’s police received a noise complaint and proceeded to send approximately 15 officers to the event, including members of SQ, RCMP, and Eclipse, who normally handle organized crime.699 Witnesses there said that the unusual presence of the police at a cultural event, where they issued no order or citation, was racially biased. This Office...
was informed that the Montreal police said there was a noise complaint and that the Eclipse unit—accompanied by SQ and RCMP—made periodic visits to bars and restaurants in the city.\textsuperscript{700}

324. This Office recalls that persons who perform public functions are called upon to maintain a discourse that is respectful of the right to freedom of expression and avoid messaging that feeds intolerance, discrimination, or hostility against certain persons or groups.\textsuperscript{701}

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

325. In 2022, the Rapporteurship continued to monitor bills that would affect freedom of expression and the media in the country, just as it did in its 2021 annual report.\textsuperscript{702}

326. As reported by this Office in its last annual report, on June 22, 2021, the House of Commons passed Bill C-10 to amend the Broadcasting Act, with the aim of placing the activities of online platforms under the jurisdiction of the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission.\textsuperscript{703} However, the bill failed to pass the senate before parliament was dissolved for a federal election.\textsuperscript{704} The government then reintroduced the bill, with amendments, as the Online Streaming Act, or Bill C-11.\textsuperscript{705} According to the information received by this Office, the bill was passed by the House of Commons on June 21, 2022. As of the publication date of this annual report, its passage by the Senate remained pending.\textsuperscript{706}

327. Like the previous version, Bill C-11, would amend the Broadcasting Act to give the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) more authority to regulate digital broadcasting.\textsuperscript{707} According to the Senate of Canada, it is difficult to determine the precise effects that the initiative would have due to the ambiguity of its wording.\textsuperscript{708} Likewise, according to criticisms of it, the bill would place the majority of online audiovisual content at risk of regulation by the CRTC.\textsuperscript{709} The companies providing intermediary services in the country would have to make financial contributions to the funds that support the creation of "Canadian Content" (CanCon) and broadcast a certain quantity of it.\textsuperscript{710} The bill would also implement discoverability requirements for audiovisual content on digital platforms, which could force them to manipulate playlists, sources, and algorithmic recommendations to comply with Canadian content quotas.\textsuperscript{711}

328. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that because they are ultimately responsible for protecting and respecting the right to freedom of opinion and expression, States must not require or pressure private entities to disproportionately and unnecessarily interfere with the right to freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{712} This Office also reiterates that the intermediaries remain private entities with economic, social, and individual interests that are distinct of those of the State. Demanding they perform a jurisdictional exercise to balance the


rights of their users exceeds the scope of their powers and could generate and encourage abuses to the
detriment of freedom of expression and access to information.\textsuperscript{713}

329. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the submission of a new legislative
initiative in April 2022 called Bill C-18 or the Online News Act. As of the date of publication of this annual report,
the bill was before the Standing Committee on Canadian Heritage.\textsuperscript{714} According to the information received by
this Office, the bill’s purpose is to establish a new regulatory framework to guarantee equity in the Canadian
digital news market and “fair compensation” for media outlets and journalists in the country. In this regard,
like Bill C-11, the initiative would expand the mandate and authority of the Canadian Radio-television and
Telecommunications Commission (CRTC).

330. According to critics of the initiative, the bill would ban “undue preference” in search engines and
would therefore prevent platforms from prioritizing trustworthy sources of information over lower-quality
sources.\textsuperscript{715} In addition, the bill would define “news businesses” in an allegedly broad way, so a media outlet
would not be required to follow basic journalistic standards; this, according to critics, would increase the
proliferation of misinformation on the internet.\textsuperscript{716} Additionally, the bill would establish "payment for links," which,
according to the information received by this Office, would encourage low-quality content and favor
large media houses for having more content to link to.\textsuperscript{717} Lastly, as documented by this Office, the initiative
lacks equity, since a smaller media outlet would not be able to shoulder the regulatory cost.\textsuperscript{718}

331. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the exercise of freedom of expression requires
conditions that foster user access to a plurality and diversity of media and that does not discourage such
access.\textsuperscript{719} In this regard, and in order to ensure that all relevant points of view can be adequately taken into
account, States must guarantee the equitable participation of all actors relevant to Internet governance, thereby
fostering robust cooperation between authorities, academia, civil society, technical experts, and the private
sector, among other actors, both nationally and internationally.\textsuperscript{720}

\textsuperscript{713} IACHR. Standards for a free, open, and inclusive Internet. March 2017. OEA/SER.L/V/II. CIDH/RELE/INF.17/17. Para. 112.
\textsuperscript{714} Parliament of Canada. November 27, 2022. \textit{C-18: An Act respecting online communications platforms that make news content
available to persons in Canada.}
\textsuperscript{715} Google Canada. October 18, 2022. Google Canada's testimony on Bill C-18, the Online News Act; Michael Geist. November 7,
2022. \textit{The Law Bytes Podcast, Episode 145: Why Bill C-18’s Mandated Payments for Links is a Threat to Freedom of Expression in Canada – My Appearance Before the Heritage Committee.}
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CHILE

332. In 2022, this Office of the Special Rapporteur recorded the murder of a journalist who was covering a public demonstration taking place on Workers’ Day. In addition, this Office has continued to receive information on the alleged disproportionate use of public force against reporters covering social protests. In this regard, this Office welcomes the progress in the pursuit of justice in a case of police abuse committed against two journalists in the context of the 2019 social outburst. Likewise, this Office became aware of new findings on alleged actions of surveillance by the Army of private communications, which would have taken place in the same context as the spying on journalist Mauricio Weibel. Additionally, in 2022, this Office followed the process to reform the Constitution of Chile. In particular, the Office offered contributions on freedom of expression to the Constitutional Convention and the Coordination of the Commission on Fundamental Rights, with the intention of providing input on international and inter-American human rights standards. Likewise, the Special Rapporteur welcomes Chile’s accession to the Escazú Agreement and encourages the State to continue promoting best practices in the area of access to information. Finally, this Office thanks the Permanent Mission of Chile to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to acting together to strengthen the exercise of and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and to reaffirm that free and independent media are fundamental to democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

333. In 2022, this Office received information on various acts of violence against journalists covering social protests in Santiago de Chile. On May 1, journalist Francisca Sandoval of the local community media outlet Señal 3 La Victoria, was shot in the face by an individual while covering a Workers’ Day protest in Barrio Meiggs, in the center of the Chilean capital. The journalist died on May 12, after being hospitalized following the attack. During the protest, Radio 7 reporter Fabiola Moreno and Prensa Piensa community media reporter Roberto Caro were also shot and wounded.

334. On May 2, the Ministry of the Interior reported the arrest of the alleged perpetrator of the homicide and announced that he was being charged for frustrated homicide, illegal carrying of a firearm and unjustified shooting. In July, the charges against the perpetrator were changed to consumated homicide. The Rapporteurship also learned that the President of Chile visited the journalist’s family in the hospital and publicly expressed his rejection of violence. Additionally, according to the information received, the Minister of Government spokesperson stated that “there will be no impunity” in this case, and highlighted the commitment of the authorities to “guarantee greater security conditions for press workers”. By way of background, according to available information, the journalist and the community media for which she worked had denounced continuous attacks. In 2012, Francisca Sandoval was allegedly intentionally run over by

---


723 BiobíoChile. May 3, 2022. President Boric visited injured journalist in Meiggs: group insulted him outside ex Posta; ADN. May 3, 2022. President Boric met with family of shot reporter and was insulted by protesters at Posta Central; Cooperativa. May 12, 2022. President Boric after death of journalist Francisca Sandoval: “We will not allow impunity”.
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a police vehicle, which left her with serious injuries. On May 17, this Office issued a communique in which it condemned the murder of the journalist and urged the State of Chile to investigate the facts in a complete, effective and impartial manner and to punish those responsible. The Special Rapporteur recalls that the murder of journalists is the most extreme form of censorship and it is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation to the victims. The IACHR and its Rapporteurship have argued that investigations into violations of the right to life and physical integrity that occur in the context of social protests are crucial, since the contrary could generate “an intimidating effect that is particularly serious because of the impact it has on the exercise of the rights to assembly, freedom of expression, and association”.

A report by the University of Chile presented in July 2022, highlighted that aggressions against the press by state agents continue to be a widespread trend. In this regard, the report documented 127 aggressions committed against journalists by State agents during 2021. Also, according to the report, there was an increase in attacks with pepper spray on photojournalists, with 25 cases, and acts of harassment of the press, with 53 cases, to prevent or hinder the exercise of their work. Likewise, according to the report, “more than 86 percent of the records correspond to the Metropolitan Region and refer - for the most part - to aggressions produced in the context of the news coverage of the protests held on Fridays in Plaza Baquedano and surrounding areas, in which the resignation of President Sebastián Piñera and the release of the so-called ‘prisoners of the revolt’ were demanded.”

In this context, this Office learned that the Association of International Press Correspondents in Chile (ACPI) denounced the escalation of violence and intimidation by certain groups against journalists, including photographers from EFE and AFP agencies, in the context of social protests.

This Office also followed up on the trial for police abuse committed on October 23, 2019 against journalists Patricia Torres Basualdo and Estefani Carrasco Rivera in the context of the social outburst. On September 23, the Criminal Trial Court of Arica sentenced a former police officer to 541 days in prison for the crime of unlawful coercion against the journalists.

---

737 El Nuevo Diario. September 22, 2022. Aggressions against journalists are denounced; Swissinfo. September 22. Foreign correspondents in Chile denounce intimidation during protests; Diario USACH. September 23, 2022. Foreign correspondents in Chile denounce intimidation during protests.
738 Twitter account of Pedro Vaca (@PVacaV); August 31, 2022.
739 Judicial Branch Republic of Chile. September 23, 2022. TOP of Arica sentenced to 541 days of imprisonment for illegitimate harassment in police station in the city; El Dínamo. October 20, 2022. The story of the ruling that sentenced a police officer in Arica and the
339. As the rapporteurs for expression of the UN and the OAS stated in a Joint Declaration, attacks against journalists covering social protests “violate both the individual aspect of freedom of expression—insofar as they prevent journalists from exercising their right to seek and disseminate information, and creates a chilling effect—as well as its collective aspect—in that they deprive society of the right to know the information that journalists obtain.”740 For this reason, “given the importance of the work done by journalists who cover these events, the State must afford them the highest degree of protection in order for them to perform their duties. This obligation is not limited to granting specific protective measures to journalists; it also includes the duty to create the necessary conditions to mitigate the risks of practicing their profession in such situations.”741

340. On the other hand, according to information documented by this Office, on March 5, Roberto Rivas, journalist and editor of the newspaper El Ovallino, was arrested.742 The journalist was reportedly arrested by the police in his own office after a person identified by the initials G.B., allegedly annoyed at having been mentioned in a newspaper article, rebuked him and threatened him to delete the publication and then denounced him.743

341. Likewise, this Office received information on alleged restrictions to freedom of expression by indirect means. According to the information available, in January, the program Café Cargado, which was broadcasted on Sundays on private signal,744 was cancelled. This occurred after its host, the humorist Sergio Hirane, made a call during his radio program to businessmen to “put all kinds of obstacles” to the Government.745 The television station La Red confirmed the decision in a statement indicating that the host’s statements would have caused distrust in the editorial production of the program and thus the decision to remove it from its program schedule.746 However, Hirane accused the broadcaster of censorship.747

342. This Office also received reports of alleged violations of labor rights following the dismissal of 45 journalists, communicators and collaborators of the radio station La Red, owned by Albavísión.748 According to the information available, the employees had remained on strike for three months without receiving any salary or explanations from the company.749 In the midst of this uncertainty, workers from different areas of the television station denounced the lack of clarity from the directors of the television station about the situation of the company.750

300 complaints that the prosecutor’s office is investigating; Arica. Mia. September 23, 2022. TOP of Arica sentenced to 541 days imprisonment for illegitimate harassment in police station in the city.
740 UN, OAS. September 13, 2013. Joint Declaration on violence against journalists and media workers in the context of protests.
741 UN, OAS. September 13, 2013. Joint Declaration on violence against journalists and media workers in the context of protests.
747 Twitter account of Checho Hirane (@hiranechecho). January 17, 2022; BioBio Chile. 17 January 2022. Checho Hirane accuses La Red of censorship after Café Cargado is suspended from the air: “I am sad because I was naive”; Tvd al Día. January 18, 2022. The replacement of Café Cargado is confirmed, after its early departure from the air.
343. On the other hand, according to available information, the Supreme Court accepted the appeal for protection filed against a former official of the Presidency for facts reported in the Special Rapporteur’s 2021 annual report, with respect to the alleged governmental pressures to hinder the free exercise of journalism. According to the information received in 2021, the former presidential advisor had telephonically contacted the owner of the holding Albasvisión—towards which the television station La Red belongs—expressing her annoyance and requesting it to modify its “leftist” editorial line and not to continue broadcasting news that “divide the country” and “damage democracy.” This year, the Supreme Court upheld the action, considering that the conduct of the former advisor constituted “an arbitrary and illegal threat to the guarantee of freedom of opinion and freedom to inform” and that given her position, it constitutes an interference that inhibits journalistic activity and freedom of expression. The highest court stated that “the meaning of interference in the informative process encompass those active, omissive, direct or indirect conducts, which amount to obstacles to the free flow of information; impositions of information and direct or indirect pressures aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators.”

344. The IACHR and its Rapporteurship have pointed out that journalism represents one of the most important manifestations of freedom of expression in democratic societies. An independent and critical press is a fundamental element for the validity of the other freedoms that make up the democratic system. In this context, the Rapporteurship recalls that, according to Principle 5 of the Declaration of Principles of the IACHR, “[p]rior censorship, direct or indirect interference in or pressure exerted upon any expression, opinion or information transmitted through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual or electronic communication must be prohibited by law. Restrictions to the free circulation of ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the imposition of obstacles to the free flow of information violate the right to freedom of expression.”

345. This year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to follow up on the criminal investigation for alleged interceptions by the Army of journalist Mauricio Weibel, who in 2015, published in the newspaper The Clinic a series of reports on possible embezzlement of funds within the Army. According to the information reported, in 2022, there has been no progress in the judicial investigations.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

346. In January, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights issued the report “Situation of Human Rights in Chile” which addresses the findings of the Commission’s on-site visit, conducted from January 25 to 31, 2020, with the purpose of learning about the underlying causes and consequences of the social protests that began on October 18, 2019. The report stressed that the actions of democratic states should consider that protests do not constitute a threat to public order, but rather strengthen political participation and the

---


construction of higher levels of citizen participation.\(^{759}\) It also recommended adopting measures to ensure that those in positions of responsibility within the State guarantee the freedom of the press and the work of the media.\(^{760}\) The report also recommended that the State give its consent for a working visit by the IACHR’s Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression to monitor and gather information directly on the situation of the right to freedom of expression in Chile.\(^{761}\)

347. On the other hand, in 2022 the Rapporteurship was informed of Chile’s accession to the Escazú Agreement.\(^{762}\) The Agreement was approved on May 31 by the Senate, and includes the “interpretative declaration” proposed by the Chamber that aims at the application of the agreement in relation to domestic law; it recognizes the country’s progress in terms of access to information, participation and access to environmental justice, among others, as reported by the Senate.\(^{763}\) The Minister of Foreign Affairs and the Minister of Environment thanked the support given by the Senate and valued the implications that the approval of this international instrument has for Chile, pointing out that it is a “historic vote”.\(^{764}\) This Office welcomes the incorporation of Chile to this agreement and highlights that such commitment represents a step forward in favor of the right to information in the country.

348. The Escazú Agreement recognizes access to environmental information as a citizen’s right. According to this instrument, the exercise of the right of access to environmental information includes: a) requesting and receiving information from the competent authorities without the need to mention any special interest or justify the reasons for the request; b) being promptly informed whether or not the requested information is in the possession of the competent authority receiving the request; and c) being informed of the right to challenge and appeal the non-delivery of information and the requirements to exercise this right.\(^{765}\)

349. Likewise, this Rapporteurship recorded the signing of an agreement between the Government of Chile and three universities to strengthen communication rights, freedom of expression and freedom of the press.\(^{766}\) The objective of the agreement is to promote a broad and diverse media ecosystem, with decentralized and deconcentrated media to strengthen democracy.\(^{767}\) According to the information available, the first step will be the creation of a working group that will gather the discussions held in recent years regarding the current Chilean media system, and the diagnosis made by the various actors in the world of communications.\(^{768}\)

350. This Office also followed up on the constituent process in Chile, and the different initiatives related to freedom of expression that were proposed as a result of the work of the Commission on Fundamental Rights and the Commission on Knowledge Systems, Cultures, Science, Technology, Arts and Heritage.\(^{769}\) In a letter sent to the State on March 8, this Office valued the work of the Constituent Convention and expressed its availability to provide specialized technical support, and highlighted the challenges that constitutional coherence and compatibility with international and Inter-American human rights criteria could pose. According to publicly


\(^{762}\) Senate of the Republic of Chile. May 31, 2022. *Chile accedes to the Escazú Agreement*: Deutsche Welle (DW). June 1, 2022. *Escazú Agreement is ratified by the Senate of Chile*.

\(^{763}\) Senate of the Republic of Chile. May 31, 2022. *Chile accedes to the Escazú Agreement*: Deutsche Welle (DW). June 1, 2022. *Escazú Agreement is ratified by the Senate of Chile*.


\(^{766}\) ECLAC. 2022. *Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Public Participation and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin America and the Caribbean*.

\(^{767}\) Government of Chile, July 15, 2022. *Government confirms agreement to strengthen right to access to information and press freedom*: Universidad de Chile. July 15, 2022. *U. de Chile will be part of a working group on freedom of press and media*.

\(^{768}\) Government of Chile. July 15, 2022. *U. de Chile will be part of a working group on freedom of press and media*.

available information, in the September 4 plebiscite, the proposal for a new constitution was rejected by more than 60% of voters.\(^770\)

351. Finally, this Office learned of new allegations of espionage in Chile.\(^771\) According to available information, the Army Intelligence Directorate (DINE), as part of the same military operation through which the spying on journalist Mauricio Weibel was allegedly ordered, would have tapped cell phones of Army agents between 2016 and 2018.\(^772\) Public reports indicate that the communications of an officer began to be tapped after he reported Army irregularities to the press.\(^773\)

352. This Office recalls that it is imperative that States limit the use of any type of technology that may interfere with private communications in accordance with international human rights standards.\(^774\) The eventual use of surveillance technologies must be clearly and precisely delimited in the law, be exceptional and operate on a strictly necessary basis; in addition, it must have prior judicial authorization and constant supervision by the relevant State agencies.\(^775\) As has been previously pointed out by the Rapporteurship, surveillance practices and the unlawful or arbitrary interception and collection of personal data not only affect the right to privacy and freedom of expression but can also be contrary to the tenets of a democratic society.\(^776\)

C. Freedom of expression and the Internet

353. On April 11, the Supreme Court sent to the Senate a report regarding the bill that seeks to “regulate digital services platforms in Chile, as well as the users of them”, following a request made in September 2021 by the President of the Senate to the Supreme Court.\(^777\) Among the points mentioned in the report, the Supreme Court raised the need to clarify the scope of application of the rule, as it considered that it would not be possible to know exactly which digital platforms are intended to be regulated.\(^778\) The document also raised the need to establish a local contact point of the platforms or a local representative.\(^779\) Likewise, the Court mentioned that the project is silent on intermediation services and data hosting.\(^780\) The highest court emphasized the need to
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\(^{772}\) CIPER. October 9, 2022. The Army tapped phones of military personnel for accusations of lack of loyalty and for denouncing abuses in the press; The Clinic. 12 October 2022. “Mayordomos”, whistleblowers and “disloyal” military: Reveal that Army “tapped” cell phones of officials during Operation Topographer; BioChile. October 12, 2022. Army spied on “disloyal” officials and on plaintiff of labor harassment case.


\(^{778}\) Judicial Branch of Chile. April 11, 2022. OFICIO N° 74-2022 REPORT OF BILL THAT REGULATES “DIGITAL SERVICES PLATFORMS IN CHILE, AS WELL AS ITS USERS”; Diario Constitucional. April 12, 2022. Supreme Court sends to the Senate a report on the bill that regulates digital services platforms as well as their users.

\(^{779}\) Judicial Branch of Chile. April 11, 2022. OFICIO N° 74-2022 REPORT OF BILL THAT REGULATES “DIGITAL SERVICES PLATFORMS IN CHILE, AS WELL AS ITS USERS”; Diario Constitucional. April 12, 2022. Supreme Court sends to the Senate a report on the bill that regulates digital services platforms as well as their users.
safeguard due process when initiating legal actions derived from non-compliance with the regulation, if it were to be approved.781

354. As far as the Rapporteurship was able to learn, some civil society organizations considered that the manner in which the bill addresses the phenomenon of disinformation in disconnected from the international experience in this area, damaging the guarantees of freedom of expression and information without prior censorship; and that it contains provisions, such as the establishment of objective responsibility of the platforms, which directly contravene the recommendations of human rights organizations to ensure and promote a free and open Internet, and guarantee freedom of expression.782 In view of these considerations, the Special Rapporteur offered his availability to provide technical support, due to the importance of the debate on the exercise of freedom of expression on the Internet.783

355. In April 2022, this Office learned that the Electoral Service (Servel) had uploaded to its web page a database with the personal information of almost fifteen million voters, corresponding to the list of people eligible to participate in the municipal elections of May 2022.784 The information would have included, among other aspects, the Rol único Tributario (RUT) numbers associated to each name, gender, age, data of belonging to native peoples, militancy in political parties, data of having voted in the previous municipal elections and on which day (May 15 or 16).785 The organization Derechos Digitales described it as “public cases of violation of data rights”.786 In this regard, the Rapporteurship states that personal data can only be processed in the cases and conditions determined by law, under a specific legal provision or with prior, free and informed consent of the data owner, in order to protect privacy and the broadest exercise of civil, political, economic and social rights against possible abuses of public and private power.787

356. This Office recalls that the guarantees of freedom of expression apply to the Internet in the same way as to all media. In this regard, this Office has emphasized that restrictions to freedom of expression on the Internet are only admissible under the American Convention when they meet the “tripartite test”, which provides that restrictions must be provided for by law and pursue a legitimate aim recognized by international law and be necessary and proportionate to achieve that aim.788 In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates the importance that any legislation regulating the Internet does not contain vague and general definitions or disproportionately affect the free flow of information.789

357. According to available information, the discussion in Congress of the law “regulates the protection and processing of personal data and creates the Personal Data Protection Agency” continued during 2022. At the closing date of this report, the bill continues its course under the Constitution, Legislation, Justice and Regulation Committee of the Chamber of Deputies in the framework of the second constitutional

781 Judicial Branch of Chile. April 11, 2022. OFFICIO N° 74- 2022 REPORT OF BILL THAT REGULATES “DIGITAL SERVICES PLATFORMS IN CHILE, AS WELL AS ITS USERS”; Diario Constitucional: April 12, 2022. Supreme Court sends to the Senate a report on the bill that regulates digital services platforms as well as their users.


784 CIPER. April 28, 2022. Servel discloses sensitive data of eligible voters for the 2021 municipal elections, such as militancy, address, gender and whether or not they voted; La Tercera. April 29, 2022. Leakage of personal data: Servel discloses information on the participation of 15 million citizens in 2021 municipal elections, including RUT, age and political militancy; Servicio Electoral de Chile. May 10, 2022. President of Servel goes to Congress for publication of personal data.

785 Ciper Chile. May 6, 2022. Violation of personal data: the private, in public.


788 Chamber of Deputies. December 23, 2022. Bill regulating the protection and processing of personal data and creating the Personal Data Protection Agency.

789 Chamber of Deputies. December 23, 2022. Bill regulating the protection and processing of personal data and creating the Personal Data Protection Agency.
procedure. The Special Rapporteur is following this debate and offers its technical support to ensure that the articles are consistent with Inter-American human rights standards.
COLOMBIA

358. In 2022, the Rapporteurship recorded the murder of four journalists in Colombia. It also received reports of espionage, attacks, threats, harassment and stigmatizing statements against journalists, especially those who investigate public administration and cover armed clashes and organized crime. Since this was an election year, the Office also followed up on reports of intimidation by candidates against journalists from different media outlets. As the Rapporteurship was able to observe, these facts would have contributed to the deterioration of the public debate. Finally, RELE was also aware of judicial resolutions that protected the right of access to information.

A. Journalism and democracy

359. Journalistic work continues to face risks in Colombia. In 2022, this Office received reports of attacks, threats, reports of espionage and illegal interception of communications, harassment and violence against journalists motivated by the exercise of their profession, particularly against those who cover violence, crime, armed groups, drug trafficking and elections.

360. In 2022, the Rapporteurship documented the murder of four journalists in Colombia. On August 28, journalists Leiner Enrique Montero Orga and Dilia Contreras Cantillo were murdered. According to the information received, two unknown individuals intercepted the vehicle in which both journalists were driving, who were returning from a coverage in the village of Santa Rosa de Lima, Bolívar. Also injured in the incident was cameraman Joaquín Alberto Gutiérrez, who was traveling in the back seat of the car, who was shot twice in the arm.

361. Leiner Enrique Montero was director of the radio station Sol Digital Stéreo in the municipality of Fundación and published news on the page Leiner Montero Historias, and Dilia Contreras worked with Montero on his news page and had worked as a reporter and announcer for several media outlets in Bogotá and Santa Marta. According to what the Rapporteurship learned, hours before the murder, Montero had been part of a discussion in which he had received a threat from a person who was in the place where the journalists were covering. On September 2, the Attorney General’s Office identified and captured a person as the alleged perpetrator of the murders, according to public information.

362. The Rapporteurship also learned of the murder of journalist Rafael Moreno, which occurred on October 16 in Montelíbano, Córdoba. According to available information, two unknown individuals on a motorcycle reportedly approached and shot journalist Rafael Emiro Moreno Garavito when he was in a commercial establishment he owned, located on 4th Street in the 27 de Julio neighborhood, in the municipality of Montelíbano, in southern Córdoba department. According to what was reported to this Office, the National Protection Unit (UNP) would have assigned the journalist a protection scheme as a result of repeated threats received since 2019. It has also been reported that these threats were related to his journalistic investigations into corruption and armed groups, particularly in the Córdoba municipalities of San José de Uré, Ayapel, Buenavista, Puerto Libertador and Montelíbano, where he was murdered.

---

793 El Colombiano. August 28, 2022. Two journalists were murdered in Fundación, Magdalena, after covering some corralejas; Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa. There are indications that the murder of Leiner Montero and Dilia Contreras is related to their journalistic work.
796 Foundation for Press Freedom. There are indications that the murder of Leiner Montero and Dilia Contreras is related to their journalistic work.
797 Follow-up. September 2, 2022. Carlos Mario Fonseca would be one of the murderers of the two journalists; El Universal.
798 IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. October 20, 2022. Press Release R237/22. The Rapporteurship urges the State of Colombia to investigate and punish those responsible for the murder of journalist Rafael Emiro Moreno Garavito, as well as to examine the mechanism for the protection of journalists.
363. According to journalists’ organizations, during 2022 there were numerous threats against journalists in the department of Córdoba\(^{799}\). In this regard, the Rapporteurship recalls that the duty of States to prevent violence against the press is accentuated in situations or areas where there is or has been detected a special risk for journalists working in the media\(^{800}\).

364. In a press release, the Rapporteurship condemned the facts and called on the State to carry out an investigation, as well as to prosecute and punish the perpetrators and masterminds of the murder, taking into consideration the journalistic work as a possible motive for the crime\(^{801}\). Likewise, RELÉ appreciated that the local authorities have publicly and timely condemned the murder of Rafael Emiro Moreno Garavito, requesting a “thorough investigation to clarify the facts and identify the perpetrators of this crime”\(^{802}\). The Rapporteurship also highlighted that other State bodies, such as the Ombudsman’s Office, have followed up on the murder and joined the collective call for speedy investigations\(^{803}\). RELÉ received information that the Colombian Prosecutor’s Office, through the Special Investigation Unit, “set up a team to carry out urgent actions and investigative activities to identify and prosecute those allegedly responsible for the murder of social leader and journalist Rafael Moreno”\(^{804}\).

365. The fourth journalist to be killed was Wilder Alfredo Córdoba, 35, who on November 28 was the victim of an armed attack by unknown assailants who shot him at least three times while he was riding his motorcycle in a rural area of La Unión\(^{805}\). According to the information received, Wilder Alfredo Córdoba was recognized locally for his coverage of insecurity and issues related to the municipal administration through the La Unión TV channel, which he directed, and his personal Facebook profile\(^{806}\). The Colombian Attorney General’s Office reported that they had learned of the death of the journalist and social leader, and that the “Special Investigation Unit assigned a team of prosecutors and investigators to carry out urgent actions and clarify the facts”\(^{807}\). Likewise, the Secretary of Government of the Governor’s Office of the Department of Nariño condemned the crime and urged the authorities to “expedite the investigative tasks to find, in a timely manner, the perpetrators of this event”\(^{808}\). On the other hand, the Municipal Mayor’s Office of La Unión offered a financial reward in exchange for information that could lead to the identification of the persons responsible for the murder\(^{809}\).

366. This Office has found that all the murders reported this year are located in territories far from the capital, where the impact of these events on freedom of expression and press freedom is greater, as they
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\(^{801}\) IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. October 20, 2022. Press Release R237/22. *The Rapporteurship urges the State of Colombia to investigate and punish those responsible for the murder of journalist Rafael Emiro Moreno Garavito, as well as to examine the mechanism for the protection of journalists*.

\(^{802}\) El País. October 18, 2022. Investigative journalist who had asked for more protection from the state murdered in Córdoba; El Espectador. October 17, 2022. *Journalist and social leader Rafael Moreno is murdered in Montelba, Córdoba*.


\(^{804}\) Twitter account of the Colombian Attorney General’s Office (@FiscaliaCol). October 17, 2022.


\(^{807}\) Twitter of the Colombian Prosecutor’s Office (@FiscaliaCol). November 29, 2022.


significantly affect the subsistence of local and community journalism, and therefore the possibilities for society to receive information and stay informed on matters of public interest.\textsuperscript{810}

367. The State has indicated that it is committed to respecting freedom of expression, freedom of the press and access to public information.\textsuperscript{811} In this regard, it reported that it is strengthening the mechanisms for the prevention and protection of journalists, in coordination with civil society organizations.\textsuperscript{812} In this regard, it indicated that the Ministry of the Interior is working on an emergency plan consisting of six axes, with short and medium term lines of action. Additionally, the State reported that between January and October 2022, the National Protection Unit (UNP) has protected 164 journalists.\textsuperscript{813}

368. Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that "the murder, kidnapping, intimidation, threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation for the victims."\textsuperscript{814}

369. This Office has previously pointed out that "[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation, threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media have two specific objectives. On the one hand, it seeks to eliminate those journalists who investigate abuses, irregularities or illicit acts of any kind, carried out either by public officials, organizations or individuals in general, so that their investigations cannot be concluded, do not reach the public debate they deserve, or simply as a reprisal for them. On the other hand, it seeks to be a tool of intimidation, through which a clear message is sent to all those persons of the civil society who carry out investigation tasks on irregularities in the public administration. This practice seeks to make the press, as a control mechanism, keep silent or become an accomplice of those persons or institutions that carry out abusive or illegal acts or deeds. Ultimately, what is sought is to prevent society from being informed of these events."\textsuperscript{815}

370. In 2022, the Rapporteurship also received reports of physical attacks and stigmatizing statements against journalists. The department of Arauca, in eastern Colombia and bordering Venezuela, is currently experiencing a context of violence and aggressions directed against those who practice journalistic work, as a result of the reactivation of the dispute between the dissidents of the Rebel Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) and the National Liberation Army (ELN). This situation has worsened in the last four years, which has hindered the exercise of the right to freedom of expression and has even caused forced displacements of journalists.\textsuperscript{816} The dispute between the guerrilla of the National Liberation Army (ELN) and the Tenth Front of the dissidents of the extinct FARC has left 343 people murdered and 18,900 displaced so far this year, according to the Ombudsman's Office.\textsuperscript{817}
In 2020, RELE had already drawn attention to the situation in Arauca by reporting on the situation of Wilfer Moreno, a journalist from the CNC Noticias television channel, who had suffered threats allegedly from the ELN and was forced to flee the city.\footnote{IACHR. Annual Report 2020. Report of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, OEA/Ser.L/V/II Doc. 28. 30 March 2021. Para. 378.}


Additionally, RELE learned that on January 19 a car bomb exploded in the municipality of Saravena, Arauca, in front of the headquarters of the social organizations of the Center East, where the digital and print media Trochando Sin Fronteras operates. This explosion also affected the facilities of Sarare Stereo, a community radio station.\footnote{Espectador. February 1, 2022. Arauca: journalists at high risk.} As a result of the attack, the facilities were destroyed, antennas and production equipment were lost, and there was damage that altered their operation. According to available information, prior to the attack, on January 9, an early warning was issued following the circulation of an audio message in which members of the armed group threatened to kill community leaders and their media\footnote{Infobae. February 23, 2022. "Attack in Saravena was planned and financed from Venezuela": Mindefensa; El País. January 20, 2022. 'Attack in Saravena was planned and financed from Venezuela'; Mindefensa; El País. January 20, 2022.} which members of the armed group threatened to kill community leaders and their media.\footnote{Infobae. February 23, 2022. Journalist Julián Martínez denounces attack against him in Bogotá; Committee to Protect Journalists. 2 March 2022. Armed men attack the bodyguard of Colombian journalist Julián Martínez.} On January 20, the Minister of Defense affirmed having identified three of those responsible, who allegedly belong to FARC dissidents.\footnote{El Colombiano. February 23, 2022. “Periodista Julián Martínez denuncia atentado en Bogotá; Policía investiga intento de robo” (Journalist Julián Martínez denounces attack in Bogotá; Police investigate attempted robbery). Bogotá Police official Twitter account. February 24, 2022.}

The Rapporteurship has also learned of other acts of violence against journalists, presumably motivated by their work as journalists. Julián Martínez, a journalist with La Nueva Prensa, was the victim of an attack on February 22 at his home.\footnote{El Tiempo. Feb. 9, 2022. Threats to journalists increased after peace, says Flip; France 24. 10 February 2022. Violence against journalists in Colombia increased since peace pact, says NGO.} The journalist affirmed that his bodyguard repelled the attack and denounced that the police took more than an hour to arrive and "did not carry out the immediate exhaustive investigations that they have the legal duty to do at the site of the facts".\footnote{Caracol News. January 23, 2022. Arauca, la zozobra y la impotencia; Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa. January 20, 2022. Attack in Saravena has serious consequences on local journalism; Voz de América. January 26, 2022. Journalists in Arauca, Colombia: "Every second that passes gets more difficult".} According to the information available, the attack would be a consequence of his latest investigations related to a case of corruption with public health resources.\footnote{Caracol News. January 23, 2022. Arauca, la zozobra y la impotencia; Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa. January 20, 2022. Attack in Saravena has serious consequences on local journalism; Voz de América. January 26, 2022. Journalists in Arauca, Colombia: "Every second that passes gets more difficult".} For its part, the Bogotá Police reported that the facts are being investigated under the hypothesis of attempted robbery and harm to the integrity of the journalist.\footnote{El Colombiano. February 23, 2022. “Periodista Julián Martínez denuncia atentado en Bogotá; Policía investiga intento de robo” (Journalist Julián Martínez denounces attack in Bogotá; Police investigate attempted robbery). Bogotá Police official Twitter account. February 24, 2022.} The media said that the...
According to available information, on July 22 and 24, two journalists from Radio Lumbi received threats from a person who identified himself as a faction of the United Self-Defense Forces of Colombia (AUC) of the Northern Tolima Bloc. In addition to the journalists, the text reportedly declared the secretary of government, the mayor of Mariquita and the captain of the police station as military targets.

Likewise, RELE became aware of a video, allegedly published by a criminal group where they insulted the media Caracol Radio, Caracol TV, RCN Radio, RCN TV, El Tiempo, El Espectador and Semana, calling them "information mercenaries" and pointing out that they "demonize those who think differently and defy their masters". Additionally, they accused the media of making "peace and reconciliation" impossible because they are "at the service of the rich and the war machines", and of "exacerbating hatred and war among Colombians".

On the other hand, RELE received information on stigmatizing statements by political leaders against journalists. For example, on October 12 and 13, the president described as "cizañero" the deputy editor of Semana, Yesid Lancheros, as a result of an opinion column on the president's relationship with the finance minister. The newspaper Semana also denounced that, during the electoral campaign, a member of the president's campaign team had given orders to delegitimize this media as part of an electoral strategy. Likewise, a senator of the ruling party Pacto Histórico described as "enemies" the media that have been critical of the current administration.

---

838 Week. July 10, 2022. Here is the clear threat from Iván Márquez's criminal group against several media outlets and press freedom; Deutsche Welle (DW). July 11, 2022. "Iván Márquez" survived attack in Venezuela, according to FARC dissidents.
839 Week. July 10, 2022. Here is the clear threat from Iván Márquez's criminal group against several media outlets and press freedom; Deutsche Welle (DW). July 11, 2022. "Iván Márquez" survived attack in Venezuela, according to FARC dissidents.
842 Infobae. October 14, 2022. Gustavo Bolívar sent message to the media: he spoke of "lies and rigged editions"; Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa; La Silla Vacía. October 15, 2022. "FLIP rechaza estigmatización a la prensa de Petro en Twitter".
379. Además, en el contexto de la campaña electoral, la Inter American Press Association (IAPA) llamó a la actual presidenta y a la candidata presidencial a detener la estigmatización de la RCN, que había sido calificada como "neo-nazi" por sus publicaciones.\textsuperscript{843}

380. Asimismo, RELE recibió informes de que el candidato presidencial para el Movimiento de Salvación Nacional había tomado medidas en las que había vinculado el medio a traficantes de drogas después de su informe sobre una operación militar. En una de las medidas, él declaró que el medio había hecho "un ataque hipocrita" y "asumió la bandera del tráfico de drogas al perjuicio de las más vulnerables poblaciones del país...".\textsuperscript{844}

381. Esta Oficina también ha aprendido sobre supuestos comentarios estigmatizadores hechos por el alcalde de Aguachica contra el local de prensa en el departamento de César. El alcalde supuestamente describió a los periodistas como "tabloides", "extorsionistas" y rechazó dar testimonios al respecto.\textsuperscript{845}

382. Con respecto a los comentarios estigmatizadores hechos por los funcionarios públicos a la prensa, el Trabajo de la Comisión también aprendió sobre la decisión del Ministerio Público. Office to open a preliminary investigation against the mayor of Medellín, Daniel Quintero, for his comments on social networks in which he allegedly described the newspaper El Colombiano as a "pasquín".\textsuperscript{846}

383. As previously stated, the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression recognizes that it is legitimate, and on certain occasions a duty, for State authorities to speak out on issues of public interest and to defend themselves against criticism or questioning by the press.\textsuperscript{847} However, in doing so, they should not discredit or stigmatize those who question them, given their high office, the broad scope of their pronouncements, and the possible effects that their expressions may have on certain sectors of the population.\textsuperscript{848} This implies that they must refrain from making speeches that may, directly or indirectly, encourage or promote violence against communicators and journalists.\textsuperscript{849}

384. As the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has established, public officials must bear in mind that their status implies a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of individuals, and therefore, "their statements may not disregard these rights or constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts".\textsuperscript{850} This also means that they must refrain from making speeches that may, directly or indirectly, encourage or promote violence against communicators and journalists.\textsuperscript{851}

385. On the other hand, according to reports received, journalist Claudia Julieta Duque withdrew the state protection mechanism after denouncing the violation of her privacy, since in the car she was using, granted by the state, a GPS device was found from which information on her movements was collected on a massive scale
and without authorization. The Superintendence of Industry and Commerce and the Attorney General’s Office stated in a letter in response to the Special Rapporteur on Human Rights Defenders Mary Lawlor that they have guaranteed the fundamental rights of the journalist in terms of data protection, life and privacy.

In terms of access to public information, the Rapporteurship is concerned that a judge has denied access to the media to a judicial hearing against three members of the Police, accused of aggravated homicide and injuries against demonstrators during a national strike in Siloé, Cali, in May 2021. According to the information received, the judge allegedly expelled three journalists on technical grounds, and when it was proposed to her that the hearing could be observed by streaming, she did not agree.

The Rapporteurship recalls that transparency and accountability of public authorities strengthen democratic systems, and that the guarantee of the right of access to information, on many occasions, is a necessary condition to guarantee the exercise of other rights. In ruling on restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information at public acts or events, the Inter-American Court has determined that “[w]ith respect to accreditations or authorizations to the press media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that their application is legal, pursues a legitimate objective and is necessary and proportional in relation to the objective pursued in a democratic society. The requirements for accreditation must be concrete, objective and reasonable, and their application transparent.”

Likewise, journalists from Rafael Poveda Televisión’s “Testigo Oculto” program reported that they were detained for 20 minutes near the area of Tulúa, Valle del Cauca, by two individuals carrying fragmentation grenades, who allegedly blocked their way and insulted them. The crew was filming a program on children and adolescents.

This Office registered with concern the complaint of journalist Cecilia Orozco Tascón, director of Noticias Uno and columnist for El Espectador, who reported having been the target of intimidating surveillance when leaving her work. Journalist Orozco Tascón has faced four criminal proceedings in recent years, one of them stemming from a complaint by a former prosecutor for opinions issued in the radio program Revelados entitled “La Fiscalía espía a líderes políticos y periodísticos” (The Attorney General’s Office spies on political and journalistic leaders). Among the judicial proceedings promoted related to these cases was an order to inspect the archives of the media where Orozco Tascón works.

---


860 News One. April 3, 2022. Intimidating follow up with hearse “to tour a deceased”, to director of Noticias Uno; El Espectador.

390. RELE has also received reports of other actions by state agencies of unusual verification of media outlets. On August 3, InSight Crime co-director Jeremy McDermontt stated that the National Tax and Customs Directorate (DIAN) had reportedly visited the media outlet’s offices as part of alleged investigations they were conducting863.

391. The Rapporteurship learned that the Attorney General’s Office sent a request for an "inspection diligence" to Revista Cambio on June 30 to “collect the original” of an investigation in which a military chief was linked to possible ties with drug trafficking864. This publication would have led to the dismissal of the Army officer mentioned in the investigation865. After the request was made public, the Prosecutor’s Office refrained from carrying out the investigation866.

392. Likewise, on August 6, El Espectador columnist Yohir Akerman was summoned to the Prosecutor’s Office following a complaint filed by a former president, who allegedly claimed that Akerman committed libel and slander by stating in a column published on May 17 that during his administration he had benefited his family with a subsidy program867. In previous years, the former president had referred to Akerman with stigmatizing adjectives such as "faded ELN militant".868

393. Against the previous considerations, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that "States have a positive obligation to create an enabling environment for freedom of expression and the right to information, including by fostering the independence and diversity of the media as a fundamental means of promoting robust and open debate on matters of public interest, and by adopting standards that ensure public transparency and accountability of public agents"869. In this line, RELE recalls that, according to Principle 13 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR, ‘the use of State power (...) with the aim of pressuring and punishing or rewarding and privileging social communicators and the media according to their informative lines, violates freedom of expression. Direct or indirect pressures aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators are incompatible with freedom of expression’870.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

394. According to available information, in 2022 Raya Magazine published a report on alleged espionage activities by the Colombian Army against Joshua Goodman, an Associated Press journalist, independent photojournalist Gerald Bermúdez, and José Luis Mayorga, of Reporters Without Borders871. The monitoring of the journalists reportedly included intercepts with call records and photographic records872. FLIP rejected these actions and warned that they seriously affect their privacy, integrity and the confidentiality of the source873.

872 FLIP. August 22, 2022. At least three journalists have reportedly been targeted by Colombian intelligence;
According to available reports, there has been little progress on the part of the Attorney General’s Office in the case of journalist Jineth Bedoya, who was kidnapped on October 25, 2000 and victim of torture and sexual violence. This case has a sentence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued on October 21, 2021 where it was established that the State of Colombia violated the rights to personal integrity, personal liberty, honor and dignity and freedom of thought and expression of Jineth Bedoya. This Office learned that in the case of Jineth Bedoya, the State made progress in complying with some measures that should have been carried out within a year, such as the payment of compensation, publication of the summary of the sentence in the official gazette and on the web page of the Presidential Advisory Office for Human Rights. However, on the other hand, the State did not comply with the measures related to the payment of rehabilitation, the fund for the prevention, protection and assistance of women journalists, and the system for collecting data and figures.

This Office was informed of the media bill presented to the Legislative by the pro-government party Pacto Histórico, which would promote the "democratization" of the media. The proposal proposes, among other things, a reallocation of the electromagnetic spectrum so that the institutional, public and private media have equal shares of 33.33%. The initiative also proposed the creation of a National Council of Public Policy for Community, Alternative and Popular Communication; and that streaming platforms pay a tax of 1% of their gross monthly income to the Single Fund for Information and Communication Technologies.

This Office stresses the need for any legislative modification to be in accordance with international standards of freedom of expression and the criteria emanating from the jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.

During the year, the Rapporteurship reported decisions of national courts that would have an impact on the right to freedom of expression. In this regard, the Rapporteurship welcomes the decision of the Constitutional Court of Colombia in which it established that journalists should not rectify a publication that is based on opinions, but only when it is informative. The Court based its decision on the 1981 Constitution, which states that opinions are protected by the right to freedom of expression.

In turn, the Rapporteurship welcomes the decision of the Council of State that confirmed in the second instance the responsibility of the State in the illegal surveillance of journalist Claudia Julieta Duque. The ruling affirmed that this was a violation of human rights and that officials of the now defunct Administrative Department of Security (DAS) were involved.

---


877 El Tiempo. October 7, 2022. *A draft article was leaked that attempted against freedom of the press in Colombia: the Minister of Justice assured that it will never be presented*.


879 November 8, 2022. *A draft article was leaked that attempted against freedom of the press in Colombia: the Minister of Justice assured that it will never be presented*.

880 Follow-up. March 7, 2022. *Opinions of journalists do not require rectification: Constitutional Court*; Constitutional Court of Colombia. March 4, 2022. *The thoughts and opinions that journalists express in the exercise of their profession are not subject to rectification: Constitutional Court*.

881 Follow-up. March 7, 2022. *Opinions of journalists do not require rectification: Constitutional Court*; Constitutional Court of Colombia. March 4, 2022. *The thoughts and opinions that journalists express in the exercise of their profession are not subject to rectification: Constitutional Court*.


400. This Office also The Administrative Court of Antioquia recognized the responsibility of the State in the homicide of journalist Edison Molina, which occurred on September 11, 2013 in Puerto Berrio, Antioquia. Months before the homicide, the journalist had reported to the prosecutor’s office the threats and aggressions of which he was being victim.

401. Likewise, the Constitutional Court established that the mayor of Bogotá should retract public statements in which she attributed the increase in insecurity and crime figures to the Venezuelan migrant population. The Court concluded that the right to freedom of expression of public officials "has greater limitations, so that racist or discriminatory statements targeting members of a certain social sector are prohibited." According to the Court, "even in the case of security as a matter of public interest, officials must foresee the risks associated with their pronouncements, so that these do not accentuate, aggravate or extend scenarios of intolerance or stigmatization." 

402. In July, the Constitutional Court ruled that the creator of the series "Matarife" must rectify certain statements made both on social networks and in the series itself, against a former president. According to the Court, the statements made by the creator of "Matarife" are not protected by freedom of expression as they do not satisfy the principles of truthfulness and impartiality since there is no evidence to support them.

403. In addition, according to available information, on August 18, the Archdiocese of Medellin sent a video statement through their social networks in which they communicate their willingness to abide by the Constitutional Court’s decision ordering them to hand over to journalist Juan Pablo Barrientos the private files on cases of possible pederasty allegedly committed by priests.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

404. According to public information, writer Carolina Sanín had a contract cancelled for the publication of two novels she had agreed with a Mexican publisher. The cancellation of the agreement would have been due to "questioning of the identity politics" of the writer, according to her own statement. Sanín considered this act as "censorship" and that it would have been motivated by comments that some would have considered aggressive towards the transsexual community. This event gained notoriety in regional media and led to a

---


885 Constitutional Court of Colombia declared that public officials cannot use freedom of expression to make discriminatory or racist statements.

886 Constitutional Court of Colombia declared that public officials cannot use freedom of expression to make discriminatory or racist statements.

887 Constitutional Court of Colombia declared that public officials cannot use freedom of expression to make discriminatory or racist statements.

888 Constitutional Court of Colombia declared that public officials cannot use freedom of expression to make discriminatory or racist statements.

889 El Colombiano. November 16, 2022. It is definitive: creator of the series "Matarife" will have to rectify statements about Uribe; Semana. November 16, 2022. This is how the Constitutional Court informed its decision to order Daniel Mendoza, mastermind of Matarife, to rectify infamies against Uribe; El Tiempo. November 17, 2022. Matarife: creator of the series will have to rectify information about Uribe.

890 El Colombiano. November 16, 2022. It is definitive: creator of the series "Matarife" will have to rectify statements about Uribe; Semana. November 16, 2022. This is how the Constitutional Court informed its decision to order Daniel Mendoza, mastermind of Matarife, to rectify infamies against Uribe; El Tiempo. November 17, 2022. Matarife: creator of the series will have to rectify information about Uribe.


broad conversation on social networks and in the press regarding the limits of discourse on historically vulnerable populations, and what may or may not be classified as hate speech. On the other hand, this Rapporteurship observes that in Colombia the public discourse is permeated by stigmatizing speeches against women and groups in vulnerable situations. In the context of the electoral campaign, RELE received information on the circulation of discriminatory speeches against the vice-president, mainly on gender and racial grounds. These comments are framed in a historic presidential election in terms of the diversity of the candidates, since for the first time there were five vice-presidential candidates from the Afro community. In the protests that took place, manifestations of racism and misogyny were also perceived against them.

This Office acknowledges the decision of the Constitutional Court that called on judicial authorities to consider the gender perspective in cases where women are affected as victims of violence. The resolution comes after rejecting a request from a member of the Colombian Communist Youth alleging violation of the right to a good name because three feminist collectives denounced a person for sexual assault. The Court established that freedom of expression is protected beyond the criminal sphere, especially by acting as a mechanism of protection and support for women victims of violence in the face of the inaction of the competent authorities to effectively protect their right to a life free of violence.

Likewise, in Ruling C-222, the Constitutional Court determined that the "truthfulness exception" is legitimate when they could have against them accusations of slander or libel based on accusations of sexual or gender violence. This exception assumes that whoever is responsible for slander or libel would have the benefit of exemption from liability for compensation if it is proven that the facts of the alleged slander or libel are true. This resolution is in favor of the freedom of expression of women who denounce gender or sexual violence, since the fact of being subject to a possible obligation to compensate could inhibit them from filing a complaint.

This Office reiterates the importance of States adopting positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as women, indigenous peoples, Afro-descendants, or LGBT+ persons. The Inter-American system particularly protects speech that expresses constitutive elements of the personal identity or dignity of the speaker. Therefore, the speech of women who denounce violence because of their status as women or of a sexual nature should be especially protected at present. In this regard, the Rapporteurship invites States to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to align institutional practices with the enhanced protection of this type of speech.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

The Rapporteurship recorded the decision of the Ombudsman's Office to create the Office of the Ombudsman Delegate for the Protection of Rights in Digital Environments and Freedom of Expression to protect the rights of citizens on the Internet, which has among its objectives to serve as a "bridge" between different entities.

---

896 RFI. April 1, 2022. Racist fury after rise of Afro candidate in Colombia; Infobae. Oct. 5, 2022. Francisca Marquez will not reconcile with woman who insulted her with racist phrases; "This does not prevent racial hatred".
897 RFI. April 1, 2022. Racist fury after rise of Afro candidate in Colombia; Infobae. Oct. 5, 2022. Francisca Marquez will not reconcile with woman who insulted her with racist phrases; "This does not prevent racial hatred".
898 Constitutional Court. June 21, 2022. Court calls on judges to analyze cases of violence affecting women with a gender perspective.
899 Constitutional Court. June 21, 2022. Court calls on judges to analyze cases of violence affecting women with a gender perspective.
900 Constitutional Court. June 21, 2022. Court calls on judges to analyze cases of violence affecting women with a gender perspective.
The National Electoral Council issued a resolution on April 5, 2022 in which it ordered a senator to delete within 24 hours a tweet that showed the image of a former president and a presidential candidate, and the message "no more ñeñes, no more narcotráFICO". The resolution ruled that Barreras' publication would have exceeded the limits of the figure of electoral propaganda. The decision of the National Electoral Council was taken upon the argument that the publication would imply to accuse a person of carrying out and relating to illegal acts without providing evidence to justify statements of such magnitude, which goes beyond the tolerable limits of negative electoral propaganda, in that it affects the dignity of the citizen and his good name, thus exceeding the limits of freedom of expression. The Senator complied with the decision and affirmed that it was an act of censorship and that his freedom of expression as a congressman was being violated.

Likewise, the civic corporation of Caldas requested the Mayor of Manizales Carlos Mario Marín not to selectively block citizens on his Twitter account as this implies a lack of access to information that is of public interest; and based on the Constitutional Court’s ruling T-244 filed a tutela against him.

In addition, this Office has monitored the file T-8.764.298 of the Constitutional Court, regarding the removal of content and suspension of accounts in social networks. In the lawsuit started in 2021, the plaintiff reportedly stated that she is an actress and model and that several of the photographs shared on her Instagram were removed for allegedly violating the rules of "nudity and sexual activity" and "sexual services", and that her account was closed. According to reports, the model pointed out that her posts did not include adult sexual services, as they contained photographs of her in which she appeared in her underwear, as do other models and influencers, who have not had their accounts deactivated. On June 2022, the Court selected the tutela with radicado and would have based its decision under the criteria of novel matter and potential impacts on many other users of the social network. In November, the Court convened a technical session in order to obtain further evidence. In addition to the plaintiff and Meta, the Court reportedly convened a group of experts to present their perspectives on freedom of expression, gender and content curation in social networks; right to work, gender and social networks; and management and administration of social networks. The Court would have asked what it understands as promotion or inclusion of adult sexual services how many Instagram accounts it has removed for including or promoting adult sexual services in the last five years, and asks it to discriminate its response based on the gender or sex of the holders that were closed.

The Rapporteurship also took cognizance of Constitutional Court Ruling T-280 of 2022, which requested the Congress of the Republic to legislate on digital gender-based violence in order to comply with international commitments to protect women. The Court recognized that digital gender violence is a serious form of violence that occurs with the assistance of, or aggravated by, the use of information and communications technologies, and that produces psychological damage and self-censorship. The decision determined that information mechanisms should be established, a budget should be allocated, training and
prevention campaigns should be carried out, and suitable and effective justice mechanisms should be ensured.\textsuperscript{914}

COSTA RICA

As this Office has noted before, Costa Rica maintains high standards for guaranteeing the right to freedom of expression. However, in 2022, this Office observed an increase in stigmatizing speech towards journalists and the media by public officials. This year, the Costa Rican Ministry of Health reported that it had approved the prohibition of mass activities in Parque Viva—an event center belonging to Grupo La Nación—for preventive health and safety reasons. The measure was considered as an alleged retaliation against the publications critical to the Government of the media La Nación. In October, the Constitutional Chamber determined that this decision constituted "an indirect violation of freedom of the press, a freedom which is an essential element of our democratic system". This and other decisions of the judiciary show that in Costa Rica there is a robust democratic institutionality and that the systems of checks and balances are functioning. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Costa Rica to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of "Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism" (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

According to available information, in 2022, the president of Costa Rica reportedly referred to the press in a stigmatizing way, calling it "rogue press" and comparing it to animals: "People talk about the press, but that’s like talking about fauna. There are rhinos, there are raccoons, there are rats, there are birds". He has said that certain media lend themselves to "petty interests" and therefore have contributed to a climate of confrontation between the government and some media.

According to reports received, on July 6, during a press conference, the president questioned the economic solvency of the media company La Nación, using distorted data on bonds issued by the company in the stock market between 2013 and 2014. According to available information, such payments would be up to date. In this regard, the CEO of the company stated that he did not understand the Government’s concern since the company's equity 'far exceeds the value of the bonds issued'.

In the days following the press conference, on July 8, the Costa Rican Ministry of Health informed that it had endorsed the prohibition of mass activities in Parque Viva—an event center belonging to Grupo La Nación, "under precautionary principle in order to protect the health and safety of the population". Likewise, the health authority indicated that the decision "responds to complaints and denunciations due to the problems caused by the enormous dams generated by the massive events that take place in Parque Viva (...) that directly affect the community, as well as the surrounding towns".

In this context, civil society actors alleged that the president’s statements and administrative actions against one of the companies belonging to the media group were linked to its editorial position and journalistic coverage, and therefore would be an indirect means to curtail the media's freedom of information, imposing obstacles and generating a silencing effect on its journalists.

---

920 La Nación. July 9, 2022. The Costa Rican government attacked one of the main media outlets in the country and then closed a stadium of that company; El Comercio. July 9, 2022. Costa Rican government closes Parque Viva amid attacks by the president to the newspaper "La Nación".
On July 13, the president affirmed that freedom of the press in the country "enjoys good health" and that "it has a government that will defend it at all costs." In turn, on July 12, the Association of Journalists and Professionals in Collective Communication Sciences of Costa Rica stated in a press release that "the business groups, owners of media outlets, have had to diversify their activities in order to have additional income and finance their media" and that "this is necessary." According to them, after a meeting held recently with the Head of State and the President of Grupo La Nación, they concluded that "the situation of Parque Viva must be approached from the principle of legality in which the public administration operates, for which there is a recourse to challenge the administrative act." In addition, after the meeting "the Presidency of the Republic reiterated its commitment to respect the mass media, regardless of their editorial line or the interests of the business group they represent and reaffirmed the commitment to build a protocol governing press-government relations, to ensure access to sources, information and mutual respect with journalists and media." 

Since the presidential campaign to date, this Office has received numerous reports indicating stigmatizing remarks against the press by the president and his staff. Among other documented facts, in the context of the presidential campaign, the then presidential candidate allegedly described certain media, including La Nación, as "defamation media", "corrupt structures" and "scoundrels", and that certain information disclosed by them was "hate, lies and slander." According to public reports, these statements were allegedly linked to reports by said media investigating the then candidate.

According to public sources, in May, during a meeting with heads of Communications of ministries and decentralized institutions, the Minister of Communications allegedly alleged that the Government has "an enemy outside", supposedly referring to the press. Likewise, in said meeting, the official allegedly insinuated the need to limit the number of questions that journalists can ask. The Rapporteurship learned that such reports were rejected and denied by the Government, which assured its mission to maintain "open and transparent" communication.

Following a communication sent by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, in August of this year the State of Costa Rica reported that there has been no action intended to directly or indirectly censor Grupo Nación, which continues to operate normally, informing the Costa Rican people in accordance with its editorial line. The State expressed its commitment to freedom of expression and assured that Costa Rica enjoys the best freedom of expression indexes in the hemisphere. Likewise, the State sent a copy of a protocol of attention

---


928 Semanario Universidad. May 18, 2022. "No entendemos", dice equipo de Comunicación de Rodrigo Chaves ante señalamientos por hermetismo; Swissinfo. 19 May 2022. Chaves says that the press publishes "lies" and "rumors" about his government; Puro Periodismo. 19 May 2022. Under wave of criticism, president denies restrictions to the press/Audio confirms "absolute discipline" with the media.


to the press and expressed its interest in requesting technical cooperation from this Office of the Special Rapporteur. 931

423. Based on the aforementioned communication and various public statements regarding the increase in stigmatizing discourse and actions restricting freedom of expression, this Office perceived an improvement in this situation. The Rapporteurship trusts that the alerts mentioned above will contribute to consolidate a better climate for the practice of journalism in Costa Rica in 2023.

424. Likewise, in this context, RELE learned that the Minister of Communication was dismissed on September 2 after a series of criticisms for having described the press as an "enemy", the dismissal of workers of the previous administration, questioning of limitations on questions at press conferences, among other alleged accusations 932.

425. On the other hand, in relation to the administrative decision to disqualify Parque Viva from operating, on October 21 the Constitutional Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice accepted an injunction alleging an attack on freedom of the press, filed by journalists of that newspaper who considered the closure of Parque Viva as a way of affecting the newspaper after critical publications about the president and his government. 933 The Chamber determined that "for the majority of the court this constitutes an indirect violation of freedom of the press, a freedom that is an essential element of our democratic system". For his part, the president complied with the resolution, although he said that the initial decision had been taken "to save lives". 934

426. The resolution of the Constitutional Chamber "annuls the sanitary order" issued on July 8 by the government and "condemns the State to pay the costs, damages and prejudices caused by the facts that serve as basis for this declaration, which will be liquidated in the execution of the administrative contentious sentence". The Constitutional Chamber ordered the authorities to carry out within a term of 18 months the coordination measures "in order to execute the remedial plan (...) with the purpose of providing an integral solution to the problem of road congestion" 935.

427. This Rapporteurship welcomes this resolution and recalls that direct or indirect pressures from the State aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators are incompatible with freedom of expression. As the cornerstone of a democratic society, freedom of expression is an essential condition for society to be sufficiently informed. As the IACHR and its Special Rapporteur have pointed out, "the free circulation of ideas and news is not conceivable without a plurality of sources of information and respect for the media" 936. In this context, RELE also recalls that, according to Article 13(3) of the American Convention, "the right of expression may not be restricted by indirect means, such as the abuse of official or private controls (...) or by any other means designed to impede the communication and circulation of ideas and opinions" 937. Similarly, Principle 13 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that "the use of the power of the State and the resources of the public treasury (...) with the objective of pressuring and punishing or rewarding and privileging social communicators and the media according to their informative lines, violates freedom of expression and should be expressly prohibited by law. The social communication media have the right to carry out their work independently" 938. RELE has also emphasized that "imposing direct or indirect pressures aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators obstructs the full
functioning of democracy, since its consolidation is intimately related to the free exchange of ideas, information and opinions among people.  

428. Likewise, on October 4, the Constitutional Chamber issued sentence 2022-23107 in which it declared partially admissible the amparo filed by journalist Vilma Ibarra Mata, director of the program "Hablando Claro" of Radio Columbia. The journalist assured that five government sources had told her between July 8 and 9 that the Minister of Communication had sent by WhatsApp to the members of the Government Cabinet the order to urgently suspend all types of state advertising to the media Amelia Rueda, La Nación, CRHoy and Canal 7. In addition, the Minister of Communication reportedly ordered the officials not to attend interviews to the programs "Hablando Claro" and "Nuestra Voz". Journalist Vilma Ibarra Mata reportedly wrote to the minister to ask her about the veracity of this information on July 14, but the official did not answer her clearly. The resolution of the Constitutional Chamber argues that there are no elements to assure that this order existed, but it did ask the minister to answer Ibarra Mata's questions. Due to this omission to answer in a concrete manner the aspects consulted and to deliver the corresponding information, the Chamber found an injury to Ibarra's fundamental rights and declared the appeal on this matter admissible, ordering to give Ibarra an answer within the next five days and condemned the State to pay costs, damages and prejudices derived from the process.  

429. Also, according to available information, in 2022 the Minister of Health reportedly ordered in an official letter that ministry officials should not attend or provide information to the press without prior approval. The official order would have been added to other actions of the minister that could indicate opacity towards the press, such as the refusal of interviews and press conferences. The president of the College of Journalists of Costa Rica asked the Constitutional Chamber to annul the official notice issued by the Minister of Health. The Constitutional Chamber, in resolution 2022-023075, issued on September 30, ruled in favor of freedom of expression by determining that the minister's order was illegal, and partially annulled the official communication N°MS-DM-6218-2022 of July 22, 2022, regarding the prohibition to provide statements or information without the prior approval of the Ministry of Health.  

430. These resolutions indicate that the checks and balances in Costa Rican democracy are in place and the institutions have functioned in defense of human rights and the decisions of the high courts have been complied with, which implies a commitment by the authorities to respect the rule of law. The Constitutional Chamber emphasized in the sentence of the Ibarra Mata case that it will not endorse "practices that hinder access to information, such as preventing the reporting of certain events or decisions, refusing to give interviews to various media."  

431. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has pointed out that the right of access to information is a fundamental requirement to guarantee transparency in government management and on the part of state authorities, and a fundamental tool for citizen control of the functioning of the State and accountability. As interpreted by the IACHR, Article 13 of the American Convention includes the positive obligation of the State
to allow citizens access to information in its possession. In this sense, Principle 4 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that "[a]ccess to information [...] is a fundamental right of individuals. States are obliged to guarantee the exercise of this right. For its part, the Inter-American Court has established that Article 13 of the American Convention, by expressly stipulating the rights to "seek" and "receive" "information," protects the right of every person to access information under the control of the State, with the exceptions permitted under the strict regime of restrictions established in said instrument.

432. Likewise, when ruling on restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information at public acts or events, the Inter-American Court has determined that "[w]ith respect to accreditations or authorizations to the press media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that their application is legal, pursues a legitimate objective, and is necessary and proportional in relation to the objective pursued in a democratic society. The requirements for accreditation must be concrete, objective and reasonable, and their application transparent.

433. On the other hand, on September 6, the judgment of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in the case of Moya Chacón v. Costa Rica, issued on May 23, 2022, was notified. In the judgment, the Court found that the State of Costa Rica violated freedom of expression to the detriment of journalists Freddy Parrales Chaves and Ronald Moya Chacón of the newspaper La Nación. The ruling refers to the fact that the two journalists were denounced for libel and defamation by a police officer after a note written by them in which they denounced illegality. On January 10, 2007, a court acquitted them of the criminal charges but ordered them to pay civil damages on the grounds that they had to make other types of findings when investigating the published report. The Inter-American Court, on the other hand, considered that the court exceeded its authority in making this decision and in its judgment established that the monetary sanction should be eliminated and ordered reparation measures. Among the measures, it ordered the State to "annul the attribution of civil liability to Freddy Parrales Chaves and Ronald Moya Chacón imposed by the judgment issued on January 10, 2007 by the Criminal Court of the Second Judicial Circuit of San José, Goicochea, confirmed by the judgment issued on December 20, 2007 by the Third Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice, in the terms indicated in paragraphs 102 and 103 of this Judgment.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

434. On July 21, the Minister of Science, Innovation, Technology and Telecommunications (Micitt) announced that the Government is working on the development of the necessary regulatory framework to update the fees paid by television and radio stations for the use of the radio spectrum frequencies granted by the State in concession. These concessions expire in 2024 and 2025 and the current Government is in charge of coordinating this process.

435. The Rapporteurship calls for these concessions to be made in a transparent manner, taking into account the principles of plurality and diversity that ensure the full exercise of citizens’ right to information.

436. Likewise, according to publicly available information, in 2022 the Attorney General’s Office raided the facilities of Diario Extra on May 18, 2022, the house of its Director, Larry Gómez, and another property in

---

The public information also indicated that the proceedings would be related to a claim between the founders of the newspaper, and that they are aimed at gathering documentary evidence to be included in the case. The controversy would deal with a complaint of 2021 for alleged fraudulent administration for a debt of $6 million between shareholders of the media.

On the other hand, the media Universidad accessed a document reporting an agreement signed in 2017 between the Neotropica Foundation, the intelligence section of the government and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) where it was agreed to hire a "digital tool" that is part of the Early Warning System (SART) that was acquired in 2017 by former President Luis Guillermo Solis. This program would serve to register "social conflicts and actors involved in them, with extensive details" and would have been an intelligence tool of the government. Social groups expressed that it could be a software dedicated to possible espionage. This Special Rapporteurship recalls that any intrusive action of communication devices must be supported by a legal and transparent framework that is in accordance with international human rights norms and standards, guaranteeing the principles of necessity, proportionality, and an objective that is legitimate and in accordance with such norms.

Before leaving office on May 6, the former president partially vetoed the General Law on Access to Public Information and Transparency, which allegedly contained some articles restrictive of press freedom. The legislation was approved on April 26 by the Assembly and in its Article 8 contained elements that could be restrictive of the right to freedom of expression and access to public information. This norm would have been known as "gag law" for allegedly restricting the investigation of corruption cases.

Likewise, the president reportedly said that a proposed law that seeks to make the sessions of the Council of Government public was "cosmetic". The bill would have sought to make the sessions of the Executive public as well as those of the Legislative Assembly and the Supreme Court. The initiative proposed an amendment to Article 37 of the General Law of Public Administration to establish that the hearings would be public, "unless the president decides otherwise". This would eliminate the obligation for them to be secret, as is currently the case in the legislation.

Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

This Office considers valuable the publication by the University of Costa Rica (UCR) in partnership with the UN of a study by the country’s Observatory of Hate Speech and Discrimination during the first round of elections. This document was presented to the public in February, before the presidential elections, and addresses issues such as stigmatizing messages, and discrimination in social networks directed at journalists and media.

This study established that after the presidential debates, a marked violent discourse against journalists was observed on social networks. For the analysis, more than 10,300 comments were observed on  

---

Facebook. The results show that the highest number of violent interactions were against journalists and the media (31%); followed by the possibility of Costa Rica functioning as a secular state (22%); and the treatment of sexual harassment complaints (16%)963.

442. In 2021, an investigation by the United Nations and the firm COES concluded that there was a significant presence of hate speech and discrimination in social networks in Costa Rica964. According to public information, "the investigation found more than 548 thousand conversations containing hate speech and discrimination, from profiles and public pages on Facebook and Twitter, particularly targeting specific groups and populations"965. Of these expressions, "69% had a direct intention to offend while the remaining 31% were based on the emission of prejudices and stereotypes, which although they did not necessarily have such intention, they did generate discrimination towards certain populations"966. Likewise, the study determined that "the main issuers of these speeches were men (66% of the total)"967. The research also allowed to define that "the three topics that most often include hate speech and discrimination are: xenophobia (33.11%), gender discrimination (21.63%) and sexual orientation (18.04%). Hate speeches related to politics, racism and generational clash were also registered"968.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

443. According to available information, Meta blocked a group of 233 Facebook accounts, 84 pages, two words and 27 Instagram accounts in Costa Rica, allegedly for violating internal policies by using fake accounts to drive media pages, memes or comment on other people's content969. It was also engaged in amplifying content from political and business pages. Some of these accounts had AI-generated profile pictures. The accounts were suspended because they violated the policy against Coordinated Inauthentic Behavior (CIB). Meta discovered that hundreds of profiles disseminated information about presidential candidates prior to the election campaign.970

444. In view of the aforementioned facts, this Office recalls that the State must also preserve the unbeatable conditions that the Internet possesses to promote and maintain information pluralism971. Likewise, private actors must establish and implement service conditions that are transparent, clear, accessible and in compliance with international human rights norms and principles, including the conditions under which interference with the right to freedom of expression or privacy of users may occur972. In this sense, companies should ensure that any restrictions derived from the application of the terms of service do not illegitimately or disproportionately restrict the right to freedom of expression973.

---


964 United Nations in Costa Rica. July 6, 2022. UN research identifies more than half a million conversations linked to hate speech and discrimination in social networks from Costa Rica.


966 United Nations in Costa Rica. July 6, 2022. UN research identifies more than half a million conversations linked to hate speech and discrimination in social networks from Costa Rica.

967 United Nations in Costa Rica. July 6, 2022. UN research identifies more than half a million conversations linked to hate speech and discrimination in social networks from Costa Rica.

968 United Nations in Costa Rica. July 6, 2022. UN research identifies more than half a million conversations linked to hate speech and discrimination in social networks from Costa Rica.


The repression against journalists, artists, human rights defenders, and activists who question the government is an incessant pattern in Cuba. The opening of criminal proceedings as a means of inhibiting or punishing expressions and positions critical of the Government is a practice frequently used by the State. The SRFOE observed with particular concern the case of Lázaro Yuri Valle Roca, sentenced on July 28 by a People's Provincial Court of Havana to a sentence of five years in prison for the alleged commission of the crimes of resistance and enemy propaganda of a continuous nature. Likewise, according to the information received, 2022 was marked by a high number of journalists who decided to give up their reporting work due to the systematic actions of harassment, surveillance, and judicial persecution perpetrated by the authorities. On the other hand, one year after the massive protests that began on July 11, 2021, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to observe that a significant number of protesters remain in jail for exercising their right to freedom of expression. On May 15, the National Assembly approved a new Criminal Code whose objective would be to "modernize" criminal legislation and update it in accordance with the 2019 Constitution. In this regard, the IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur expressed their concern about the creation of criminal offenses that could be used to criminalize the legitimate exercise of freedom of expression, assembly, and association. Finally, in 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to receive reports on alleged deliberate internet outages in contexts of social protest, which are part of the official control strategy imposed by the State to censor dissident voices, according to the information collected.

A. Journalism and democracy

The Cuban legal framework and government practices aimed at restricting any dissident voice or voice not aligned with government interests make it increasingly difficult to exercise independent journalism in Cuba and, consequently it affects the construction of a public opinion, accountability, and the scrutiny of public powers and authorities. The trends of harassment, intimidation, and criminalization of journalists identified by the Office of the Special Rapporteur in previous reports persist in 2022 and are based both on regulations that are still in force and on arbitrary state practices.

As has already been pointed out by this Office in previous reports, the arrests of journalists represent one of the main tactics used by the Government to intimidate them or as a form of reprisal for the exercise of their work; and are usually carried out without a court order or legal basis. Thus, for example, on April 30, 2022, the journalists Henry Constantin and Neife Rigau, director and photographer of the magazine La Hora de Cuba respectively, were detained in the city of Camagüey, when they were leaving a function at the main theater of the city. According to the information available, the State Security agents did not present legal documents justifying the detention and did not identify themselves. The list of journalists who reported having been arbitrarily detained in 2022 includes, among others, Vladimir Turro Páez, who also reportedly received threats from agents of the Ministry of the Interior to be criminally charged for the crime of incitement to commit a crime if he continued practicing independent journalism. The journalist was allegedly detained twice in August.

Government repression strategies also include summons and interrogation for intimidating purposes and the application of fines based on Decree Law 370 on the computerization of society in Cuba. In 2022, this Office learned that State Security officers reportedly intimidated journalist Cynthia de la Cantera, demanding that she publicly announce that she would leave journalism and asking her to write a resignation by hand at the same police station. According to the information reported to the Office of the Special Rapporteur, during the interrogation, the State Security officer told her that she should understand "the

975 Voces del Sur. August 29, 2022. Periodista cubano amenazado con ser procesado por el delito de "instigación a delinquir".
magnitude of the matter in which she was involved". The journalist had already been questioned on previous occasions, in 2020. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also learned of the summons to question journalists Jorge Enrique Rodríguez, from Diario de Cuba, and Ismario Rodríguez, from Periodismo de Barrio. In this last case, the reporter denounced having been threatened with going to prison by State Security officials, for allegedly "disseminating pernicious and counterrevolutionary information". Additionally, according to public information, on June 14, journalist Boris González Arenas, a contributor to Diario de Cuba, was reportedly fined by inspectors from the Ministry of Communications when attending a police summons at the Zapata y C unit, in La Havana. The fine of three thousand pesos would have been imposed based on publications made by the reporter on social networks.

449. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also identified the persistence of police sieges at journalists' residences as a mechanism of control and intimidation. Camila Acosta, a reporter for Cubanet, Luzbely (Luz) Escobar, from 14ymedio, and Boris González from Diario de Cuba, were targeted by police surveillance in 2022 on various occasions. According to publicly available information, on August 5 the journalists remained under home surveillance by security forces in Havana, who prevented them from leaving their homes on the day commemorating the twenty-eighth anniversary of the 1994 anti-government protest, known as the "Maleconazo". Likewise, on March 25, several journalists denounced the presence of police cordon outside their homes on social networks.

450. Likewise, in 2022 the SFROE was informed about attacks on journalists, in which state agents were allegedly involved. According to the information available, on April 1, two agents from the Department of State Security detained journalist Alberto Corzo, executive director of the Cuban Institute for Freedom of Expression and the Press (ICLEP), in the province of Matanzas, and demanded that he tell them where he was going and what he was doing. Given the journalist's refusal, two unidentified individuals dressed in civilian clothes approached him and violently beat him. The journalist was later hospitalized. According to the journalist's wife, while the reporter was in the hospital there was a significant presence of police officers.

451. The Office of the Special Rapporteur is concerned that, in Cuba, prison continues to be a frequent place for journalists who investigate and report on matters of public interest. On July 28, a People's Provincial Court of Havana sentenced journalist Lázaro Yuri Valle Roca to five years in prison for the alleged commission of the crimes of resistance and enemy propaganda of a continuous nature, according to the information received. In the judgment, the judges indicated that the journalist –along with other activists, who also received prison sentences– would be part of the non-governmental organization Delibera, which they describe as "illegal"; and that, within this framework, they held meetings "with the objective of carrying out actions contrary to the current social and political system in Cuba". The judgment also indicates that Lázaro Valle

---

977 Yucabyte. May 9, 2022. La Seguridad del Estado busca frenar el ejercicio de hacer periodismo; Cibercuba. May 9, 2022. Periodista Cynthia de la Cantera denuncia amenazas de la Seguridad del Estado; ICLEP. May 10, 2022. Periodista Cynthia de la Cantera acusada sistemáticamente por el régimen cubano.


987 Facebook account of Eraklis Fromente. July 29, 2022. [It contains the court judgment].
Roca and the rest of the members of the NGO filmed these meetings and then published them on their social networks "with the purpose of disclosing to the world an image of social and political instability within the country"988. Among other facts that led to the conviction, the judges mention that in June 2021, the journalist and the activists threw more than 500 leaflets from the roof of a building that had the text "Patria y vida" inscribed, and that "those aimed to confuse and incite the people to claim rights already achieved by the Cuban Revolution", such as "the people demand free elections, the people demand democracy", "freedom for political prisoners and prisoners of conscience", "no more repression, no more dictatorship"989. According to public information, the journalist's health deteriorated in prison, and he did not receive adequate medical attention990.

452. The systematic actions of harassment, surveillance, and judicial persecution perpetrated by the authorities against independent journalists result, in many cases, in their resignation from the exercise of informational work. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information that the journalist Nelson Julio Álvarez Mainata had resigned from his position at the CubaNet portal, as a result of the various actions of harassment undertaken by the Government against him, which included pressure to leave journalism under the threat of being subject to legal proceedings and even subpoenas to his mother. The reporter publicly denounced that since 2019 he has been subjected to "exhausting hours of interrogations, arrests, warning letters" and other intimidating acts, such as hacking into social networks to "mock his sexuality and gender identity"991. Similarly, the digital media eTOQUE publicly stated that at least nine members of its journalistic team had resigned "due to direct and indirect pressure from the Cuban State Security bodies"992, including Wimar Verdecia993, Aleiny Sánchez Martínez994, José Leandro Garbey995, Meilin Puertas Borrero996, and Mauro Roberto Díaz Vázquez997.

453. In addition to renouncing independent journalism, the Office of the Special Rapporteur views with extreme concern that the forced exile of communicators is, with increasing frequency, one of the main options to confront the escalating repression of the Government against the independent press. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the departure of several journalists from the country, including Orelvis Cabrera, a reporter for CubaNet998, who in 2021 spent 37 days in prison after covering the massive protests that began on July 11, 2021. According to the reporter, the pressures from the State increased after he launched the podcast "La Gusana" in October 2021, a project developed together with the CubaNet medium999. Likewise, journalists Esteban Rodríguez and Héctor Luis Valdés Cocho –both beneficiaries of IACHR precautionary measures1000– were pressured by State Security to leave the country permanently in the first days of this year1001. In its last annual report, SFR0E alerted about the situation of journalist Esteban Rodríguez, arrested in April 2021, accused of committing the crimes of public disorder and resistance1002, and one of the

six representative cases of prisoners of conscience in Cuba, according to Amnesty International. Until January 4, 2022, the date on which he was escorted by State Security agents to the Havana airport, Esteban Rodríguez had been in prison for around eight months awaiting trial, where he was reportedly subjected to cruel treatment, inhumane, and degrading.

Likewise, this year the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the forced exile of independent journalist Ricardo Fernández Izaguirre, who left the country with his family after receiving persistent threats from the police. The reporter said that the police reportedly had given him "the ultimatum that he had a month to leave the country." Fernández Izaguirre has been threatened and imprisoned on several occasions in Cuba for reasons related to his work. Thus, for example, in its 2019 Annual Report, the SRFOE drew attention to the detention of the journalist for 29 hours in a cell in "Villa María Luisa", city of Camagüey, after he attended a police summons.

This Office reiterates that journalism is the primary and main manifestation of freedom of expression, since it is journalists and the media who keep society informed about matters of public interest and contribute to the existence of a public debate that is broad, robust, and plural. For this reason, States have the obligation to create the conditions so that journalists can exercise their function freely, independently, and safely.

As stated in Principle 9 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, the intimidation or threat of social communicators violates the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restricts freedom of expression. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that this type of action is intended to restrict or hinder the work of those journalists who carry out investigations into outrages, abuses, irregularities or illicit acts of all kinds, carried out either by public officials or by private individuals. Likewise, they seek to be intimidation tools, through which a message is sent to all those people from civil society who carry out investigative tasks on irregularities in public management. The SRFOE has also maintained that this practice "seeks that the press remains silent, as a control mechanism," preventing society from being informed about events of public interest.

As the Special Rapporteurs for Freedom of Expression maintained in their 2013 Joint Declaration, the State "has the duty to guarantee that journalists and communicators [...] are not detained, threatened, assaulted, or limited in any way in their rights for practicing his profession." For all these reasons, the Office of the Special Rapporteur considers it imperative that the State of Cuba refrain from engaging in behaviors of threats, intimidation, and criminalization; and guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of the press not...
only through formal and substantive law, but also through the implementation of measures for the prevention, protection, and investigation of attacks against journalists and the media.

**B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions**

458. One year after the massive protests that began on July 11, 2021 in Cuba, a large number of protesters remain in jail for exercising their right to freedom of expression. In this sense, in 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observes the persistence of state repression mechanisms against those who publicly demonstrate against the Government, in order to restrict and discourage citizen participation in civil and political affairs. In particular, this year the IACHR reported a wave of repression characterized by the criminalization of the people who participated in the mass protests of July 2021, against whom accusations of different crimes were filed, and which reportedly resulted in the request for long convictions by the Prosecutor’s Office. As the Inter-American Commission pointed out, the activation of judicial mechanisms to punish and discourage participation in protests occurred, to a large extent, through trials without due process guarantees.

459. A report by the Cubalex organization and the Justicia 11J project, which compiles the impact and consequences of the 11J protests, indicates that, since then and to date, 1,484 arrests linked to social demonstrations have been documented. They also indicate that, up to July 2022, summary trials were held against 47 people and ordinary first instance trials against 622 people. Likewise, 62 people have a prosecutor’s request in progress, and are pending trial -34 of them under pre-trial detention-, and around 250 have not yet received the provisional conclusions from the Prosecutor’s Office to open the oral trial phase. In addition, they point out that three people reportedly left the country with pending legal proceedings.

460. For its part, the Attorney General of the Republic reported that, as of June 22, 2022, 76 judgments related to the protests of July 11, 2021, became final. In this regard, the State reported that 381 people were punished, including 16 young people between the ages of 16 and 18, mainly for crimes of sedition; sabotage; robbery with force and violence; attempt; contempt; and public disorder. They also indicated that 297 accused persons were imposed sanctions of deprivation of liberty, “according to the seriousness and circumstances in which the events occurred and personal behavior.” Meanwhile, 84 people were substituted the penalty of deprivation of liberty for other alternative penalties that do not imply -in principle, under condition of good conduct-, going to prison. These people reportedly were provided with correctional work with without internment, and limitation of freedom; 15 young people between the ages of 16 and 18 would be included in this decision.

461. The Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights that the causes that motivated the protests of July 2021, which were linked to the lack of access to basic services such as electricity, the shortage of food and medicine, in addition to the demands for respect for civil and political rights, continued being the subject of citizen claims in 2022. Between July and October, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of various reports on police deployment to disperse protests, disproportionate use of force, arrests of protesters, and recurring internet outages. Additionally, at the end of September, within the framework of the protests as a result of the electricity outages that occurred after Hurricane Ian passed through the island, criminal proceedings were reportedly initiated against protesters. In this regard, the Attorney General of the Republic indicated that...
acts that disturbed public order and citizen peace are being investigated," which would be related to "the burning of facilities, the execution of acts of vandalism, the closure of public roads for the purpose of to prevent the movement of vehicles and people, attacks and offenses against officials and law enforcement, and incitement to violence." The Prosecutor's Office affirmed that "the facts under investigation will receive the corresponding criminal legal response" and that the rights and constitutional guarantees of due process were guaranteed.

Social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and without weapons, freedom of association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is obligated to respect, protect, and guarantee these rights. Regarding the obligation to respect, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have indicated that "the exercise of the right of assembly through social protest must not be subject to authorization by the authorities or to excessive requirements that make it difficult to do so." Likewise, with respect to the obligation to protect and facilitate, the Commission has highlighted that "[t]he imperative social interest of the right to participate in public demonstrations means that there is a general presumption in favor of its exercise." As the IACHR report on protest and human rights mentions, States must act on the basis of the legality of protests and public demonstrations and under the assumption that they do not constitute a threat to public order, even in cases in which they are carried out without notice. Finally, in relation to the obligation to guarantee, the IACHR has established that "it implies, first of all, the duties to investigate and punish any violation that occurred in the framework of a public demonstration.

This Office also reiterates that the use of force in the context of protests must be understood as "a last resort that, qualitatively and quantitatively limited, seeks to prevent a more serious event than the one that provokes the state reaction" and that, within that exceptional framework, the State must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity, and proportionality. Finally, this Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that "in principle, the criminalization in itself of demonstrations on public roads is inadmissible"; and that States must refrain from incurring in practices of massive, collective, or indiscriminate arrests in public demonstrations.

On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur draws attention to the adoption of new norms that restrict the right to freedom of expression in Cuba, in contravention of international human rights standards. When examined in conjunction with the current regulations on which this Office has already ruled, and the challenges regarding democratic institutions in Cuba, the new criminal laws that entered into force in 2022 establish a coercive and repressive legal order of freedom of expression, assembly, and association.

On May 15, 2022, the National Assembly approved a new Criminal Code whose objective would be to "modernize" the criminal legislation and update it in accordance with the 2019 Constitution. In this regard, the IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur expressed their concern about the creation of...
criminal offenses that could be used to criminalize the legitimate exercise of freedom of expression, assembly, and association. The Commission and its Office of the Special Rapporteur specified that the new text intensifies penalties and maintains broad and imprecise language to classify crimes, such as sedition and crimes against the constitutional order.

Likewise, they indicated their concern about the incorporation of a criminal offense that would impose custodial sentences for those who "support, encourage, finance, provide, receive, or have in their possession funds, material or financial resources, in order to pay for activities contrary to the State and its constitutional order", which could be used for criminalization purposes against journalists and media critical of the Government. Various human rights organizations and organizations linked to journalism and freedom of expression expressed their concern regarding the new criminal legislation. For his part, the president of the Supreme Court, Rubén Remigio Ferro, stated that the new regulations "classify as crimes the most serious and harmful acts for society" and that "protects the interests of the State and the people".

466. Inter-American jurisprudence has established that any limitation to the exercise of freedom of expression—whether arising from laws, administrative, judicial, police, or any other type of decisions and acts—must meet the requirements of legality, legitimate objective, necessity, and proportionality. Likewise, the Court has declared in multiple decisions that those measures that seek to restrict expressions of public interest or that deal with public officials must be subject to a stricter and more demanding examination in order to be valid under the American Convention, since in democratic societies actions and omissions by the State and its officials are subject to rigorous scrutiny, not only by internal control bodies, but also by the press and public opinion.

467. In the case of Cuba, the Inter-American Commission and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have expressed their concern for certain criminal figures for decades and have warned about the existence of a legal framework that represses and sanctions the exercise of freedom of expression. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates what was stated in its Special Report on the situation of freedom of expression in Cuba with respect to the fact that Cuban regulations—based on the Constitution of the Republic and including lower-level and different nature regulations—are in many cases contrary to inter-American standards, insofar as it criminalizes behaviors protected by the right to freedom of expression, it is incompatible with the principle of legality, it does not comply with the requirement of responding to a legitimate purpose or with the requirements of necessity and proportionality.

468. Violations of the right to freedom of expression in Cuba not only arise and are reflected in the formal law, but also originate from the application of these laws by the judiciary in an unnecessary and disproportionate manner. In 2022, the SRFOE recorded various convictions of people for reasons related to the exercise of freedom of expression.

469. Among other cases registered by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, in June, the Popular Municipal Court of Centro Habana sentenced the artists Luis Manuel Otero Alcántara and Maykel Castillo Pérez to five and...
nine years in prison, respectively. Luis Manuel Otero Alcántara, leader of the San Isidro Movement (MSI), was sentenced for the crimes of insulting the symbols of the country, contempt (desacato), and public disorder, in relation to an artistic performance in which he intervened in the public space using a Cuban flag as a garment. The Court based its decision on the existence of an “express intention, sustained over time, to offend the Cuban people profess to our homeland ensign.” Likewise, during the trial, the Prosecutor’s Office argued that Luis Manuel Otero made “contemptuous” use of the flag, “since he used it repeatedly as a towel, lay next to it lying on the sand, used it as a sheet, and covered with it while sitting on the toilet.”

On the other hand, the Court found Maykel Castillo Pérez guilty of the crimes of contempt (desacato), assault, public disorder, and defamation of institutions and organizations, heroes, and martyrs. The magistrates understood that “with the manifest purpose of outraging, affecting the honor and dignity of the country’s highest authorities, he used false digitally manipulated images of them, which he made public on social networks; and with the same purpose, he made direct interventions from his personal profile to dishonor the role that law enforcement officers play in society, which compromised social and collective coexistence and entails an affront towards them.”

Likewise, the SRFOE received information about a new conviction against the activist Aymara Nieto Muñoz, a member of the Ladies in White and UNPACU, who has been serving a 5-year sentence since May 2018. In February 2022, the Havana Provincial Court sentenced Aymara Nieto to another 5 years and 4 months in prison for committing the crime of public disorder in a prison. According to the information received, the activist was accused of being one of the organizers of a riot in 2020 at the Western Women’s Penitentiary Center, in El Guatao, where she was being held at the time. The reports received indicate that during the trial due process guarantees were violated and that her participation in the commission of said crime was not proven. They also indicate that Aymara Nieto has been in a punishment cell since February 25 of this year and is deprived of the possibility of making telephone calls to her family. According to public information, in September, the People’s Supreme Court dismissed an appeal filed in her favor.

The IACHR and the Inter-American Court have indicated that criminal law is the most restrictive and severe means to establish liabilities on behaviors related to the right to freedom of expression, particularly when custodial sentences are imposed; and that its application to criminalize speeches of public interest is incompatible with inter-American standards. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that limiting the debate through criminal law has serious effects for democratic control and that, when it is
used unnecessarily and disproportionately, it leads to the abusive exercise of the punitive power of the State.\footnote{I/A Court H.R., *Case of Tristán Donoso v. Panama*. Preliminary Objection, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of January 27, 2009. Series C No. 193, para. 119.}

473. Likewise, in 2022, the Office observed a growing trend of restrictions and obstacles to entry and exit from the territory by the authorities against independent journalists and activists, with the alleged purpose of silencing opposition voices and hindering citizen participation in spaces linked to the human rights situation.\footnote{Twitter account of the IACHR's Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (@RELE_CIDH). March 11, 2022.} Among other cases, the SRFOE learned that in February the government prohibited the activist Anamely Ramos, a member of the San Isidro Movement (MSI), from returning to Cuba from the United States. The Ministry of the Interior of Cuba, through its Directorate of Identification, Immigration and Aliens, notified the US airline American Airlines that "the entry into the national territory" of Anamely Ramos González was not allowed and that "in case of arrival she will be reboarded on the same flight."\footnote{Cuban Ministry of the Interior. Directorate of Identification, Immigration and Aliens. Notification to airlines about inadmissible passengers entering the national territory. February 26, 2022. Archive of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression.} Anamely Ramos is a beneficiary of precautionary measures from the IACHR, which in 2021 granted protection in favor of 20 identified members of the MSI, after considering that they were in a serious and urgent situation of risk of irreparable damage to their rights in Cuba.\footnote{IACHR. February 11, 2021. *Resolution 14/2021*. Precautionary measures No. 1101-20. 20 identified members of the San Isidro Movement (MSI) regarding Cuba.}

474. Similarly, in June of this year, the art historian and activist Omara Ruiz Urquiola was prevented from returning to Havana from the United States by order of the Cuban authorities. The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders ruled on the case and warned that she "must be allowed to return"\footnote{Twitter account of Mary Lawlor, United Nations Special Rapporteur on Human Rights Defenders (@MaryLawlorhrds). July 8, 2022.}.

475. This Office requested the United States of America to provide more information on the rules and procedures that airlines operating in the country must follow to authorize the boarding of foreign passengers returning to their country of nationality.\footnote{IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. Request for information on the rules and procedures for foreigners who embark on international flights to their country of nationality and the implications for their visa situation. IACHR/RELE/Art.18/04-2022/250. April 12, 2022.} In their response, the United States authorities indicated that "compliance with the entry requirements is based on Article 13 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation (Chicago Convention), which binds all 193 parties, including the United States and Cuba, to comply with the laws and regulations of a Contracting State regarding the admission or departure from its territory of passengers, crew or aircraft cargo, such as regulations relating to entry, clearance, immigration, passports, customs and quarantine. (...) US carriers must receive economic authority from the US Department of Transportation (DOT) in order to serve all international destinations. (...) The DOT requires US carriers to abide by the other country's entry, exit, and immigration laws and regulations, including the rules and procedures established for the entry of its own citizens. Failure to comply with the terms of DOT's grant of economic authority could result in civil penalties, suspensions, or revocation of US carriers' authority to operate internationally."\footnote{Permanent Mission of the United States to the OAS. Response of the United States Government to the request for information CIDH/RELE/Art.18/04-2022/250. August 19, 2022.} Likewise, the State indicated that they have urged the Cuban government "to put an end to this cruel and discriminatory policy of arbitrarily denying entry to their own people and to guarantee that Cuban citizens can enjoy the right to return to their country of origin."\footnote{Permanent Mission of the United States to the OAS. Response of the United States Government to the request for information CIDH/RELE/Art.18/04-2022/250. August 19, 2022.}

476. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also received information about the decision of the authorities of the Ministry of the Interior to prevent six young journalists from the media outlet *eITOQUE* from boarding a
flight from Havana to Argentina. The journalists were going to Buenos Aires, to participate in "Media Party", a conference on innovation in the media.

477. This Office emphasizes that the duty to guarantee civil and political rights includes the obligation of the State of Cuba to take the necessary measures to fully guarantee the right of all persons to leave the country, to circulate through its territory, to choose their place of residence, and to enter or re-enter the country of which they are nationals. This especially includes not impeding or hindering in any way the entry or exit of people to the country to participate in spaces related to the human rights situation in Cuba.

C. Freedom of expression and fight against discrimination and exclusion

478. As the Office of the Special Rapporteur pointed out in its last annual report, women constitute a population especially affected by the restrictions on the exercise of freedom of expression in Cuba. These restrictions are related, on the one hand, to government harassment and pressure, which motivates many of them to abandon the profession and go into exile; and, on the other hand, to omissions by the State of the duty to guarantee labor rights, a problem that affects citizens in general, but especially women.

479. A report published by the organization Article 19 in January 2022 indicates that, among the different strategies used by the Cuban government to "intimidate, silence, and expel" women journalists, activists, human rights defenders, and artists in the public space, the "preferred method" is house arrest. This is because "it generates effects not only professionally, but also in their family environment", by preventing them or making it difficult for them to carry out the care tasks that they are usually responsible for. Likewise, the report mentions that many legal regulations are repeatedly used by State Security to threaten women journalists and the wives of journalists, activists, and artists, by "using children as an instrument of blackmail to achieve their mission and show their dominance over women." Similarly, disqualification campaigns have a particular character when it comes to women journalists, to the extent that they not only stigmatize them because of their profession, in order to reduce their credibility, but also because they are women. This type of attack not only generates an effect of self-censorship, but also –according to some of them– affects their mental and physical health.

480. On the other hand, Cuban women journalists have denounced the precariousness of the employment situation in Cuba, and the differentiated impact that this problem has on women. On this point, they denounce the lack of guarantees for labor rights, for example with regard to maternity or retirement leave. The Office of the Special Rapporteur observes that these obstacles, added to the aforementioned pressures they receive from the Government, have a strong inhibitory effect, censorship, and exclusion of women from independent journalism and, consequently, from public debate.


481. In this context, in 2022 a group of 20 women journalists—who are inside and outside Cuba—launched a project to build a house-refuge for women journalists and communicators in Havana, in order for it to become "a space for bonding, protection, and emotional and psychological support". To do this, at the beginning of the year they began a fundraising campaign to found "Casa Palanca", which they describe as a safe place "of work, refuge, and leisure for all women linked to the world of journalism". In their proposal, the founders state that "being an independent journalist in Cuba is a risky job" and, for women, the difficulties are additional for the mere fact of being women. In addition, they point out that "the lack of institutional protection for women in the face of any type of sexist violence, including political violence, is overreaching, with no shelters or adequate accompaniment processes to deal with cases of violence" and that "the accumulation of all tasks of care for women in an increasingly impoverished country hinders their personal and professional development".

482. In its report on Women journalists and freedom of expression, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlighted that women journalists and workers in the media face specific and additional risks in the exercise of their profession due to their gender and other intersecting factors of discrimination. In this regard, the report noted that "social norms and gender stereotypes still represent a huge challenge to women's ability to start and carry out a career in journalism on an equal footing with men" and that "there is a persistent perception that journalism is not an 'appropriate' profession for women, which gives rise to great social pressures for women not to enter the profession or to leave it." The SRFOE also noted that, in this context, "women journalists are also affected by inflexible working hours, limited or no access to quality and affordable childcare services, and deficient policies on maternity and paternity leave".

483. In accordance with the aforementioned report, the SRFOE reiterates that women journalists have the right to practice journalism free of discrimination and gender-based violence; and that "legal and political measures to achieve this objective must form part of the broader framework of strategies aimed at guaranteeing the right to freedom of expression". This involves not only the action of States, but the cooperation of the media, online platforms, civil society, and all other stakeholders.

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

484. The siege on Internet access imposed by the government constitutes one of the main obstacles to the exercise of the right to freedom of expression of Cuban citizens. In Cuba, the only official internet service provider is the Empresa de Telecomunicaciones de Cuba S.A. (ETECSA), a public entity belonging to the Ministry of Information Technology and Communications that, according to the evidence compiled by this Office, continues to use its powers for censorship purposes, especially in contexts of social and political conflict.

485. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented various reports on internet outages in contexts of social protest. On July 15, as this Office was able to learn, internet outages that could be linked to citizen demonstrations were detected, one of them in Los Palacios, in Pinar del Río; and another in the city of Havana.

---

Note: The text includes references to various sources and accounts, which are not directly transcribed but are mentioned for context.
Likewise, according to information exposed by Proyecto Inventario, on the night of September 30 an interruption to the internet service was reportedly detected, at a time of escalation of tensions as a result of citizen demonstrations complaining about electric power cuts throughout the island after the passage of Hurricane Ian on September 27. The internet blackout would have lasted more than seven hours. Different internet monitoring laboratories such as Netblocks, Internet Outage Detection and Analysis (IODA), and Cloudflare Radar reported a “total internet outage overnight” and “an almost total collapse of internet traffic from Cuba”. Although ETECSA did not provide an official response on the facts, the information reported by the journalist Luz Escobar indicates that a company employee reportedly had confirmed a “interruption of service at the national level”.

The SRFOE recalls that Internet access is a sine qua non condition for the effective exercise of human rights. In the 2011 joint declaration on freedom of expression and the Internet, the UN, OSCE, IACHR, and ACHPR Special Rapporteurs stated that “States have the obligation to promote universal access to the Internet to guarantee the effective enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression. Internet access is also necessary to ensure respect for other rights, such as the right to education, health care and work, the right to assembly and association, and the right to free elections.” Likewise, on that occasion they recalled that “[t]he mandatory blocking of entire websites, IP addresses, ports, network protocols, or certain types of uses (such as social networks) constitutes an extreme measure—analogous to the prohibition of a newspaper or a radio or television station—that could only be justified according to international standards.”

---


1081 Twitter account of Luz Escobar (@Luz_Cuba). September 30, 2022; Periódico Cubano. September 30, 2022. "¿Qué dice Etecsa sobre el apagón de Internet que vivieron los cubanos anoche?"


DOMINICA

488. In 2022, the Rapporteurship learned of multiple reports and documentaries on alleged irregularities in citizenship by investment programs in Dominica, as well as in four other Caribbean states. These investigative journalistic works reportedly revealed the absence of effective access to public information in the country, which would make accountability to society more difficult. This Office was also informed about the apparent lack of electoral guarantees for the opposition in Dominica, whose attempt to challenge the 2019 general elections was reportedly rejected by the Caribbean Court of Justice. The Rapporteurship also registered with concern the arrest of a former member of the opposition for having participated in anti-government demonstrations in past years. The Rapporteurship also followed up on the judicial harassment to which citizens, activists and politicians have been subjected for participating in a series of weekly marches since March 30, 2022. The Rapporteurship particularly notes that Dominica has taken concrete actions to close the digital gap through subsidies for low-income students and families to access broadband services.

A. Journalism and democracy

489. In 2022, the Rapporteurship received investigations by the Caribbean Investigative Journalism Network (CIJN) into the government’s alleged lack of transparency and accountability of its Citizenship By Investment (CBI) program. According to information revealed by CIJN, more than half of the government’s projected revenue growth for fiscal year 2021-2022 would be funded by the CBI, demonstrating the state’s high reliance on this program. CIJN denounced that the citizenry would not have access to complete information on the tax revenues and expenditures generated by the program, as well as the nationality of the applicants. Likewise, the only two persons authorized to give statements on the CBI, Prime Minister Roosevelt Skerrit and CBI program director Emmanuel Nanthan, reportedly ignored all requests for an interview and even the written questions of CIJN journalists.

490. The journalistic report on the citizenship program in Dominica would be part of an ICJN investigative project and a documentary released on July 7, 2022 concerning such programs in Dominica, St. Kitts and Nevis, Antigua and Barbuda, Grenada and St. Lucia. The documentary, as well as reports from each country, would have been prepared by some 18 journalists from the region. CNN anchor Jim Clancy reportedly directed the journalists to develop this investigative and long-form multimedia project. As reported to this Office, citizenship-by-investment programs have come under increased public scrutiny after members of the European Parliament called for a ban on such practices.

491. The media and investigative journalists reportedly expressed the apparent difficulty in accessing information of public interest due to the absence of a Freedom of Information Act in the State of Dominica. In this context, this Office learned that members of the press, as well as the public, have been unable to learn the details of million-dollar contracts between the government of Dominica and a foreign company to carry out infrastructure projects in the country, such as housing, health centers, schools and medical facilities. Additionally, this Office learned that the CIJN would have been alerted of a possible conflict of interest since this corporation would also be a service provider of CBI, and therefore would have the power to collect and spend the money generated by the program in its own projects. According to available information, there is no public record of the exclusive agreement between the State and this company.

Finally, journalistic investigations indicate that several perpetrators of economic and international crimes have fraudulently obtained diplomatic passports from Dominica under the CBI program.\textsuperscript{1089} Reportedly, among these individuals are Iranian, Chinese and Nigerian nationals accused of corruption in their countries of origin, raising doubts about the transparency and filters of the program.\textsuperscript{1090}

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the right of access to information is a crucial tool for controlling State affairs and public administration, as well as monitoring corruption. The right of access to information is a fundamental requirement for guaranteeing transparency and good public administration by the government and other State authorities. Effectively, the full exercise of the right of access to information is a guarantee that is indispensable in preventing abuses by public officials, holding public administration accountable and promoting its transparency, as well as preventing corruption and authoritarianism.\textsuperscript{1091} Therefore, this Office recognizes the value of investigative journalism as a cornerstone of accountability of governments and public figures, and congratulates the efforts of journalists in the Caribbean to promote this type of journalism in the region.

Given that Dominica does not yet have a law guaranteeing access to information, as reported by this Office in its last annual report, the Rapporteurship reiterates that the State has the obligation to adjust its domestic legal system to international standards on access to information, including: (a) implementing an adequate legal framework; (b) removing legal or administrative obstacles that impede access to information; (c) promoting the right of access within all of the State’s entities and authorities, through the adoption and enforcement of rules and procedures and through the training of public officials on the custody, administration, filing and provision of information; and (d) in general terms, adopting public policy that is favorable to the full exercise of this right.\textsuperscript{1092}

As the Rapporteurship has stated on previous occasions, in connection with the fight against corruption and promotion of integrity, access to information practices need to be geared toward fostering informed debate and accountability as a way to prevent it.\textsuperscript{1093} In this context, access to information will only be useful to promote the fight against corruption if the State provides “timely, complete and accessible” information in a “simple and quick” manner, since incomplete and time-consuming information prevents proper accountability and qualified debate.

**B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions**

During 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports of alleged opposition dissent over electoral guarantees in the country. The leader of the United Workers Party (UWP) reportedly asserted that the right of Dominican citizens to choose their own government would be “defective” and “dysfunctional”.\textsuperscript{1094} According to public information, the commissioner appointed by the government in August 2020 to advance electoral guarantees in the country. The leader of the United Workers Party (UWP) reportedly asserted that the right of Dominican citizens to choose their own government would be “defective” and “dysfunctional”.\textsuperscript{1094} According to public information, the commissioner appointed by the government in August 2020 to advance electoral reform has not presented a report on the matter as of the date of this report. This Office also learned that media efforts to contact the Chairman of the Electoral Office of the Government of the Commonwealth of Dominica to obtain an update on Sir Byron’s report have so far been unsuccessful.

In the midst of the debate on the lack of guarantees for general elections and the need for electoral reform, this Office learned that on July 5, 2022, the Caribbean Court of Justice would have annulled the petitions

---


of the UWP to challenge the electoral results in ten districts of the 2019 General Elections of Dominica. According to public information, the ruling was reportedly interpreted by the opposition leader as a signal that there should be no more elections in Dominica without electoral reform.

498. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the dissemination of deliberate misinformation could damage the reputation of a candidate or public person or possibly other more diffuse interests such as the democratic process or the public’s trust in democratic institutions. However, this Office also recalls that information on matters of public interest enjoys a certain presumption of legitimacy, as it is a kind of specially protected speech. When it is difficult to determine the truthfulness or falsity of the information, that presumption is maintained. The presumption can only be overcome when “contradicted by a competent authority that provides sufficient guarantees of independence, autonomy and impartiality”, typically, a court that takes action after receiving a specific complaint.

499. On April 23, 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed of the arrest of Thomson Fontaine, at Melville Hall International Airport, upon his return to Dominica. The former UWP member of the parliament was reportedly arrested by local police under a warrant issued on November 22, 2018. According to public information, Fontaine is reportedly among a group of opponents – including opposition leader Lennox Linton, former Prime Minister Edison James and current Speaker Joseph Isaac – accused of inciting public disorder and disturbing the peace on February 7, 2017, when they gathered to demand the resignation of Prime Minister Roosevelt Skerrit. Riots and disturbances would have occurred in the context of this meeting for which the police reportedly had to intervene with tear gas to control the situation. At that time, the Prime Minister reportedly claimed that his opponents were plotting a coup d’état. Due to his work abroad, Fontaine was absent from two court summons, so the magistrate presiding over his case would have granted the request to issue a bench warrant against him.

500. Since May 2022, this Office has received information suggesting that Thomson Fontaine would have been released on bail, which would have allowed him to leave the country to resume his work duties. According to the latest information received by this Office, Thomson must return his travel documents and surrender himself to the jurisdiction of the court no later than December 6, 2022 in order to avoid having his bail revoked.

501. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that public officials are subject to greater scrutiny by society. Laws that penalize offensive expressions directed at public officials, generally known as “desacato laws”, restrict freedom of expression and the right to information. Likewise, this Office recalls that it is inadmissible in principle to criminalize per se demonstrations on public streets when they are carried out within the framework of the right to freedom of expression and the right to assembly.

502. In addition, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that it is essential that all levels and agencies of the State respect and ensure that no one will be criminalized for exercising the rights to freedom of
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1100 Dominica News Online. February 8, 2017. PM Skerrit says UWP, DFP attempted government overthrow; The Montserrat Reporter. February 12, 2017. PM Skerrit says protesters had planned a coup against his government.
expression, assembly, and association in the context of demonstrations and protests, nor be subjected to threats, harassment, violence, persecution, or retaliation for participating in protests. Therefore, the authorities should facilitate the exercise of the right to demonstrate and protest as the general rule and should not regard them as a threat to public order or domestic security.

503. Since March 30, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has received reports of a series of recurring demonstrations led by agronomist and environmentalist Atherton Martin, winner of the Goldman Environmental Prize. According to the information received, a group of citizens, led by Martin, is reportedly holding walks for “peace, justice and prosperity” every Wednesday in the capital city of Roseau. However, the head of the Commonwealth of Dominica Police Force (CDPF) has allegedly disallowed the protests as he has not received permission applications for such activity. The Public Order Act would require the written permission of the Chief of Police for any walk, march or protest action. Specifically, the Act makes it unlawful for any public procession to take place unless a permit has been issued pursuant to the Act. It would also provide that where a person wishes to organize a public procession, he/she shall, at least three days prior to the intended public procession, submit an application to the commissioner for a permit.

504. According to public information, the Dominica police commissioner’s statements would have generated outrage among citizens and opposition parties. As a result, several groups have reportedly called his statements intimidating, politically biased and aimed at undermining the freedom and independence of Dominica’s citizens.

505. On May 19, 2022, the Rapporteurship received reports that six participants of the weekly walk for “peace, justice and prosperity” appeared before the Roseau Magistrate Court to plead not guilty to illegally walking the streets of the capital. The defendants were reportedly cited for violating a section of the Public Order Act. According to the law, anyone guilty of one of these offenses would be subject to a fine of $10,000 East Caribbean dollars or imprisonment for six months. The defendants include Atherton Martin, leader of the walks, Lennox Linton, leader of the opposition United Workers Party (UWP), and Danny Lugay, member of the parliament for the Roseau North constituency. The judge hearing the case would have adjourned the trial until October 14, 2022 and summonsed all defendants to appear in court on that date prior to their release. As of the date of publication of this annual report, the status of the case is unknown. According to information received by this Office, the authorities are preparing to issue additional summons to other attenddees.

506. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that protest is often an important means of action and the pursuit of legitimate objectives by organizations and groups, and as such can also be protected by the right to freedom of association; the lawful and legitimate aims of freedom of association include public demonstrations and social protests. The fact that demonstrations and protests involve the right to freedom of expression, both in the way in which they take place and in the content they express, can often result in specially protected forms of speech, the robustness of which is conducive to the development and strengthening of democratic coexistence.
As this Office has stated on previous occasions, the exercise of freedom of assembly through social protest should not be subject to government authorization or excessive requirements that make it difficult to carry out. Legal requirements underlying the prohibition or limitation of a meeting or demonstration, such as the requirement of prior permission, are not compatible with freedom of assembly or the exercise of freedom of expression in the Inter-American system. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that the organizers’ failure to give prior notification to the authorities should not lead to the break-up of the gathering or to the imposition of criminal or administrative penalties such as fines or deprivation of liberty against the organizers, leaders, or their associations.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

In April 2022, the Special Rapporteur welcomed the launch in Dominica of the book “The Maroons of Dominica 1764 - 1818: Resistance Rebellion and Freedom From Slavery”. The book, written by Thomson Fontaine, tells the story of “Maroons” who defied slavery on the island between the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. According to the author’s historiographical work, the efforts of the Maroons would have helped focus attention on the conditions of slavery in the British West Indies and, eventually, the abolition of the practice.

This Office considers valuable the publication and dissemination of various works that enrich the public debate on the historical marginalization of certain populations. Likewise, as stated in a report by the Office of the Special Rapporteur on Economic, Social, Cultural and Environmental Rights (REDESCA), this Office reiterates that States are called upon to guarantee the preservation of the distinctive forms of expression of groups that have been historically discriminated against and excluded from public debate, thus promoting the historical memory of these people and contributing to tolerance and respect in society.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

In 2022, the Special Rapporteur was informed of efforts by the National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission (NTRC) of the Commonwealth of Dominica to coordinate an effective regulatory regime to improve telecommunications in the country. Among the initiatives promoted by the commission this year would be an updated website for citizens to share their complaints and grievances; programming workshops for children between the ages of 8 and 16; and a subsidy that would allow Internet access to the most vulnerable for EC$10 per month.

Regarding the Internet access program, this Office learned that the subsidized broadband initiative, called Net 4 All, was launched in October 2021. This initiative would allow hundreds of students and low-income families to access a 10 Mbps internet service at minimal monthly costs as long as they have a mobile device. The Rapporteurship welcomes the donation of 50 tablets from a telecommunications company so that the lack of a mobile device would not become a barrier to access the program for dozens of students and families.

The Rapporteurship has previously described "digital literacy" as the set of skills, knowledge and attitudes required by an individual to functionally develop within the information society; its objective is for...
people to acquire the knowledge and the skills to “use technology efficiently, developing new social and financial opportunities within their social framework”. In this sense, “digital literacy” is an essential process in the guarantee of human rights, and a particularly necessary measure to protect and guarantee the rights to equality and nondiscrimination.

In this sense, “digital literacy” is an essential process in the guarantee of human rights, and a particularly necessary measure to protect and guarantee the rights to equality and nondiscrimination.

513. This Office recalls that the guarantee of non-discrimination includes the State’s obligation to address the specific Internet access needs that some particularly vulnerable groups may have. Therefore, the Rapporteurship welcomes the efforts of the State of Dominica, as well as the private sector, to provide subsidies and mobile devices so that low-income students and families can access broadband services.

---

ECUADOR

514. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a series of reports of attacks on the press in Ecuador, including the murder of journalists, death threats, attacks, and stigmatizing remarks by public officials and leaders. This Office also received information about blockades of public facilities to prevent coverage. In two weeks, two journalists were murdered in the province of Manabí, a region where reports of violence have increased. The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and its Office of the Special Rapporteur followed up on social demonstrations and urged the State to observe Inter-American standards to guarantee freedom of expression and the right of assembly in the context of citizen demonstrations. This Office again urged the State of Ecuador to redouble its efforts to prevent violence against journalists and press workers. Finally, the Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Ecuador to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

515. This Office received several reports of acts of violence against journalists in different forms, including aggressions, threats, stigmatization, and even murders. In the early morning of July 24, journalist Mike Cabrera was the victim of gunshots fired by a group of unknown individuals when he was talking with other people in one of the main avenues of Portoviejo, capital of the province of Manabí. Although he was taken to the hospital of the Instituto Ecuatoriano de Seguridad Social, he did not survive the attack. Mike Cabrera had worked as a presenter in a newscast of the Manabita Television channel and covered local issues through Nexo Digital, his informative page in social networks. The Communication Council of Ecuador, as well as this Office of the Special Rapporteur, condemned the murder of the journalist and urged the authorities to exhaust all lines of investigation in accordance with due process to determine the perpetrators and motive of the crime.

516. This Office recalls that the murder of journalists and communicators constitutes the most external form of censorship. According to Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR, "the murder, kidnapping, intimidation, threat to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violates the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restricts freedom of expression." As RELE has pointed out on previous occasions, this type of acts produces a marked effect of self-censorship, inhibiting journalists from carrying out their work; and reinforces the tendency to carry out journalism that avoids reporting on sensitive issues such as security, corruption or drug trafficking.

517. Two weeks after the murder of Mike Cabrera, on August 10, journalist Gerardo Delgado of Ola Manta TV was shot and killed on Avenida Metropolitana in Montecristi, also in Manabí province. At the time of the...
attack, Delgado was in his vehicle with his daughter, who witnessed the murder and was unharmed. According to public information, the reporter was on his way to cover a story related to an alleged suicide. The authorities reported that on the same August 10, two people were arrested for allegedly committing the crime, who after being detained were placed before a judge, who ordered both to be remanded in custody for alleged participation in the crime. Likewise, the State has informed that the Public Prosecutor’s Office continues to carry out several investigations and expert reports with the support of the National Police in order to clarify the facts and determine those responsible. According to information from a public source, the alleged perpetrators allegedly received US$2,000 to commit the murder. Likewise, RELE learned that two people had been detained for their possible participation in the murder.

518. This Rapporteurship condemned the murder of the journalist and urged the State to investigate the facts fully, effectively and impartially, taking into consideration the journalistic work as a possible motive for the crime, and to punish those responsible. In this regard, RELE reiterates the observations made in the 2019 Special Report on the Situation of Freedom of Expression in Ecuador regarding the lack of measures or protocols to guarantee the safety of journalists and media workers, and reiterated the recommendations of that report regarding the prevention and protection of journalists.

519. In addition, this Office received information on various attacks, threats and intimidations against journalists, which could be generating a climate of intimidation of the press. According to the Inter American Press Association (IAPA), a journalist of the Guayaquil newspaper Expreso, whose name was not disclosed, reported that unknown individuals threw two explosive devices at his home. No injuries or material damage were reported. Granasa, the publisher of Expreso, ratified its support for the work of its journalists in the face of threats and rejected any form of intimidation "in relation to the publications they publish."

520. RELE also learned about death threats against Carlos Castillo, editor and communicator of El Ferrodiario, a digital media of the province of Guayas, considered one of the most violent in the country. According to public reports, Castillo had found two bags with blood when leaving his home, and made known the intimidations through a video posted on social networks in which he said he had filed a complaint with the police. According to public information, between 2020 and 2022 Castillo had received 11 threats, including
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two death threats. At the beginning of this year, after the publication of an article on organized crime operating in the area, the journalist received information about an alleged group of hired killers arrested in an area near his former home, who allegedly had photos and videos of his residence.

521. On January 11, journalists Arturo Barriga and Eduardo Andino received death threats through a message that they both received simultaneously from an unidentified subject who warned them about "a person who is paying a few dollars to 3 of our guys to shoot you". Both communicators published on their Twitter accounts the alleged threatening messages they received from a person claiming to be a leader of the gang "Los Choneros". After publishing the messages, other alleged victims responded to the tweets, indicating that they had also received messages from the same person and that it could be the way a gang of extortionists operates. The Police and the Ministry of Government contacted Barriga to show solidarity and investigate the threats. The state office Consejo de Comunicación rejected the intimidations towards journalists.

522. Among other reported incidents, on February 18, reporter Paul Coello and cameraman Marcelo Llasha, both from Teleamazonas, denounced having been verbally attacked by a group of police officers while they were covering Rumiñahui, a canton in the province of Pichincha, south of Quito. A video shows the police surrounding the team and trying to put their hand on the camera to prevent them from being filmed. The journalists were reportedly unable to continue with their work due to alleged obstructions by the police.

523. RELE also recorded Paul Coello's complaint about alleged aggressions and threats against him by the Attorney General's Office and the National Police. The incident allegedly occurred while he was covering the search for the body of María Belén Bernal, a lawyer murdered in the Casitagua hill, in the north of Quito.

---

cover on his front door: Fundamedios. September 2, 2022. August: one journalist murdered, three threatened with death and one missing; Primicias. May 4, 2022. 66% of violent deaths are concentrated in three provinces in emergency.
Contar lo que pasa en Durán pone en riesgo la vida de los periodistas: Voces del Sur. August 18, 2022. Ecuadorian journalist receives bloody cover on his front door; Fundamedios. September 2, 2022. August: one journalist murdered, three threatened with death and one missing; Primicias. May 4, 2022. 66% of violent deaths are concentrated in three provinces in emergency.


1150 Fundamedios. September 21, 2022. Ecuadorian journalist was intimidated by police officers; Paul Coello Twitter account (@paulcoellosegar). September 21, 2022.
1151 Fundamedios. September 21, 2022. Ecuadorian journalist was intimidated by police officers; Paul Coello Twitter account (@paulcoellosegar). September 21, 2022.
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In addition, according to available information, Daniela Moina, a journalist for the newspaper Extra, was verbally assaulted by a legislative advisor after she questioned a Pachakutik legislator during a press conference on the land conflict in the Tanda-Pelileo commune.

Likewise, this Rapporteurship learned that police agents reportedly obstructed news coverage by Cynthia Shibel Estrada, of La Prensa de Chimborazo, in the context of a visit by the President to Riobamba, a city in the central highlands of Ecuador.

Likewise, according to a report by the organization Fundamedios, the Public Company Corporación Eléctrica del Ecuador (CELEC EP) allegedly denied access to the Coca Codo Sinclair hydroelectric project to the correspondent of the Voice of America (VOA) in Ecuador, Néstor Aguilera.

On the other hand, Fundamedios also reported alleged attacks on social networks against journalist Yalillé Loaiza, correspondent of Infobae in Ecuador. The journalist was allegedly the target of attacks on networks after interviewing the president. The messages and stigmatizing remarks on social networks allegedly occurred after the journalist published details about the interview. Some of the messages received described the journalist as "trash", "pest", "bootlicker" and "interviewer trash".

The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that attacks, threats and intimidation against journalists are intended to be "a tool that sends a clear message to all those in civil society who investigate irregularities in public administration. This practice seeks to make the press, as a control mechanism, keep silent or become an accomplice of those persons or institutions that carry out abusive or illegal acts or deeds. Ultimately, what is sought is to prevent society from being informed of these events at all costs". It is the duty of States to investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and provide the necessary protection measures in cases that so require.

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed that some journalists were sued for acts related to their work. In August, this Rapporteurship recorded with concern the criminal complaint for slander filed by the mayor of Guayaquil, Cynthia Viteri, against El Diario Expreso. The legal action was due to the publication of a report that revealed alleged contracts in which companies linked to the mayor’s husband would have benefited between 2019 and 2020. The media was notified about the legal action, which also requested information about the journalist who conducted the investigation. Several civil society organizations have called on the government to protect the press from legal actions that seek to silence criticism or stigmatize journalists.

[References]

1153 Fundamedios. September 21, 2022. Ecuadorian journalist was intimidated by police officers; Paul Coello Twitter account (@paulcoellosegur). September 21, 2022.
1156 Fundamedios. September 19, 2022. Ecuadorian public company restricts access of a journalist; Infobae correspondent in Ecuador is attacked in networks; Fundamedios / Facebook. 08 August 2022.
1157 Fundamedios. August 8, 2022. Infobae correspondent in Ecuador is attacked in networks; Fundamedios / Facebook. 08 August 2022.
organizations considered these facts as an act of intimidation and questioned the use of criminal figures as they contribute to the self-censorship of the press.\textsuperscript{1164}

530. Likewise, this Office received information on the complaint for moral damages filed by the former president of the Sports Federation of Chimborazo and current director of the Provincial Board of the Prefecture against journalist Danilo Villarroel, of the media El Diario de Riobamba Digital.\textsuperscript{1165} The legal action was allegedly filed for publishing information that questioned the operation of the sports entity.\textsuperscript{1166} According to the complainant, the publications would have caused him moral damage and damage to his honor.\textsuperscript{1167} According to the organization Fundamedios, this would be the fourth criminal complaint faced by this media.\textsuperscript{1168} However, according to public reports, a judge declared the journalist innocent.\textsuperscript{1169}

531. In its previous reports, the Rapporteurship has expressed its concern regarding the use of criminal defamation laws, including libel and slander, for the purpose of protecting the honor or image of public officials. In the hemisphere, practice has shown that on multiple occasions, public figures or those involved in matters of public interest resort to the use of these figures as a mechanism to discourage criticism and limit the scope of a democratic and uninhibited public debate. As the Rapporteurship has pointed out in previous reports, "the possibility of abuse of such laws by public officials to silence critical opinions is as great in the case of these laws as in the case of desacato laws."\textsuperscript{1170}

532. In this regard, this Office recalls that Principle 11 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression establishes that "public officials are subject to greater scrutiny by society. Laws that criminalize offensive speech directed at public officials generally known as 'desacato laws' infringe on freedom of expression and the right to information."\textsuperscript{1171}

533. Both the IACHR and the IACHR Court have repeatedly pointed out that States have a more limited scope to impose restrictions on the right to freedom of expression "whenever it concerns expressions concerning the State, matters of public interest, public officials in the exercise of their functions or candidates for public office, or individuals voluntarily involved in public affairs, as well as political discourse and debate."\textsuperscript{1172} This is because these persons are subject to a greater degree of scrutiny and criticism from the public, which is justified by the public interest nature of the activities they carry out, because they have voluntarily exposed themselves to a more demanding scrutiny and because they have an enormous capacity to controvert information through their power of public convocation.\textsuperscript{1173}

534. On the other hand, the Rapporteurship learned about the disqualifications of former President Rafael Correa against journalist Miguel Rivadeneira. On September 24, the former president said that "these sick..."
people call themselves journalists", referring and quoting a publication of the journalist on social networks\textsuperscript{1174}. According to available information, Correa’s responses provoked a series of stigmatizing messages about the journalist’s work from anonymous accounts\textsuperscript{1175}.

535. RELE also learned that, during an interview with journalist Carlos Vera, the President allegedly disqualified the work of the press\textsuperscript{1176}. For example, he allegedly referred to the interviewing journalist as "insidious" and questioned him for "raising inconsequential issues"; he also accused him of "not knowing anything" about public administration and of wanting to "corner the Government"\textsuperscript{1177}.

536. As previously stated, the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression recognizes that it is legitimate, and on certain occasions a duty, for State authorities to speak out on issues of public interest and to defend themselves against criticism or questioning by the press\textsuperscript{1178}. However, in doing so, they should not discredit or stigmatize those who question them, given their high office, the broad scope of their pronouncements, and the possible effects that their expressions may have on certain sectors of the population\textsuperscript{1179}. This implies that they should refrain from making speeches that may, directly or indirectly, encourage or promote violence against communicators and journalists\textsuperscript{1180}.

537. As the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has established, public officials must bear in mind that their status implies a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of individuals and, therefore, "their statements may not disregard these rights or constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts"\textsuperscript{1181}. This also means that they must refrain from making speeches that may, directly or indirectly, encourage or promote violence against communicators and journalists\textsuperscript{1182}.

**B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions**

538. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur followed the debates to reform the domestic legal framework on freedom of expression in Ecuador. In May 2021, the executive branch sent to the National Assembly a Draft Organic Law on Free Expression and Communication, which was later unified with other law proposals\textsuperscript{1183}. Since early 2022, the National Assembly held multiple debates to evaluate the draft reforms to the current law, and received observations and comments from representatives of civil society and public institutions\textsuperscript{1184}. In its final stage, two alternatives were presented to the plenary of the legislative body, after

\textsuperscript{1174} Twitter account of Rafael Correa (@MashiRafael). September 24, 2022; Fundamedios. September 26, 2022. \textit{Journalist receives attacks on social networks after insults from former Ecuadorian president on Twitter.}

\textsuperscript{1175} Twitter account of Rafael Correa (@MashiRafael). September 24, 2022; Fundamedios. September 26, 2022. \textit{Journalist receives attacks on social networks after insults from former Ecuadorian president on Twitter.}

\textsuperscript{1176} Fundamedios. October 12, 2022. \textit{President Guillermo Lasso gives an interview full of darts against the press; GK. Oct 13, 2022.}

\textit{Guillermo Lasso’s dangerous expressions about the press.}

\textsuperscript{1177} Fundamedios. October 12, 2022. \textit{President Guillermo Lasso gives an interview full of darts against the press; GK. Oct 13, 2022.}

\textit{Guillermo Lasso’s dangerous expressions about the press.}


\textsuperscript{1183} Swissinfo. May 26, 2021. \textit{Lasso sends bill on freedom of expression to Assembly; Government of Ecuador. May 25, 2021. Organic Law of Free Expression and Communication was sent to the National Assembly.}

\textsuperscript{1184} El Comercio. January 11, 2022. \textit{Assembly closed first debate on changes to Communication Law; Swissinfo. January 12, 2022. Ecuador Legislative advances in debate of law on freedom of press.}
which, on July 21, 2022, the National Assembly approved the Minority Report\textsuperscript{1185}. In August 2022, pursuant to constitutional powers, the president presented partial objections to certain provisions, and constitutionally objected to another series of articles of the Minority Report\textsuperscript{1186}.

539. In this context, the Rapporteurship considers that the measures adopted by the executive branch represent an important step towards the full realization of the right to freedom of expression and of the press in Ecuador. RELE recognizes the legitimate concerns of sectors historically excluded from public debate, and their demands to improve the guarantees of pluralism and diversity in the media, which attempted to be embodied in the Minority Report. However, in the opinion of this Office, the text approved on July 21 contains some provisions that could severely impact the exercise of freedom of expression and generate legislative regressions for Ecuadorian society.

540. The Rapporteurship conducted a technical analysis of the text and considered, among other aspects, that the incorporation of a duty of the State to "guarantee the right to the truth" and the prohibition of dissemination of "false information" can seriously affect the right to freedom of expression. This provision, in addition to being in conflict with Inter-American standards, such as Principle 7 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, is difficult to implement without incurring in arbitrariness in its application\textsuperscript{1187}. At the same time, the text proposed that the media should be obliged to adopt codes of ethics, which would be supervised by state entities, as opposed to the voluntary nature that should characterize all instances of journalistic self-regulation\textsuperscript{1188}. This precept is based on the premise that journalism can choose among different mechanisms to generate an ethical performance, which may include a code of ethics, but does not depend on or exhaust itself in this mechanism\textsuperscript{1189}. Likewise, the Report approved by the Assembly established mechanisms of subsequent responsibility that could diminish guarantees for expressions that in the Inter-American human rights system have reinforced protection due to their public interest\textsuperscript{1190}.

541. The decision of the executive branch to partially object to certain provisions of the bill has taken into account some of the aforementioned concerns of RELE. For this Office, for the most part, the objections presented represent a step forward in strengthening the right to freedom of expression insofar as they take into account Inter-American standards on the matter. In 2013, with the enactment of the Organic Law on Communication, Ecuador went through a context of serious violations to freedom of expression, which materialized mainly in abusive practices and censorship against the media. Since the first reform to such law, in 2019, the situation of freedom of expression has been gradually evolving. In this context, the reform process moved in the same direction of improvement.

542. On October 3, the Constitutional Court issued an opinion in which it accepted twelve of the observations made by the executive branch\textsuperscript{1191}; and on October 30, the National Assembly ratified three articles, related to the purpose of the norm, the democratization of frequencies and affirmative action for community media\textsuperscript{1192}. Finally, on November 11, the President of Ecuador sent to the Official Registry the Communication Law, which came into force on the day of its publication, November 14, 2022\textsuperscript{1193}. According to the information available, after the modifications, the reform would have eliminated media controls, would prohibit messages of violence disseminated by the media, would include the principles of voluntary regulation

\textsuperscript{1187}IACHR. \textit{Background and interpretation of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression}, 2000.
\textsuperscript{1190}The Hour. July 29, 2022. \textit{President Guillermo Lasso will propose a veto to enshrine freedom of expression}; El Universo. July 22, 2022. \textit{These are the main aspects of the reform to the Communication Law approved in the Assembly}.
\textsuperscript{1192}National Assembly of Ecuador. October 30, 2022. \textit{National Assembly ratified three articles that reform the Organic Law of Communication}.
\textsuperscript{1193}Swissinfo. November 11, 2022. \textit{Ecuador’s president sends Communication Law to official registry}; RT. Nov. 12, 2022. \textit{Lasso signs new Communication Law and says it will serve in the fight against “narco-politicians” in Ecuador}.
of the media and self-regulation, "in no case by standards or regulations imposed by the State", as well as affirmative actions to strengthen community media and would give power to the popular assemblies to make evaluations on public media1194.

543. The Rapporteurship considers that Ecuador is going through a crucial moment in the public debate on the guarantees for the exercise of freedom of expression. In this regard, this Office appreciates the willingness of the State to receive technical advice on an issue of such important impact for the consolidation and functioning of democracy as the process of reform of the Organic Law on Communication. It is also grateful for the information provided by civil society actors -including representatives of the media, journalism associations, human rights, community and indigenous organizations- during listening sessions held with the Special Rapporteur throughout 2022. RELE recognizes that this process demonstrates the strength of democratic institutions in Ecuador and the functioning of checks and balances in a State governed by the rule of law.

544. On the other hand, this Office learned of the resolution issued by the Telecommunications Regulation and Control Agency (Arcotel) in which it withdrew the title that allowed the operation of Canal UNO, with nationwide coverage1195. The concession of the frequencies would have been reverted to the State1196. According to the information available, the resolution is based on the fact that this media outlet would have failed to submit financial and accounting documents in 2018 and 20191197. As background, RELE recorded that in April 2020, in the framework of the health emergency due to COVID-19, the media outlet would have dismissed several journalists and workers of the channel1198. In June and August, there were demonstrations by former workers demanding the payment of their severance payments and back wages1199.

545. This Office was informed about the raid carried out by the Police and the Prosecutor's Office at the Center for Digital Autonomy (CAD), directed by Ola Bini, a Swedish technology development expert who is facing legal proceedings for the crime of non-consensual access to a computer system 1200. CAD denounced that the police seized all the equipment and even the security cameras1201. The Swedish citizen was arrested three years ago and since then the criminal proceedings against him have reportedly been delayed several times1202. According to the latest information received in November, the hearing was again suspended until 20231203.

546. On the other hand, in June, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and its Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression expressed its concern about the worsening of social tensions in Ecuador in the context of the National Strike1204. In this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received

---


196
reports of physical aggressions, excessive use of force, detention of demonstrators and journalists during the protests of June 13, 2022, in the framework of a National Strike called by various social sectors. The Alianza por los Derechos Humanos de Ecuador registered 79 people detained, 55 injured and 39 possible human rights violations that occurred between June 14 and 19, 2022. Several organizations denounced attacks on their web platforms by means of bots that tried to saturate their servers. Likewise, Amnesty International denounced the President’s decision to repress the protests and described the situation as a “human rights crisis”.

547. Also, according to public information, on June 14, Segundo Leonidas Iza Salazar, president of the Confederation of Indigenous Nationalities of Ecuador (CONAIE), was allegedly illegally detained by agents of the elite command of the Armed Forces and National Police. The Ecuadorian Attorney General’s Office issued a statement in which it denied having ordered the arrest of the indigenous leader. Different organizations considered Iza’s detention to be violent and illegal, and held the government responsible for his whereabouts.

548. Following the mobilizations that took place during the National Strike, the government declared a State of Emergency in three provinces of Ecuador for 30 days. This Office observed with concern the reports that indicated that such measure would affect citizens’ rights, since it would imply the suspension of the right to access information, which could generate measures of blocking online content and suspension of Internet services.

549. Likewise, according to information reported by the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship in a press release, the Ombudsman’s Office of Ecuador reported 6 deaths in the context of the protests, at least 2 as a result of firearms impacts. Likewise, the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship learned that at least 166 demonstrators and 120 agents of the National Police were injured.

550. On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information confirmed by the authorities on the death of a soldier during the citizen protests. After 16 days of protests called by indigenous movements, alleged demonstrators attacked a convoy in the Amazon region of the country. In response to these
events, the government reportedly suspended the dialogue with the organizations that maintained the strike1215.

551. On June 30, an agreement was signed that would open a new dialogue between the government and the leaders of the indigenous movement, which would ratify the end of the 18-day strike. The Confederation of Indigenous Nationalities of Ecuador (CONAIE) and other groups promoting the social protests met with the Ecuadorian government after two weeks of mobilizations1216.

552. Social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful and unarmed assembly, freedom of association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is obliged to respect, protect and guarantee these rights1217. Regarding the obligation to respect, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have indicated that "the exercise of the right of assembly through social protest should not be subject to authorization by the authorities or to excessive requirements that make it difficult to carry out" and that individuals have the freedom to choose the time, place and manner of demonstrating1218. Likewise, with respect to the obligation to protect and facilitate, the Commission has emphasized that "[t]he imperative social interest in the right to participate in public demonstrations gives rise to a general presumption in favor of its exercise"1219. As the IACHR report on protest and human rights mentions, States must act on the basis of the lawfulness of public protests and demonstrations and under the assumption that they do not constitute a threat to public order, even in cases where they are made without prior notice1220. Finally, in relation to the obligation to guarantee, the IACHR has established that it "implies in the first place the duties to investigate and punish any violation occurring in the context of a public demonstration"1221.

553. This Office also reiterates that the use of force in the context of protests should be understood as "a last resort that, qualitatively and quantitatively limited, seeks to prevent an event of greater gravity than that which provokes the State reaction" and that, within this framework of exceptionality, the State must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity and proportionality1222. Finally, this Rapporteurship recalls that "it is inadmissible in principle to criminalize per se demonstrations on public streets"1223; and that States should refrain from engaging in practices of mass, collective or indiscriminate arrests in public demonstrations1224.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

---


In 2022, feminist groups and organizations denounced the alleged excessive use of public force in the context of public demonstrations for International Women’s Day on March 8. According to available information, security agents allegedly fired tear gas and used water hoses and beatings with batons against those participating in the marches in the cities of Quito and Guayaquil.

This Office highlights that a protest can involve and encompass other specific rights linked to the groups, actors or interests involved, such as gender equality in women’s movements, or rights that protect migrants, children and adolescents, or indigenous peoples. Protest has also been -and is- a fundamental tool in the region for different population groups to express their identity and claim against intolerance and discrimination, such as LGBTQI people and Afro-descendant populations. In this sense, as the report on protest and human rights points out, “when analyzing the rights involved in demonstrations and protests, it should also be noted that incorrect responses by the State may not only affect the aforementioned rights but also other fundamental rights such as the right to life, physical integrity, personal safety and the right to liberty”.

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has pointed out that, in accordance with Article 13 of the American Convention, speeches that express elements of the personal identity or dignity of those who express themselves -such as public demonstrations by women demanding their rights- enjoy special protection within the inter-American human rights system. In this sense, the Rapporteurship invites the State of Ecuador to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to harmonize its institutional practices to the reinforced protection of this type of speeches or demonstrations.

On the other hand, the Rapporteurship received with concern the data provided by the organizations Fundamedios and Periodistas Sin Cadenas regarding the alleged increase in violence against women journalists. According to Fundamedios, one of the cases of violence against women that stands out is that of journalist Janet Hinostroza, who allegedly received through social networks a series of insults and misogynistic messages from accounts that are allegedly linked to State institutions. Other attacks were allegedly committed through smear campaigns, accusations through press releases and even unfinished criminal proceedings and opening of investigations by the Prosecutor’s Office.

In this context, the Rapporteurship learned of the aggression committed against journalist Viviana Erazo, of the community media Wambra, allegedly by security agents, while she was covering the March 8 protests. The journalist reported having been assaulted and pepper-sprayed by a policeman. Violence during the protest allegedly escalated during the night, when police allegedly fired tear gas and committed acts of violence against women protesters.

---


According to available information, in August, the Centro Democrático movement declined to present its candidate for mayor of Guayas for making a sexist comment during a political rally. The aspirant to the candidacy would have said on the podium a questionable speech.

RELE also received reports of alleged misogynistic attacks through social networks against journalist Silrat Traslañía, of Majestad Radio. Traslañía had already been the victim of disqualification campaigns on social networks after interviewing former President Rafael Correa. This time, the aggressions allegedly began after an interview with a female legislator, in addition to other criticisms from other female journalists, who accused the journalist of using feminism to victimize herself.

The Rapporteurship received with concern information on the alleged sexual assault committed by two unknown individuals against journalist Cinthya Chanatasig of the newspaper La Prensa de Riobamba. According to the report, the incident occurred when the journalist was gathering data for an investigation by the Fundación Periodistas sin Cadenas in Cuenca, in that city in the Ecuadorian Sierra.

Likewise, according to public reports, Radio Redonda reporter Xiomara de la Torre was allegedly sexually assaulted by a group of Barcelona Sporting Club fans during live coverage of the 'Clásico del Astillero' in Guayaquil, a soccer match between two clubs.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

According to the information received, the State of Ecuador launched the "Digital Transformation Agenda 2025", which seeks to reduce the digital divide and increase access to broadband. Likewise, the Minister of Telecommunications and Information Society informed that they have made significant progress in connectivity, through the promotion of the 4G network in 661 localities of the country, among other measures. A total of 6 thousand free connection points have been created in the country, benefiting 643 thousand people. In addition, the "ICT School" was promoted to train people in cybersecurity issues.

As the Office of the Special Rapporteur has pointed out on previous occasions, access to the Internet is a sine qua non condition for the effective exercise of human rights, including especially the rights to freedom of expression and opinion, association and assembly, education, health and culture. In accordance with its nature, as a means inseparable from the full exercise of certain rights, access to the Internet must be universally guaranteed, adopting measures to close the digital divide, promoting infrastructure development policies, and protecting at all times the quality and integrity of the service, establishing explicit prohibitions against
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1234 El Universo. August 10, 2022. Questioned speech by Héctor Vaneaga; Swissinfo. August 8, 2022. He ruled out this Sunday to present a candidacy; La República. 7 August 2022. Vaneaga's candidacy for Guayas Prefecture dropped; Swissinfo.


1242 Government of Ecuador. No date. 32,000 people from 53 parishes now have 4G internet thanks to the Government of Encuentro; El Telégrafo. 7 October 2022. Ecuador is a promoter of digital transformation and connectivity projects.


arbitrary, partial or total blocking and slowdowns.\textsuperscript{1245} This Office welcomes the progress reported by the State in terms of internet access and connectivity, and encourages the State to continue its efforts to ensure both access to quality internet and the development of digital skills, through the implementation of digital literacy programs with a human rights perspective.\textsuperscript{1246} Likewise, RELE highlights that the production of data and statistics on the levels of internet access throughout the territory is a fundamental part of universal internet access policies, as they serve to guide the design of these public policies.\textsuperscript{1247}


\textsuperscript{1246} IACHR. March 26, 2021. \textit{Guide No. 3 How to promote universal access to the Internet during the COVID-19 pandemic}.

\textsuperscript{1247} IACHR. March 26, 2021. \textit{Guide No. 3 How to promote universal access to the Internet during the COVID-19 pandemic}.
EL SALVADOR

565. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to observe a deterioration of the guarantees to exercise the right to freedom of expression in El Salvador. In the 183° Period of Sessions, journalists and human rights organizations denounced that the current administration of the government has been characterized by intolerance of criticism, refusals to provide interviews, and blocking press conferences; and that in the exercise of their duties they frequently face attacks, harassment, and threats by public officials. In a context of a State of Exception, which the government extended for more than eight months, the SRFOE warned of the risks of criminalization of legitimate exercises of freedom of expression based on the legislative reforms to the Criminal Code and the Law for the Proscription of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations, and Organizations of a Criminal Nature. For its part, the Salvadoran State has stated that it respects fundamental rights and liberties, that its legal framework and actions are in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution of the Republic and the conventional obligations; and that there is no persecution, harassment, or stigmatization of people who are critical of the government’s management. Likewise, the State reported that the criminal reforms adopted are clear and specific, and that they are not aimed at restricting the informative work of the media.

A. Journalism and democracy

566. The independent press continues to denounce a hostile climate to carry out journalistic work in El Salvador, as this Office pointed out in its last annual report.\(^{1248}\) According to the information received by the SRFOE, in 2022 this situation would have worsened for various reasons, including the approval and entry into force of penal reforms that define legitimate exercises of freedom of expression and freedom of the press; complaints about the use of spyware against journalists who investigate matters of high public interest; and the persistence of stigmatizing accusations and disqualifications against the independent press coming from high levels of government.\(^{1249}\) The Office of the Special Rapporteur has received reports indicating that the adverse context for journalistic work has caused journalists to leave the country for fear of threats, intimidation, attacks, and prosecution.\(^{1250}\) According to information from civil society organizations during a public hearing held during the IACHR’s 185° Period of Sessions, at least 10 journalists are reportedly in exile (7 men and 3 women).\(^{1251}\)

567. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received information about the alleged use of the malicious software Pegasus to spy on journalists in El Salvador;\(^{1252}\) An expert opinion carried out by Citizen Lab of the University of Toronto and the Access Now organization determined that at least 35 people from the Salvadoran media and civil society organizations had their phones tapped with Pegasus, the hacking software created by the Israeli company NSO Group.\(^{1253}\) For its part, the State indicated that "the origin of such interventions is unknown, for which reason the corresponding investigations are being carried out, in order to determine the responsibility and authorship of said acts, which would also have affected the devices and communications of state officials."\(^{1254}\) It is important to note that the SRFOE had already warned about the use of said software in other countries of the Americas, and the risk it implies regarding the rights of victims of espionage.\(^{1255}\)

---


\(^{1249}\) See following paragraphs of this report.


\(^{1251}\) IACHR. October 25, 2022. 185° Period of Sessions. *Audiencia No. 6: Detenciones arbitrarias y situación de personas privadas de la libertad en el Estado de excepción en El Salvador*.


568. Said report indicates that, of the 35 people identified in the technical expertise, at least 22 would be members of the journalistic investigation portal El Faro.1256 The media outlet’s team would have remained under constant surveillance between June 29, 2020, and November 23, 2021.1257 The expert opinion was also able to conclude that the access to the telephone devices would have been carried out on specific dates that coincided with moments in which the newspaper published, or was about to publish reports of public interest, and in contexts of relevant national political debates.1258

569. Of the 22 members of El Faro who have been intervened, 19 are beneficiaries of IACHR precautionary measures since January 4, 2021.1259 According to the information reported, a significant number of these interventions would have taken place in April and May 2021, three months after the precautionary measures were issued, in which it requested the State of El Salvador to guarantee that the beneficiaries can carry out their activities without being subjected to acts of intimidation, threats, and harassment.1260 In this regard, the State indicated its interest in maintaining supervision of the precautionary measures in the El Faro case under the periodic reporting mechanism.1261

570. Faced with these facts, the IACHR, its Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression (SRFOE) and the Regional Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights for Central America, the Dominican Republic, and the Caribbean (OHCHR) expressed their concern and urged the State to investigate the denounced facts effectively and impartially, punish those found responsible, establish guarantees of non-repetition, and ensure the protection of the integrity of the victims. Likewise, in line with what the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights has already maintained, the IACHR and its SRFOE insisted on the call for an immediate moratorium on the sale, transfer, and use of surveillance technology until regulatory frameworks in line with human rights are established.1262

571. These facts were also presented to the IACHR during the 183° Period of Sessions, in March 2022.1263 In the hearing “The situation of human rights in the context of cyber surveillance in El Salvador”, civil society organizations expanded the information and stated that the journalists who were infected by Pegasus fear more and more for their safety and personal integrity, and that of their journalistic sources.1264 On this occasion, the State highlighted that the problem of the use of Pegasus software is global in scope, at the same time that it highlighted the need to create a legal framework to control this type of tools, which, when used properly, could be useful to confront the terrorism and organized crime.1265 In addition, the representation of the State specified that the Pegasus software infection did not exclusively affect journalists, but also affected public

---
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In this regard, he mentioned that up to that moment there had been 33 complaints from public employees and officials.

This Office received information about the filing of a lawsuit against NSO Group before a United States federal court, by the Knight First Amendment Institute of Columbia University, 15 journalists, and other members of El Faro. As the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn, the lawsuit was filed on November 30, 2022 before the United States Northern District Court, in the state of California, and alleges that "the actions of the NSO Group in developing the spyware and using it against the El Faro journalists violated, among other laws, the California Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and the California Comprehensive Computer Fraud and Data Access Act". The Knight First Amendment Institute specified that, "in addition to asking the court to rule that the Pegasus attacks against El Faro and its journalists violated US law, the lawsuit asks the court to: require NSO Group to identify, return and then delete all the information it obtained through these attacks; ban NSO Group from using Pegasus again against the plaintiffs, and require NSO Group to identify the customer who ordered the surveillance". According to the information documented by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, two other lawsuits have already been filed against NSO Group in this district: one from Apple and another from the instant messaging company, WhatsApp.

Regarding the described faces, the SRFOE reiterates that communications surveillance practices not only violate the right to privacy, but also have the potential to affect the rights inherent to the exercise of journalism, including the confidentiality and integrity of sources, as well as the rights of other people around them. Likewise, as the IACHR, its SRFOE and OHCHR have pointed out, "any intrusive action by communication devices must be supported by a legal and transparent framework that is in accordance with international human rights norms and standards, guaranteeing the principles of necessity, proportionality, and an objective that is legitimate and in accordance with said norms".

The Office of the Special Rapporteur considers it essential that the State undertake its maximum efforts to clarify the facts through a complete, effective, and impartial judicial investigation, and that independence in the investigations and the cooperation of both public and private entities be guaranteed.

On the other hand, in 2022, the SRFOE observed the persistence of stigmatizing accusations against journalists and the media by government actors. Among the events recorded this year, the vice president disqualified the work of the digital magazine Gato Encerrado, referring to the medium as "zanates" (crows) and "activists disguised as journalists", and asserting that "they lie without shame". This happened after the outlet published an investigative article in which they argued that there had been "hermeticism" and a refusal to provide information of public interest within the framework of the process of creating a proposal for a new Constitution. Likewise, a government representative referred to journalists from El País as "bar journalists", in relation to a note in which they reported on the declaration of a state of exception in the country due to the rise in homicides. Another pro-government representative, citing a tweet from a
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575. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also documented stigmatizing messages against journalists from the head of state. Thus, for example, according to the information available, the president questioned the veracity of the information disseminated by El Diario de Hoy, describing their work as “stupid”. Through his social networks, the president also described anthropologist and journalist Juan Martínez d’Aubuisson, a collaborator of InsightCrime and El Faro, as “garbage”, referring to an interview in which the specialist gave his opinion on the role of gangs in El Salvador. Following this, a representative from the Nuevas Ideas party shared the president's message and pointed out that "these guys (...) don't deserve anyone’s respect, they are rubbish". The anthropologist is the author, among other academic publications, of "Ver, Oír y Callar", in academic publications, of "Ver, Oír y Callar", in 1990, and they are self-conscious people with little personality, who need to attract attention and try to tell this country that they are someone, when they are nothing". Likewise, he referred to La Prensa Gráfica and El Diario de Hoy as "little newspapers and pasquill" that "make you laugh" and that "in the end they were only arms of the opposition". Subsequently, through his Twitter account, the official referred to the press as "clowns", and called the newspaper El Mundo a "pamphlet", "deaf", "international fund hunters", and demanded that they "retract, apologize" and accept that his article was "another lying and self-victimizing antics".

576. In this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also observed with concern the messages from the President of the Legislative Assembly against the independent press that publishes about gangs and criminal gangs in El Salvador, and who have questioned the government's management of this matter. During a plenary session held on April 19, he referred to the "uncomfortable newspapers and journalists, those who call themselves intellectuals," and stated that "if they want to leave, let them leave," "give them asylum and leave (...) they don’t contribute anything here (...) We don’t need you, go away," The representative for the Nuevas Ideas party asserted that "there are a lot of journalists who, in addition to being journalists, are scoundrels (...) wanting to boast of being intellectuals (...) and in reality they are self-conscious people who need to attract attention and try to tell this country that they are someone, when they are nothing". Likewise, he referred to La Prensa Gráfica and El Diario de Hoy as "little newspapers and pasquill" that "make you laugh" and that "in the end they were only arms of the opposition". Subsequently, through his Twitter account, the official referred to the press as "clowns", and called the newspaper El Mundo a "pamphlet", "deaf", "international fund hunters", and demanded that they "retract, apologize" and accept that his article was "another lying and self-victimizing antics".

---
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577. The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression has indicated that those who lead debates of general interest participate in a public space that they are also called to care for. As the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has held, in a democratic society it is not only legitimate, but also sometimes a duty, for state authorities to make statements on matters of public interest. However, in doing so, they are subject to certain limitations, mainly aimed at verifying the facts on which they base their opinions and addressing them with even greater diligence than that used by individuals, due to their high status, wide scope, and eventual effects that their expressions may have on certain sectors of the population. Likewise, as the Court maintains, "they must take into account that as public officials they have a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of individuals and, therefore, their statements cannot disregard these or constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or pressure injurious to the rights of those who seek to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts". This duty of special care is particularly accentuated in certain contexts, such as a state of emergency, or situations of social conflict, disturbances of public order or social or political polarization, precisely because of the risks that may be involved.

578. The Inter-American Court has also understood that statements by high-ranking public officials against journalists and the media can increase the risk inherent to their profession. On this point, they have highlighted that "although it is true that there is an intrinsic risk to journalistic activity, the people who work for a certain social communication medium may see the risk situations that they would normally face exacerbated, if that medium is the subject of official speeches that may provoke or suggest actions or be interpreted by public officials or by sectors of society as instructions, instigation, or in any way authorizations or support, for the commission of acts that endanger or violate life, personal security, or other rights of people who carry out journalistic work or of those who exercise their freedom of expression".

579. In addition to the above, as mentioned in the IACHR report on the human rights situation in El Salvador, the SRFOE has received reports from civil society expressing concern about the potential government control of public debate. According to the information received, there would be institutional efforts to position the official story as the only legitimate and truthful one, through the constant dissemination of biased content, favorable to the president and the government, in state media and privately owned media managed by the State, and through the reduction of independent informative spaces. Various actors have reported to
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the Office of the Special Rapporteur on the use of various state media, such as Channel 10, Radio Nacional, and Diario El Salvador, for political purposes and the dissemination of government propaganda.  

580. This issue was also studied by the Special Commission for the investigation of harassment of journalists, created in August 2020 within the Legislative Assembly and on which this Office has commented in its latest annual reports. In the final report, the Special Commission concluded that "there was a possible interference by the executive body in the editorial line of the media that is under the administration of the National Asset Administration Council (CONAB) to attack, delegitimize, discredit, and to lie against those who do a job that goes against the official version of the government". Likewise, the aforementioned Commission adds that these practices on the part of the government "ignore the resolution of the Specialized Asset Forfeiture Court of San Salvador, which orders the aforementioned Council to guarantee strict and absolute respect for the editorial line and the contents of the media administered by said instance, not being able to intervene in any way (...)."

581. According to the reports received, the alleged government strategy of privileging the official story and positioning it as the only legitimate one also had an impact on citizen perception of the media. A study carried out in May 2022 by the private University Francisco Gavidia of San Salvador indicated that currently the state media are the most reliable sources for Salvadoran citizens.  

582. In this regard, the Salvadoran State has indicated that one of the priority objectives of the current government has been "the strengthening of the coverage and participation of the public media in media spaces and public discussion, as a way of promoting plurality in the media and to facilitate the population's access to them". According to the authorities, "the strengthening of the state media and its journalistic practice contributes to providing the population with a variety of informative spaces, which constitutes a broader frame of reference for Salvadorans, favoring the balance of the country's media system to the democratization of information of public interest."  

583. Along these lines, the State reported that the National System of Public Media was "reorganized and revitalized" in order to "allow the positioning of public media within the country's news references, promoting the diversity of opinions, approaches, and visions of the national reality". Likewise, it stressed that there has

---
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been "a growth of digital media with different editorial lines and totally independent, which favors media pluralism and contributes to social control under a democratic rule of law".\textsuperscript{1309}

584. Additionally, the State of El Salvador considers that on the part of the media there is a "relaxation of their duty to reasonably verify the facts on which their opinions are based, to compare the sources of information and to contrast them with other relevant data, because this also implies the right of people not to receive a manipulated version of the facts".\textsuperscript{1310} In this regard, the State indicated that "as essential instruments of freedom of thought and expression, the media must responsibly exercise the social function they perform, since they ultimately become opinion makers".\textsuperscript{1311} Additionally, the Salvadoran State indicated that "in El Salvador, although journalists are protected under the protection of freedom of expression, they must carry out their work obeying the principles of responsible journalism, that is, acting in good faith, providing information accurate and reliable, objectively reflect the opinions of those involved in the public debate and refrain from sensationalism, as the Inter-American Court has stated in its jurisprudence, which has highlighted the importance of developing responsible and ethical journalism, since that the media not only inform, but can also suggest, through the way they present the information, the way in which said information should be understood".\textsuperscript{1312}

585. Given the previous considerations, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that "States have the positive obligation to create an environment conducive to freedom of expression and the right to information, among other things promoting the independence and diversity of the media as a fundamental means to promote a solid and open debate on matters of public interest, and adopting norms that guarantee public transparency and the responsibility of public agents".\textsuperscript{1313} Along these lines, the SRFOE recalls that, in accordance with Principle 13 of the IACHR's Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, "the use of State power (...) with the intent to put pressure on and punish or reward and provide privileges to social communicators and communications media because of the opinions they express threaten freedom of expression. Direct or indirect pressures exerted upon journalists or other social communicators to stifle the dissemination of information are incompatible with freedom of expression".\textsuperscript{1314}

586. Regarding the role of public media, this Office recalls the recommendations made in the Joint Declaration of Special Rapporteurs for Freedom of Expression of 2017, particularly with regard to "ensuring the existence of solid, independent public media with sufficient resources, that operate with a clear mandate to promote the general public interest and establish and maintain the highest journalistic standards".\textsuperscript{1315}

587. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also received reports on alleged intimidating acts against the independent press. Thus, for example, in April three unknown individuals stole a laptop from La Prensa Gráfica, which was intended for the work of judicial journalism editor David Bernal. According to the


\textsuperscript{1314} IACHR. October 20, 2000. \textit{Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression}.

Likewise, the SRFOE has learned of reports of attacks on or restrictions on the press that, according to the information available, could be attributed to public security forces. Thus, for example, in March, a photojournalist for \textit{El Diario de Hoy} was reportedly attacked by military and police forces in the Santa Lucia neighborhood of Ilopango, when he was doing his work in a Naval Force operation\textsuperscript{1318}. The security forces violently prevented the reporter from continuing to take photos and forced him to delete the material from his equipment.\textsuperscript{1319} In addition, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information alleging the arbitrary detention of three journalists from \textit{La Prensa Gráfica} by members of the National Civil Police (PCN), the Armed Forces (FAES), and prison custodians, who were covering the construction of a prison in the municipality of San Vicente, on December 13.\textsuperscript{1320} The security agents reportedly forced them to delete all the content they had recorded in photography and video and checked their cell phones\textsuperscript{1321}. On this issue, in its annual monitoring report on the situation of freedom of expression in El Salvador, APES indicated that, in 2022, 31 journalists reportedly suffered violations of their rights due to alleged abuse of power by agents of the PCN and FAES against the press.\textsuperscript{1322}

The State reported that it has the institutional mechanisms to deal with attacks against journalists.\textsuperscript{1323} In this regard, it specified that in cases where there are formal complaints of attacks or threats, an investigation is carried out under the functional direction of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic.\textsuperscript{1324} It also indicated that Salvadoran criminal law contemplates as an aggravating circumstance of criminal responsibility the fact that the crime is committed due to "the humanitarian work of the victim," which corresponds to the promotion and protection of human rights, which may include to journalists.\textsuperscript{1325}

On the other hand, this Office learned of the inspection carried out at the facilities of \textit{La Prensa Gráfica}, on January 7, 2022, by the Minister of Labor, linked to "complaints received by workers for violation of their rights, as reported by the entity publicly."\textsuperscript{1326} In this regard, it indicated that they found "the violation of the rights of more than 400 workers" and that "they will not only defend freedom of expression, but they will also defend the rights of all the workers that make up the different media."\textsuperscript{1327} For his part, the president of the Association of Journalists (APES) asserted that at the moment they had not received complaints from \textit{La Prensa Gráfica} workers related to violations of labor rights, but that they would continue to investigate the
matter. In addition, he warned that the inspection at the facilities of the media "happens after journalistic publications that involve the current Minister of Labor."

591. As stated in Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles of Freedom of Expression, "intimidation and threats (...) to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators and to ensure that victims receive due compensation". The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that this type of attack "seeks to be a tool of intimidation, through which a clear message is sent to all those people from civil society who carry out investigative tasks on irregularities in public administration. This practice seeks that the press, as a control mechanism, remain silent or become an accomplice of those people or institutions that carry out abusive or illegal acts or acts. Ultimately, what is sought is to prevent society from being informed of these events at all costs."

592. Obstructing press coverage at public events represents another challenge in terms of freedom of expression that civil society has reported to the Office of the Special Rapporteur; in addition to the denial of interviews and access to political sources. Fernandez Romero, a reporter for Revista Factum, publicly denounced that journalists from said media outlet and from Noticiero 6 were not allowed to enter the Human Rights Summit of the Office of the Ombudsman for the Defense of Human Rights (PDDH), which took place in San Salvador between August 22 and 24. Also, in October, according to the information available, the San Francisco Gotera Investigating Court prevented the media from entering a hearing on the case of the El Mozote massacre, where five witnesses testified. According to the outlet Gato Encerrado, official sources informed them that the decision would have been made "to avoid re-victimization" of the first five witnesses called.

593. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the transparency and accountability of public powers strengthen democratic systems, and that the guarantee of the right of access to information, on many occasions, is a necessary condition to guarantee the exercise of other rights. When ruling on the restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information in acts or public events, the Inter-American Court has determined that "[w]ith respect to the accreditations or authorizations of the media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive, and disseminate information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that its restriction is legal, pursues a legitimate objective, and is necessary and proportional in relation to the objective sought in a democratic society. Accreditation requirements must be specific, objective, and reasonable, and their implementation transparent."

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

594. In 2022, civil society organizations have reported the deepening of aggravation of democratic erosion and the closure of civic spaces in El Salvador. This situation would be fueled, among other aspects,
by the approval of restrictive laws and legislative reforms on the right to freedom of expression; the alleged opacity of State institutions and public powers; and the criminalization –or threat of criminalization– of speeches that criticize or question government management.\textsuperscript{1338} This situation was reportedly aggravated within the framework of the state of exception maintained by the government of El Salvador since March 2022 and for more than seven months, for reasons related to the increase in crimes and organized crime.\textsuperscript{1339}

595. On March 27, 2022, the Legislative Assembly issued Decree No. 333 "Exception Regime", which suspended, for 30 days, the rights and guarantees established in the Constitution of the Republic related to freedom of association, the right of assembly, protection against arbitrary or abusive interference in private life, judicial guarantees, among others.\textsuperscript{1340} This initiative of the Executive Branch stated that the declaration "derives from the serious disturbances to public order by criminal groups that threaten the life, peace, and security of the Salvadoran population" after an increase in the number of violent deaths since March 25.\textsuperscript{1341} On November 11, 2022, seven months into the start of the exception regime in El Salvador, the IACHR expressed its concern about the persistence of massive and allegedly arbitrary detentions, and the failure to comply with judicial guarantees, and called on the State to implement citizen security actions that guarantee the rights and freedoms that are established in the American Convention on Human Rights and in accordance with inter-American standards.\textsuperscript{1342}

596. In this context, on March 30 and April 5, the Legislative Assembly – at the initiative of the executive branch – approved reforms to the Criminal Code and the Law for the Proscription of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations, and Organizations of a Criminal Nature.\textsuperscript{1343} The Minister of Justice and Security explained that the reform to the Maras Prohibition Law had the purpose of "repressing and dissuading individuals from illegitimately using the right to freedom of expression" in a way that could affect "the order public".\textsuperscript{1344} According to the information available, the reforms were approved the same day they were presented, without further parliamentary deliberation.\textsuperscript{1345} On April 13, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur issued a press release in which it warned about the risks of severe criminalization of legitimate exercises of freedom of expression presented by the criminal reforms, and called on the State to adapt the legislation to the inter-American human rights standards in terms of freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{1346}

597. The IACHR has continuously and closely monitored the complex citizen security situation in El Salvador for years. In 2004, the Commission recognized the right and obligation of the State of El Salvador to ensure public security and stressed that compliance with this duty is not incompatible with respect for human rights.\textsuperscript{1347} Likewise, in the recent country report "Human Rights Situation in El Salvador," the Commission confirmed that the serious phenomenon of violence and insecurity has constituted one of the main issues of concern in the country, and recognized progress in security indices during the period 2019-2020.\textsuperscript{1348} For this Office, the increase in violence is a matter on which the authorities are obligated to take both measures and
precautions so that the institutional deployment does not restrict the exercise of rights of people who carry out legitimate activities in society and of especial significance for democracy.

598. Through the reform of the Criminal Code, prison sanctions of 10 to 15 years are imposed on people who create, facilitate, or manufacture any form of visual expression that "explicitly or implicitly" transmits "messages, signs, denominations, propaganda, or any type of written statement" that "refers to" the different criminal groups or gangs. In the same way, the law criminalizes with the same criminal scale those who, through the use of technology or the media, reproduce and transmit messages or communications "originated or presumed to originate" by criminal groups that could "generate anxiety and panic" in the population. On the other hand, the reform to the Gang Proscription Law expressly prohibits the media from reproducing and transmitting messages originated by criminal groups that could "generate anxiety and panic" in the population. This Office considers that the breadth and vagueness of the terms used to describe prohibited conduct conflict with international standards, which require that any limit to freedom of expression be expressly, exhaustively, and clearly established by law. The aforementioned ambiguity of the wording makes it difficult to distinguish between sanctionable expressions and those that are not. Thus, for example, the criminalization of any type of visual expression that "implicitly" alludes to criminal groups may conflict with what the Inter-American Court has indicated that "in the elaboration of criminal offenses it is necessary to use strict and unequivocal terms that clearly delimit the punishable conduct".

599. According to the State’s criteria, the reforms adopted in both regulatory bodies "are clear and specific" and "none of them leads to a vague interpretation, rather, they send a forceful message to the groups that operate outside the Law on the limits of their actions". On the other hand, it has indicated that it will be up to the judiciary to hear each particular case and carry out the factual and legal assessments to verify if the criminal type requirements are met. In this sense, the State points out that "it is precisely the margin of assessment that allows the judge to evaluate human behavior in the context of compliance or not with the rules of social coexistence". On this point and in relation to the aforementioned vagueness of the punishable conduct, the Office of the Special Rapporteur is concerned about the discretionary margin to interpret, for example, “implicit” messages and analyze whether they violate the norm.

600. The State has indicated that the right to freedom of expression is a constitutionally recognized right, but that it is not absolute in nature, rather it finds limits such as public order. However, this Office recalls that the inter-American system has understood that although public order is a legitimate objective for which subsequent liabilities can be established, it cannot be invoked to suppress a right guaranteed by the American Convention, distort it or deprive it of actual content. On the contrary, “the invocation of public order as a justification for limiting freedom of expression must obey real and objectively verifiable causes, which pose a certain and credible threat of a potentially serious disturbance of the basic conditions for the functioning of democratic institutions”.

---


601. For the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the present reforms, as they were drafted, activate risks of severe criminalization of legitimate activities in society and of special importance for democratic life such as journalism, the defense of human rights, parliamentary activity, academic research, among others. All these activities require guarantees of freedom of expression and as a whole they contribute to ensuring that society is informed about its environment and can freely participate in decisions that impact it. The democratic understanding of matters of general interest -including citizen security- needs legal certainty so that people can freely and informedly participate in matters that concern them as a society without fear of being criminalized.

602. The State informed this Office that the punishable conducts in question “are far from the informative work of the media”. In this sense, the State has indicated that proof of this is that “the media have continued to make publications on a variety of platforms and on social networks on the issue of gangs in El Salvador and there has been no prosecution whatsoever”.

603. Notwithstanding this, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information on alleged attempts to prosecute journalists from El Faro, based on the reforms to the Criminal Code and the Law for the Proscription of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations, and Organizations of a Criminal Nature, for investigating and reporting on criminal matters. According to the information available, a member of the Nuevas Ideas party indicated on social networks that he would submit a formal notice to the Attorney General of the Republic against journalists Óscar Martínez and Gabriela Cáceres for allegedly "replicating messages related to gangs in order to intimidate the population". The message would be related to a report published by El Faro on April 5, 2022, the day the law reforms were approved, which reported irregularities in a criminal investigation against a gang leader. Additionally, this Office was informed that a pro-government representative, when exemplifying behaviors that would fit into the new criminal types, warned that if a book on gangs and criminal groups, "instead of investigating the social phenomenon (...) was a book that disseminates messages (on any platform) from gang members", it would be punishable. In the same way, she mentioned the example that if a book "talked about crimes or the ways in which they were committed", it would also be subject to criminal sanctions.

604. The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that in the public conversation around these criminal reforms, the president suggested similarities with the objectives of legislations to "eradicate Nazism" and to prohibit speech not protected by international law. However, the SRFOE considers that neither in the explanatory statement nor in the articles of these norms are there clear references that allow us to conclude that the speeches that they seek to combat have similarities with those that are not protected by international norms.

---
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law, such as propaganda in favor of war, the advocacy of hatred, or incitement to genocide, at the threshold required by international standards for this.

605. This issue was also addressed at the 185th Period of Sessions, in a hearing on arbitrary detentions and the situation of persons deprived of liberty in the state of exception in El Salvador.\textsuperscript{1367} On that occasion, the organizations requesting the hearing indicated that the criminal reforms implemented within the framework of the State of exception are having self-censorship effects. In this sense, they highlighted that there is fear on the part of citizens of denouncing and exposing ideas about criminal groups or gangs, which may be framed within the expressions criminalized in the reforms to the Criminal Code and the Law for the Prohibition of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations, and Organizations of a Criminal Nature. Likewise, the requesting organizations denounced that there is a recurring official narrative of harassment and discredit against human rights defenders and journalists, due to the work of social control that they carry out within the framework of the State of exception. In this regard, they highlighted that it not only contributes to exacerbating the climate of hostility and intolerance on the part of different sectors of the population against these groups, but also increases the vulnerability and risks of affecting the life and integrity of these people.

606. According to the information available, in April, the Association of Journalists of El Salvador (APES) and the Cristosal organization filed an unconstitutionality lawsuit against the amendments to the Law for the Prohibition of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations, and Organizations of a Criminal Nature and the Criminal Code, alleging substantive and formal reasons.\textsuperscript{1368} In their lawsuit, they asked the Court as a precautionary measure to suspend the effects of the reforms.\textsuperscript{1369} As of the date of the presentation of the annual report, the claim of unconstitutionality has not been admitted by the Constitutional Chamber.

607. The SRFOE emphasizes that a broad, robust and participatory public debate on issues that concern everyone as citizens is an essential element during states of exception. In this regard, this Office has previously indicated that the right to freedom of expression is a necessary condition for "the formation of a public opinion that is informed and aware of its rights, citizen control over public management, and the demand for accountability of state officials".\textsuperscript{1370}

608. On the other hand, in this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur consulted the State on information received regarding the criminal accusation of a citizen for the crime of contempt (desacato), and the arrest of six people for defamation. According to the reports received, on September 2, the Attorney General’s Office filed an indictment for the crime of contempt (desacato) against Luis Alexander Rivas, allegedly related to the publication of a photograph of relatives of the president, from an anonymous Twitter account under the pseudonym “El Comisionado”.\textsuperscript{1371} According to what this Office was able to learn, the publication for which the criminal action was filed against indicated that part of the president’s family was on a beach with a “tremendous security device”, and then insulted the police escorts.\textsuperscript{1372}

609. According to available information, Luis Alexander Rivas was arrested that same day, when he was at his residence and a police operation arrived to take him away. The information received by this Office indicates that in the following days it became known that Rivas was deprived of liberty in the laboratory of the Scientific Technical Division of the National Civil Police, in the San Francisco neighborhood of San Salvador. According to public information, on September 5, the Second Peace Court of San Salvador held the initial hearing against Rivas on the charge of contempt (desacato) "to the detriment of the public Administration and

\textsuperscript{1367} IACHR. October 25, 2022. 185th Period of Sessions. \textit{Audiencia No. 6: Detenciones arbitrarias y situación de personas privadas de la libertad en el Estado de excepción en El Salvador.}

\textsuperscript{1368} La Prensa Gráfica. April 19, 2022. \textit{APES y Cristosal presentan demanda de inconstitucionalidad contra reformas a Código Penal y Ley de Proscripción de Pandillas; Deutsche Welle (DW). April 20, 2022. Periodistas de El Salvador acuden a Corte Suprema ante “mordaza”.}

\textsuperscript{1369} La Prensa Gráfica. April 19, 2022. \textit{APES y Cristosal presentan demanda de inconstitucionalidad contra reformas a Código Penal y Ley de Proscripción de Pandillas; Deutsche Welle (DW). April 20, 2022. Periodistas de El Salvador acuden a Corte Suprema ante “mordaza”.}

\textsuperscript{1370} IACHR. \textit{Inter-American legal framework on the right to freedom of expression, OEA/Ser.L/V/II CIDH/RELE/INF. 2/09. December 30, 2009. Para. 8.}


\textsuperscript{1372} Twitter account of El Comisionado (@_elcomisionado_). August 21, 2022.
the President of the Republic," and decreed alternative measures to parole and a $10,000 dollars bond.1373 According to press information, the case was heard under the rules of the Exception Regime, which would limit certain constitutional guarantees of the detainee.1374

610. However, public information indicates that the Prosecutor's Office issued a second arrest warrant against Rivas, accused of the alleged crime of improper disclosure of personal data or information.1375 In this regard, the Attorney General of the Republic affirmed that "freedom of expression is one thing and an attack on the integrity of people is another" and that "freedom of expression cannot be used to commit crimes".1376

611. The State reported that the different phases of the process in question were carried out “with respect to the right to defense and other procedural prerogatives that the Constitution and the Secondary Law confer”.1377 In particular, they point out that Luis Alexander Rivas was informed of the accusation and of his rights and guarantees in court, at the time of being summoned by the court, on September 2; that he was able to appoint a private lawyer; that he appeared at the initial hearing accompanied by his lawyers, “with which the right to technical and material defense was guaranteed”; and that he was notified of the indictment order.1378 Likewise, they indicated that after the term granted to post a bond had elapsed, without it having been made, it was decided that the accused remain in provisional detention.1379

612. Additionally, the State reported on the investigation and detention of six people for the alleged commission of computer crimes, on September 16.1380 As the State pointed out, preliminary investigations would indicate that these people received money to make "slanderous publications" through the Twitter account “El Comisionado”, from where “the personal information of public figures, individuals and those linked to political parties were defamed and revealed”.1381 The authorities specified that the six detainees will be brought before the courts and will be charged with the crimes of using personal data and improper disclosure of data with personal information, regulated in articles 24 and 26 of the Special Law on Computer Crimes.1382

613. This Office wishes to highlight that both the IACHR and the Inter-American Court have declared that there is a fundamental incompatibility between the "contempt (desacato) laws" and the right to freedom of expression, as provided for in Article 13 of the American Convention on Human Rights. Principle 11 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression establishes that “public officials are subject to greater scrutiny by society. Laws that penalize offensive expressions directed at public officials, generally

known as "desacato laws," restrict freedom of expression and the right to information."¹³⁶³ For the IACHR, the justifications on which contempt (desacato) laws are based - mainly, the protection of public officials against offensive and/or critical expressions, or the protection of public order - are not backed by the American Convention.¹³⁶⁴ In this sense, the Commission and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that the "contempt (desacato) laws" are "in conflict with the conviction that freedom of expression and opinion is the cornerstone of all the liberties on which the United Nations are consecrated" and 'one of the most solid guarantees of modern democracy".¹³⁶⁵

614. This Office has previously indicated that "contempt (desacato) laws" are an illegitimate restriction of freedom of expression, because: (a) they do not respond to a legitimate objective under the American Convention; and (b) are not necessary in a democratic society.¹³⁶⁶ As the IACHR has stated, "the application of 'contempt (desacato) laws' to protect the honor of public officials who act in an official capacity unjustifiably grants them a right to protection that is not available to other members of society. This distinction directly inverts the fundamental principle of a democratic system that makes the government subject to controls, including scrutiny by the citizenry, to prevent or control the abuse of its coercive power. If it is considered that public officials who act in an official capacity are, for all intents and purposes, the government, then it is precisely the right of individuals and citizens to criticize and scrutinize the actions and attitudes of those officials with regard to the public function".¹³⁶⁷

615. On the other hand, in 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to follow up on the reports received on alleged restrictions on the right of access to public information. As indicated in the last annual report, in July 2021, the Executive branch presented to the Legislative Assembly a list of reforms to the law on access to public information that, according to the information reported, would drastically reduce the effectiveness of the current regulations and of the Institute for Access to Public Information (IAIP). This proposal was examined and approved by the Legislation and Constitutional Points Commission on January 31, 2022.¹³⁸⁸ According to public information, the favorable opinion was included in the agenda for February 1 to be submitted to a vote by the plenary session of the Legislative Assembly; However, pro-government legislators requested that this point be withdrawn from the agenda of the plenary session, so that it could return to the Commission on Legislation and Constitutional Points in order to "remain under study".¹³⁸⁹ The SRFOE welcomes this decision and reiterates that the laws on public information must guarantee effective and widest possible access to this right and, in case of contemplating exceptions, these must not become the general rule in practice.¹³⁹⁰ Likewise, the exceptions regime must be interpreted restrictively and any doubts must be resolved in favor of transparency and access.¹³⁹¹

616. Additionally, various civil society organizations have expressed their concern to the Inter-American Commission and its Office of the Special Rapporteur over the alleged lack of independence of the Institute for Access to Public Information (IAIP) of El Salvador, and over measures from the executive branch that would have as an objective to appoint and remove commissioners of the Institute according to political interests.¹³⁹²

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has received reports indicating that there is resistance from the different State bodies in providing public information.1393

617. In this regard, a report by the organization Acción Ciudadana published in August 2022 that evaluated the performance of the IAIP in compliance with its legal obligations, concluded that there has been a decrease in resolutions on access to public information in favor of citizens, particularly evident as of November 2021, the date on which the current configuration of commissioners was consolidated.1394 In this sense, the report indicated that “as of this date, the general tendency of the resolutions is oriented towards a significant reduction of pronouncements in favor of the applicant and a correlative increase in the preliminary rejections of their claims”.1395 As reported, the response levels favorable to access to information would have dropped from 60% to 19% between 2020 and 2021.1396 In addition, the IAIP would have reduced the number of cases it hears and extended the time in which it resolves cases.1397

618. In this context, in October 2022 the IAIP declared itself incompetent to hear an appeal filed by the organization Acción Ciudadana against the refusal of the Supreme Electoral Tribunal to provide information related to the financing of political parties, despite the fact that in 2016 the Institute had recognized that the principle of maximum publicity was applicable to the financial information of the political parties that was in the possession of the entities obligated to comply with the law on access to public information (LAIP).1398 Acción Ciudadana considered it “serious that the IAIP declares itself incompetent to hear this and future cases related to information from political parties, regardless of whether the information is in the possession of entities bound by the LAIP, creating an area exempt from control”.1399

619. The SRFOE was also informed that, in the context of the state of exception, in June the National Civil Police had declared the number of homicides and victims of the crime of disappearance as confidential information, based on a request made by the Gato Encerrado media outlet.1400 The institution would have based its refusal to deliver this information on the fact that it would put national defense and public security at risk, and could cause damage to the prevention, investigation, or prosecution of illegal acts.1401 The same media outlet reported that, in response to a request for information made to the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic (FGR), the institution responded in May 2022 that it could not provide statistical data on complaints related to crimes of homicide, disappearance, rape, sexual assaults and violence against women, corresponding to the period of March and April, since they are “non-existent”.1402

620. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has indicated that the right of access to information is a fundamental requirement to guarantee transparency in government management and on the part of state authorities, and a fundamental tool for citizen control of the functioning of the State and accountability.1403 As the IACHR has interpreted, Article 13 of the American Convention includes the positive obligation of the State
to allow citizens access to the information in its possession. In this regard, Principle 4 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that “[a]ccess to information [...] is a fundamental right of every individual. States have the obligation to guarantee the full exercise of this right”. For its part, the Inter-American Court has established that Article 13 of the American Convention, by expressly stipulating the rights to “seek” and “receive” “information”, protects the right of every person to access information under the control of the State, with the exceptions allowed under the strict regime of restrictions established in said instrument.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

621. In the latest annual reports and in the country report on the human rights situation in El Salvador, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have warned about the specific risks faced by women journalists in the exercise of their profession in the country, due to their status as women. In 2022, this Office continued to receive reports on attacks and threats directed at women journalists, especially on occasions when state authorities make stigmatizing accusations individually against female reporters on social networks.

622. The Office of the Special Rapporteur observes that the attacks, intimidation, and stigmatizing accusations take on a particularly aggressive and differentiated tone when it comes to women, and have the objective of intimidating, delegitimizing, and silencing them. In a report carried out by El Faro, Karen Fernández commented that her decision to leave the program “Focos” was related, among other reasons, to the insults and threats she received from followers of the president and his party via Twitter, after the president questioned and disqualified her work on social networks. Likewise, Mariana Belloso, a journalist specializing in Economics and part of the group of journalists who would have been the target of espionage through Pegasus, recounted in the same report that in recent years she had to seek psychological support due to the intimidation she frequently received on social networks after high-ranking public officials referred to her in a stigmatizing way. The journalist also mentioned that she reported to the Association of Journalists of El Salvador (APES) suspicious monitoring after meeting with journalistic sources on at least two occasions, and surveillance outside her residence. Likewise, she pointed out that in January 2022 she had to go into exile from the country for her and her family's safety. Up to now, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has

---

not registered messages rejecting the threats and intimidation against journalists by the Head of State or high-ranking officials, nor emphatic and forceful calls to stop these practices.

623. Likewise, the organization IM Defensoras warned that the risks surrounding the reports of illegal surveillance of communications against journalists and human rights defenders in El Salvador is especially worrying for women and LGBTQI+ people. As they pointed out in February 2022, in the last year alone, they issued six alerts related to digital violence against women human rights defenders, in which they reported intimidation and stigmatization, death threats against trans women and feminist collectives, digital harassment, and use of data extracted from personal accounts, among others.

624. The State of El Salvador has reported on the adoption of laws that seek to guarantee the rights of women, such as the Comprehensive Special Law for a Life Free from Violence for Women and the Law on Equality, Equity, and Eradication of Discrimination against Women. As indicated by the State, these laws have created public policy tools for the detection, prevention, care, protection, reparation, and punishment of violence against women, also providing a protection framework for women journalists.

625. Likewise, the authorities reported that the Institute for Access to Public Information is working on specific actions aimed at empowering women, with the aim of contributing –from transparency and access to information– to eliminating gender-based inequalities. Some actions carried out include the articulation of the "Network of Women for Transparency and Access to Information", in which various civil society organizations participate; and participation in the project "Incorporation of the gender approach in transparency policies and access to public information in Latin America", carried out by the Transparency and Access to Information Network.

626. This Office reiterates the importance of States adopting positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as women, LGBTQI+ people, or indigenous peoples. The inter-American system grants reinforced protection to those speeches that express constitutive elements of the personal identity or dignity of those who express themselves and, therefore, the Office of the Special Rapporteur invites States to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to tune institutional practices to the reinforced protection of this type of speech.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

627. In 2022, this Office became aware of legal reforms that could have a detrimental impact on the right to freedom of expression and privacy of individuals. In February, the National Assembly of El Salvador approved reforms to the Criminal Procedure Code that would allow the carrying out of "undercover digital operations" in the investigation process of the crimes contained in the Special Law Against Computer and Related Crimes or other content in special criminal laws. According to public information, the new

---

1414 IM Defensoras. February 8, 2022. [Alerta Urgente! EL SALVADOR / Inclusión de la figura del "agente encubierto digital" en el Código Procesal Penal supone grave amenaza para las defensoras de derechos humanos.]

1415 IM Defensoras. February 8, 2022. [Alerta Urgente! EL SALVADOR / Inclusión de la figura del "agente encubierto digital" en el Código Procesal Penal supone grave amenaza para las defensoras de derechos humanos.]


regulaciones would grant powers to the Police to carry out " undercover digital operations", with the authorization of the Attorney General's Office. Likewise, the reform would authorize the Police to "carry out the search through the Information and Communication Technologies of an open or public nature, including Internet pages, and of digital evidence that constitutes the computerized punishable act or that can serve as evidence for the investigation", through computer programs that allow them to identify the unique identifying values of such digital evidence, or through the search or free inquiry in such virtual computer spaces".  

628. These reforms were criticized by civil society and human rights organizations, who pointed out that they could lead to "abuse and arbitrariness" by the Prosecutor's Office and the Police, including spying on journalists and activists. In this regard, from the Journalist Protection Board, made up of various civil society organizations and the Human Rights Ombudsman, they questioned that their positions were not taken into account, despite the fact that journalists would be directly affected by the reform.  

629. On the other hand, the president of the Security Commission of the Legislative Assembly assured that it is a matter that, "in the face of such a high number of complaints", requires attention and prompt action. In this sense, he stated that "the figure of digital undercover agent is a procedure that has always been used with the aim of seizing evidence and making it available to the authorities", therefore "there is no persecution or espionage, only the fight against crime".  

630. In the framework of the 183° Period of Sessions of the IACHR, when consulted on this matter, the representation of the State highlighted that the figure of the undercover agent already existed in the Code of Criminal Procedure, and that the reform in question consisted of transferring said figure to digital operations, exclusively for the use of investigative techniques. He also pointed out that it is not a provision that can be used for espionage.  

631. On the other hand, this Office has learned of reports on the existence of networks of coordinated inauthentic behavior (also known as "troll farms") and of strategies for manipulating public debate in El Salvador, allegedly linked to the presidential office. According to the information received, the government hired people to form part of "troll farms" in charge of manipulating political discourse in El Salvador. Part of their job would consist of amplifying messages from the president, creating fictitious followers of the head of state on social networks so that they praise his policies, and insulting and ridiculing people perceived as critical or hostile to the government administration and the president –even presenting complaints about their posts on the platforms, with the aim of getting their accounts closed-. The Reuters news agency published a report about how the government uses "troll farms" to spread false information and attack critics of President Bukele.

---

1423 Swiss Info. February 1, 2022. HRW señala preocupación por reforma a ley salvadoreña de delitos informáticos: La Prensa Gráfica. February 12, 2022. Asamblea abre la puerta al espionaje a ciudadanos con "agentes encubiertos digitales".  
1428 IACHR. March 16, 2022. 183° Period of Sessions. Audiencia No. 11 La situación de los derechos humanos en el contexto de la vigilancia cibernética en El Salvador.  
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a special report on the subject, in which it claimed to have spoken to three people who were employed by the government to work on troll farms.\textsuperscript{1433} In this regard, the outlet pointed out that two of these employees claimed to have signed confidentiality agreements.\textsuperscript{1434} It also specified that the contract to which they had access provided that the people hired could be subject to prosecution and a prison sentence of up to six years if they spoke to the press or with other political parties about their work.\textsuperscript{1435}

632. Along these lines, in April of this year, the company Meta reported that it had removed 233 Facebook accounts, 84 pages, two groups, and 27 Instagram accounts for violating the policy against inauthentic behavior coordinated in El Salvador and Costa Rica.\textsuperscript{1436} According to the company, the people behind this activity used fake accounts to manage pages that posed as media outlets, posted memes, commented on their own and other people’s content, tried to attract people to domains outside of the platform, and amplified the content of pages of politicians and local companies.\textsuperscript{1437} The Meta report also specified that the messages posted by the network were directed at “both sides of the political spectrum, including in support of competing candidates,” and that some of the accounts had profiles probably generated through artificial intelligence techniques.\textsuperscript{1438}

633. Faced with the aforementioned facts, this Office recalls that, as stated in the Joint Declaration of Special Rapporteurs of 2021, States have the obligation to “not participate in or finance coordinated inauthentic behaviors or other online influence operations that aim to influence the opinions or attitudes of the public or a section of the public for partisan political purposes”.\textsuperscript{1439}

634. Finally, in terms of connectivity and digital affordability, this Office was informed of the approval, by the Legislative Assembly, of the financing for the execution of the Social Digital Connectivity Program, promoted by the executive branch.\textsuperscript{1440} The legislative body stressed its commitment to reduce the digital divide and improve access to connectivity in the country, and reported that the program will be executed by the Secretary of Innovation of the Presidency of the Republic and that it aims to train teachers, students, and medical staff to improve their digital skills.\textsuperscript{1441}

635. The State of El Salvador has informed this Office about the “Links to Education” program, which aims to “close the digital divide in the public education system and achieve digital inclusion in all sectors of the country”.\textsuperscript{1442} For this, according to what they indicated, the program provides for the delivery of laptops for public school students.\textsuperscript{1443} The authorities specified that, as of July 2022, a total of approximately 1,068,000 technological equipment would have been delivered nationwide.\textsuperscript{1444}
636. The SRFOE has pointed out that the digital divide reinforces the pre-existing inequalities suffered by groups of people in situations of vulnerability. In this sense, the Office encourages the State to continue taking actions to promote, progressively, universal access to the Internet infrastructure and the necessary technology for the use and benefit of citizens.\textsuperscript{1445}

UNITED STATES

637. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered an improvement in the number of incidents against the media in the country compared to the two years prior. However, this Office continued to observe aggressions against journalists, particularly in the framework of social protest; the majority of the aggressions documented by this Office this year took place in the context of protests over the overturning of Roe v. Wade and the demonstrations in Puerto Rico over the power outages on the island. Over the course of the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the murder of at least two American journalists: Brent Renaud, who lost his life making a documentary about refugees in Ukraine, and Jeff German, fatally attacked for his investigations into an elected official in the state of Nevada. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was also informed of a knife attack on writer Salman Rushdie in the state of New York, leaving him with severe health problems. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the conviction of close to 10 individuals for attacking members of the media during the riot on January 6, 2021. This Officer urges the State to continue with the corresponding investigations to punish those responsible for the attacks on at least 15 more journalists on that day. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered with concern the increase in book censorship in the country, particularly in schools and public libraries. Likewise, this Office learned of legislative initiatives seeking to limit teaching and discussion of issues like sexual orientation, gender identity, and structural racism. Lastly, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of incidents of disinformation during the midterm elections, documenting alleged failures by some social media networks to flag misleading posts. In this context, this Office was also informed of an increase in hate speech on Twitter and the reinstatement of suspended accounts after the company was acquired by multibillionaire Elon Musk. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of the United States to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of "Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism" (GALP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

638. The Office of the Special Rapporteur observed a substantial decline in the number of incidents against the media in the United States. As of the date of the drafting of this annual report, and according to figures reported by the U.S. Press Freedom Tracker, there were 12 arrests of journalists; 37 assaults on members of the media; 3 chilling statements; 10 incidents of denial of access; 8 incidents of equipment damage; 5 incidents of equipment search or seizure; 2 incidents of prior restraint; and 23 subpoenas or legal orders. In total, at least 111 incidents against journalists and the media were reported.1446 That is compared to at least 313 incidents in 2021 and 1,006 in 2020.1447 The Inter-American Press Association (IAPA) agreed with this diagnosis, finding that, in general, "on-the-job arrests and attacks on journalists are down this year from 2021 and 2020."1448

639. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented the murder of an American journalist for reasons that could be related to his work. According to the information received, on September 3, 2022, investigative journalist Jeff German of the Las Vegas Review-Journal was stabbed to death in front of his home.1449 A local Clark County elected official was reportedly charged with the murder after authorities found his DNA at the scene.1450 According to public information, German had published a story detailing allegations of mismanagement and hostility in the office run by the alleged murderer; the elected official was arrested and charged by the authorities. He was not granted bail.1451 German’s more than two decades of journalism work was recognized for exposing irregularities and incidents of corruption in Las Vegas. According to public

---

1449 CNN. September 9, 2022. Slain Las Vegas reporter took on mobsters and corruption in an ink-stained life; USA Today.
1450 CNN. September 9, 2022. Slain Las Vegas reporter took on mobsters and corruption in an ink-stained life; USA Today.
1451 CNN. September 9, 2022. Slain Las Vegas reporter took on mobsters and corruption in an ink-stained life; USA Today.
information, German was the ninth journalist based in the United States to be assassinated in the course of his work in the last three decades.\(^{1452}\)

640. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also documented violence against American journalists in the context of the Russian invasion of Ukraine. In this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the murder of American journalist Brent Renaud in March 2022. According to the information available, the journalist was murdered by Russian soldiers in the Ukrainian city of Irpin.\(^{1453}\) The Office of the Special Rapporteur also learned that a Colombian-American journalist, Juan Arredondo, was injured in the fighting.\(^{1454}\) Both journalists were victims of an armed attack as they traveled in an automobile from Kiev to Irpin after passing a checkpoint. They were on their way to film civilians fleeing the combat. According to public information, Renaud was the first foreign journalist killed in Russia’s offensive in Ukraine.\(^{1455}\)

641. In mid-August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that writer Salman Rushdie was attacked with a knife as he was preparing to give a talk at an institution in the southeastern part of the state of New York According to the information received by this Office, on August 12, a 24-year-old man ran to the stage where Rushdie was and stabbed him. The writer's agent stated at the end of October that as a result of his injuries, the writer had lost vision in one eye and mobility in one hand.\(^{1456}\) Rushdie has been persecuted by Islamic fundamentalists for more than three decades over his novel *The Satanic Verses*, published in 1988. The novelist was forced to hide for more than 10 years and sought refuge in the United States following the issuing of a decree in Iran in 1989 offering a million-dollar reward for his head.\(^{1457}\) The perpetrator of this attack on Rushdie was captured by authorities at the scene of the facts and turned him over to the justice system. He was charged with attempted murder and assault and was denied bail.\(^{1458}\)

642. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that acts of violence against journalists is the most extreme form of censorship and has a threefold effect: They violate the right of victims to express and disseminate their ideas, opinions and information; they have a chilling and silencing effect on their peers; and they violate the right of citizens and society in general to seek and receive information and ideas of any kind. Their consequences for democracy—which depends on the free, open and dynamic exchange of ideas and information—are particularly serious.\(^{1459}\)

643. Despite the fact that, according to international organizations, attacks on journalists decreased in the country in 2022, they still occurred, especially during coverage of protests.\(^{1460}\)

644. During the protests triggered by the decision of the Supreme Court to overturn *Roe v. Wade* (1973), the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented at least nine attacks on members of the media.\(^{1461}\) According to the information received by this Office, eight of these attacks took place on June 24, 2022, in the city of Los Angeles, California. The reports received indicate that reporter Lexis-Olivier Ray, with news site *L.A. Taco*, was repeatedly shoved by police officers while documenting the dispersal of a group of demonstrators; Ray and his

---


colleague Joey Scott reportedly identified themselves as journalists and carried proper identification. However, they were repressed by the agents in question.\textsuperscript{1462} Likewise, the reports received indicate that, through a technique known as kettling, in which the police corral a crowd before making mass arrests, independent videographer Sean Beckner-Carmitchel was shoved and detained for 30 to 45 minutes.\textsuperscript{1463} Additionally, reporter Samuel Braslow from the \textit{Beverly Hills Courier} was reportedly shoved by police officers.\textsuperscript{1464} Minutes earlier, freelance journalist Tina-Desiree Berg of \textit{Status Coup News} was also reportedly pushed and knocked violently to the ground by an officer; Berg showed the officer her press credentials, which hung around her neck, when she got up from the ground.\textsuperscript{1465} The information received also indicates that independent photojournalist Jake Lee Green was attacked while photographing demonstrations. The photojournalist wore a number of insignias identifying him as media during the coverage, and while he was recording with his camera mounted on his shoulder, he was struck in the ribs with a nightstick.\textsuperscript{1466} Another freelance videographer, Vishan Singh, was reportedly temporarily detained and assaulted by police officers while covering the demonstrations. The videographer reportedly claimed to have observed police officers armed with crowd control weapons.\textsuperscript{1467} Finally, this Office recorded that a director of photography for documentary and feature film projects, who did not reveal her full name, was detained after accompanying her colleague Berg when she was violently knocked to the ground.\textsuperscript{1468}

\textbf{645.} Additionally, in Portland, Oregon, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of a ninth communicator who was attacked while reporting on the protests triggered by the overturning of \textit{Roe v. Wade} (1973). Information received by this Office indicates that on June 24, 2022, independent video journalist Mason Lake was attacked by a group of people dressed completely in black. Lake, who was wearing a vest labeled “press,” was reportedly knocked down when these persons pushed him from behind, causing him to drop the phone with which he was streaming the protests. After getting up and grabbing his device, the video journalist was threatened by the subjects, who told him to leave the event.\textsuperscript{1469}

\textbf{646.} Additionally, according to information published by the Press Freedom Tracker, three journalists were arrested on June 25 in Phoenix, Arizona, while they were covering protests over reproductive rights around the state capital.\textsuperscript{1470} The information reported indicated that photojournalist Alberto Mariani and his colleagues Joel Ángel Jáurez and Antranik Taivtian were detained by authorities after some demonstrators knocked down some fences near the state capital, triggering the authorities guarding the site to take repressive actions.\textsuperscript{1471} A spokesperson for the police said the journalists were in a restricted area, but that once they identified themselves as journalists with their credentials, they were released without incident.\textsuperscript{1472} The Press Freedom Tracker also reported that photographer Jack Sorgi, with \textit{LLN Arizona}, had also been detained by Arizona state troopers.\textsuperscript{1473} According to Sorgi, the state troopers had announced that the gathering of demonstrators around the capital in Phoenix was an illegal assembly, and the troopers therefore moved to repress those present. The photographer, who was wearing a T-shirt with the word “media” on the front and back, reportedly said he was a journalist, to which an officer reportedly replied “I don’t care what your pass
In the framework of protests in Puerto Rico toward the end of August 2022 over constant power outages and increases in energy costs, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of at least three instances in which journalists were attacked while reporting. On August 25, this Office learned that photojournalist Juan R. Costa, with NotiCel, had been attacked by multiple police officers. Initially, a police officer sprayed demonstrators and a group of reporters with pepper spray. Although only Costa’s camera was covered with the chemical irritant, it also landed on another independent journalist present at the scene: Carlos Edil Berrios Polanco. Berrios Polanco was sprayed with the gas even though he was wearing a helmet with the word "press" on it and had his journalism credential hung around his neck. According to public information, immediately afterward, another police officer struck Costa, after which two officers told him to move, even after he showed his press credentials. Lastly, according to the information available, a third assault took place, in this case of reporter Diego Berríos with student website Pulso Estudiantil. Like the other journalists present, a group of officers approached him to get him to leave the area. Although he retreated, the student reporter said he was shoved and hit in the arm with a nightstick, with the result that the telephone he was using to record the incidents was partially broken after falling to the ground. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that as a result of these facts, the Puerto Rican police committed to investigating the attacks on the media reported, and the head of the police apologized to one of the journalists assaulted.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that States have a duty to adopt the measures necessary to prevent acts of violence, guaranteeing the safety of persons and public order. However, when using force in these contexts, States must adopt proportional measures to achieve these objectives and not arbitrarily block the exercise of the rights in play during protests. In this sense, this Office recalls that communicating must not be detained for doing their work, nor should they be harassed or attacked by security forces. On the contrary, security forces have a duty to protect them when they are the victims of acts of violence at the hands of third parties. Their equipment and materials cannot be confiscated, seized, or destroyed.

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, in contexts of social protest, States must refrain from carrying out mass, collective, or indiscriminate arrests.

In general and given the importance of the work done by journalists who cover social protests, this Office recalls that the State must afford them the highest degree of protection in order for them to perform their duties. This obligation is not limited to granting specific protective measures to journalists; it also includes the duty to create the necessary conditions to mitigate the risks of practicing their profession in such situations.

---

1484 OAS, UN. Joint declaration on violence against journalists and media workers in the context of protests. 2013.
650. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that journalists who traveled en masse to Uvalde, Texas, to cover the latest mass school shooting toward the end of May faced repeated incidents of interference, intimidation, and obstruction by authorities and members of the community. This Office received reports indicating that the authorities had blocked access to and the flow of information on the tragedy by threatening to arrest a group of journalists in the school district office for "trespassing" on public property. According to reports, the authorities parked their official vehicles in front of journalists' cameras to block them from taking photographs or recording footage. This Office was also informed that unidentified motorcyclists had harassed photographers and reporters, claiming that the police had supposedly asked them for help in this way. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was also informed that the local police had refused for several days to share information with journalists on what had taken place.

651. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that authorities must guarantee journalists the highest degree of protection. Likewise, this Office reiterates that States must not respond to crisis situations by placing additional restrictions on freedom of expression, except where strictly justified by the situation and international human rights law.

652. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented progress on the prosecutions brought against those who attacked journalists during the taking of the capital of the United States on January 6, 2021. This Office learned that at least 18 journalists had been attacked during the riots that broke out that day. This Office also documented dozens of thousands of dollars in news equipment damaged as a result of the attacks on the media. As of the closing date of this annual report, the Justice Department had indicted nearly 900 people for illegal activities at the Capitol in January 2021, 10 of who directly attacked a member of the media or destroyed some of their equipment.

653. Specifically, this Office received reports of charges brought over the attacks on three journalists: John Minchillo, an AP photojournalist; Erin Schaff, a New York Times photographer; and a Reuters cameraperson whose name is unknown.

654. However, the information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur indicates that charges have not yet been brought over the attacks on at least 15 other journalists: Amanda Andrade-Rhodes of The Washington Post; Douglas Christian of The Independent; Lisa Desjardins, a correspondent for PBS NewsHour; Nate Gowdy of The Independent; John Harrington of The Independent; Anymm Ismail, a reporter for Slate; Vincent Jolly, reporter for Le Figaro; Chris Jones, photojournalist for 100 Days in Appalachia; Christopher Lee of Time Magazine; Ronnie McCray, CNN photojournalist; Christopher Morris, freelance journalist; Chris Olson, VICE News cameraman; Manu Raju, senior congressional correspondent for CNN; Ben Solomon, international

---

1490 OAS, UN, OSCE, ACHPR. International Rapporteurs urge stronger measures of protection for journalists who cover conflicts, 2014.
1491 OAS, UN, OSCE, ACHPR. Joint Declaration on Freedom of Expression and Responses to Conflict Situations. 2015. Part 2.b.
correspondent for *Vice News*; and an unidentified photojournalist for *FOX 5 DC*. Four of these journalists—Jolly, Jones, Olson, and Schaff—also reported physical damage to their journalism equipment.

655. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that violence against journalists compromises the rights to personal integrity, life, and freedom of thought and expression. Likewise, the lack of due diligence in the investigation, pursuit and punishment of all those responsible can result in an additional violation to the rights to access to justice and judicial guarantees of those affected and their family members.1496

B. Freedom of expression, the Rule of Law and democratic institutions

656. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of reports of legal harassment against media outlets for doing their reporting work. For example, the Rapporteurship was informed of a defamation lawsuit filed by former President Donald J. Trump against US network *CNN* at the beginning of October 2022.1497 The lawsuit was filed in a district court in Fort Lauderdale. According to public information, the former president was seeking punitive damages of $475 million for "a series of ever-more scandalous, false, and defamatory labels of 'racist,' 'Russian lackey,' ‘insurrectionist,’ and ultimately ‘Hitler.’"1498 The lawsuit filed accused the television network of "defam[ing] the plaintiff in the minds of its viewers and readers for the purpose of defeating him politically."1499 In a press release available publicly, the former president also said he planned to file more lawsuits against other "Fake News Media Companies" for the use of the term "The Big Lie" to refer to his questioning of the integrity of the November 2020 presidential elections and their results.1500

657. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that political and public figures must be more exposed—not less exposed—to public scrutiny and criticism. The need for an open and broad debate, which is crucial for a democratic society, must necessarily encompass the people who participate in devising or implementing public policy. Because these persons are at the center of public debate and knowingly expose themselves to public scrutiny, they must show greater tolerance for criticism.1501

658. Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating an increase in censorship in the United States, mainly in schools. According to public records, between July 2021 and June 2022, the availability of books in school libraries in the United States was reduced significantly due to increasing bans on literary works because of their content. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn that during this time period, at least 2,532 books were banned, including 1,648 individual works.1502 The analyses conducted by civil society organizations and media outlets find that the vast majority of books removed had to do with LGBTQI+ persons and subject matters, the fight against racism, sexual and reproductive rights, sex education, and some perspectives on social activism. According to a report by PEN America, such measures have been documented in 138 of the approximately 13,000 school districts and in 32 out of 50 states. This has affected access to information for close to 4 million of the approximately 56 million students in more than 5,000 of the approximately 130,000 schools, according to the information available.1503 Additionally, such measures affected books by more than 1,261 authors, 290 illustrators, and 18 translators.

---

1501 IACHR. Report on the Compatibility of "Desacato" laws with the ACHR. 1995. Section IV.C
between 2021 and 2022. According to the data reported, from July 2022 to the present day, there have been at least 139 additional bans.\textsuperscript{1504}

659. In addition to the censorship reported by PEN America, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also registered the preliminary data provided by the American Library Association (ALA). According to the ALA, the number of attempts to prohibit or restrict certain books in schools, universities, and public libraries in 2022 was on the way to surpassing the 2021 record that, for its part, had been the highest rate of censorship since the ALA began collecting the data more than two decades ago.\textsuperscript{1505} The information received by this Office indicates that between January 1 and August 31, 2022, there have been at least 681 documented attempts to prohibit or restrict the circulation of 1651 titles. In 2021, there were a total of 729 attempts targeting 1,597 books.\textsuperscript{1506} It is important to note that according to public information, more than 70% of the attempts at censorship are aimed at multiple titles, which is a new practice given that before, the complaints filed sought to suppress a single book at a time.\textsuperscript{1507} The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that based on the methodology used by PEN America and the ALA, according to which the tally of instances of censorship follows the complaints reported in the media and by libraries, the number of attempts at prohibiting or limiting the circulation of certain books could be undercounted.

660. Additionally, with respect to the aforementioned reports on book bans, in its 2021 annual report, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented a series of proposals and bills passing the legislation attempting to prohibit the circulation of critical perspectives in the teaching of issues related to racism and gender identity in primary school.\textsuperscript{1508} This Office notes that such restrictions originated mainly in complaints from fathers, mothers, and educational communities, as well as administrative decisions or demands from legislators or other public officials, based mainly on the guarantee of nondiscrimination against racial and religious majorities in the United States.\textsuperscript{1509}

661. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have held on multiple occasions, freedom of expression is a right that is essential for the exercise of other rights, including the rights to education, access to culture, religious freedom, freedom to assemble peaceably, and freedom of association, among other rights.\textsuperscript{1510} Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has held that “the lack of freedom of expression is a cause that contributes to the disregard of other human rights” and, therefore, “the preservation of freedom of expression is a necessary condition for the peaceful and free functioning of democratic societies in the Americas.”\textsuperscript{1511}

662. Article XII of the American Declaration on the Rights and Duties of Man establishes that “Every person has the right to an education, which should be based on the principles of liberty, morality and human solidarity,” understood by inter-American case law as values that are essential for preserving pluralism and tolerance, the basic pillars of democratic societies. It also holds that “Every person has the right to profess a religious faith, and to manifest and practice it both in public and in private.”\textsuperscript{1512} The Declaration also provides that “everyone has the duty to support, nourish, educate and protect his minor children (...)”.\textsuperscript{1513} In this regard, States have a duty to establish the conditions necessary for the existence of true public debate—that considers the diversity of society—on matters that concern all citizens and takes into account the range and plurality of voices in order for the tensions between rights and disputes over interests in a society to be handled openly.


\textsuperscript{1505} American Library Association (ALA). September 16, 2022. \textit{American Library Association Releases Preliminary Data on 2022 Book Bans}.

\textsuperscript{1506} American Library Association (ALA). September 16, 2022. \textit{Book ban efforts surging in 2022, library association says}.

\textsuperscript{1507} American Library Association (ALA). September 16, 2022. \textit{Book ban efforts surging in 2022, library association says}.

\textsuperscript{1508} IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. \textit{The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust deliberation in learning spaces and academic environments}.

\textsuperscript{1509} IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. \textit{The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust deliberation in learning spaces and academic environments}.

\textsuperscript{1510} Organization of American States. \textit{The American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man}.

\textsuperscript{1511} Organization of American States. \textit{The American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man}.
peacefully, and with respect for human rights. In the words of the IACHR, "full and free discussion prevents a society from paralyzing and prepares it for the tensions and frictions that destroy civilizations. A free society, today and tomorrow, is one that can openly engage in rigorous public debate about itself."\(^{1514}\) For this Office, rather than providing space for a broad and robust debate on relevant matters that can be discussed by different persons or groups of persons, measures aimed at banning books in schools essentially ban any possibility of democratic deliberation. In this regard, the Inter-American Court has stated that the "radical suppression of freedom of expression" takes place "when the public authorities establish means to prevent the free flow of information, ideas, opinions or news".\(^{1515}\) In this regard, the Court has specified that an example of this is the prohibition of publications "and, in general, all those procedures that condition the expression or dissemination of information to government control"\(^{1516}\). In such cases, for the Court, "there is a radical violation both of the right of each person to express himself and of the right of all to be well informed, so that one of the basic conditions of a democratic society is affected"\(^{1517}\). According to the Court, "any act of public authority that implies a restriction on the right to seek, receive and impart information and ideas, to a greater extent or by means other than those Authorized by the Convention itself" implies a restriction on the right to freedom of expression, "regardless of whether or not such restrictions benefit the government"\(^{1518}\).

664. During the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to follow the judicial process brought against the founder of WikiLeaks, Julian Assange. In June 2022, this Office learned that, after consideration by both the magistrates' court and the high court, and with the authorization of the UK Home Office, Assange was ordered extradited to the United States.\(^{1520}\) According to public information, the Home Office emphasized that the UK courts have not found Assange's extradition to be incompatible with his human rights. According to what this Office has been able to ascertain, the WikiLeaks founder was charged in the United States with 18 criminal charges for having published thousands of classified files and diplomatic cables in 2010. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that if found guilty, Assange could face up to 175 years in prison.\(^{1521}\) At the end of August, this Office was informed that Assange's lawyers had filed an appeal challenging his extradition.\(^{1522}\) Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that at least five media outlets — *The Guardian*, *Le Monde*, *The New York Times*, *El País*, and *Der Spiegel*—had sent an open letter to the United States government. In the letter, they argued that the charges against Assange would set a "dangerous precedent" and could threaten to "undermine America's First Amendment and the freedom of the press."\(^{1523}\)

\(^{1514}\) IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. *The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust deliberation in learning spaces and academic environments.*


\(^{1519}\) IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. *The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust deliberation in learning spaces and academic environments.*


C. Freedom of expression and the struggle against discrimination and exclusion

665. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur documented the proliferation and enactment of laws that, according to public information, seek to limit teaching and discussion of issues related to sexual orientation, gender identity, and racism in academic spaces. This Office received multiple reports of bills that would restrict curricula, books, and discussion of the subjects in classrooms in Florida, Ohio, Alabama, Georgia, Tennessee, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Indiana, among other states. 1524

666. In the state of Florida, this Office learned that the State Senate passed a bill called the Parental Rights in Education Act, called the 'Don't Say Gay' law by its critics. According to the text of the law, it bans "classroom instruction by school personnel or third parties on sexual orientation or gender identity" from kindergarten through third grade. 1525 The initiative was signed by the governor of Florida on March 28, 2022, and entered into force on July 1, 2022, according to public information. 1526 At the signing ceremony, the governor said "We will make sure that parents can send their kids to school to get an education, not an indoctrination." 1527 According to what as this Office was able to ascertain, the law sparked numerous criticisms in the state and the country since, according to its detractors, it will silence LGBTI students, affect their mental health, and violate their freedom of expression. 1528

667. Following the passage of the "Don't Say Gay" law in Florida, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of a similar legislative initiative in the state of Ohio submitted in April. According to the information received by this Office, a group of Republican legislators submitted a bill that would change the ban on addressing issues related to sexual orientation and gender identity to include additional bands on related to racism. 1529 The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the initiative would explicitly ban "divisive or inherently racist concepts," including "critical race theory, intersectional theory, the 1619 project, diversity, equity, and inclusion learning outcomes and inherited racial guilt." 1530 Like the law passed in Florida, the bill in Ohio would ban all instruction from kindergarten to third grade. In fourth grade through twelfth, instruction on these topics is banned if presented in "any manner that is not age-appropriate or developmentally appropriate for students in accordance with state standards." 1531

668. Weeks after passage of the "Don't Say Gay" law in Florida, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a new legislative initiative: the Stop the Wrongs to Our Kids and Employees (WOKE) Act, also known as the Stop WOKE Act. 1532 According to public information, the law was supported by the governor of Florida to stop "corporate wokeness" and "critical race theory." 1533 In a statement released in April, the governor said the law would not let "the far-left woke agenda take over our schools and workplaces," adding that "There is no place for indoctrination or discrimination in Florida." 1534 The law restricts the teaching of racial and gender issues in educational institutions and businesses, primarily in instances where it is taught that the "moral character or an individual's status as privileged or oppressed is determined by their race, color,  

---


sex, or national origin.”  

In mid November 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that a federal judge had blocked part of the legislation that prevented university professors from teaching on race and gender. In his sentence, the judge found that, “The First Amendment does not permit the State of Florida to muzzle its university professors, impose its own orthodoxy of viewpoints, and cast us all into the dark.”

669. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that censuring debate on controversial issues fails to address prevalent structural inequalities and prejudices that affect LGBTI persons in the Americas. Instead, rather than restricting such debates, States should in principle foster preventative and educational mechanisms and promote broader and deeper debates as a means of exposing and combating negative stereotypes. Additionally, this Office reiterates that it is especially important for States to take action to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression by LGBTI persons and empower those who are impacted and made invisible.

670. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls States’ duty to guarantee the right to freedom of expression also implies contributing to the formation of a citizenry that “is willing to discuss with others the reasons that enable it to support a theory or make a decision.” Making it difficult to discuss issues of public relevance in academic environments, which par excellence shape citizens and prepare children for life in society, undermines the potential of education to develop the values of understanding, solidarity, respect, and responsibility.

671. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also underscores that education provides a pathway for transmitting messages that eradicate prejudices as well as a way of building more inclusive and tolerant societies. As indicated in a report by the Office of the Special Rapporteur on Economic, Social, Cultural and Environmental Rights (ESCR), States are called upon to guarantee the preservation of distinctive forms of expression of groups that have historically experienced discrimination and exclusion from the public debate, thereby promoting the historical memory of these persons and contributing to tolerance and respect in society.

672. In its report on “Children, freedom of expression and the media”, the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship warned that this type of initiative on comprehensive sex education for children “is a matter of increasing importance and debate.” In this regard, the Commission and its SR emphasized that all public policies must always maintain “the best interests of children and adolescents as the axis.” In this regard, General Comment 14 of the Committee on the Rights of the Child “on the right of the child to have his or her best interests a primary consideration” states that “whenever a decision has to be made affecting a specific child, a specific group of children or children in general, the decision-making process (by judicial or administrative authorities) should include an assessment of the potential impact (positive or negative) of the decision on the child or children concerned.” The Comment also warns that the best interests of the child is
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1539 IACHR. Hate Speech and Incitement to Violence against LGBTI Persons in the Americas 2015. Para. 22.
1540 IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust de-liberation in learning spaces and academic environments.
1541 IACHR. October 20, 2022. Press Release 235/22. The SRFOE calls on the authorities of the United States to generate the conditions for a broad, plural, and robust de-liberation in learning spaces and academic environments.
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a principle that must be interpreted in a way that respects complementary roles with other rights, such as the child’s right to be heard.\textsuperscript{1546}

673. Likewise, the Rapporteurship recalls that international human rights standards establish that “that the exercise of the rights of the child is progressive by virtues of the evolving capacities of the child and that parents, family members, and other persons responsible for the child have a responsibility to provide appropriate direction and guidance in the exercise by the child of those rights”\textsuperscript{1547}. The principle of progressive autonomy is based on the fact that children “have the right progressively to develop the autonomous exercise of their rights, moving beyond the traditional argument that the parents have powers over their children because the latter lack capacity and autonomy.”\textsuperscript{1548} In its report “Fulfillment of Children’s Rights”, the Inter-American Commission emphasized that “the objective of protection of children and adolescents and of their rights should be viewed broadly and as a continuum; it should be understood not only as protection against infringements of rights, but also as a set of prevention strategies designed to stop such violations from occurring; the interaction of rights must also be considered”.\textsuperscript{1549}

674. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates what has been indicated by the Inter-American Court and Commission that “the principle of the best interests of the child cannot be used in an attempt to justify decisions that discriminate against other persons and their rights, and that are based on nothing more than social stereotypes, preconceptions, and prejudices regarding certain behaviors or groups of people”.\textsuperscript{1550}

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

675. As this is reported in its 2021 annual report, former president Donald Trump was suspended from his social media accounts, including Facebook and Twitter, after the Capitol riots on January 6, 2021.\textsuperscript{1551} One year after the suspensions were issued, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the former president had launched his own social network: Truth Social.\textsuperscript{1552} According to public information, the new social network advertised itself as “free from political discrimination.” The information received by this Office indicates that the app topped the App Store’s most-downloaded list, but had errors and bugs.\textsuperscript{1553} The new social network is part of a series of new platforms—including GETTR, Parler, and Gab—claiming to protect free speech by lowering the level of content moderation.\textsuperscript{1554}

676. Toward the end of November 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the decision by the new owner of Twitter, Elon Musk, to reimage former President Trump in the social network, lifting the permanent ban put in place on January 8, 2021.\textsuperscript{1555} Through his Twitter account, the new owner of the social network issued a poll asking if the former president should be reinstated; more than 15 million people participated, and the option favoring Trump’s return won. For his part, the former president said he had no interest in returning to twitter because he had his own social network: Truth Social.\textsuperscript{1556}

\textsuperscript{1546} United Nations. Committee on the Rights of the Child. General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration [Article 3, para. 1]: May 29, 2013. P. 4.
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677. In addition to reinstating former president Trump, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was also informed of Elon Musk’s decision to reinstate at least 10 additional accounts that had been suspended for violating the platform’s terms of service.\textsuperscript{1557} Initially, Musk had stated through his Twitter account that he would set up a moderation counsel with diverse points of view to evaluate such measures;\textsuperscript{1558} However, the new owner of the company made the decision to reinstate the accounts of Republican representative Marjorie Taylor-Greene, who was suspended for promoting misinformation about COVID-19 in January 2022; Project Veritas, an organization that shared private information on a senior Facebook employee; Alex Lorusso, an influencer suspended for impersonation; James Lindsay, permanently suspended for hate speech against LGBT persons; Andrew Tate, suspended for comments against victims of sexual violence; Juanita Broadrick, suspended for spreading misinformation about the pandemic; Savannah Hernandez, suspended for her coverage of alleged fraud in the 2020 presidential election; and Meghan Murphy, suspended for her comments against transgender persons. On November 24, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of Musk’s decision to offer general amnesty to all suspended accounts after having unilaterally reinstated at least a dozen of them.\textsuperscript{1559}

678. The purchase of Twitter by multibillionaire Elon Musk and its transfer to him toward the end of October 2022 led to an increase in hate speech, according to reports received by this Office. The information received indicates that in the first hours following Musk’s acquisition, a racial epithet used to attack persons of African descent was used 26,000 times, triple the 2022 average.\textsuperscript{1560} Likewise, the use of an insult against transgender persons increased by 53%.\textsuperscript{1561} Lastly, the use of an offensive term for homosexual men increased by 39% compared to the annual average.\textsuperscript{1562} For his part, Musk asserted that despite an initial increase in racist insults and insults against the LGBTI population, hate speech had gone down once again.\textsuperscript{1563} In this sense, the company and its new owner indicated that nearly 1500 accounts have been removed for posting hate speech. The company also said it had reduced the visibility of such posts on the platform to make them harder to find.\textsuperscript{1564} Also, reports from a variety of media outlets indicated that nearly half the company’s labor force was fired after it was purchased in November 2022, including the entire human rights team and almost all the machine learning ethics team, which potentially played an important role in the increase of hate speech and other disinformation on the social network.\textsuperscript{1565}

679. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that combating hate speech requires empowering users to identify and condemn it in the public debate without blocking legitimate speech that is protected by the right to freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{1566}

680. This Office also recalls that in exceptional cases, in response to clearly illegal content or speech that is not protected by the right to freedom of expression, the adoption of measures to block and filter specific content is admissible. In these cases, the measure must be subjected to a strict balance of proportionality and be carefully designed and clearly limited so as to not affect legitimate speech that deserves protection. In other

\textsuperscript{1557} Media Matters For America. November 22, 2022. Elon Musk is unilaterally reinstating banned Twitter accounts, despite assuring civil rights groups and advertisers that he wouldn’t, CBS News. November 21, 2022. These formerly banned Twitter accounts have been reinstated since Elon Musk took over.

\textsuperscript{1558} The Guardian. October 29, 2022. Elon Musk declares Twitter ‘moderation council’ - as some push the platform’s limits; Al Jazeera. October 29, 2022. Musk plans to form ‘content moderation council’ for Twitter.
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words, filtration or blocking must be designed and applied so as to exclusively impact the illegal content without affecting other content.\textsuperscript{1567}

681. This Office also learned of the dissolution of Twitter's Trust and Safety Council in December 2022 and the deletion of the council’s website, with the statement that the council is not the best way to bring external insights into the product and policy development work.\textsuperscript{1568} According to the reports, the council—comprised of defenders of civil rights and fundamental freedoms and academics—played a crucial role in aligning product development with the values of non-discrimination, inclusion, and safety. In the framework of this debate, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, by their very nature as public forums, social media and Internet platforms are fundamental infrastructure of the informational ecosystem. It therefore reiterates the obligation to comply with Inter-American and universal human rights standards, which includes adopting measures like the Twitter Trust and Safety Council to mitigate potential human rights violations.

682. Along these lines, this Office also received information indicating that in December 2022, Twitter suspended, without formal justification, the accounts of journalists who were closely covering the movements of the company and its CEO, Elon Musk, since the acquisition. They include the journalists Donie O’Sullivan of CNN, Ryan Mac of The New York Times, Drew Harwell of The Washington Post, and freelance journalist Aaron Rupar.\textsuperscript{1569} Elon Musk reportedly posted on his Twitter account that the journalists violated the platform’s doxxing policy by sharing the exact location of the CEO in real time. However, the reports received indicated that the journalists had posted reports on a Mastodon account (another social network) giving the updated location of the location of Musk’s private jet, using public flight data available online. The Office of the Special Rapporteur is concerned at the measures taken to suspend social media accounts without due process or a clear justification given that the result is potentially prior censorship in that it prevents the user from participating in the public debate and limits before hand the right to freedom of expression in its individual and collective dimensions without regard for the criteria of the tripartite test.

683. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that private companies are responsible for respecting human rights pursuant to the terms set forth in the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (hereinafter "the UN Guiding Principles"). This instrument requires companies to “avoid causing or contributing to adverse human rights impacts him through their own activities”\textsuperscript{1570} by carrying out “impact assessments (...) prior to major decisions or changes in the operation or (...) in response to or anticipation of changes in the operating environment (e.g. rising social tensions).”\textsuperscript{1571} The impact assessment is part of the due diligence process that the company is required to carry out on its own work and should "draw on internal and/or independent external human rights expertise [and] involve meaningful consultation with potentially affected groups and other relevant stakeholders, as appropriate to the size of the business enterprise and the nature and context of the operation.”\textsuperscript{1572}

684. This Office observes that the decisions by Twitter described above were made without applying the principle of due diligence in human rights matters and failed to include an evaluation of the impact of the measures, both individually and collectively, with respect to the company’s responsibility to respect human rights in its operations, particularly the rights to freedom of expression, equal protection, nondiscrimination, and due process. As indicated by the UN Guiding Principles, “The purpose [of due diligence] is to understand the specific impacts on specific people, given a specific context of operations,” taking into account the range of

\textsuperscript{1569} CNN. December 16, 2022. Elon Musk bans several prominent journalists from Twitter, calling into question his commitment to free speech.
persons potentially affected and their social status, the pertinent human rights issues at play, and projecting the risks and impacts of the proposed activities or business relationships.

685. At the same time, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights the role that the United States must play in its relationship with companies under its jurisdiction, including Twitter. According to the UN Guiding Principles, "States must protect against human rights abuse within their territory and/or jurisdiction by third parties, including business enterprises."1573 Although States can adopt different approaches in this regard, at a minimum, they must "a) Enforce laws (...) requiring business enterprises to respect human rights, and periodically (...) assess the adequacy of such laws (...) b) Ensure that other laws and policies governing the creation and ongoing operation of business enterprises, such as corporate law, do not constrain but enable business respect for human rights (...) c) Provide effective guidance to business enterprises on how to respect human rights throughout their operations; d) Encourage, and where appropriate require, business enterprises to communicate how they address their human rights impacts."1574 It is critical that the United States fulfill its obligation to protect in accordance with the UN Guiding Principles and the international human rights framework.

686. In May 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports that the auto-suggestion system of Microsoft’s Bing search engine allegedly censored certain searches in China, Canada, and the United States. According to Citizen Lab, the search engine block applied to the names of leaders of the Chinese Communist Party, dissidents, and other persons considered politically sensitive in China.1575 The researchers concluded that the censorship was also integrated into Microsoft Windows, as well as DuckDuckGo, which uses Bing autosuggestion data. According to public information, neither Microsoft nor its affiliate have provided any public explanation or guidance on why it had decided to perform censorship in certain regions or why it had censored auto-suggestions of these persons' names.1576

687. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that measures to block content cannot be used to control or limit the circulation of speech that is specially protected or is assumed to be protected when that assumption has not been contradicted by a competent authority that provides sufficient guarantees of independence, autonomy and impartiality, pursuant to the above-mentioned terms.1577

688. The Office of the Special Rapporteur monitored online disinformation in the framework of the midterm elections in the United States, held on November 8, 2022. According to reports received by this Office, hundreds of Twitter and Facebook posts questioned the election results in multiple states and raised doubts as to the integrity of the electoral process.1578 However, disinformation was not as prevalent as reported during the 2020 presidential election.1579 The patterns of disinformation seen during the 2022 campaign were generally less extensive in terms of both their scope and the relevance of the users disseminating them on social media. Additionally, as of the closing of this annual report, the conspiracy theories disseminated on digital platforms did not go equally viral, nor did they lead to large demonstrations, in contrast to the end of 2020.1580

689. Despite this, the actions taken by the digital platforms and social networks were irregular, according to public information.1581 Therefore, some researchers and specialist associations, as well as the media, argue

---

that Facebook and Twitter’s information verification systems did not activate with respect to a number of inappropriate posts or posts with false information.\textsuperscript{1582}

690. A study by The Washington Post found that the social media posts of at least 26 candidates had not been labeled or fact checked by digital platforms since April 2022.\textsuperscript{1583} Additionally, in nearly 40 posts, candidates claim that the 2022 elections had been manipulated. None of these posts had been labeled by the social networks on which they were disseminated.\textsuperscript{1584} This failure to verify is what prevailed during 2020, after which Facebook and Twitter added hundreds of labels so readers could be alerted that the information was misleading.

691. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that it is crucial for authorities to not “sponsor, encourage or further disseminate statements which they know or reasonably should know to be false (disinformation) or which demonstrate a reckless disregard for verifiable information (propaganda).”\textsuperscript{1585} Authorities must address the problem of misinformation through awareness campaigns, education, and training. They must focus on providing citizens with tools for distinguishing truthful information from false information; making citizens aware of their own participation in processes that spread information; and raise awareness on the harm that disinformation causes to the public debate.\textsuperscript{1586}

692. Likewise, this Office reiterates that the digital platforms must implement the UN Guiding Principles to ensure that their products, policies, and practices do not impact human rights.\textsuperscript{1587} As part of their due diligence efforts, platforms must take positive actions to push back on disinformation, such as, for example, promoting the content of fact checking organizations and implementing other measures, like establishing proper rules for political advertising on their platforms, labeling it as such, and informing the public who paid for it, how it works, and who it is targeting and why, maintaining a publicly accessible archive on the advertising purchased.\textsuperscript{1588} These types of actions are less risky than ones that would remove content and that could be more effective at combating concerning phenomena.\textsuperscript{1589}

693. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed that the debate continued on the scope of the immunity granted to platforms by Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act of 1996 after the Supreme Court admitted two new cases on the subject. This time, the debate is not limited to platform responsibility for content produced by third parties but also includes the debate on holding them responsible for their recommendation algorithms. In the case of Twitter v. Taamneh, the Court will decide if hosting terrorist content means that service providers are responsible for aiding and abetting terrorism, given that measures for detecting and removing such content is available to service providers.\textsuperscript{1590} For its part, the case of Gonzalez v. Google addresses whether intermediaries can be held responsible for recommending content to their users.\textsuperscript{1591} The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that according to the minimum guarantees and good practices of the Manila Principles on the liability of internet intermediaries, as a rule, “intermediaries should be protected by law from liability for third-party content” in circumstances where they have not been involved in modifying it. Additionally, it maintains that attempts to hold platforms responsible for intervening with respect to content leads these actors to be more restrictive with respect to their users speech, increasing the risk of limiting valid expression and transferring more control over expression to private actors.


\textsuperscript{1583} The Washington Post. November 6, 2022. This year, GOP election deniers got a free pass from Twitter and Facebook.

\textsuperscript{1584} The Washington Post. November 6, 2022. This year, GOP election deniers got a free pass from Twitter and Facebook.


\textsuperscript{1586} IACHR. Guide to guarantee freedom of expression against deliberate misinformation in electoral contexts. 2019. OEA/Ser.D/XXII. Pg. 39.


\textsuperscript{1588} UN, OSCE, OAS, ACHPR. October 20, 2021. Joint Declaration on Politicians and Public Officials and Freedom of Expression.

\textsuperscript{1589} OAS. Guide to guarantee freedom of expression against deliberate misinformation in electoral contexts. 2019. OEA/Ser.D/XXII. Pg. 47.

\textsuperscript{1590} Scotusblog. Twitter, Inc. v. Taamneh.

\textsuperscript{1591} Scotusblog. Gonzalez v. Google LLC.
With regard to proposals to regulate social media platforms, this Office takes note of the ongoing debate on the issue in Congress in 2022, including the Kids Online Safety Act brought before the Senate in February 2022. Some legislative initiatives on the subject presented in 2021 were challenged in the courts during 2022. In May 2022, a federal appeals court for the 11th Circuit reportedly blocked Florida’s “Stop Social Media Censorship Act,” intended to prevent certain social media platforms from banning political candidates or newspaper companies from their services. Texas’s HB 20 legislation, aimed at prohibiting content moderation, was also reportedly blocked by the Supreme Court in May 2022 after the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals allowed it to take effect. Tech industry groups reportedly asked the Supreme Court in December 2022 to review the content of the law.

Likewise, according to information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur on state-level judicial actions against the alleged tech companies monopoly, in September 2022, a federal judge ruled in a suit filed by the Texas attorney general that it had been plausibly alleged that Google holds an advertising monopoly and its actions are anti-competitive. However, the judge rejected the accusations that Jedi Blue, an agreement in which Google and Facebook worked together on ad auctions, constituted monopolistic behavior. In the decision, the judge stated that the allegations fail to adequately account for Facebook's motivation to use its economic clout as an advertiser to drive the hardest bargain it could with Google and that Google was motivated by the legitimate, pro-competitive desire to obtain as much business as possible from Facebook.

In December 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur followed the actions of the Meta Oversight Board and at this time highlights the Board's policy advisory opinion on Meta’s cross-check program. The advisory opinion found that Meta was fostering unequal treatment of users and that rather than focusing on protecting human rights, it was oriented toward the objective of addressing concerns about the company. The cross check program added a layer of human review to content in violation posted by certain accounts, including celebrities, politicians, and commercial partners. According to the Oversight Board, whereas the speech of users on the cross-check list is reviewed for compatibility with the platform’s rules by humans, other users are less likely to have their expressions reviewed by analysts who attentively evaluate and apply all the company’s policies. It takes Meta more than five days to reach a conclusion about whether content from cross-check users is in violation. The opinion emphasizes that given that infringing content remains active on the platform, there is a potential for harm. The Board also expressed concern that Meta does not have metrics to assess whether this special content moderation system results in more accurate decisions. According to the Board, "Without this, it is difficult to know whether the program is meeting its core objectives of producing correct content moderation decisions, or to measure whether cross-check provides an avenue for Meta to deviate from its policies." The Oversight Board also underscored that more transparency is needed in the systems, as it was not clear if users who repeatedly post violating content are kept on cross-check lists.

---


1593 Vox. May 24, 2022. Florida’s social media free speech law has been blocked for likely violating free speech laws; NPR. May 23, 2022. An appeals court finds Florida’s social media law unconstitutional.

1594 CNN. May 31, 2022. Supreme Court temporarily blocks Texas’s social media moderation law; CNBC. May 31, 2022. Supreme Court blocks Texas social media law that tech companies warned would allow hateful content to run rampant.

1595 CNN. December 15, 2022. Tech groups ask Supreme Court to rule on hot-button Texas social media law.

1596 The Verge. September 13, 2022. Judge throws out Facebook collusion claims in Google antitrust suit.

1597 Oversight Board. December 2022. Oversight Board publishes policy advisory opinion on Meta’s cross check program.
GRENADA

697. The year 2022 was marked by the general elections in the country, held on June 23. The Special Rapporteurship recorded changes in the frequency of press conferences which, prior to the arrival of the new administration, were held on a weekly basis. The Rapporteurship also noted with concern the new restrictions on journalists regarding the number of questions they can ask and the need to share them in advance with the new Prime Minister’s press secretary. This year, the Rapporteurship was informed that the highest judicial authority reportedly dismissed an application to file a defense in a defamation suit filed by the former prime minister against a female political opponent. The electoral campaign in the first half of the year was reportedly characterized by media attacks between the two main parties and the dissemination of rumors about candidates and in relation to the electoral guarantees through social networks. This Office was informed about the cancellation of the official visit of members of the British monarchy due to possible fears of demonstrations in favor of former British colonies in the Caribbean. The Rapporteurship highlights the efforts of Grenada and the regional organization CARICOM to allow free cross-border movement of journalists in the Caribbean.

A. Journalism and democracy

698. On June 24, 2022, Dickon Mitchell was sworn in as the new Prime Minister of Grenada after his party, the National Democratic Congress (NDC), won the general elections. The Rapporteurship learned that the new Prime Minister’s first press conference was open to all media. This Office received information indicating that on previous occasions, members of the executive had allegedly only allowed certain journalists or media outlets to ask questions, which would have caused discontent among the press corps.

699. One month into the new administration, the Rapporteurship was informed of the government’s decision to hold press briefings “on an ad hoc basis only”. Prime Minister Dickon Mitchell’s new press secretary reportedly assured that, unless there was something “substantial to report”, there would be no press briefings after each cabinet meeting. In addition, the press secretary reportedly explained that journalists would be notified of press briefings a day in advance and that, should they have questions that “require specific information”, journalists should ideally share them in advance of the meeting. Also, journalists would only have the right to ask two or three questions if time permitted. Finally, the secretary reportedly suggested that she would be in charge of choosing the officials and topics to be covered at the press conferences.

700. In its 2021 annual report, this Office expressed awareness of the difficult conditions in which reporters would exercise their profession in Grenada, and the effect that this could have on achieving the desired levels of professional journalism and freedom of the press. In this regard, the Rapporteurship recalls that the operation of democracy demands the greatest possible degree of public debate on the functioning of society and the State in all of their aspects, that is, on matters of public interest. In a democratic and pluralistic system, the acts and omissions of the State and of government officials must be subject to rigorous scrutiny, not only by the internal control authorities, but also by the press and by public opinion. The conduct of public affairs and issues of common interest must be controlled by society as a whole. Therefore, the Office of the Special Rapporteur urges the State of Grenada to ensure that the press and the public have the right to ongoing scrutiny of government activities, including through recurring press conferences.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

701. In January 2022, the Oriental Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeal reportedly dismissed a political opponent’s application to file a defense in a defamation suit brought by former Prime Minister Keith...
Mitchell. According to public information, the decision of the Court of Appeal would have confirmed the judgment of the High Court in sentencing an elected official for her allegedly defamatory comments concerning the prime minister’s possible conflict of interest in a shrimp farm project. As far as this Office was able to learn, in December 2019, the prime minister’s lawyers reportedly wrote to the public official to demand that she cease and desist from repeating the claim; they also reportedly asked her to apologize and pay compensation of EC$350,000 within seven days of receiving the preaction protocol letter.

This Office would like to recall that given that the right to freedom of expression enables the individual and the community to participate in active, robust and challenging debate on all aspects relative to the functioning of society, this right covers debate that may be critical of or even offensive to public officials, candidates for public office or individuals involved in shaping public policy. This does not mean that public officials cannot be judicially protected when their honor is subjected to unjustified attack, but such protection must be consistent with the principles of democratic pluralism, and it must be afforded through mechanisms that do not have a potential for creating inhibition or self-censorship.

On April 26, 2022, the Rapporteurship took note of a multilateral dialogue between the Secretary General of the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU), the President of the Association of Caribbean Media Workers (ACM) and the Assistant Secretary General of the CARICOM Single Market and Trade (CSMT). This Office received information indicating that media workers would be one of the original five categories of persons within the free movement regime in CARICOM States. Notwithstanding the above, the parties would have admitted that there would still be inconsistent practices at the borders, so that journalists would not always be allowed to move freely within the region. Therefore, the CSMT would have committed to redouble its efforts to ensure that border control officials are aware of the rights of media workers to move across borders without problem.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur would like to recall that administrative measures should not be used to restrict the movement of journalists, including the entry of foreign journalists into a country, or media coverage of demonstrations or other events of public interest, unless this is strictly justified by the exigencies of the situation, in line with the three-part test. Therefore, this Office welcomes efforts to ensure that media workers can move freely throughout the region and carry out their journalistic work.

In May 2022, the Rapporteurship recorded a series of alleged media confrontations in the context of the electoral campaign. According to public information, the leader of the then ruling party allegedly accused his opponent from the National Democratic Congress (NDC) of spreading falsehoods and using judicial persecution against his opponents. For their part, representatives of the NDC reportedly asserted that the Prime Minister’s statements were false and were intended to “distract the electorate”.

In the days immediately prior to the holding of general elections, the Rapporteurship became aware of the alleged confusion that a press release from the Parliamentary Elections Office had caused among the electorate. According to the information available, NDC reportedly claimed that the information shared by the electoral authority was misleading because it implied that voters needed an identification document to vote when the only prerequisite was to be registered on the voters’ list.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that, as it has said on previous occasions, dissemination of deliberate misinformation could damage the reputation of a candidate or public person or possibly other more diffuse interests such as the democratic process or the public’s trust in democratic
In this sense, it is essential that political parties refrain from promoting disinformation campaigns by themselves or by third parties, which includes the duty not only to not promote them voluntarily, but to verify that this is not done involuntarily, that the main actors do not contribute to the dissemination of false information and that militants and activists reject such practices. It is also essential that the authorities address the problem of misinformation through awareness, education, and training campaigns. They should be focused on offering citizens tools to distinguish true from false information, become aware of their own participation in the processes of replication of information, and warn about the impoverishment of the public debate that misinformation generates.

Following the general elections, this Office was informed of the resurgence of proposals to formulate, approve, and implement an Access to Information Act in the country. As far as this Office was able to learn, proposals to introduce said act have been submitted since 2008, although they have never materialized. Several political parties have reportedly promised to make this law a priority if elected, although as of the date of publication of this annual report, no progress has been made.

As in past years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur remains concerned about the lack of implementation of an Access to Information Act in Grenada, as well as in other Caribbean States. This Office reiterates that the right of access to information is a crucial tool for controlling State affairs and public administration, as well as monitoring corruption. The right of access to information is a fundamental requirement for guaranteeing transparency and good public administration by the government and other State authorities. Effectively, the full exercise of the right of access to information is a guarantee that is indispensable in preventing abuses by public officials, holding public administration accountable and promoting its transparency, as well as preventing corruption and authoritarianism.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

On April 21, 2022, the Special Rapporteur received reports that the Grenada National Reparations Committee (GNRC) reportedly wrote a letter to the Governor General of Grenada seeking an audience with the Earl and Countess of Wessex, who were scheduled to visit the island on April 26. According to public information, the GNCR reportedly sought a meeting with members of the British royal family to explain the reasons why the United Kingdom should be held accountable and pay compensation for crimes committed during the period of slavery and colonization. The group also planned to mobilize peacefully for public demonstrations during the official visit. However, the trip by the Earl and Countess of Wessex was reportedly canceled only a day before it was scheduled and after “consultations with the governor general of the island”.

The sudden cancellation of the visit of the Earl and Countess of Wessex to Grenada would have come after the Duke and Duchess of Cambridge also faced criticism and protests during their tour of Belize, the Bahamas and Jamaica in March 2022. The visit of several members of the British royal family during this year would have been in commemoration of Queen Elizabeth II’s Platinum Jubilee and the possibility of several states in the region, former British colonies, declaring themselves independent republics. In the Caribbean, Barbados was the first former British colony to declare itself an independent republic on November 30, 2021.

---

1611 Now Grenada. April 21, 2022.  
1613 Now Grenada. April 21, 2022. Royal visit cancelled amidst planned protests in Grenada; The Independent. 21 April 2022. Prince Edward and Sophie Wessex cancel part of Caribbean royal tour as country removed from itinerary.
712. This Office recalls that social protest, which includes the rights of peaceful and unarmed assembly, association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights and therefore it is the duty of the State to guarantee its free exercise.\(^{1614}\) In its report “Protest and Human Rights”, the IACHR emphasized that the holding of meetings, demonstrations, and protests is a central activity of many associations and organizations. In this regard, States have the duty to provide the necessary means for them to conduct their activities freely and to refrain from imposing obstacles that might hinder their work.\(^{1615}\) Likewise, the IACHR has considered that the exercise of freedom of assembly through social protest should not be subject to government authorization or excessive requirements that make it difficult to carry out.\(^{1616}\) Legal requirements underlying the prohibition or limitation of a meeting or demonstration, such as the requirement of prior permission, are not compatible with freedom of assembly or the exercise of freedom of expression in the inter-American system.\(^{1617}\)

713. Finally, this Office stresses that the protection and guarantee of the right to protest deserve special attention when it is expressed by underrepresented or marginalized sectors or groups that face institutional frameworks that do not favor their participation, or serious barriers to access to other forms of mass communication.\(^{1618}\)

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

714. In 2022, this Office was alerted to the alleged increase of offensive content on social networks during the electoral campaign and the general election, held on June 23, 2022. Various sectors of society reportedly described some of the content as “unpleasant”, while others argued that it was harmless.\(^{1619}\)

715. This Office also received information on the alleged increase of disinformation and false news during the electoral campaign. According to reports received, the New National Party (NNP) reportedly had to deny a WhatsApp message claiming that Barbadian political strategist, Hartley Henry, had told former Prime Minister Keith Mitchell that he could not "save his re-election campaign".\(^{1620}\) The WhatsApp message also alleged that the political strategist had refused to accept a $1 million payment after reviewing the latest figures from the various constituencies, claiming that the former prime minister had miscalculated his opponent’s growing popularity.

716. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have explained on previous occasions that, in electoral contexts, platforms should take positive actions to counteract misinformation, such as – for example – promoting content from fact-checking agencies. These types of actions are less risky than those that involve removing content and that may be more effective in combating the worrying phenomena.\(^{1621}\)

---


GUATEMALA

717. The situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala continued to deteriorate in 2022. According to the information reported, journalists and media outlets that investigate matters of public interest, especially corruption, irregularities in public administration and human rights violations, are often targets of physical violence, harassment, intimidation, harassment campaigns on social networks and judicial prosecution. Likewise, available information indicates that the complaints of journalists who are victims of aggressions do not prosper due to the lack of momentum or the desistance of the Public Prosecutor’s Office, in particular its Prosecutor’s Office for Crimes Against Journalists. However, this Office recognizes the favorable sentence to journalist Sonny Figueroa, who denounced police aggression in 2020; as a result, this year a Court sentenced two agents of the National Civil Police for the crime of abuse of authority. On the other hand, this year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received numerous allegations on the increased use of criminal law for purposes of intimidation and silencing, both against journalists as well as other individuals and groups of people who have a relevant role in public and democratic life. In terms of democratic institutions, RELE documented different legislative initiatives that would have negative impacts on the right to freedom of expression and access to information, according to several reports; however, these bills have been shelved in principle. RELE observes that challenges persist for the legal operation of community radio stations in Guatemala, in order to make effective the full enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression of sectors of the population that have been historically excluded from public debate. In this regard, the judgment of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in the *Case of the Maya Kaqchichen Indigenous Peoples of Sumpango et al. v. Guatemala*, notified in December 2021, becomes a milestone as it expressly recognizes the duties of the State of Guatemala to adopt the necessary measures so that all segments of the population, including indigenous community media, can access the media and have legal instruments that provide them with legal certainty.

A. Journalism and democracy

718. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received numerous reports on attacks on freedom of expression in Guatemala, mainly related to attacks, intimidation and judicial harassment against journalists with alleged silencing purposes, framed in a context of violations of judicial independence in Guatemala. In a hearing on the situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala held during the 185th Period of Sessions of the IACHR, civil society organizations warned that at least 6 journalists have had to leave Guatemala as a result of acts of harassment and threats, and the lack of guarantees for the exercise of journalistic work.

719. The persistent violence against journalists in the exercise of their profession continues to be an obstacle to the realization of the guarantees of the right to freedom of expression in Guatemala. This year, the Rapporteurship received information about the murder of journalist Orlando Villanueva. According to the information available, on March 8, 2022, journalist Orlando Villanueva was the victim of an armed attack by unidentified individuals, who allegedly shot him at a sports field in Puerto Barrios, department of Izabal. According to the information reported, the journalist was covering local issues, including the political agenda and alleged corruption cases, for the portal *Noticias del Puerto*, which he had founded and directed.

720. The Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office (PDH) of Guatemala indicated that the journalist had reported to the Public Prosecutor’s Office alleged acts of harassment and persecution by central government officials and local authorities, as well as businessmen with interests in the department. In addition, this Office became aware that on October 29, 2021, during a live broadcast on social networks, the journalist had denounced that police officers and officials from the Public Prosecutor’s Office had attempted to enter his home in a violent manner and with alleged intimidating purposes. Publicly available information indicates that

---

these events could have been related to his journalistic coverage of matters of public interest\textsuperscript{1628}. The State has informed that the aforementioned act corresponds to a proceeding carried out by court order issued by the Juzgado Plurisectoral de Primera Instancia Penal Narcoactividad y Delitos contra el Ambiente de Izabal, to carry out investigative proceedings in an ongoing case\textsuperscript{1629}.

721. According to the information available, since March 28, the investigation has been under the responsibility of the Prosecutor's Office for Crimes Committed against Journalists, which is carrying out the relevant procedures, in coordination with the National Civil Police\textsuperscript{1630}. In this regard, the State indicated that since the events, the police have provided perimeter security for Orlando Villanueva’s family members\textsuperscript{1631}. The State has also informed that, by virtue of the judicial investigation underway, the alleged perpetrator of the crime has been identified and a series of steps have been taken to arrest him\textsuperscript{1632}. It has also expressed its commitment to clarify the truth surrounding the case and to apply the corresponding sanctions to those responsible\textsuperscript{1633}. On the other hand, the PDH informed that the Izabal Auxiliary opened a file and that it would follow up on the case, in coordination with the Office for the Defense of Human Rights Defenders and Journalists\textsuperscript{1634}.

722. The Rapporteurship also received information on attacks against the press for their informative work. In this regard, the Association of Journalists of Guatemala (APG) documented 105 attacks against journalists in its report on the situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala in 2022, which they said were largely attributable to state officials at different levels and agents of public security forces\textsuperscript{1635}. The APG report warned that local officials continue to be the main subjects to whom attacks against the press in Guatemala are attributed, despite the fact that the facts are not always publicly known or reported to the justice system for various reasons - among them, the fear of the victims of being the target of reprisals and distrust towards the judiciary and its ability to diligently investigate attacks on the press\textsuperscript{1636}. According to this entity, in 2022, three of the most relevant acts involving local authorities occurred in San Marcos, Quetzaltenango and Suchitepéquez\textsuperscript{1637}. In the first two cases, the aggrieved parties reported physical violence, while in the third case, the complainant reported having received threats from a member of a municipal council\textsuperscript{1638}. RELE has also received information that aggressions against journalists in many cases involve police officers\textsuperscript{1639}.


\textsuperscript{1634} Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office (PDH) of Guatemala. March 10, 2022. \textit{Communiqué 28/22}.


\textsuperscript{1636} Association of Journalists of Guatemala (APG). May 2022. \textit{Estado de Situación de la Libertad de Expresión en Guatemala, First Quarter 2022}.

\textsuperscript{1637} Association of Journalists of Guatemala (APG). May 2022. \textit{Estado de Situación de la Libertad de Expresión en Guatemala, First Quarter 2022}.

\textsuperscript{1638} Association of Journalists of Guatemala (APG). May 2022. \textit{Estado de Situación de la Libertad de Expresión en Guatemala, First Quarter 2022}.

Among other incidents, the Office learned that journalist Lesby Esmeralda Catún Cuz de Rosales, of the magazine Retenamit, was reportedly intimidatedly detained by agents of the National Civil Police (PNC) in San Pedro Carchá. As she was leaving her home, the police officers asked her for her documents, forced her to get out of the vehicle, took her purse and telephone, handcuffed her, forcibly put her in a patrol car and took her to a courthouse. The journalist reportedly spent approximately two hours in police custody, without knowing the reasons for her arrest. According to the information received, the Prosecutor’s Office for Crimes Against Journalists of the Public Prosecutor’s Office (MP) dismissed the complaint for assault and abuse of authority filed by the journalist against three PNC agents for these acts. The decision of the Public Prosecutor’s Office was based on the fact that they could not establish that the PNC agents had caused injuries to the journalist nor that they committed an arbitrary and illegal act.

The Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office of Guatemala reported that the Auxiliaturas of Alta Verapaz opened a file on this case, and that it would follow up with the respective instances together with the Office for the Defense of Human Rights Defenders and Journalists.

RELE also learned that on April 18 journalist Irma Tzi, correspondent of Nuestro Diario, was the victim of an armed attack by an unknown individual in Cobán, Alta Verapaz, when she was on her way to cover. The journalist went to the scene after receiving a fraudulent call alerting her to an alleged murder. According to information provided by the Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office, after the attack, firefighters reportedly transferred the journalist to the emergency room of the Regional Hospital. Also, according to information received by the Rapporteurship, the IFEX network had reported death threats against the journalist in 2013.

On June 16, the National Civil Police violently assaulted and damaged the camera of reporter Robinson Ortega, of Relax Noticias, when he was documenting a police operation during a public demonstration in the Las Palmas neighborhood of Siquinalá, Escuintla, where excessive actions by the police against a group of women demonstrators were reported. Subsequently, the reporter was reportedly detained by the police and, according to the information received, was released on June 21 by order of the Criminal Court of First Instance of Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa, Escuintla. The Quezalteca Press Association condemned the events and called on the Director General of the PNC and the Minister of the Interior "to refrain from using the security apparatus as a system of repression against the press" and to carry out the corresponding internal investigation. Likewise, the Guatemalan Chamber of Journalism emphasized that "any action by a public official or employee that limits this constitutional guarantee deserves the most energetic rejection", and demanded that the authorities of the Ministry of the Interior "clarify the facts immediately and deduce the administrative responsibilities that correspond to the agents responsible, without detriment to possible crimes committed that should be investigated by the Public Prosecutor’s Office". According to available data, 28 journalists were denounced as victims of violence in the country during the month of May 2022.

---


1643 PDH of Guatemala. April 20, 2022. Communique 38/22; Community Press. April 28, 2022. Denuncian agresiones contra tres periodistas en Alta Verapaz y Quetzaltenango (Agressions against three journalists in Alta Verapaz and Quetzaltenango). The Quezalteca Press Association condemned the events and called on the Director General of the PNC and the Minister of the Interior "to refrain from using the security apparatus as a system of repression against the press" and to carry out the corresponding internal investigation.

1644 Community Press. April 28, 2022. Guatemalan journalist was attacked with a knife.

1645 IFEX. 19 June 2013. Two journalists receive death threats for their work in Guatemala.


1647 Free Press. June 22, 2022. He was only doing his job: judge frees journalist Robinson Ortega, captured while covering a protest in Escuintla.


information, the journalist filed the complaint with the Prosecutor’s Office for Crimes against Journalists but on September 29 he was notified that it was dismissed.

726. On June 21, 2022, Prensa Libre and Guatevisión photjoournalist Carlos Hernández Ovalle was reportedly assaulted by police officers while covering a demonstration by students at the Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala (Usac), which also damaged part of his photographic equipment. The photojournalist said that he was fully identified as press, with his corresponding press card and a shirt identified with the logos of the media.

727. In addition, the Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office reported death threats against journalist Ángel Palacios, from Coatepeque, Quetzaltenango.

728. The Association of Journalists of Guatemala and civil society entities linked to freedom of the press have criticized the role of the Public Prosecutor’s Office and its Office of Crimes against Journalists with respect to complaints of aggressions filed by journalists. According to the information reported, in 2022 the Public Prosecutor’s Office has dismissed and filed numerous complaints of police aggressions against journalists without sufficient justification, including the cases of Robinson Ortega, Francisco Lucas, Carlos Choc and Lesby Catún. According to a newspaper report that investigated this issue, in the last 18 years, only 2% (28 files) of the total number of admitted cases (1,187 complaints) on attacks on journalists have obtained a conviction and concluded that the Public Prosecutor’s Office closes more cases than reach the courts.

729. One of the cases that went to court and obtained a favorable sentence for the victim was that of journalist Sonny Figueroa, who reported having been the victim of police aggression in 2020. The Rapporteurship learned that in 2022 the Eleventh Criminal Sentencing Court sentenced two officers of the National Civil Police to 4 years and 9 months in prison for the crime of abuse of authority and suspended them from public functions.

730. With respect to the allegations about the persistence of attacks and threats against journalists, the State has reported that significant progress has been made in terms of protection of journalists, and that the National Civil Police “is aware of the challenges it is willing to meet to ensure that the public security service
for the journalists' guild is effective".\textsuperscript{1658} In this regard, they informed that they have established protection and investigation mechanisms and measures for journalists and people working in the media, in situations of risk, in addition to the procedures established in general.\textsuperscript{1659} They also stated that, in terms of prevention of violence against journalists, the National Civil Police, through the Inspector General’s Office, has trained all police personnel at the national level on issues related to respect for human rights in police procedures, with the aim of updating the knowledge of police officers and that their actions are framed within the framework of national and international legal regulations\textsuperscript{1660}.

731. The State warned that one of the problems faced by the police institution in addressing issues of violence against journalists is that the Division for the Protection of Journalists and Security has been affected by a reduction in personnel; and, on the other hand, the need for a budget increase so that resources can be allocated in an appropriate and prioritized manner.\textsuperscript{1661} Likewise, the State of Guatemala considers that a challenge in relation to the safety of journalists is to counteract the level of risks and threats to journalists who enjoy security measures by the Division for the Protection of Persons and Security, through the police personnel assigned\textsuperscript{1662}.

732. Additionally, the State of Guatemala reported that the Prosecutor's Office for Crimes against Journalists, from January to October 2022, has received a total of 54 complaints.\textsuperscript{1663} They also indicated that through their personnel they comply with the activity of initiating an agile investigation, being available 24 hours a day so that they can be advised on how to file their complaints or provide them with support; and that they are constantly informed of the progress of their investigations.\textsuperscript{1664} The authorities also indicated that in September 2022, the investigations of the Prosecutor's Office were strengthened within the Integral Case System, with an additional agency to attend to incoming complaints\textsuperscript{1665}.

733. Principle 9 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that intimidation and threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression; and that it is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation to the victims.\textsuperscript{1666}.

734. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that the actions referred to in the aforementioned principle are intended to restrict or hinder the work of those journalists who investigate abuses, abuses, irregularities or wrongdoings of all kinds, carried out either by public officials or private individuals.\textsuperscript{1667} Likewise, they seek to be tools of intimidation, by means of which a message is sent to all those


\textsuperscript{1662} Permanent Mission of Guatemala to the OAS. October 12, 2022. Information provided by the State of Guatemala to RELE. Response from the National Civil Police. File of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression.


\textsuperscript{1667} IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
persons of the civil society who carry out investigation tasks on irregularities in the public administration. RELE has also maintained that this practice "seeks to keep the press, as a control mechanism, silent", preventing society from being informed about events of public interest. For all these reasons, the Inter-American Court emphasized that "the practice of journalism can only be carried out freely when the persons who engage in it are not victims of threats or physical, psychological or moral aggression or other acts of harassment".

735. On the other hand, in 2022 this Office has received complaints about criminalization of journalists investigating matters of public interest, which would be inserted in a context of intensified judicial persecution against different groups of people who play a relevant role of scrutiny of public power in Guatemala, as noted by the Inter-American Commission in its Annual Report 2021.

736. According to the information received, José Rubén Zamora and Flora Silva - president and financial director of the media outlet El Periódico, respectively - have been the object of judicial persecution by the Public Prosecutor's Office (MP). According to RELE, agents of the Public Prosecutor's Office and the National Civil Police raided the homes of José Rubén Zamora on July 29 and Flora Silva on August 19. Both were arrested for allegedly committing crimes related to money laundering, among others.

737. On December 8, Guatemala's Seventh Criminal Court ordered the opening of the trial against Zamora for the alleged crimes of money laundering, racketeering and influence peddling, considering that, in the indictment, the Public Prosecutor's Office provided consistent elements that should be debated at trial. That same day, the former manager of elPeriódico, Flora Silva Flores, was sentenced to six years in prison for money laundering and a fine of Q150 thousand (just over US$ 38,000) for the crime of money laundering, after she accepted the charges in an abbreviated procedure. Since Flora Silva accepted responsibility through a special procedure of acceptance of charges, the court reduced her sentence to three years and granted her conditional release for the remainder of her sentence.

738. Throughout 2022, numerous civil society organizations demanded the immediate release of the president of El Periódico; and considered Zamora's detention as an act of judicial persecution and censorship against critical journalists and media outlets that investigate acts of corruption in Guatemala. Along these lines, at the public hearing of the IACHR on the situation of the right to freedom of expression in Guatemala, held during the 185th Period of Sessions, civil society organizations denounced that the actions against Zamora constitute "a strong message to all journalists in Guatemala" who are uncomfortable with the Government, and

1668 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
1669 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
that this case has been used as an example to intimidate and silence the press. Likewise, an international mission of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) that attended the trial hearing against Zamora in Guatemala concluded that "the institutional fragility in Guatemala conspires against freedom of the press, independent journalism and democracy" and that "the weakness in the functioning of the institutions is expressed in the lack of independence of the public authorities". The IAPA also denounced the increased criminalization of journalists and community media, and the frequent threats against reporters who denounce the advance of organized crime, due to the alleged collusion of drug criminals with local authorities and police forces.

739. Journalist José Rubén Zamora has been the beneficiary of precautionary measures from the IACHR since 2003, when the Commission understood that there was an imminent risk to his life and personal integrity due to a series of physical attacks and threats received in the exercise of his profession, and the context of violence against the press in Guatemala. In accordance with the powers granted by the precautionary measures mechanism, in August 2022, the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship requested the State to conduct a working visit to verify Zamora's situation.

740. State authorities have indicated that these criminal proceedings are not related to journalistic activities; and that the judicial proceedings surrounding their case are carried out in strict compliance with the legal framework and human rights. In contrast, information reported to this Office by numerous civil society actors indicates that the prosecution of José Rubén Zamora and Flora Silva could be related to their journalistic work. In this context, the Rapporteurship notes that freedom of the press includes not only editorial activities but also those related to the sustainability of the media.

741. In this context, on July 29, the offices of Aldea Global S.A., a commercial entity that - being in charge of the administrative management of the media outlet - shares its facilities with El Periódico, were raided. According to the information received, at least eight workers of the media outlet were reportedly held for at least 16 hours on July 29.

742. According to information reported to this Office, the situation of pressure and persecution of the directors of El Periódico has severely damaged its financial situation. In November, the media outlet...
announced that they were putting an end to the print edition. According to information received, as of December 2022 the two main accounts of the newspaper continue to be frozen.

In addition to these facts there are other cases that have come to the attention of RELE, related to complaints classified as spurious and aimed at preventing the publication of investigations on matters of public and political relevance. In 2022, RELE became aware of a complaint filed by a former Minister of Communications against journalist Juan Luis Font, director of the news program Con Criterio, for allegedly committing the crimes of illicit association and collusion. According to public information, the evidence of the alleged illicit association would include interviews Font conducted with a former judge in his radio program, as well as tweets from his personal account in which he commented on alleged acts of harassment against the judge by “criminal groups”. The reporter confirmed that he decided to leave the country and denounced harassment and reprisals linked to his work that discourage him from continuing to practice journalism from Guatemala.

In this regard, the State indicated that said proceeding is under legal reserve and that the investigating entity continues with the proceedings to elucidate whether or not the facts indicated were committed. The authorities emphasized that the complaint mentioned here was filed by a third party and not by the Public Prosecutor’s Office, and that the State is not prosecuting the journalist for his informative work.

In addition, according to available information, the Seventh Court of First Criminal Instance reportedly ordered an investigation against journalists from Agencia Ocote, after they interviewed a prosecutor in prison and produced a podcast about her case.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also given particular follow-up to the cases of journalists Juan Bautista Xol, Baudilio Choc and Carlos Choc, who have publicly denounced judicial proceedings against them and police operations at their residences after they covered a series of demonstrations by the Mayan Q’eqchi’ population in El Estor, Izabal, and the use of public force to disperse them, in October 2021. Although this Office was informed that there were two criminal proceedings in force against journalist Carlos Q’eqchi population in El Estor, Izabal, and the use of public force to disperse them, in October 2021. Although this Office was informed that there were two criminal proceedings in force against journalist Carlos

---


1692 CPJ. April 8, 2022. Guatemalan journalist Juan Luis Font leaves the country after a former minister accuses him of ‘illicit association’; Prensa Libre. December 6, 2021. Journalist Juan Luis Font is taken into custody at the request of the MP and he points to persecution for his publications.


1696 The Hour. June 19, 2022. Agencia Ocote: we entered as journalists and guard saw the recorder; Asociación de Periodistas de Guatemala (APG). December 2022. Estado de situación de la libertad de expresión 2022: “terrorismo judicial acécha a la prensa”.

Choc, on September 1, 2022, the State informed that there were no complaints filed against him, and that there are no State actions to impede his journalistic activity\(^\text{1698}\). However, the Rapporteurship learned that on September 13, 2022, the Pluripersonal Judge of First Criminal Instance of Izabal ruled lack of merit on the crime of instigation to violence, in the criminal case linked to the protests in El Estor, in October 2021\(^\text{1699}\). The journalist had been denounced by 13 agents of the National Civil Police who accused him of physical aggression in the context of his journalistic coverage. *Prensa Comunitaria* has warned that there is still another criminal case against him.\(^\text{1700}\)

747. Journalist Robinson Ortega of *Relax Noticias* was indicted by the Mixed Appeals Court of Escuintla, after a PNC agent denounced him for the crime of assault and the Public Prosecutor’s Office appealed the ruling of lack of merit issued by a judge in June\(^\text{1701}\). As previously mentioned in this report, on June 16, 2022, the reporter was detained while covering a demonstration in Las Palmas Siquinalá, Escuintla, where he had recorded alleged abuses by the security forces\(^\text{1702}\). As a result of these events, a police report was filed against him with accusations of “obstructing” the work of the security forces and of having insulted them; and he was also accused of physically assaulting a police officer -which, according to civil society organizations, are false allegations made by a police officer\(^\text{1703}\). The hearing to review the coercive measures ordered against journalist Robinson Ortega, scheduled for November 2022, was suspended for 2023, as far as this Office was able to learn.\(^\text{1704}\)

748. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to follow up on the situation of journalists Sonny Figueroa and Marvin del Cid, who in recent years have been criminally accused by government officials and former government officials, allegedly after they published journalistic investigations involving them\(^\text{1705}\). With respect to these facts, the State of Guatemala indicated that “there is no particular persecution for their journalistic work, nor is there professional harassment against both journalists”\(^\text{1706}\). In addition, it added that “the criminal proceedings initiated against the agents of the National Civil Police for the aggressions reported by both journalists is proof of the State’s commitment to bring justice to all”\(^\text{1707}\)

749. Likewise, as denounced by civil society organizations, the alleged judicial persecution against journalists is accompanied by defamation and intimidation campaigns in social networks, which seek to delegitimize the work of the independent press\(^\text{1708}\). The Association of Journalists of Guatemala documented
21 attacks against media and journalists in digital platforms, including 3 cyber attacks to digital pages or profiles in social networks, 2 cases of provisional suspension of users in social networks and 16 acts of defamation, threats and sexual harassment against women in these platforms.  

750. The Special Rapporteurship considers that these actions contribute to deepen the deterioration of the guarantees to practice journalism in Guatemala, a situation that has already been addressed by this Office in press releases and its last annual report. Likewise, RELE has received complaints that the alleged actions of institutional harassment against journalists and media outlets are generating an environment of self-censorship in the press, especially among those who investigate matters of public relevance, such as corruption and criminalization against justice operators.

751. At the 185th Period of Sessions of the IACHR, during the hearing on the situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala, the State’s representative emphasized that Guatemala respects the right to freedom of expression, and noted that it is working proactively, from different State bodies, to promote measures and policies to protect journalists and freedom of the press. On the other hand, it insisted that criminal proceedings are carried out in strict compliance with the legal framework and human rights. They also affirmed that the legal actions brought against journalists are within the framework of constitutionally guaranteed rights, which include the possibility for individuals to initiate criminal proceedings when they consider their rights have been violated, as well as the power of the State to initiate criminal proceedings in certain cases. The State emphasized that the complaints, by themselves, do not constitute convictions in any way; and that the relevant judicial bodies are responsible for resolving disputes.

752. This Office understands that these facts must be analyzed in light of the human rights situation in Guatemala, which the IACHR has already analyzed in accordance with its mandate. In this regard, the Commission has emphasized that these types of criminal proceedings constitute a form of reprisal and intimidation for its work and seek to hinder its work.

753. Along these lines, this Office considers that prolonged criminal proceedings in cases involving journalists can have a negative impact on the right to freedom of expression and freedom of the press, especially to the extent that the relationship between the criminal prosecution and the practice of journalism is not clarified. According to Inter-American jurisprudence, judicial proceedings, when used as an indirect means to restrict the right to freedom of expression, “can have a dissuasive, frightening and inhibiting effect on all those who exercise the right to freedom of expression, which, in turn, prevents public debate on issues of interest to society.”

754. In view of the foregoing considerations, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that journalism is the primary and principal manifestation of freedom of expression, since it is journalists and the media who keep society informed on matters of public interest and contribute to the existence of a broad, robust and
pluralistic public debate\textsuperscript{1716}. Likewise, the Commission has repeatedly held that an independent and critical press is a fundamental element for the validity of the other freedoms that make up the democratic system and the rule of law\textsuperscript{1717}. For this reason, States have the obligation to create the conditions for journalists to be able to exercise their function freely, independently and safely\textsuperscript{1718}.

755. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that direct or indirect pressures from the State aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators are incompatible with freedom of expression. Principle 13 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that "the use of the power of the State and the resources of the public treasury (...) with the objective of pressuring and punishing or rewarding and privileging social communicators and the media according to their informative lines, violates freedom of expression and should be expressly prohibited by law. The social communication media have the right to carry out their work independently"\textsuperscript{1719}. RELE has also emphasized that "imposing direct or indirect pressures aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators obstructs the full functioning of democracy, since its consolidation is intimately related to the free exchange of ideas, information and opinions among people"\textsuperscript{1720}.

756. Finally, the Rapporteurship recalls that criminal law is the most restrictive and severe means of establishing responsibility for conduct related to the right to freedom of expression, particularly when it imposes custodial sentences\textsuperscript{1721}; and that its application to punish speech in the public interest is incompatible with inter-American standards\textsuperscript{1722}. In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that limiting debate through criminal law has serious effects on democratic control and that, when used unnecessarily and disproportionately, it leads to the abusive exercise of the punitive power of the State\textsuperscript{1723}.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

757. In terms of democratic institutionality, in 2022 the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned about various bills that, if approved, could have a negative impact on the right to freedom of expression. This was the case, for example, of Decree 39-2022 that created the Law on Prevention and Protection against Cybercrime, approved on August 4, 2022 by Congress, whose objective was to "protect the personal data of Guatemalans, strengthen the rules of social-digital coexistence in the country, update national legislation in this new technological era and the criminalization of cybercrime, computer fraud and the protection of personal data on the Internet"\textsuperscript{1724}. The norm was questioned by civil society organizations and specialists, who alleged imprecision and vagueness in the normative text; the introduction of restrictive provisions to the right of access to public information; the violation of the right to reserve sources, among other points that they considered incompatible with the Constitution\textsuperscript{1725}.

758. However, a few weeks later, on August 24, the Congress decided to shelve this decree, after a series of observations and objections presented by deputies\textsuperscript{1726}. RELE welcomes the decision of the legislative body


\textsuperscript{1717} IACHR. Undated. \textit{Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.}


\textsuperscript{1719} IACHR. October 20, 2000. \textit{Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression.}
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\textsuperscript{1725} Acción Ciudadana TI Guatemala Twitter account (@AcGuatemala). August 10, 2022; Prensa Libre. 10 August 2022. Acción Ciudadana y Transparency International reject regulations against cybercrime; La Hora. August 11, 2022. Analistas expresan preocupación por Ley de Ciberdelincuencia y su efecto en libertad de expresión; Divergentes. August 11, 2022. Ley de Ciberdelitos en Guatemala, ¿una nueva mordaza para la libertad de expresión?}

\textsuperscript{1726} Free Press. September 1, 2022. Congress makes official that decree 39-2022 that contained the Law against cybercrime is archived; Plaza Pública. August 24, 2022. Ley contra ciberdelincuencia, la normativa que puso en riesgo la libertad de expresión y la crítica.
to dismiss this norm, which contained provisions that, due to their vagueness, granted wide margins of discretion in their application and that ran the risk of being used to the detriment of the right to freedom of expression.

759. Likewise, this Office was informed of initiative 6076 of the Law for the Strengthening of the Public Security Forces and the Army, which, according to the Guatemalan Congress, has as its main objective "to guarantee national security as a fundamental element that allows reaching adequate levels of economic and social development, for an environment of peace, stability and governability for the Guatemalan society". It also seeks to "support the elements of the National Civil Police (PNC), the Guatemalan Army and other security entities, who have suffered legal repercussions with long processes, when using the principle of proportionality of force or for using their weapon in legitimate defense of their life and that of third parties", as indicated by the Congress. The initiative had a first favorable opinion; however, according to information from the State of Guatemala, on August 23, 2022, a political agreement was reached by the majority of members of the Board of Directors of the Congress in which they stated that it would not be agendized again in the proposals of the agenda that the Board of Directors proposed initiative 6076.

760. On the other hand, in a scenario of allegations of weakening of the guarantees to judicial independence, the Association of Journalists of Guatemala has reported alleged restrictions to the participation and coverage of the press in judicial hearings, some of them in relevant cases such as the one followed by the Public Ministry against Virginia Laparra, former prosecutor of the Special Prosecutor’s Office against Impunity (FECI) in Quetzaltenango, or the case against a man accused of attacking a demonstration linked to the opposition to mining in "La Puya". According to this entity, this type of limitations have been recurrent since 2020, even after the health prevention measures for the pandemic had already been lifted.

761. In terms of democratic institutions, the State of Guatemala emphasized that "the Political Constitution of the Republic of Guatemala establishes that it is the duty of the State to guarantee the inhabitants of the Republic life, liberty, justice, security, peace and the integral development of the person". Likewise, it specified that the Constitution, "when referring to the duties of the State with respect to the inhabitants of the Republic, imposes on it the obligation to guarantee not only freedom, but also other values, such as justice and the integral development of the person, for which it must adopt the measures that in its judgment are convenient according to the needs and conditions of the moment, which may be not only individual but also social".

762. In relation to the aforementioned facts, the Rapporteurship recalls that, as established by Inter-American jurisprudence, any limitation on the exercise of freedom of expression —whether arising from laws,
673. Likewise, RELE recalls that social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful and unarmed assembly, freedom of association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is obliged to respect, protect and guarantee these rights\footnote{1735}. Any limitation on the exercise of the right to protest must meet the aforementioned requirements of legality, legitimate objective, necessity and proportionality\footnote{1736}. Article 15 of the American Convention on the right to peaceful assembly establishes that it may be subject to restrictions imposed “in the interests of national security, public safety or public order, or to protect public health or morals or the rights or freedoms of others”\footnote{1737}. The IACHR has held that States are not free to interpret in any way the content of these objectives for purposes of justifying a limitation in specific cases\footnote{1738}. 

674. Finally, RELE reiterates that transparency and accountability of public authorities strengthen democratic systems. In this sense, the authorities must ensure that the press can access press conferences and provide coverage of public events convened by the executive, legislative and judicial branches, to gather information on matters of public interest and notoriety and provide equal opportunities for the formulation of questions\footnote{1739}. In ruling on restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information at public acts or events, the Inter-American Court has determined that “[w]ith respect to accreditations or authorizations to the press media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that their application is legal, pursues a legitimate objective and is necessary and proportional in relation to the objective pursued in a democratic society. The accreditation requirements must be concrete, objective and reasonable, and their application transparent”\footnote{1740}. 

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

675. The lack of legal recognition and allegations of criminal prosecution of community radio stations constitute other challenges that must be addressed by the State of Guatemala in order to make effective the exercise of the right to freedom of expression. As noted in the last annual report of the IACHR, there are significant challenges for the operation of unlicensed community radio stations in the country\footnote{1741}. This issue was analyzed by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in the Case of the Maya Kaqchikel Indigenous Peoples of Sumpango et al. v. Guatemala, whose judgment was notified on December 17, 2021 and declared the State of Guatemala internationally responsible for the violation of the rights to freedom of expression, equality before
the law and participation in the cultural life of four indigenous peoples operating community radio stations.1742 Among the measures of reparation, the Court ordered to adapt domestic legislation to recognize community radio stations as differentiated means of communication, particularly indigenous community radio stations; to regulate their operation, establishing a simple procedure for obtaining licenses; and to refrain from criminally prosecuting individuals who operate indigenous community radio stations, to raid such stations and to seize their transmission equipment1743.

766. Following the decision of the Inter-American Court, on January 25, 2022, the bill 5965 Regulating Community Radio Stations was presented to the plenary of the Congress of Guatemala, which proposed reforms to the General Law of Telecommunications with the aim of regulating community radio stations in the country, and which was questioned by civil society1744. The Movement of Community Radio Broadcasters of Guatemala - made up of members of almost 60 community radio stations throughout the country and legally represented by the association Sobrevivencia Cultural - rejected the bill, arguing that it does not comply with the orders of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights1745. In this regard, they pointed out that the State did not carry out any prior consultation with the communities identified as victims in the case before the Inter-American Court, nor more broadly with indigenous communities in the country; that the initiative does not clearly define indigenous community radio, which is essential for the differentiated recognition of this medium as ordered by the Court; that the procedure for obtaining licenses for indigenous community radio is ambiguous; and that the initiative does not reserve a part of the radio spectrum for indigenous community radio1746. According to information received by RELE, during 2022 meetings were held within Congress to discuss this bill; and COPADEH has reported that they have met with some deputies to address the proposed law in question1747.

767. This Office emphasizes that community journalism contributes to diversity and pluralism of information and that, in this sense, community journalism -and, in particular, community radio broadcasting- can play an extremely important role by offering public interest programming and complementing the content offered by private commercial broadcasters1748.

768. The Inter-American Court has highlighted the importance of pluralism for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, stating that it implies "tolerance and a spirit of openness, without which there is no democratic society"1749. Therefore, according to the Court, States have the duty to adopt the necessary measures to ensure that all segments of the population have access to the media1750. To achieve this end, "it is necessary that the State democratize access in such a way that it recognizes, promotes or encourages the diversity and pluralism of spaces for differentiated forms of media and the corresponding legal instruments to provide them with legal security"1751. According to the decision of the Court in the case of Pueblos Indígenas Maya Kaqchikel de Sumpango y otros Vs. Guatemala, "this state obligation necessarily implies a right of the indigenous peoples to
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1742 IACHR Court. December 17, 2021. CP-103/2021. Guatemala is responsible for violating the freedom of expression and cultural rights of four indigenous peoples who operate community radio stations.
1743 IACHR Court. December 17, 2021. CP-103/2021. Guatemala is responsible for violating the freedom of expression and cultural rights of four indigenous peoples who operate community radio stations.
1744 Congress of the Republic, Department of Legislative Information. Initiative No. 5965; LatAm Journalism Review. 29 March 2022.
be represented in the different media, especially by virtue of their particular ways of life, their community relations and the importance of the media for the referred peoples”.

769. In this context, the IACHR and its RELE consider it essential that the State of Guatemala adopt the necessary measures to allow indigenous communities to operate their community radio stations freely, without interference or criminal prosecution, in accordance with the provisions of the Inter-American Court.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

770. In 2022, the Rapporteurship has continued to receive information about the increase in inauthentic behavior coordinated by anonymous accounts on social networks in Guatemala, which, as has been reported, disseminate confidential State information or announce acts that are later carried out by the State, for example, in relation to operations, proceedings and criminal trials that are under the protection of the Public Prosecutor’s Office. On this point, the State has informed the IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship that “at no time have these publications been aired by official accounts of the State of Guatemala, nor by its public officials, so it is not attributable to the State.

771. Likewise, reports indicate that these coordinated groups of anonymous accounts -also known in Guatemala as netcenters- promote harassment campaigns and online attacks against journalists, media, civil society organizations, human rights defenders and public figures critical of the Government, whose alleged purpose is to position narratives of rejection and discredit to their work. In 2022, the Association of Journalists of Guatemala documented 21 attacks against media and journalists on digital platforms in 2022, comprising 3 cyber attacks on digital pages or profiles on social networks, 2 cases of provisional suspension of users on social networks and 16 acts of defamation, threats and sexual harassment against women on these platforms.

772. Thus, for example, in May, an anonymous Twitter account - known for actively intervening in harassment campaigns against government critics, and allegedly part of the netcenters - published death threats against journalist Sonny Figueroa, of the digital media Vox Pópuli. The Rapporteurship also highlights the case of an attack on the Twitter account of the investigative portal No-Ficción, in mid-July 2022, after they published an investigation linked to the Odebrecht case, in alliance with the media outlet Redacción Regional. According to the media, on July 14, hours after publishing the report, their Twitter account was deactivated and the devices of the people in charge of the contents were disconnected.

---

1758 Red Rompe el Miedo. 25 May 2022. Red Rompe el Miedo Guatemala calls on Public Prosecutor’s Office to investigate threat against journalist Sonny Figueroa; Article 19. 25 May 2022. Red Rompe el Miedo Guatemala calls on the Public Prosecutor’s Office to investigate the threat against journalist Sonny Figueroa.
days, the alleged digital attack would have intensified\textsuperscript{1761}. On July 17, 2022, the media outlet detected at 12 pm that the \textit{No Ficción} account had been left without followers, going from having 17,300 followers to zero\textsuperscript{1762}. Likewise, multiple followers of the media outlet's social networks reported suspicious situations\textsuperscript{1763}.

773. In addressing this issue during the IACHR public hearing on freedom of expression in Guatemala during the 185th Period of Sessions, the State warned that "the use or abuse of social networks (...) correspond to publications not made by accounts or state officials, and also belong to the scope of third parties, so in that sense any subject when considered affected by this type of material can report to the administrator of the social network where the corresponding publication is found"\textsuperscript{1764}.

774. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the right to freedom of expression in the terms enshrined in Article 13 of the American Convention protects both traditional media and information that circulates through the Internet\textsuperscript{1765}. In this regard, the Joint Declaration on Freedom of Expression and the Internet provides as a general principle that "freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way as to all media",\textsuperscript{1766} In this sense, RELE considers it essential that the State of Guatemala investigates and punishes all types of attacks, threats or intimidations to journalists or information portals through digital media, as well as restrictions to public interest information circulating on the Internet.

\textsuperscript{1761} Nonfiction. July 18, 2022. \textit{Nonfiction under cyberattack}. 
\textsuperscript{1762} Nonfiction. July 18, 2022. \textit{Nonfiction under cyberattack}. 
\textsuperscript{1763} Nonfiction. July 18, 2022. \textit{Nonfiction under cyberattack}. 
\textsuperscript{1764} IACHR. October 24, 2022. 185th Period of Sessions. \textit{Hearing No. 1: Situation of freedom of expression in Guatemala}. 
\textsuperscript{1766} UN, OSCE, OAS and ACHPR. June 1, 2011. \textit{Joint Declaration on Freedom of Expression and the Internet}. 
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In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur closely followed the discussions and tensions between media associations and political and state authorities on training issues, journalistic standards, and the possible regulation of content on the Internet. Over the course of the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur noted with concern instances of judicial and police harassment against a media outlet for investigating a possible conflict of interest of a minister. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the verbal attacks, by political and diplomatic authorities, against an international media outlet for conducting an investigation into an alleged corruption network in Guyana that would involve Chinese economic, public, and private interests. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was alerted of the arrest of two communicators for having committed alleged crimes of extortion through an information portal on social networks. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information about a series of protests over alleged police brutality in the country; some of the demonstrations culminated in instances of state repression, causing a severe interruption in the activities of the society. Finally, the Office was also alerted to an increase in complaints about discrimination against the Afro-Guyanese population. 2022 had a positive balance in terms of improvements in perception and tolerance towards the LGBTI population.

A. Journalism and democracy

On April 26, 2022, the oil company ExxonMobil announced that it would have found three new wells off the coast of Guyana, bringing the recoverable oil and gas potential of its discoveries to nearly 11 billion barrels. Guyana, being one of the countries with the least economic resources in the hemisphere, would use the profits from its participation in oil production for its economic development. The oil bonanza, however, would require an active press for effective accountability to ensure that the largest revenue stream the country has ever seen is earmarked for development.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that in the area of the fight against corruption and the promotion of integrity, the practices of access to information must be aimed at promoting an informed debate and accountability to prevent it. In this sense, access to information will only be useful to promote the fight against corruption if the State provides "timely, complete, and accessible" information and in a "simple and fast" manner, since incomplete information and long periods of time prevent the proper accountability and qualified debate.

Within the framework of World Press Freedom Day, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a series of events and statements at a two-day conference to commemorate this occasion. On the one hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Guyana government had announced offering free training courses for journalists in the national territory, as well as in the diaspora, through an institutional alliance with the coursera platform. The courses would be part of what would be the first media and communication academy in the country, the Guyana Media and Communications Academy. Media professionals would receive licenses, funded by the government, to access online training.

On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Guyana Press Association (GPA) would not support the initiative of a virtual academy for media professionals because it considers that the resources should be invested in strengthening the center of Communication from the University of Guyana's Center for Communication Studies.

According to the reports received by this Office, the President of Guyana, Irfaan Ali, members of the cabinet, and supporters of the government have sought to define what, in their opinion, they consider to be "good journalism." The Guyana Press Association (GPA) reportedly made a statement on the matter, criticizing

---

the event organizers for allegedly conflating and misrepresenting the practice of journalism with public relations and social media influencers.\textsuperscript{772} Similarly, the Guyana Press Association reportedly criticized the government for talking about improving the standards of journalism in the country when it is the politicians who are using social media influencers–instead of journalists–to disseminate information of public interest.

781. This Office recalls that public officials also have the duty to ensure that with their statements they are not harming the rights of those who contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts, such as journalists and the media.\textsuperscript{773} Additionally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes the fact that politicians and public officials must refrain from taking measures that undermine the independence of the media, such as politically interfering in operations, assuming commercial control of regulatory bodies or media commercial, community, or public communication, or pressure online platforms to regulate content.\textsuperscript{774}

782. Although the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights the efforts to improve the skills and knowledge of the journalistic union in the country, it is also concerned about the possible impact that political and public financing could have on the independence of the media and their journalists.

783. On June 19, 2022, this Office was informed of a possible harassment incident against the Stabroek News newspaper. According to the information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the newspaper investigated the links between the Ministry of Public Works and the company Western Logistics Guyana Inc., of which he was allegedly a director when he was already working as a public official. The outlet’s investigation started from a resolution of the company’s board resolution to which the outlet’s journalists had access.\textsuperscript{775} Minister Indar reportedly accused the newspaper of being in possession of “stolen documents,” for which he urged the police to be present at the Stabroek News premises to find out how they were obtained. After a visit by two detectives from the Criminal Investigation Department (CID), the newspaper’s editor-in-chief, Anand Persaud, reportedly called the minister’s statement “scandalous”, adding that the police presence must be seen as an “act of intimidation intended to interfere with the legitimate work of the newspaper.”

784. In response to the controversy generated by the Stabroek News complaint, Minister Indar reportedly issued a press release accusing the press of spreading “false reports” designed to mislead people about his character and conduct as a public official. In addition, the minister would have assured that he did not instruct any member of the police to visit the facilities of the outlet in question.

785. As described by the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur in the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, “[e]very social communicator has the right to keep his/her source of information, notes, personal and professional archives confidential.”\textsuperscript{776} Likewise, the background and interpretation of the Declaration of Principles also indicates that “[t]his principle provides for the right of every social communicator to refuse to disclose sources of information and research findings to private entities, third parties, or government or legal authorities. Professional confidentiality is considered the social communicator’s right not to reveal information or documentation that has been received in confidence or in the course of research. It should be emphasized that this right does not constitute a duty, as the social communicator does not have the obligation to protect the confidentiality of information sources, except for reasons of professional conduct and ethics.”\textsuperscript{777}

786. This Office reiterates that public officials must be careful to ensure that their comments are accurate, that they avoid stigmatizing and discrediting the media by resorting to labels that refer to so-called false news or "fake news" or other qualifiers that discredit them, and that they do not threaten journalists or undermine

\textsuperscript{774} OAS, UN, OSCE, CHPR. Joint Declaration on the independence and diversity of the media in the digital age. 2018. Pt. 4.a
\textsuperscript{777} IACHR. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles. 2000.
respect for the independence of the media. Similarly, this Office stresses that political and public personalities should be more exposed and not less exposed to public scrutiny and criticism. The need for an open and broad debate, which is crucial for a democratic society, must necessarily encompass the people who participate in the formulation or implementation of public policy. Since these people are at the center of public debate and knowingly expose themselves to public scrutiny, they must show greater tolerance for criticism.

787. On July 7, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a journalistic investigation by VICE News that would have exposed multi-million-dollar Chinese investments in Guyana to exploit natural resources. A journalist from this outlet reportedly interviewed Chinese businessmen to determine the possible degree of corruption in the country. Several of those interviewed reportedly assured that the Vice President of Guyana would be involved in an alleged network of corruption through bribery. The undercover journalist managed to meet with the vice president, who assured that he could collaborate from the government to carry out investment projects, although he denied benefiting financially through bribes. In a press release, the Chinese embassy in Guyana denied the accusations, assuring that Chinese companies operating in the country "follow local laws, international practices, and market rules, participating in large projects through open and fair tenders." The diplomatic mission reportedly accused the VICE News journalist of "having a predetermined agenda" to "slander and attack China-Guyana cooperation." The embassy claimed to be "strongly dissatisfied" and in opposition to "such a violation of professional ethics" of the journalist and the outlet.

788. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the complaints about acts of corruption and the debates around the management of public resources are framed within the categories of speeches specially protected by the right to freedom of expression in inter-American jurisprudence. Likewise, this Office recalls that the complaints about acts of corruption and the debates around the management of public resources are framed within the categories of speeches specially protected by the right to freedom of expression in inter-American jurisprudence.

789. On August 3, 2022, Guyana marked one year of joining the Media Freedom Coalition, an initiative launched in July 2019 and led by Canada and the United Kingdom. Guyana and Belize are the only two Caribbean States that are part of this association. The Media Freedom Coalition seeks member countries to work together to "defend freedom of the press, journalists' safety, and hold accountable those who harm journalists for doing their jobs." Coalition members sign a Global Pledge on Media Freedom with a common agenda for promoting and defending press freedom.

790. The Office of the Special Rapporteur salutes Guyana's efforts to join the Media Freedom Coalition, setting a positive precedent for other states in the region.

791. At the end of September, this Office was informed of the arrest of two communicators—Gary Eleazar and Alex Wayne—for having participated in an alleged crime of extortion. According to the information received, the two journalists were reportedly captured by the police after allegedly trying to extort money from a businessman in exchange for removing a false post on a social network from the Guyana News Network (GNN) portal that accused him of participating in illegal activities. The police reportedly argued that this portal would be known for publishing defamatory articles about certain individuals. The police would have carried out searches in the homes of the defendants, confiscating cell phones, laptops, and hard drives. The communicators have reportedly pleaded not guilty after appearing before Cove and John Magistrate's Court and, and

---

1779 IACHR. Report on the compatibility between contempt laws and the ACHR. 1995. Section IV.C.
consequently have been granted bail. At the time of publication of this annual report, the case and investigation continue in the courts.

792. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have stated, the State can punish really serious violations committed by the media through proportional sanctions that do not represent excessive restrictions on freedom of expression. In this sense, this Office urges the Guyanese authorities to investigate in depth and, if applicable, punish the crime that two communicators from an information portal would have committed.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

793. On June 10, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the murder of Quinton Bacchus, a 23-year-old Guyanese man who had been shot to death by a police officer. Bacchus reportedly lost his life in a shooting after allegedly trying to sell a firearm to an undercover police officer in Haslington, East Coast Demerara. His death would have led to a series of demonstrations since June 13. Residents of Golden Grove, East Coast Demerara, reportedly took to the streets to demand an investigation into Bacchus’ death after alleged witness reports emerged questioning the validity of the facts presented by police. The police commissioner reportedly ensured that an impartial investigation would be launched. Likewise, the commissioner reportedly revealed that the officer who shot Bacchus would be under arrest while the investigation continues.

794. As of June 28, according to the reports received by this Office, an allegedly false news story began on social networks that the policeman accused of the murder of the 23-year-old man had been released. According to public information, the rumor would have led to a wave of protests, blockades, looting, and burning of vehicles. The Guyana police reportedly issued a press release denying the information, assuring that the police officer being investigated would continue in custody. Likewise, the police would have asked the protesters to lift the blockades. Guyana’s President Irfaan Ali reportedly urged protesting residents of Golden Grove state to "stick to the facts" and not be "misled" by social media posts or unverified information in the media. The president would also have assured that a man threatened to kill him in a video posted on Facebook, supposedly from the profile of an opposition parliamentarian. Due to the above, the head of state would have said that he would request the National Assembly to approve a unanimous resolution that denounces the political violence and the threats of assassination against him.

795. In a statement through his Facebook page, the president would have described the protests as "a scandalous anarchy" for which he urged the police to arrest protesters who damage public and private property and loot citizens. As a result of the alleged death threats received by the head of state, he assured that in the protests "not everything is justice." The individual who reportedly threatened the president in a live broadcast was reportedly captured by the authorities.

796. On June 28, the Guyana Police Force, in the state of Golden Grove, reportedly used tear gas and opened fire on a group of protesters. Stabroek News Assistant Editor Samantha Alleyne and her 10-year-old son were reportedly among those injured in this confrontation despite not protesting. In a press release, the police would have assured that only one person was injured and that it was a member of that institution.

---

1789 Stabroek News. June 29, 2022. SN Assistant Editor shot by pellet after cops open fire on protesters; News Room. June 28, 2022. Stabroek News senior reporter, Olusatovo Samantha Alleyne-Williams, and her child were injured by the police.
On June 29, this Office was informed that 16 people had been charged for the apparent riots of the previous day. The defendants reportedly appeared before the Cove and John Magistrate's Court. According to the information received, none of the defendants is related to the alleged assault and destruction of the Mon Repos market. The riots reportedly resulted in substantial losses for the merchants, who considered the possible compensation from the State insufficient.

On July 3, 2022, in a press release, the Police Complaints Authority reportedly recommended charges against three members of the Guyana Police Force in connection with the fatal shooting of Quindon Bacchus. The statement ensured that it would be the responsibility of the director of the public prosecutor's office to determine who to indict and for what crimes.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the authorities should not stigmatize or stereotype the protesters and their demands and avoid making generalizations based on the behavior of particular groups or isolated events. In this sense, the authorities must take into account that public officials have a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of people and, therefore, their statements cannot constitute forms of direct or indirect interference in the rights of those who intend to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of information. This duty is particularly accentuated in situations of greater social conflict, disturbances of public order, or social or political polarization, precisely because of the set of risks that they may imply for certain people.

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights that a State may impose reasonable limitations on demonstrations in order to ensure their peaceful development or disperse those that turn violent, provided that such limits are governed by the principles of legality, necessity, and proportionality. In addition, the decentralization of a demonstration must be justified in the duty to protect people, and the safest and least harmful measures for the protesters must be used. The use of force in public demonstrations must be exceptional and in strictly necessary circumstances in accordance with internationally recognized principles.

C. Freedom of expression and fight against discrimination and exclusion

In 2022, this Office received information indicating that the current administration would allegedly be engaging in discriminatory practices against Afro-Guyanese individuals in the provision of land, jobs, contracts, and other opportunities. The accusations were reportedly raised by the opposition party of the A Partnership for National Unity+Alliance For Change (APNU+AFC), a party mostly supported by Afro-Guyanese, against the largely Indo-Guyanese People’s Progressive Party Civic (PPPC). According to public information, the president and his cabinet would have rejected the accusations of racial discrimination, arguing that there was no evidence that it existed.

On July 19, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that Indo-Guyanese academics and performers had been excluded from the 22nd Guyana Folk Festival; the festival would also celebrate the 50th anniversary of Carifesta, the Caribbean Festival of Arts.

As the Office of the Special Rapporteur has expressed on previous occasions, States should focus particularly, as local circumstances warrant, on combating—which includes designing programs to
counteract—historical discrimination, prejudices, and tendentious attitudes that impede the equal enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression by certain groups. 1797

In September, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received the results of a study on perceptions and attitudes towards people from the LGBTI community in Guyana. 1798 The findings shared with this Office showed an improvement with respect to the last study, carried out in 2013. More than a thousand people from the coastal regions were reportedly interviewed between June 18 and July 1, 2022, to measure national public opinion in this matter. According to the information disseminated, close to three quarters of the population reportedly had positive attitudes towards the LGBTI population.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of expression must be combined with efforts to combat intolerance, discrimination, hate speech, and incitement to violence, particularly with the promotion of proactive public policies for the social inclusion in the media and to ensure that LGBTI individuals and communities can exercise their right to freedom of expression without discrimination. All these efforts must strictly conform in a general way to international human rights law, and, in particular, to the standards on freedom of expression. 1799

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

On May 3, 2022, as part of International Press Freedom Day, the Guyana Press Association (GPA) issued a statement highlighting the risks and challenges to freedom of expression in the digital age.

The association reportedly expressed concern about the rise of online media and the convergence of traditional media to social networks as they expose the journalistic community to cyber threats, such as ransomware and spyware intrusions. 1800 According to the association's statement, spyware could be used to determine journalists' sources and provoke attacks on reporters, their sources and even their work devices; they would also be exposed to hackers whose sole objective would be to destroy the information channels of the media, inhibiting the free flow of information to the public. This Office was also alerted to the possibility that the Cyber Crime Act criminalizes the transmission of sensitive information by electronic means, which would worry the journalistic union. The association reportedly urged state authorities, journalists, and the media to take preventive measures, such as being careful with downloaded content and minimizing their vulnerability to surveillance and control of their work. The association reportedly described the environment in which journalists operate in the Caribbean as "increasingly dangerous," noting that despite the fact that several countries have enacted laws to intercept communications and counter what they consider to be cybercrime, there is evidence that the legislation would be abused to censor the press and undermine freedom of expression.

In response to the concerns raised by the journalistic union in the country, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the president's pronouncement, who asserted that his government had no intention of using state-of-the-art technology to monitor the activities of the media, journalists, and citizens. 1801 The president reportedly indicated that his government would have "no intention" to use any spyware.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States have the obligation to respect and protect the right to privacy in the digital age and adopt or adapt their legislation and practices to that effect, protecting all persons under their jurisdiction, which includes protection against possible arbitrary or abusive interference also with respect to third parties. 1802 Likewise, this Office emphasizes that respect for freedom of expression

1797 OAS, UN, OSCE, ACHPR. Joint Declaration on universality and the right to freedom of expression. 2014. Pt. 1.g
online presupposes the privacy of communications. Indeed, without a private space, free from arbitrary interference by the State or individuals, the right to freedom of thought and expression cannot be fully exercised\(^{1803}\). Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the laws and policies regarding the validity, nature, scope, and implementation of interception and monitoring mechanisms must be public, and the State is obliged to apply the principle of maximum disclosure developed within the framework of the right of access to information\(^{1804}\).

810. On July 18, 2022, the Prime Minister of Guyana, Mark Phillips, reportedly called on telecommunications companies to address disinformation on the internet, as well as the digital divide in the region\(^{1805}\). The COVID-19 pandemic reportedly revealed the lack of connectivity in the country, as well as the potential of social networks to spread false news about the virus and vaccination. The public official reportedly urged telecommunications operators, content providers, and regulators to examine frameworks that promote and ensure responsible use of the Internet, insisting that such frameworks should comply with principles of freedom of expression.

811. In late July 2022, this Office noted with concern a request by Guyana’s attorney general and minister of legal affairs to the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) to take action against members of the Guyanese diaspora in the United States for allegedly spreading racial hatred in the country\(^{1806}\). According to the information received, the public official argued that these people were operating in cyberspace "with impunity from the United States," by allegedly creating ethnic conflicts between segments of the Guyanese population, suggesting that various individuals are “inciting domestic terrorism.” Among the people accused by the government of committing crimes of racial hostility, seditious libel, and disturbance of public order from the United States would be Rickford Burke, who is allegedly an opponent of the current administration and reportedly an alleged spokesperson for the community Guyanese Afro-descendant.

812. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the response of the States in terms of security in cyberspace must be limited and proportionate, and seek to comply with precise legal purposes, which do not compromise the democratic virtues that characterize the network. In this sense, governments should refrain from privileging the concentrated and centralized use of criminal law as a fundamental instrument to face all possible threats that may threaten online security\(^{1807}\).

---


HAITI

813. During 2022, the SRFOE received information on seven murders of journalists in Haiti, making it the country in the hemisphere with the second highest rate of murders against the press. Likewise, the Rapporteurship learned of alleged kidnappings of journalists, in a context of generalized violence promoted by organized criminal gangs. This year it also learned of social demonstrations motivated by the high cost of living following the elimination of fuel subsidies during September and October of this year. Added to this is the political instability that has led to disrespect for fundamental guarantees and human rights, especially in the context of citizen protests, where journalists were assaulted while covering the events. The State of Haiti continues to face important challenges in terms of democratic institutions, citizen security and the administration of justice, which have repercussions on the respect and guarantee of human rights and freedom of expression.

A. Journalism and democracy

814. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur noted with concern an increase in the number of lethal attacks against the press in Haiti. During the course of the year, at least seven murders of journalists that could be linked to their work, as well as attempted murders of members of the press, were recorded. This situation causes a climate of self-censorship due to the fear of practicing journalism, especially in the absence of convincing answers from the authorities. Several reports indicate that since the assassination of former president Jovenel Moïse, on July 7, 2021, the country has been in an escalation of violence and humanitarian crisis, which would have been aggravated by the increase in the prices of vital products and which would have provoked protests especially during September and October 2022. The violence against journalists is framed in a scenario of high citizen insecurity, due to the presence of criminal gangs that control 60 percent of Haiti’s capital.1808

815. According to information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, John Wesley Amady, of the Canadian radio station Radio Ecoute FM, and Wilguens Louissaint, a local reporter for various digital media, were killed on the afternoon of January 6, 2022 after being victims of an armed attack while reporting on the escalating tension and violent clashes between criminal gangs for control of the Laboule 12 area in Port-au-Prince.1809 According to available information, a third journalist, Wilmann Viil, managed to escape the attack alive.1810

816. Also, according to information received by this Office, on the morning of February 23, police officers reportedly opened fire from a national police vehicle on people demonstrating for an increase in Haiti’s minimum wage in Port-au-Prince.1811 Three journalists were reportedly hit by gunfire: Maxihen Lazzare, of Roi des Infos; Sony Laurore, of Laurore News TV; and Yves Moïse, of Radio RCH 20001812. Maxihen Lazzare died shortly afterwards in a hospital in the capital, victim of serious wounds caused by the projectiles.1813

---

1808 BBC. December 5, 2022. BBC special in Haiti: the brutality of the gangs that hold Port-au-Prince hostage; La Jornada. October 16, 2022. Gangs terrorize Haiti with sexual violence, says UN.


1810 Ndewes prayer. January 6, 2022. Two online media journalists victims of armed attack by gangs in Laboule; CPJ. 7 January 2022. Two Haitian journalists killed while reporting on gang violence in Port-au-Prince.


1812 Reuters. February 23, 2022. Haiti police open fire on demonstrators, one journalist killed; Vant Bêf Info. 23 February 2023. Flash/Haiti. One journalist killed and 2 others injured during the workers’ demonstration.

Also on September 11, reporters Frantzsen Charles and Tayson Lartigue were reportedly killed while reporting on violence and gang clashes in the Cité Soleil neighborhood of Port-au-Prince. Prime Minister Ariel Henry condemned the events, which he described as "barbaric acts," and offered his condolences to the families. As far as the Rapporteurship was able to learn, Frantzsen Charles was a student at the Centre de Formation en Communication et en Administration and worked for FS News; and Tayson Lartigue reported through his portal Tijèn Jounalis.

On October 24, local authorities identified the body of the communicator Garry Tess, who had been missing since October 18 in the district of Los Cayos, South Department of Haiti. The information received by this Office indicates that the broadcaster had left his home on the aforementioned date and had not returned since then; his body was reportedly identified by his wife a week after his disappearance. The reporter, who covered political and national current affairs as a broadcaster of the program "Gran Lakou" on Radio Lébon FM, had been reported missing six days before he was found dead.

This Office also reported the murder of journalist Romelson Vilcint on October 30. The journalist was reportedly hit in the head by a tear gas canister when police attempted to disperse a group of people demanding the release of Robert Dimanche, a journalist with Radio Tele Zenith. Hours earlier, Dimanche was reportedly arrested by the police and taken to the Delmas police station in Port-au-Prince while covering another protest.

On November 5, journalist Fritz Dorilas was murdered in his home in Port-au-Prince. The reporter worked for Radio Téle Megastar and was co-host of a program called "Law and Justice." According to available information, Dorilas was shot several times near his home in the middle of a gang shootout where he was allegedly taken from his home to be executed.

The Rapporteurship also learned of the murder of Haitian journalist Francklin Tamar, on December 18, 2022. According to publicly available information, the reporter was the victim of an armed attack by two unidentified individuals on a motorcycle on Rue Monseigneur Guilloux, near his home in the city of Port-au-Prince. The journalist died on his way to the hospital after being shot at least twice. Franklin Tamar worked for Radio Lébon FM and was host of a program called "Law and Justice." According to the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, the broadcaster has been threatened for his stories on gang violence and human rights abuses.

This Office also received information about the death of journalist Fritz Dorilas on November 5, who was reportedly shot multiple times in the middle of a gang shootout in Port-au-Prince. The journalist was a reporter for Radio Téle Megastar and was known for his work covering social issues and human rights abuses. According to available information, Dorilas was shot several times near his home and had allegedly been taken from his home to be executed.

The Rapporteurship also learned of the murder of journalist Francklin Tamar, who was reportedly shot multiple times in Port-au-Prince on December 18. Tamar was a host of a program called "Law and Justice" and had previously worked for Radio Lébon FM. According to the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, Tamar had been threatened for his coverage of gang violence and human rights abuses. The report also notes that Dorilas and Tamar were among several journalists who had been killed in recent months, highlighting the ongoing violence against the press in Haiti.
a well-known radio reporter, with a career of more than 20 years covering cultural issues, according to public reports.\textsuperscript{1827} He had been working at Radio Solidarité since 2015, where he hosted two programs on music and culture.\textsuperscript{1828} In a press release, the Haitian Ministry of Culture and Communication condemned the murder of the reporter.\textsuperscript{1829}

822. This Office recalls that murders of journalists constitute the most extreme form of censorship and violate the right to freedom of expression in both its individual and collective dimensions. Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR states that “[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation of and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators and to ensure that victims receive due compensation”.\textsuperscript{1830}

823. The same media outlet, Radio y Televisión Zenith, was attacked on the night of January 30-31, along with two police buildings. The violence allegedly led to the breaking of doors and windows of the media outlet’s premises and the throwing of Molotov cocktails. One policeman died while another was reportedly shot and wounded. The Haitian Association of Journalists condemned the attack and recalled that it followed threats made against the newspaper.\textsuperscript{1831}

824. This office also learned that on July 17, according to public information, journalist Edner Fils Decime, of AlterPresse, was kidnapped after the car in which he was traveling was intercepted by three vehicles with armed persons.\textsuperscript{1832} Along with him, four other people were also reportedly abducted in the town of Delmas in Port-au-Prince. Edner Fils Decime was held captive for 20 days and then released on August 5.\textsuperscript{1833} The media for which he worked had organized a campaign calling for his release, which was supported by many local organizations such as the Association des Journalistes Haïtiens (AJH). Decime’s health conditions were reportedly worrying as he suffered from hypertension and was reportedly deprived of his medications and the strict diet he was supposed to follow.

825. In this context, on October 27, the newspaper Le Nouvelliste, which was founded in 1899, announced that it will cease publishing its print edition due to the security and economic problems facing the country, which would have limited its continuity.\textsuperscript{1834}

826. In this context of violence, on October 25, journalist Roberson Alphonse was wounded in both arms while traveling in a vehicle on his way to work at Magik9 radio station; the car was hit by at least 10 bullets.\textsuperscript{1835} In addition to working at the radio station, Alphonse writes for the newspaper Le Nouvelliste. The Minister of Culture and Communication expressed his solidarity with the journalist and called the attack an “assassination attempt”.\textsuperscript{1836}
827. In addition, in the context of the September 7 demonstrations over the economic situation and the public security crisis, this Office received information that at least three journalists from the Télé Zenith media outlet were injured by gunfire and rubber bullets, tear gas and assaults by police in the Haitian capital. At the same time, a journalist covering cultural issues was reportedly hit by a projectile during protests in the city of Cap-Haitien (North), allegedly coming from the police.

828. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that attacks, threats, intimidation and harassment against journalists are intended to restrict or hinder the work of those journalists who investigate attacks, abuses, irregularities or illegal acts of any kind committed by public officials, organizations or private individuals in general. Likewise, they seek to be instrument of intimidation that sends an unmistakable message to all members of civil society engaged in investigating irregularities in the public administration. The SRFOE has also maintained that this practice “seeks to silence the press in its watchdog role”, preventing society from being informed about events of public interest. For all these reasons, the Inter-American Court emphasized that “journalism can only be exercised freely when those who carry out this work are not victims of threats or physical, mental or moral attacks or other acts of harassment”.

829. States have a positive obligation to identify and punish the perpetrators of crimes against journalists in a complete, effective and impartial manner and to judicially determine the relationship they may have with journalistic activity and freedom of expression. The authorities should not rule out the practice of journalism as a motive for the murder and/or aggression before the investigation is completed. The omission of logical lines of investigation or the lack of diligence in the collection of evidence in this sense can have serious repercussions in the development of the processes in the indictment or trial stages. Failure to fully exhaust the logical lines of investigation has an impact, above all, on the failure to identify the intellectual authors.

830. Likewise, the Rapporteurship reiterates that there is nothing more permissive to the repetition of violence against the press than the absence of a forceful institutional reaction - and therefore it is important that the authorities at the highest level continue to emphatically repudiate this escalation of violence - and nothing more encouraging and reassuring for the perpetrators of violence than impunity for crimes against journalists.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

831. A communiqué published on August 8 by the Organization of American States underscores the serious institutional crisis in Haiti, which is demonstrated by the lack of effective rights for the majority of people, and therefore the lack of freedom to express ideas and respect different opinions, since the institutional framework through which these rights could be enforced would be extremely weakened. As stated in this communiqué, there would not exist “single institution with the capacity to address the problems facing Haitians”, which would make the rule of law inapplicable. One of the calls made by the OAS Assembly is to bring justice for the assassination of President Jovenel Moïse, to promote a broad dialogue and to achieve free and transparent elections.

832. In a UN Security Council resolution issued on July 15, it was decided to extend until July 15, 2023 the mandate of the UN Integrated Office in Haiti (BINUH), under the direction of a Special Representative of the UN.

---


1839 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.

1840 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.

1841 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.


Secretary General. This office would be mandated to promote stability, the rule of law, the defense of human rights and dialogue mechanisms. One of the agreements reportedly reached was to achieve a consensus to hold independent elections within 18 months.

833. BINUH expressed its condemnation of the murders of journalists this year and stated that "freedom of expression is a fundamental right, the obstruction of which is a major obstacle to the democratic process. Violence against journalists is a serious threat to press freedom and impedes the enjoyment of the right to information. Without journalists, how can people have access to information? Journalists must be protected at all times." The IACHR welcomed the extension of the BIDUH and expressed concern about clashes between armed groups in the Cité Soleil area of Port-au-Prince. In a communiqué of July 20, it pointed out that these violent events reportedly left 99 people dead, 135 wounded and displaced more than 2,500 between July 7 and 14, according to the United Nations.

834. On August 30, 2022, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights issued Resolution 43/33, by which it granted precautionary measures of protection in favor of M.A.C., after considering that she is in a serious and urgent situation of risk of irreparable harm to her rights in Haiti. According to the request, the beneficiary, who identifies herself as a women's human rights defender in Haiti, is at risk due to threats and harassment against her in the context of her search for justice for acts of sexual violence of which she was allegedly a victim.

835. The various violent events reported in September have reportedly drawn the attention of various international organizations, including the Inter American Press Association (IAPA), which condemned "the numerous violent attacks against journalists and media in Haiti". One of which occurred on September 15 at the headquarters of the state-owned Radio Television Nationale de Haiti (TNH), which involved the burning of three vehicles, the throwing of stones at the building and the theft of equipment from the station.

836. In the index published by Freedom House, Haiti’s status went from partially “free” to “not free” in 2022. On freedom of expression, it was considered that "attacks on journalists occur frequently, and impunity for perpetrators is the norm”. The study also adds: “the work of journalists is constrained by threats and violence, government interference, and a lack of financial resources”.

837. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also received reports of alleged police repression during social demonstrations. According to the information available, in the context of the protests that took place in the capital, Port-au-Prince, during the month of October, to protest against the government’s handling of fuel shortages and price increases, the Haitian police allegedly fired tear gas at thousands of people marching in the streets. They also reportedly fired guns into the air to disperse the crowd.

838. The Inter-American Commission and its Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasize that the actions of State security agents must be carried out in strict compliance with international human rights standards, which establish the use of force under the principles of exceptionality, proportionality and absolute necessity.
In this sense, security operations must be planned under clear action protocols that guarantee the adequate, progressive and proportional use of less lethal weapons, and that favor dialogue.

839. This Rapporteurship recalls that acts of violence against journalists or media workers and which for reasons connected to their professional activity violate both the individual’s right to express and impart ideas, opinions and information, as well as the rights of citizens and societies as a whole to seek and receive information and ideas of any nature.\textsuperscript{1854}

C. Freedom of expression and the Internet

840. Of the main challenges facing Haiti in the digital area is the lack of information, figures and statistics on the level of Internet penetration in households. This is due to the lack of capacity of state institutions to conduct studies. The most recent information, dating from 2020, indicates that 35% of the population has access to the Internet, while the world average is 60%.\textsuperscript{1855} Likewise, the availability of broadband would be 0.27 compared to a world average of 16 percent.\textsuperscript{1856}

841. This Office recalls that States must promote access to the Internet for all people, which implies expanding Internet infrastructure and access to the technology necessary for its use, but also promoting digital literacy and ensuring linguistic plurality. The principle of universal access “refers to the need to guarantee connectivity and access to the Internet infrastructure and other ICT services that is universal, ubiquitous, equitable, truly affordable, and of adequate quality, all throughout the State’s territory”.\textsuperscript{1857}


\hspace{1em} \textsuperscript{1855} World Bank. \textit{People using the internet (% of population) - Haiti}; El Dia. October 28, 2022. \textit{Crisis corners Haitian press, which loses lives and circulation}.

\hspace{1em} \textsuperscript{1856} World Bank. \textit{People using the internet (% of population) - Haiti}; El Dia. October 28, 2022. \textit{Crisis corners Haitian press, which loses lives and circulation}.

HONDRUS

842. The Rapporteurship continues to observe with concern a hostile context for the practice of journalism in Honduras due to reports of murders, intimidations, legal actions against journalists possibly motivated by the practice of journalism. In 2022, several organizations expressed their concern about the alleged institutional weakening of the mechanism for the protection of journalists and human rights defenders, as well as the lack of effectiveness of the Special Prosecutor’s Office for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders, Journalists, Social Communicators and Justice Operators (Feproddhh). On the other hand, the Special Rapporteurship welcomes the repeal of the Law for the classification of public documents related to national security and defense -known as the "Secrets Law"-, which implied serious obstacles for the full exercise of the right of access to public information. The repeal of this regulation implies compliance with one of the recommendations established in the 2019 country report of the IACHR on the human rights situation in Honduras. Finally, the Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Honduras to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

843. During 2022, at least three murders of journalists were reported in Honduras, which raises concerns about the violence derived from the practice of journalism. In addition, RELE received information about harassment, threats, and the possible regression after the issuance of a regulation that would weaken the protection mechanism for journalists.

844. On January 9, RELE and the IACHR condemned the murder of Lenca indigenous leader and community journalist Pablo Isabel Hernández Rivera.1858 According to publicly available information, on January 9, 2022 unknown persons shot indigenous leader and community journalist Hernández Rivera while he was on his way to church in the municipality of San Marcos de Caiquín, department of Lempira.1859 The journalist died immediately in the attack. Pablo Isabel Hernández Rivera was director and journalist of the community radio station Tenán 94.1 F.M, “La voz Indigena Lenca”. In his community he was known for his critical coverage of local issues. The radio station also rebroadcast the program “Voces contra el olvido” (Voices against forgetting), of the Committee of Relatives of the Detained and Disappeared in Honduras (COFADEH).1860 According to available information, in addition to being a community journalist, he was a prominent indigenous leader and member of the Network of Human Rights Defenders of Lempira that organizes and forms COFADEH, Mayor of the Vara Alta Auxiliary, which is the Traditional Government of the Lenca People, President of the Indigenous and Peoples’ Cultural Association, Secretary of the Local Indigenous Council of Tierra Colorada and President of the network of Agro-ecologists of the Cacique Lempira Lord of the Mountains Biosphere1861. The IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur expressed having knowledge that in recent years Pablo Isabel Hernández has reported threats against his life.1862

---

Likewise, the IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur condemned the murder of journalist Ricardo Ávila\textsuperscript{1863}. According to publicly available information, in the early morning of May 26, 2022, unknown subjects intercepted and shot journalist Ricardo Alcides Ávila of Metro TV as he was on his way from the municipality of Marcovia to Choluteca\textsuperscript{1864}. After the attack, Ávila was transferred to a hospital in Choluteca and later to another institution in Tegucigalpa, where he died on May 29\textsuperscript{1865}. In this regard, the Honduran National Police reported that the journalist was the victim of an attempted robbery and that the assailants had taken some of his belongings. However, this hypothesis has been controverted by reports from Ricardo Ávila’s relatives, who assured that the perpetrators did not steal any valuable belongings after shooting him, such as his wallet, cell phone and motorcycle\textsuperscript{1866}. The president of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) asked “to deepen the investigations and not to rule out his profession as the cause of the attack”\textsuperscript{1867}. The organization argued that, although the police are handling the hypothesis of an attempted robbery, “the coverage that Ávila gave of police events and social movements should also be investigated”\textsuperscript{1868}.

In its 2019 report “Situation of Human Rights in Honduras”, the IACHR recalled that States have the obligation to protect journalists who are at risk because of the exercise of their profession and to initiate timely investigations when attacks occur\textsuperscript{1869}. The Rapporteurship recalls that it is the duty of the State to investigate, prosecute and punish whoever is responsible and guarantee reparations to the victims\textsuperscript{1870}. In this regard, RELE urges the State of Honduras to continue the investigations with due diligence, to punish the intellectual and material authors, and to provide clear and transparent information regarding the actions taken and findings in the case. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the investigations should not rule out the evaluation of the possible link between the crime and the practice of journalism, as this could hinder the identification of possible masterminds.

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information on the murder of journalist Edwin Josué Andino Canaca, on October 10,\textsuperscript{1871}. This Office called on the State to investigate, prosecute and punish these facts, considering the practice of journalism as a possible motive for the crime\textsuperscript{1872}. According to the information received, a group of people dressed as Military Police of Public Order went to the home of journalist Edwin Josué Andino Canaca, producer of the television channel La Tribuna (LTV), and his father Edwin Emilio Andino Amador, on the morning of October 10, 2022. Both were gagged with adhesive tape and forced to leave their home. The bodies of journalist Andino Canaca and his father were found 15 kilometers away. The president of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) asked Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman. According to publicly available information, in the early morning of May 26, 2022, unknown subjects intercepted and shot journalist Ricardo Alcides Ávila of Metro TV as he was on his way from the municipality of Marcovia to Choluteca. After the attack, Ávila was transferred to a hospital in Choluteca and later to another institution in Tegucigalpa, where he died on May 29. In this regard, the Honduran National Police reported that the journalist was the victim of an attempted robbery and that the assailants had taken some of his belongings. However, this hypothesis has been controverted by reports from Ricardo Ávila’s relatives, who assured that the perpetrators did not steal any valuable belongings after shooting him, such as his wallet, cell phone and motorcycle. The president of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) asked “to deepen the investigations and not to rule out his profession as the cause of the attack”. The organization argued that, although the police are handling the hypothesis of an attempted robbery, “the coverage that Ávila gave of police events and social movements should also be investigated.”

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information on the murder of journalist Edwin Josué Andino Canaca, on October 10,\textsuperscript{1871}. This Office called on the State to investigate, prosecute and punish these facts, considering the practice of journalism as a possible motive for the crime\textsuperscript{1872}. According to the information received, a group of people dressed as Military Police of Public Order went to the home of journalist Edwin Josué Andino Canaca, producer of the television channel La Tribuna (LTV), and his father Edwin Emilio Andino Amador, on the morning of October 10, 2022. Both were gagged with adhesive tape and forced to leave their home. The bodies of journalist Andino Canaca and his father were found 15 kilometers away. The president of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) asked Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman.

---


\textsuperscript{1867} Inter American Press Association. June 3, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into journalist’s murder.

\textsuperscript{1868} Swissinfo. June 3, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman; El Heraldo. June 7, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of Ricardo Ávila.

\textsuperscript{1869} Inter American Press Association. June 3, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into journalist’s murder.

\textsuperscript{1870} Swissinfo. June 3, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of a cameraman; El Heraldo. June 7, 2022. IAPA asks Honduras to deepen investigations into the murder of Ricardo Ávila.


\textsuperscript{1873} CPJ. October 26, 2022. Honduran journalist Edwin Josué Andino is shot to death in Tegucigalpa; C-Libre Honduras. Oct. 10, 2022. Edwin Josué Andino Canaca, is the fifth journalist murdered in Honduras so far in 2022; Swissinfo. 10 October 2022. TV journalist and his father are shot to death in Honduras.
away from each other, both with gunshot wounds. Also, according to public information, the authorities considered the hypothesis that it was an attack planned by organized crime.\footnote{1873}

848. This Office recalls that murders of journalists constitute the most extreme form of censorship and violate the right to freedom of expression in both its individual and collective dimensions. Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR states that "[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation, threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation for the victims."\footnote{1874}

849. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that the purpose of violence against journalists is to restrict or hinder the work of those who investigate abuses, abuses, irregularities or illicit acts of all kinds, carried out either by public officials or private individuals.\footnote{1875} Likewise, they seek to be tools of intimidation, by means of which a message is sent to all those persons of the civil society who carry out investigation tasks on irregularities in the public administration.\footnote{1876} RELE has also maintained that this practice "seeks to keep the press, as a control mechanism, silent", preventing society from being informed about events of public interest.\footnote{1877} For all these reasons, the Inter-American Court emphasized that "the practice of journalism can only be carried out freely when the persons who engage in it are not victims of threats or physical, psychological or moral aggression or other acts of harassment."\footnote{1878}

850. States have a positive obligation to identify and punish the perpetrators of murders of journalists in a complete, effective and impartial manner, and to judicially determine the relationship they may have with journalistic activity and freedom of expression. The authorities should not rule out the practice of journalism as a motive for the murder and/or aggression before the investigation is completed. The omission of logical lines of investigation or the lack of diligence in the collection of evidence in this sense can have serious repercussions in the development of the processes in the indictment or trial stages. Failure to fully exhaust the logical lines of investigation has an impact, above all, on the failure to identify the intellectual authors.

851. In this context, on June 17, the presidents of the Honduran Association of Journalists and the Honduran Bar Association expressed their concern over the deaths of journalists at a press conference. They also denounced impunity in more than 90 percent of the cases and signed an agreement to demand answers from the authorities.\footnote{1879}

852. On May 24, by means of a communiqué, the National Commissioner for Human Rights of Honduras stated that there is 91% impunity in crimes against journalists since 2001.\footnote{1880} In this regard, the organization stated that of the 92 cases it has monitored since 2001, 84 have not been convicted. Due to these figures, the Commissioner considered that practicing journalism in Honduras is a "high-risk profession."\footnote{1881} He also noted that the Special Prosecutor’s Office for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders, Journalists, Social Communicators and Justice Operators (Feproddhh) had not achieved the expected results.\footnote{1882} The report "Bajo Riesgo: Cómo superar las deficiencias de los programas de protección de periodistas en América Latina" by Reporters Without Borders highlights that in Honduras there is a specific prosecutor’s office to investigate
crimes against journalists but notes that coordination with protection mechanisms is "insufficient". Additionally, an investigation conducted by LatAm Journalism Review of the University of Texas indicated that, since its creation in 2018 until the time of publication (June 2022) 252 complaints have been formalized before the Special Prosecutor's Office; however, there are no sentences and there would be no judicialized cases against aggressors of journalists, communicators or human rights defenders in criminal courts or tribunals. According to what the head of the Prosecutor's Office told LatAm Journalism Review, the reason for this is that "many of the cases are referred to a peace court, where they are settled through conciliation, while others known by the Feproddhh are referred to other prosecutors' offices, such as Crimes Against Life or Ethnic Groups".

853. In this context, the director and representative of Unesco's Central America Cluster Office said that "Honduras is a very dangerous country to practice journalism, the figures show it." To verify the conditions of freedom of expression in the country, Alicia Quiñonez, regional coordinator for the Americas and the Caribbean of Centro Pen International, traveled to Honduras on December 11 to meet with journalists who may be suffering violations to do their work. Centro Pen reported that it requested a meeting with the National Human Rights Commissioner to address the situation of the Protection Mechanism for human rights defenders, journalists, social communicators and justice operators, but did not receive a response. Among the findings of the visit was "harassment, including criminal, police and legal harassment; they also commented that they were afraid to exercise their professional work, even more so after being threatened through messages to their phones and other means", according to the Twitter account of the Pen Center.

854. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has repeatedly urged States to "conduct serious, impartial and effective investigations into the murders, attacks, threats and acts of intimidation committed against journalists and media workers". The Inter-American Court has pointed out that impunity -understood as the failure to investigate, prosecute, capture, try and convict as a whole- fosters the chronic repetition of human rights violations and the total defenselessness of the victims and their families.

855. This Office learned of the actions that would entail changes in the National Protection Mechanism after the arrival of the new Secretary for Human Rights. According to civil society organizations, on August 12, 14 people were dismissed and this would be weakening the system because it could have happened for political rather than technical reasons. Likewise, other users reported possible abuses of authority on the part of personnel and that these measures would affect 158 persons who benefit from this mechanism,
among them, 14 justice operators\textsuperscript{1895}, 12 journalists\textsuperscript{1896}, 119 human rights defenders\textsuperscript{1897} and 13 social communicators\textsuperscript{1898}. The IAPA asked the President of Honduras to review these changes\textsuperscript{1899} and on August 26, the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, Pedro Vaca, called on the Government that the changes would not weaken this tool\textsuperscript{1900}. This Mechanism was born in 2015 through Decree 34-2015\textsuperscript{1901}. In the RELE 2019 Report, insufficient protection of the Mechanism was reported in the face of risk situations of various journalists\textsuperscript{1902}. For its part, Reporters Without Borders also expressed concern about the changes\textsuperscript{1903} and in a regional report mentioned that one of the reasons for the weakness of the Mechanism is the lack of budget.\textsuperscript{1904}

856. This Office received several reports on the activation of legal actions against journalists that could have been used as a silencing measure. According to publicly available information, journalist César Silva, of the UNTV television channel, was detained by security forces on April 8 and released the same day. In statements to the press, Silvia indicated that he was surprised to be arrested because the day before he had announced to the court that he would present himself to clarify his legal situation. Silva was sentenced to 37 days and 12 hours of commutable imprisonment for the crime of libel. Silva paid approximately US$16 to avoid jail time. Silva was charged with injuria due to an arrest warrant that existed since 2019. In 2019, he would have tried to interview the diplomat, but the latter would have refused and then an altercation would have been detonated between the two. The president of Honduras spoke in favor of the journalist on her Twitter account: "Surprised with the capture that violates freedom of expression, of the militant and journalist César Omar Silva. In compliance with the law, he must be immediately released"\textsuperscript{1905}. Civil society organizations and journalists' guilds have called for the repeal of crimes against honor\textsuperscript{1906}. The president of Congress said publicly on October 13 that "they had on their agenda to repeal crimes against honor"\textsuperscript{1907}.

857. This Rapporteurship has expressed its concern regarding the use of criminal defamation laws, including the figures of libel and slander, with the same purpose of contempt\textsuperscript{1908}. In general, these offenses refer to the expression of expressions that affect the honor of a person or the false accusation of crimes. In the hemisphere, practice has shown that many public officials resort to the use of these figures as a mechanism to discourage criticism. As the Rapporteurship has pointed out in previous reports, "the possibility of abuse of such laws by public officials to silence critical opinions is as great in the case of these laws as in the case of desacato laws"\textsuperscript{1909}.

858. This office was also informed of the decision of a court in La Paz that ordered measures against journalist Sonia Pérez\textsuperscript{1910}, correspondent of Radio Progreso, not to approach sources of information and the obligation to sign once a month in a judicial control book. This case originated on May 22 due to a complaint by a landowner against Pérez accusing her of breaking and entering and damaging private property. The Committee to Protect Journalists publicly called for the charges against the journalist to be dropped and for the legal system to stop being used to silence the media\textsuperscript{1911}. On May 25, the Public Prosecutor’s Office confirmed

\textsuperscript{1895} El Heraldo. August 18, 2022. \textit{At least 158 people at risk as protection system breaks down.}
\textsuperscript{1896} El Heraldo. August 18, 2022. \textit{At least 158 people at risk as protection system breaks down.}
\textsuperscript{1897} El Heraldo. August 18, 2022. \textit{At least 158 people at risk as protection system breaks down.}
\textsuperscript{1898} El Heraldo. August 18, 2022. \textit{At least 158 people at risk as protection system breaks down.}
\textsuperscript{1899} El Heraldo. August 18, 2022. \textit{At least 158 people at risk as protection system breaks down.}
\textsuperscript{1900} Twitter account of Pedro Vaca (@PVacaV). August 26, 2022.
\textsuperscript{1903} Reporters Without Borders’ Twitter account (@RSF_eng). 12 August 2022.
\textsuperscript{1904} Reporters Without Borders. February 2022. \textit{Under risk: How to overcome the shortcomings of journalist protection programs in Latin America (Brazil, Colombia, Honduras and Mexico).}
\textsuperscript{1905} Twitter account of Xiomara Castro (@XiomaraCastroZ). April 8, 2022.
\textsuperscript{1906} Digital Process. May 19, 2022. \textit{CPH, APH and C-Libre, call for the repeal of the decree on crimes against honor.}
\textsuperscript{1907} Hondudiaro. October 13, 2022. \textit{Freedom of expression will never be violated, assures the president of the Congress.}
\textsuperscript{1910} Committee to Protect Journalists’ Twitter account (@pressfreedom). July 30, 2022.
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the case against Pérez and affirmed that the journalist "appears in the group of 31 members of the "Consejo Indígena Lenca la Comunidad de Nueva Palestina", accused of aggravated usurpation and alteration of terms or boundaries, a crime not related to the work of informing”.

On March 8, security forces announced that they had captured a person allegedly a member of a gang for having been responsible for firing shots against the facilities of Radio Globo. According to publicly available information, on March 7, unknown persons had fired four shots with high caliber weapons against the building where this media outlet operates, in the Honduran capital.

Among the allegations of intimidation of journalists, the Rapporteurship learned of the public complaint made on December 9 by journalist and human rights defender Dina Meza, who through her social networks reported having been followed. Journalist protection organizations such as Article 19, Reporters Without Borders and PEN International expressed their solidarity with Meza, who currently serves as executive director of the Association for Democracy and Human Rights of Honduras (ASOPODEHU), which accompanies journalists at risk. Reporters Without Borders pointed out that the intimidating actions against Meza are taking place in a context of complaints about the "collapse" of the National Protection Council and the Mechanism for the Protection of Journalists, Social Communicators, Human Rights Defenders and Justice Operators and against the Human Rights Secretariat.

Likewise, this Office was informed of the legal intimidations against journalist Andrés Molina, which were allegedly issued by the Secretary of Social Development, according to the organization Article 19.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

This Rapporteurship received information that on March 8, 2022 the Law for the classification of public documents related to national security and defense was repealed, through Decree 12-2022. According to the approved decree, the Institute for Access to Public Information will be in charge of resolving requests for classification of information. Likewise, all persons will be able to request access to information that had been classified as reserved by the previous regulations. This Office welcomes this decision, which is part of the recommendations on access to public information in the Report on the Situation of Human Rights in Honduras, issued by the IACHR in 2019. IAPA also welcomed the annulment of the law.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has pointed out that the right of access to information is a fundamental requirement to guarantee transparency in government management and on the part of state authorities, and a fundamental tool for citizen control of the functioning of the State and accountability. As interpreted by the IACHR, Article 13 of the American Convention includes the positive obligation of the State to allow citizens access to information in its possession. In this sense, Principle 4 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that "[a]ccess to information [...] is a fundamental right of individuals. States are obliged to guarantee the exercise of this right". For its part, the Inter-American Court...
has established that Article 13 of the American Convention, by expressly stipulating the rights to "seek" and "receive" "information," protects the right of every person to access information under the control of the State, with the exceptions permitted under the strict regime of restrictions established in said instrument".

864. On the other hand, on September 19, the President in Council of Ministers approved decree PCM-023-20221925, which created the General Directorate of Information and Press1926, regulates communication strategies and media monitoring. This Rapporteurship learned that the Committee for Free Expression, C-LIBRE, through a public statement, pointed out that the decree has "practices harmful to freedom of the press and freedom of expression, which push for the concentration of state public discourse, the conditioning of advertising, prior censorship and the granting of powers to CONATEL to make an inventory of the media in Honduras"1927. The president of Congress assured that this would not be an act against freedom of expression1928.

865. The Rapporteurship recalls that, according to Principle 5 of the Declaration of Principles of the IACHR, "[p]rior censorship, interference or direct or indirect pressure on any expression, opinion or information disseminated through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual or electronic communication must be prohibited by law. Restrictions on the free circulation of ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the creation of obstacles to the free flow of information, violate the right to freedom of expression"1929. In this regard, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that the imposition of restrictions on freedom of expression only admits subsequent liability, which must be expressly established by law where the ends pursued are legitimate, and the grounds for establishing liability are necessary to ensure the end sought1930.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

866. As RELE has learned, the Telecommunications Commission of the Congress announced that it will create a fund of 25 million lempiras to support community radio stations. The World Association of Community Radio Broadcasters for Latin America welcomed the decision and considered it important to strengthen the sustainability of community media over time1931.

867. This Office emphasizes that community journalism contributes to diversity and pluralism of information and that, in this sense, community journalism - and, in particular, community radio broadcasting - can play an extremely important role by offering public interest programming and complementing the content offered by private commercial broadcasters1932.

868. The Inter-American Court has highlighted the importance of pluralism for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, stating that it implies "tolerance and a spirit of openness, without which there is no democratic society"1933. Therefore, according to the Court, States have the duty to adopt the necessary

---


measures to ensure that all segments of the population have access to the media. To achieve this purpose, "it is necessary that the State democratize access in such a way that it recognizes, promotes or encourages the diverse forms and uses that each sector can adopt to access and operate these media and, consequently, creates spaces for differentiated forms of media and the corresponding legal instruments to provide them with legal security". According to the decision of the Court in the case of Pueblos Indígenas Maya Kaqchikel de Sumpango y otros Vs. Guatemala, "this state obligation necessarily implies a right of the indigenous peoples to be represented in the different media, especially by virtue of their particular ways of life, their community relations and the importance of the media for the referred peoples".

869. The Office was also informed of the report "Women in Power of the Word" published on April 11 by Unesco and the PEN Center, which deals with the participation of women artists, culture, journalism and communication professionals in public life. Five countries were studied: Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico and Nicaragua. The document mentions that in these countries there was an "underrepresentation of women's participation in literary productions and media content, reaching 30% in contrast to 70% for men".

870. This Office reiterates the importance of States adopting positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as women, LGBT+ persons, or indigenous peoples. The Inter-American system grants enhanced protection to those speeches that express constitutive elements of the personal identity or dignity of those who express themselves and, therefore, the Rapporteurship invites States to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to tune institutional practices to the enhanced protection of this type of speeches.

---

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur closely followed the evolution of the state of freedom of expression in Jamaica. Therefore, this Office became aware of the activities carried out by the Jamaican press association to discuss journalistic standards and highlight the achievements of the press in the country. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the concentration and ownership of the media on the island, the impact of the restrictions due to COVID-19 on the union, and the security enjoyed by members of the press to carry out their work. The information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur during the year would indicate that 2022 was a year particularly characterized by protests over the state of the infrastructure, the lack of public services, and unfavorable working conditions. Some of these public demonstrations were reportedly interrupted by State forces as a result of protesters blocking roads, while some union demonstrations reportedly resulted in reprisals against various workers. In the second half of 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered with concern a ban on musical and television content on the island, which would constitute a clear example of prior censorship and a serious violation of freedom of expression. This year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also registered instances of racial discrimination in social networks and educational institutions, for which it urges the State to take the respective measures to prevent the repetition of this type of behavior.

A. Journalism and democracy

In the first semester of 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomed the fruitful activities organized by the Press Association of Jamaica (PAJ) to exchange perspectives on the coverage of issues of public interest and recognize the work of colleagues in the region.

On February 25, 2022, the association organized a forum within the framework of the national journalism week. According to public information, the forum addressed journalistic coverage of the COVID-19 pandemic and the dilemma that the media faces when giving voice and visibility to anti-vaccination groups in the region. The panelists reportedly emphasized the need to have reliable sources of information, especially from scientists and doctors, in order to report all the data to the public. The Office of the Special Rapporteur congratulates the association for its efforts to generate discussion spaces that strengthen journalistic standards in the region and answer critical questions for the union.

Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the national journalism awards, organized by the association on February 26, 2022. The awards highlighted the best journalists in the categories of reporting, radio documentary, television documentary, videography, photography, opinion journalism, investigative journalism, breaking news coverage, environmental issues, children's rights, health, technology, sports, and business, among others. This Office congratulates the awardees and the efforts to recognize the journalistic achievements of the union in Jamaica and the Caribbean.

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received a series of updates on the state of freedom of the press in Jamaica. Among the information received by this Office is the balance on the ownership of the media in the country, the relations between the press and the acting prime minister, as well as the impact of the restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

On May 3, 2022, Reporters Without Borders published its latest press freedom index on the occasion of International Freedom of the Press Day. In this latest version, as the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn, Jamaica dropped five places; the island was in seventh place in 2021 and would have fallen to twelfth place in the 2022 index. According to the information released by this organization, Jamaica
continues to be one of the safest countries in the world for media workers, likewise, the right to information is widely respected on the island.

877. The main media in the country (Jamaica Gleaner, Jamaica Star, and Jamaica Observer) are reportedly privately owned, so the government would have no power over them and enjoy greater independence. Private media reportedly experienced significant growth thanks to the parallel development of online commerce on the island, thus expanding the advertising market.

878. This Office emphasizes that States must establish strict transparency requirements for the ownership of the media, along with effective rules and systems to prevent monopolies and undue concentration or cross-ownership of the media. In this sense, the approval of independent regulatory bodies should be required before mergers or acquisitions that pose a threat to free competition or diversity can be carried out. These requirements could include limits on media concentration or cross-ownership.

879. Despite the fact that Prime Minister Andrew Holness had previously praised Jamaica’s record on press freedom and declared his commitment to defending it, the president reportedly also made value judgments arguing that journalists were not always forced to abide by the facts. Additionally, government restrictions to combat the COVID-19 pandemic reportedly hampered the work of journalists since the first half of 2020, at a critical time for citizens to receive reliable information. Due to the foregoing, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Press Association of Jamaica (PAJ) had requested the prime minister to make an exception to the confinement so that television and radio presenters, reporters, camerapeople, technicians, and other media professionals could mobilize freely in the exercise of their work.

880. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have stated on previous occasions, public officials must ensure that their comments are accurate and avoid stigmatizing and discrediting the media by resorting to labels that refer to so-called false news or other qualifiers against the media and their journalists.

881. As this Office was able to verify in the course of 2022, the press would be free to openly criticize officials, although some journalists reported intimidation while carrying out their work, especially during the electoral cycle in the past. According to public information, no physical attacks against media workers have been reported in the last 10 years.

882. This Office recalls that public officials and those who aspire to be, in a democratic society, have a different threshold of protection, which exposes them to a greater degree to public scrutiny and criticism, which is justified by the nature of interest.

883. As this Office has stipulated in its Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression in principle number 9, the murder, kidnapping, intimidation of and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. Therefore, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that no case of physical attack against a member of the press has been filed in the last decade. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur encourages the State of Jamaica to continue ensuring the safety of journalists in the country so that no episode of this nature occurs in the future.

---
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B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information about multiple protests on the island. These demonstrations were reportedly characterized by their differences in motives, location, duration, and number of participants. In terms of trends, this Office was able to identify as cross-cutting issues the deficient state of the island’s infrastructure and the union rights of workers in the country.

In February, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the residents of Chester Castle, in Hanover, blocked sections of the main highway that leads to the community in protest at the lack of water. Information received indicates that residents used rocks, empty steel water tanks, old motor vehicles, tires, trees, and even electrical appliances to erect roadblocks. According to what this Office was able to learn, the population in this town was without water for six weeks, for which they assured that they would not stop setting up barricades and making their claims heard until their access to this basic resource was reestablished. The local police, as well as the Jamaica Defense Force (JDF), were present at the scene to remove the obstacles on the road and resume the flow of vehicles. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed about the attempt by the residents of the area to communicate with the deputy of their electoral district, who reportedly did not address their claims.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that public demonstrations are considered an exercise of freedom of expression, since the expression of opinions, dissemination of information, and articulation of demands are central objectives of the protests. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur clarifies that spontaneous demonstrations are also protected, therefore spontaneous meetings should be exempt from the notification requirement and security forces and bodies should, to the extent possible, protect and facilitate spontaneous meetings as they would do with any other gathering.

In March 2022, this Office received reports indicating that dozens of teachers and administrative workers of an institution had protested for not having been paid deductions for nine years of taxes from the National Insurance Scheme (NIS) and the National Housing Trust (NHT). On the other hand, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that some workers of the Transport Authority in St. Andrew had expressed their dissatisfaction with the departure of the Managing Director as a result of an alleged interference by another public official at the end of June.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that freedom of conscience, expression, association, assembly, conscience, religion, or the exercise of labor and union rights must be guaranteed, as well as the use and enjoyment of material and immaterial aspects of copyright and other rights over appropriable tangible or intangible assets likely to have a value, as well as other internationally recognized human rights.
The reports received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur also indicate that in May, members of the Jamaica Fire Brigade organized a protest to denounce alleged harsh working conditions. A representative of the firefighters assured that, under the current system, the workers would not have working days. One day after a demonstration, a brigade spokesperson reportedly confirmed that four firefighters had been suspended from their duties in what could be a reprisal. For his part, a member of the prime minister’s office team, reportedly expressed his disapproval of the firefighters’ method, alleging that "nothing is achieved by going out into the street." Morgan reportedly urged the firefighters to meet with the Local Government Minister.

This Office stresses that it is essential that States at all levels and agencies respect and guarantee that no one will be criminalized for exercising the rights to freedom of expression, assembly, and association in the context of demonstrations and protests; nor will they be subject to threats, harassment, violence, persecution or reprisals for participating in protests.

In April 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the case of a man who for days had expressed his rejection of the war in Ukraine in front of the Russian embassy in Kingston and, despite the fact that some members of the embassy allegedly photographed him to intimidate him, he was never removed from the site. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that the individual right to freedom of expression has been fully respected in this instance.

As a consequence of the global inflation that is also affecting Jamaica, this Office recorded a series of public demonstrations by opposition parties and their supporters to demand that the government and the current administration remove a tax on gasoline. Likewise, this Office was also informed that in May, students from the University of the Commonwealth Caribbean (UCC) protested in front of the institution’s facilities due to the increase in the rates of tuition, claiming that costs would have increased by as much as 50 percent; some students were reportedly blocked from the university’s social networks as a result of their messages criticizing the increase costs.

This Office recalls that protests are usually an important means of action and the pursuit of legitimate objectives by organizations and groups, and as such may also be protected by the right to freedom of association. In this sense, the authorities must facilitate the holding of meetings, social protests, or public demonstrations, guaranteeing that they can be carried out, seen and heard by the target public in the space chosen by the conveners, so that the message that the organizers and participants want to disseminate can be heard. Therefore, as a general rule, the right to demonstrate and protest includes the right to choose the time, place, and manner of doing so.

In the course of 2022, there were multiple protests over the state of the infrastructure in certain towns on the island. In April, this Office was informed that taxi operators in St. James had blocked sections of Norwood Drive in protest of poor road conditions, claiming that the main road had been in poor condition for several years. According to the information received, the protesters used refrigerators, trees, old motor vehicle parts, rocks, and other debris to prevent the passage of vehicles. In addition, dozens of St. Ann residents reportedly blocked several roads between Runaway Bay and Discovery Bay to protest poor road conditions; once again, the police were reportedly present to clear the roadblocks. In September, the Office of the
Special Rapporteur learned that residents of St. Thomas had blocked the main road to demand the repair of roads in the area; Taxi and bus operators have also joined the clamor of the residents, assuring that the accumulation of dust and the lack of maintenance of the road are causing respiratory problems. The local police and firefighters did not repress the protesters, although they did clear the main road to resume vehicle traffic.

897. This Office recalls that speeches that deal with public officials and authorities or refer to matters of public interest are especially protected by Article 13 of the American Convention on Human Rights.

898. On April 16 and 17, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that residents of Denham Town, Kingston, had protested the death by shooting of Horaine Glenn, a 32-year-old man. According to the information received, Glenn sought to defend his cousin and a friend of his while they were allegedly searched by soldiers from the Jamaica Defense Force (JDF). The confrontation between Glenn and the soldiers reportedly led to residents removing him from the area. According to some witnesses, Glenn was chased by a soldier who shot him several times outside his house. The Independent Commission of Investigations (INDECOM) reportedly assured residents that an impartial and independent investigation would be underway. The protesters reportedly blocked the surrounding roads for two days with old cars, refrigerators, tires, and rubble as a form of protest. Some of these objects were also burned, fueling tensions between residents and members of the security forces.

899. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the authorities must facilitate the exercise of the right to demonstrate and protest as a general rule and must not consider them as a threat to public order or internal security. Likewise, this Office reiterates that the mere decentralization of a demonstration does not constitute, in itself, a legitimate purpose that justifies the use of force by the security forces. As a matter of principle, the authorities must privilege dialogue and negotiation in the management of any form of protest, and not resort to force during demonstrations, occupations, or protests, except when absolutely necessary. In no case should there be an indiscriminate use of force in the context of protests.

900. On March 23, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the Prime Minister, Andrew Holness, reportedly addressed the island’s intention to become a republic and remove the British monarch as head of state during an audience with the prince and princess of Wales. The prime minister’s pronouncement reportedly occurred after a series of protests led by the Advocates Network in the vicinity of the office of the British High Commission before the couple’s arrival in the country. The protesters have reportedly called on the British royal family to apologize to the people of Jamaica for the atrocities associated with slavery and to acknowledge the relevance of the reparations requests.

901. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the protest as a form of participation in public affairs is especially relevant for groups of people historically discriminated against or in conditions of...
marginalization. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that the protests over historical debts have had an echo in the highest spheres of power in the country.

902. In October 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the decision of the Jamaica Broadcasting Commission to ban music and television content that it considers to glorify crime. The ban would address allusions to criminal activity, violence, drug use, scams, and weapons, as well as urban slang related to acquiring money or a lavish lifestyle. This Office learned that various artists in the country have criticized the measure since it would not stop crime and would possibly exclude populations affected by this type of activity from the conversation. The measure was reportedly taken within the framework of efforts to stop the levels of armed violence in Jamaica, the country with the highest homicide rate in Latin America and the Caribbean in 2021 according to a research center.

903. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, as stipulated in the inter-American legal framework on freedom of expression, the imposition or exclusion of certain content constitutes an example of prior censorship. In this sense, this Office reiterates that prior censorship, interference, or direct or indirect pressure on any expression, opinion, or information disseminated through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual, or electronic communication, must be prohibited by law. Restrictions on the free circulation of ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the creation of obstacles to the free flow of information, violate the right to freedom of expression. In view of the foregoing, the Office of the Special Rapporteur urges the State of Jamaica to repeal the prohibition of musical and television content since it constitutes a form of prior censorship and violates the right to freedom of expression.

C. Freedom of expression and fight against discrimination and exclusion

904. On February 2, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that the principal of a secondary school maintained his decision to prohibit students with braided hair from attending school. A 15-year-old student, who identifies as a Rastafarian, was reportedly a victim of this policy. This Office learned that the pupil’s mother accused the school of discrimination after the rector urged her son to alter his hair, just as the rector would have done with at least a dozen other classmates.

905. As established by the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur in the document Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy, no discriminatory norm, act, or practice based on criteria suspected of discrimination, whether by state authorities or by individuals, can diminish or restrict, in any way, the rights of a person in the exercise of their academic freedom and the right to education. In this sense, this Office rejects the possible discrimination to which minors from minority groups would be subject to attend educational institutions due to their physical appearance and cultural practices.

906. On February 14, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Disabilities Act had entered into force. According to the information received by this Office, the legislation protects and promotes the equal rights of people with disabilities, thus complying with the provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD). In addition, the legislation would prohibit discrimination against this sector of the population. According to public information, the law would promote
the disabled community's right to education and training, employment, health care, and housing. Additionally, the law does not allow for employers to not hire a person with a disability if they are qualified for the job in question. The bill was in the preliminary stages for more than a decade, though it received bipartisan support when it was debated.

907. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that States should focus particularly, as local circumstances warrant, on combating —which includes designing programs to counteract— historical discrimination, prejudices, and tendentious attitudes that impede the equal enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression by certain groups. Therefore, this Office congratulates the State of Jamaica for the implementation of the Disabilities Act.

908. In this matter, the Office of the Special Rapporteur encourages the State to consider the promotion of assistive technologies for persons with disabilities in order to guarantee a more uniform distribution of the benefits of ICTs, and to reduce the digital divide and provide digital opportunities for all. The state must also adopt policies to promote and encourage those who provide services on the Internet to adopt accessible formats for people with disabilities.

909. On July 13, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that Trudi Carter, a national player for the Jamaican women's soccer team, had been the victim of racist comments on the Instagram social network after the team qualified for the FIFA 2023 Women's World Cup. This Office emphasizes that combating hate speech requires the empowerment of Internet and social network users to identify and condemn it in public debate without blocking legitimate speech, and thus create more inclusive spaces for expression. Therefore, the Office of the Special Rapporteur rejects this type of comment and salutes the Jamaica Football Federation (JFF) for condemning racist messages on social networks. Likewise, this Office is grateful that the JFF has informed the regional soccer organization Concacaf of the situation regarding these unfortunate events.

910. This Office emphasizes that combating hate speech requires the empowerment of Internet and social network users to identify and condemn it in public debate without blocking legitimate speech, and thus create more inclusive spaces for expression.

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

911. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that some media outlets had indicated that the lack of regulation of the global technology giants in Jamaica, as well as in the rest of the CARICOM States, would be creating adverse economic circumstances for the national and regional media.

912. This Office recalls that States must guarantee the existence of effective regulations that require the media, telecommunications operators, and online intermediaries to be transparent in terms of their ownership and sources of financing. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that States must ensure that all aspects of the media markets, such as advertising and the production and distribution of content, function in an impartial and competitive manner, protected from anticompetitive practices by those who hold a strong or dominant position in the market.

---
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MEXICO

In 2022, according to information documented by this Office, at least 15 journalists were murdered for reasons that could be linked to the profession, and numerous communicators were victims of armed attacks, threats and intimidation. The intensity of violence against the press is accompanied by an official discourse of stigmatization of the press by the authorities, especially promoted by the executive branch through the "Who’s Who in the lies of the week" section of presidential press conferences. Although violence and stigmatization against the press are phenomena with different origins and responsibilities, the stigmatizing discourse of public officials hinders efforts to combat violence against journalists, increases hostility and the risks of the informative work, and fosters a context of self-censorship to report on matters of public relevance. Additionally, this year the Rapporteurship learned of new reports of espionage against journalists and human rights defenders through the Pegasus software, which allegedly involved the Ministry of National Defense. Following up on its previous annual reports, RELE continued to monitor the development of women's protests, and reports on the alleged disproportionate use of public force and stigmatizing speeches of high-level authorities against demonstrators. The Rapporteurship notes that this scenario of hostility to the practice of journalism has led to a generalized fear of the press to report on sensitive issues such as drug trafficking, corruption or organized crime.

A. Journalism and democracy

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed an upsurge in violence against journalists in Mexico, which recorded one of the highest murder rates in recent years. According to information reported to RELE, at least 15 journalists and communicators were murdered in Mexico for reasons that could be related to their work. In addition, there have been reports of kidnappings, death threats, intimidating attacks, aggressions and stigmatization against the press, especially against those who report on matters of public interest. The Rapporteurship notes that this scenario of hostility to the practice of journalism has led to a generalized fear of the press to report on sensitive issues such as drug trafficking, corruption or organized crime.

According to the information received, on January 10 the body of journalist José Luis Gamboa Arenas was found dead in the city of Veracruz. According to the information reported, the journalist was the victim of an armed attack that left him with stab wounds. José Luis Gamboa was the general director of the digital newspaper El Regional del Norte - Inforegio, where he reported on issues of local public interest, and was also known for sharing information and analysis on political issues on his social networks. On April 26, 2022,
the Veracruz State Attorney General’s Office reported that police agents had arrested the alleged murderer of journalist José Luis Gamboa.

916. On January 17, photojournalist Alfonso Margarito Martínez Esquivel was reportedly murdered in an armed attack by an unknown individual outside his home in the city of Tijuana 2010 . The photojournalist was known for his extensive coverage of police and security issues for various local portals, as well as national and international media 2011 . The State Attorney General’s Office reportedly confirmed the death of the reporter through a communiqué, in which it warned that "freedom of expression, in all its forms, is a fundamental right of citizens" and that the entity "always works within its power of legal action, for the safety of media representatives in Baja California" 2012 . According to the information received, in December 2021 the reporter would have requested protection measures in the state agency of Baja California 2013 . According to information from the State, Alfonso Margarito Martínez did not go to the Protection Mechanism for Human Rights Defenders and Journalists of the State of Baja California. Likewise, they indicated that on December 13, 2021 there was an approach by the Mechanism to offer him protection measures and Mr. Martínez Esquivel refused, arguing that he would seek the support of the Federal Protection Mechanism. In addition, the authorities specified that on December 15, 2021, the matter was referred to the Federal Protection Mechanism, which attempted to establish communication via electronic and telephone with Mr. Martínez Esquivel without success, for which they allege that it did not have the minimum elements to assess his case and determine the intervention of the Federal Protection Mechanism. Finally, the State informed that in March 2022, arrest warrants were granted and executed against three persons for their possible responsibility in the murder of the journalist 2014 . As far as this Office was able to learn, in December 2022, two people were sentenced to 25 years in prison for the murder of the reporter, after they accepted an abbreviated procedure 2015 .

917. On January 23, 2022, according to the information available, unknown subjects attacked Lourdes Maldonado López with a firearm while she was inside a car in the vicinity of her home in the city of Tijuana 2016 . Lourdes Maldonado had been covering police, corruption and local politics in the state of Baja California for more than 20 years; throughout her career, she worked for different local and national media, in addition to hosting news programs on radio and television 2017 . According to public information, in February, three people were arrested for their possible participation in the crime. In October, Justice sentenced one of them to 24 and the other two to 20 years in prison, after determining their material authorship and complicity in the murder of Lourdes Maldonado 2018 .

918. According to the organization Artículo 19, the journalist had previously been the target of intimidating attacks, such as the alleged attack with gunshots and blows to her car in March and April 2021, and that after these events she had received protection measures from the state, which included surveillance.

---


2017 BBC. January 24, 2022. Lourdes Maldonado: who was the journalist murdered in Mexico (and why she had asked AMLO for help); Artide. 19, 25 January 2022. ARTICLE 19 condemns the murder of journalist María de Lourdes Maldonado López and joins demands for justice.

2018 Yahoo! News. October 26, 2022. Lourdes Maldonado’s murderer sentenced, but motives and mastermind remain unclear; Infobae. 26 October 2022. Those responsible for the murder of journalist Lourdes Maldonado were sentenced.
It is of particular relevance to this Office that on March 26, 2019, the reporter expressed fear for her life during a presidential press conference, where she also asked the head of state for his "support, help and labor justice" in connection with a labor lawsuit she was pursuing against the company Primer Sistema de Noticias (PSN), owned by a former governor of Baja California, a media outlet in which Lourdes Maldonado had worked.

In the context of this lawsuit, four days before the attack against Lourdes Maldonado, the journalist had made public the decision in her favor by the Federal Conciliation and Arbitration Board (JFCA), as well as the decision to seize assets of the company.

Also, according to information confirmed by the Sinaloa State Prosecutor’s Office (FGE), the body of journalist Luis Enrique Ramírez was found dead and with signs of beatings on May 5, south of the city of Culiacán, Sinaloa state.

Likewise, the FGE’s experts and the autopsy carried out by the Forensic Medical Service reportedly determined that the journalist suffered cranioencephalic traumatism as a result of blunt force trauma. Additionally, the FGE has informed that as the investigations progress, the route to follow will be determined, including the possibility of the investigation being transferred to the Special Prosecutor’s Office for Crimes against Freedom of Expression (FEADLE). Luis Enrique Ramírez worked as a columnist for the newspaper El Debate and directed the news portal Fuentes Fidedignas, where he covered issues related to local politics.

According to available information, in 2011 the journalist had gone into temporary exile in Mexico City for fear of his personal safety due to the growing situation of violence against journalists in the Culiacán area. In his last column –published on May 3, in the framework of the World Press Freedom Day– the journalist denounced that in 2018 he had been approached and verbally assaulted in a violent manner when he met with the then municipal president of Culiacán.

In addition, the Rapporteurship recorded the murder of the journalists Yessenia Molli and Sheila Johana García of the newspaper El Veraz. According to public information, on May 9, unknown subjects shot them multiple times in a parking lot of a convenience store in the municipality of Cosoleacaque, state of Veracruz.
Veracruz. In this regard, the Veracruz State Attorney General’s Office reported the opening of an investigation into the case, and emphasized that "there will be no impunity" and that "all lines of investigation will be exhausted, including his journalistic activity."

On June 29, Antonio de la Cruz, a journalist with the newspaper Expreso, was the victim of an armed attack while he was in his car in Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas, along with his daughter Cinthya de la Cruz Martínez. According to the information reported, both died as a result of gunshot wounds. The investigation of the case has been taken over by the Attorney General’s Office through its Special Prosecutor’s Office for Attention to Crimes Committed against Freedom of Expression (FEADLE).

On August 2, reporter Ernesto Méndez, owner and general manager of the local newspaper Tu Voz, was the victim of an armed attack by a group of unidentified individuals while he was in the company of family and friends in a bar in the city of San Luis de la Paz, Guanajuato. During his 18-year career in journalism he also worked as a contributor to the newspapers Correo and Zona Franca. According to reports received by the Rapporteurship, Ernesto Méndez had previously been the target of threats. The governor of the State of Guanajuato condemned the facts and instructed to follow up on the case for its clarification and the pursuit of justice. Likewise, the Secretary of Government of Guanajuato assured that "the corresponding protection measures will be dictated" in coordination with the mechanisms for the protection of local and federal journalists. The Prosecutor’s Office of Guanajuato reported that a person was arrested and charged for the alleged material authorship of the journalist’s crime.

Additionally, in 2022, the Special Rapporteurship received information on other murders of journalists that could be related to the journalistic function: Roberto Toledo, on January 31, in Zitácuaro, Michoacán; Heber López Vásquez, on February 10, in the city of Salina Cruz, Oaxaca; Jorge Luis Camero Zazueta, in the city of Empalme, Sonora; and Juan Carlos Muñiz, on March 4, in the city of...
Fresnillo, Zacatecas state\textsuperscript{2045} \& and Armando Linares, on March 15, in Zitacuaro, state of Michoacán\textsuperscript{2046}; Juan Arjón López, on August 16, in the municipality of San Luis Rio Colorado, state of Sonora\textsuperscript{2047}; and Fredid Román Román, on August 22, 2022, in Chilpancingo, state of Guerrero\textsuperscript{2048}.

925. The Rapporteurship also received information about the murder of four workers of the radio station \textit{Switch 105.9 FM}, on August 11 during a violent day in Ciudad Juárez, where more than ten victims were reportedly killed by criminal groups\textsuperscript{2049}. The Rapporteurship called on the State to promptly clarify the facts\textsuperscript{2050}.

926. The State has reported progress in the investigations of murders of journalists. Official records counted 13 cases of murders in 2022, with respect to which there were 5 people sentenced, 20 prosecuted and 32 detained, according to information updated as of March 14, 2022\textsuperscript{2051}. According to the State’s reports, the convictions were in the cases of the murder of journalist Alfonso Margarito Martínez and journalist Lourdes Maldonado López\textsuperscript{2052}.

927. While the murder of journalists is the most extreme form of censorship, violence against the press in Mexico has also manifested itself in other ways. According to information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, Jesús Pintor Alegre, Fernando Moreno Villegas and Alan García Aguilar, collaborators of the \textit{Escenario Calentano} news portal, were reported missing since approximately December 27, 2022\textsuperscript{2053}. According to available information, they were reportedly kidnapped in the state of Guerrero by members of a well-known criminal group linked to drug trafficking\textsuperscript{2054}. In a video allegedly disseminated by the kidnappers in the first days of January 2023, two of them appeared barefoot and with their hands and feet chained, and stated that they were “paying the consequences of the publications that were made against these people and different people from the Tierra Caliente region of the State of Mexico, Michoacán and Guerrero”\textsuperscript{2055}. In addition, the publication of the video on social networks was accompanied by a threatening message\textsuperscript{2056}. According to available information, on January 11, 2023, Jesús Pintor Alegre and Fernando Moreno Villegas were released; while, at the time of writing, the whereabouts of Alan García Aguilar remain unknown\textsuperscript{2057}.
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authorities have reported that they are investigating the facts and that search operations are continuing to locate the third person.2058

928. In April 2022, a report of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances on its visit to Mexico under Article 33 of the Convention expressed its concern about the disappearances of human rights defenders and journalists in Mexico.2059 In this regard, it stated that between 2003 and 2021, more than 30 journalists disappeared, and that, to date, none of these persons have been located and no progress has been made in the investigations or punishment of those responsible.2060

929. RELE has also documented aggressions, threats, and intimidating attacks, both against journalists and their families. The organization Article 19 Mexico recorded 331 attacks against journalists and media outlets in the first half of 2022, which means, according to them, that in Mexico every 14 hours a journalist or media outlet is assaulted for doing their job.2061

930. Among other facts documented by this Office, on January 25, journalist José Ignacio Santiago Martínez, founder and director of Pluma Digital Noticias, was reportedly the victim of an armed attack on a highway while on his way to the city of Juchitlán, Oaxaca. According to public information, at the time of the incident the reporter was accompanied by bodyguards assigned to him by the Federal Protection Mechanism for Human Rights Defenders and Journalists.2064 According to the Oaxaca Prosecutor’s Office, the reporter and the bodyguards were returning from visiting a waterfall near the town of San Lucas Yosonícaje, where the journalist had gone to gather tourist-cultural information for an article.2065 The State informed that the Federal Mechanism requested the collaboration of the Government of Oaxaca in order to continue with the protection measures in his favor, such as the continuation of the Oaxaca State Police patrols at the home of the journalist’s mother.2066

931. Likewise, on July 2, journalist Susana Carreño, director of Radio UdeG, a radio station affiliated with the University of Guadalajara and Channel 44, was attacked with a sharp weapon in Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco state, and was reportedly seriously injured and taken to a hospital.2067 The governor of Jalisco made a statement on his social networks about the events, which he described as an "attack in an attempted robbery."2068 However, Radio UdeG warned that although the attackers stole some of his belongings, there are sufficient elements to consider that it was not a robbery.2069 In this sense, both the media to which the journalist belongs, and civil society organizations asked the authorities not to rule out lines of investigation related to her journalistic work as a possible motive for the attack.2070


2059 UN. Report of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances on its visit to Mexico under Article 33 of the Convention.

2060 UN. Report of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances on its visit to Mexico under Article 33 of the Convention.


2063 Animal Político. January 26, 2022. José Ignacio Santiago, a journalist of Zapotec origin, is shot at in Oaxaca; El País. 27 January 2022. Journalist shot at in Oaxaca: “In Mexico you can be silenced by crime or by the authorities”.

2064 Animal Político. January 26, 2022. José Ignacio Santiago, a journalist of Zapotec origin, is shot at in Oaxaca; El País. 27 January 2022. Journalist shot at in Oaxaca: “In Mexico you can be silenced by crime or by the authorities”.


Journalist Ciro Gómez Leyva was "harmful to health" and can cause "a tumor in the brain".

When referring to positions allegedly opposed to the government's administration, the head of state pointed out that listening to these journalists "is harmful to health" and can cause "a tumor in the brain". However, on December 16, the day after the attack, the President expressed his solidarity and support to Ciro Gómez Leyva, while he pointed out that although "they have notorious differences, of public domain", it is "completely reprehensible that an attempt against life is made" and that "a damage to a personality like Ciro generates a lot of political instability".

Likewise, the President emphasized that he ordered an investigation of the facts to "see all the hypotheses". However, he specified that "the only hypothesis that should be discarded, although this will be up to the authorities, is that we, the government I represent, is not a repressive government. We do not repress our journalists".

Investigation into whether Rubén Eduardo Haro was attacked for his journalistic work

The Attorney General's Office of the State of Quintana Roo reported that it initiated the investigation file related to the facts.

This Office received with concern reports of an armed attack on journalist Ciro Gómez Leyva, host of Radio Fórmula and the news program "Imagen Noticias" on Imagen Televisión, on December 15 in Mexico City. According to available information, two unidentified individuals on a motorcycle allegedly shot the journalist as he was driving home and then fled the scene. Ciro Gómez Leyva shared photos of the bullet wounds to his car and stated that he was saved by the armor of the vehicle. The attack reportedly occurred 180 meters from his home.

This Office notes that, the day before the attack, the President of Mexico had individually mentioned journalists Ciro Gómez Leyva, Carlos Loreto Mola and Sergio Sarmiento in the morning press conference. When referring to positions allegedly opposed to the government's administration, the head of state pointed out that listening to these journalists "is harmful to health" and can cause "a tumor in the brain". However, on December 16, the day after the attack, the President expressed his solidarity and support to Ciro Gómez Leyva, while he pointed out that although "they have notorious differences, of public domain", it is "completely reprehensible that an attempt against life is made" and that "a damage to a personality like Ciro generates a lot of political instability".

Likewise, the President emphasized that he ordered an investigation of the facts to "see all the hypotheses". However, he specified that "the only hypothesis that should be discarded, although this will be up to the authorities, is that we, the government I represent, is not a repressive government. We do not repress our journalists".

---


2074 *Las Noticias de la Red*. January 2, 2023. *Journalist denounces murder attempt against her in Quintana Roo*.

2075 *Latinus*. February 2, 2022. *The Quintana Roo Public Prosecutor’s Office opens an investigation into the attack on journalist Netzahualcóyotl Cordero*.


In December, following the attack against Ciro Gómez Leyva, a group of 180 journalists signed an open letter to the president, in which they demanded that he "cease his harassment of critical journalists" since "practically all the emanations of hatred towards journalists are incubated, born and spread in the National Palace".

Additionally, RELE has received information on recurrent threats to journalists and media outlets. For example, according to the information received, journalists María de Jesús Peters and Juan de Dios García Davish, from San Cristóbal de las Casas, Chiapas, were forced to leave the country after receiving a death threat on May 13.

In March, journalists from the newspaper El Universal and environmental activists denounced having been attacked and threatened with death by a group of five armed men while documenting the extraction of tepetate from the Teco-Chiconautla hill in the municipality of Tecámac, supposedly to consolidate the infrastructure of the new Felipe Ángeles International Airport. According to press reports, the individuals allegedly forced two reporters, the photographer and an activist to erase the recorded material, while at the same time they intimidated them with shots from a long gun. They also allegedly threatened to set them on fire with a can of gasoline they were carrying and forced them to hand over documents containing complaints made by residents of San Pablo Tecalco to stop the exploitation of the hill. The team of journalists and activists had to leave the site under constant threats from the subjects.

On July 20, journalist Rodolfo Montes addressed the president during the morning press conference to denounce death threats and to request the federal government to continue providing him with protection under the Mechanism for the Protection of Journalists. He also reported that his daughter, who was also the target of threats, had recently left the country for security reasons.

The State has told this Office that one of the main challenges it faces in defending freedom of expression is the presence of organized crime in some areas of the country and the collusion it has with some local authorities, which makes it difficult to carry out effective actions to protect those who exercise freedom of expression and journalistic activity in those areas.

---

2085 El País. December 19, 2022. López Obrador affirms the attack against Ciro Gómez Leyva could have been a plan to destabilize his government; Expansión. December 19, 2022. "My government is not repressive" AMLO after the attack against Ciro Gómez; IACHR. P.
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2091 El Universal. March 29, 2022. Armed group intimidates El Universal journalists; threatens to set them on fire; La Silla Rota.
2092 March 30, 2022. Armed group intimidates and threatens El Universal journalists with setting them on fire; Vanguardia. March 30, 2022. Armed men threaten journalists from EL UNIVERSAL, they were investigating tepetate extraction.
940. In this context, at the beginning of 2022, the authorities informed that in that year they would promote a "National System of Prevention and Protection for defenders and journalists." Said National System would be based on a law-to be presented to the Congress of the Union during the year-, which would also contemplate the creation of a "National Prevention Model", a "National Register of Aggressions" and a "National Protection Protocol". According to the information received, within the framework of the elaboration of said draft law, the State called for dialogues in different regions of the country with relevant actors, the first of which took place on January 17 and 18, 2022, with the participation of beneficiaries of the Protection Mechanism, journalists, civil society organizations, representatives of international organizations, academics and public servants of the Mexican government. This effort joins others of the Mexican State such as the agreement with the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) to create a fund to finance investigative journalism.

941. The State also reported that on June 7, 2022, the General Directorate for Human Rights and Democracy of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs held the "International Dialogue on experiences and best practices for the construction of a National Protection System for Human Rights Defenders and Journalists in Mexico, with the support of the UNESCO Office in Mexico." The objective of the meeting was to share experiences and best practices implemented in various regions and countries, to contribute to the current debate for the construction of a national protection system and the definition of actions and competencies of the various actors of the Mexican State.

942. As highlighted in a press release issued by RELE, the Presidential Spokesperson's Office indicated that the Federal Government condemns any act of violence against those who work as journalists; it demands that investigations be carried out to clarify the facts and punish those responsible; recognizes "without ambiguity" that attacks on journalists, in addition to constituting a direct affectation of journalists' rights, "represent an affront to Mexican society as a whole"; and reiterates its call to all levels of government to protect the life and integrity of journalists.

943. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that, given the serious situation that Mexico is going through in relation to violence against journalists, the State must provide urgent and priority institutional responses. In this regard, although this Office recognizes the initiatives promoted this year by the State in the area of freedom of expression -aimed at promoting more robust legislative frameworks and generating spaces for reflection

---
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and exchange of good practices- at the same time it considers that such institutional efforts are disjointed and are insufficient and ineffective to contain the phenomenon of violence against the press.

944. This Office has learned that the executive branch has condemned the murders and made public commitments to ensure justice and non-impunity for the facts. However, the Rapporteurship observes that official messages rejecting violence are often scarce with respect to the recurrent stigmatizing remarks coming from the highest authorities. In this sense, RELE emphasizes the importance of the authorities making use of their leadership positions to counteract and confront violence against the press, constantly, clearly, publicly and firmly recognizing the legitimacy and value of journalistic work for democracy, even when the information disseminated may be critical of government interests.

945. The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression considers that the reported murders, intimidatory attacks and threats should be taken into account by the State in order to deepen prevention measures and implement institutional protection designs urgently and effectively; and to promote the knowledge and appropriation of the press about the available protection mechanisms. In this regard, the Office recalls that according to Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR, "the murder, kidnapping, intimidation, threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation for the victims."

946. The Rapporteurship insists in its call to the State of Mexico to investigate the facts, punish the perpetrators and ensure adequate reparation for the victims. As it has stated on previous occasions, the failure to punish the material and intellectual authors of crimes against freedom of expression sends a message of permissiveness and tolerance of violence against the press and contributes to self-censorship. The Rapporteurship urges the Mexican State to heed the recent calls made by this Office to comply with its duties to promote, protect, respect and guarantee the work of journalists.

947. On the other hand, this Office warns that the aforementioned facts are inserted in a context of constant stigmatization by public officials and leaders towards the press, which threatens their integrity and increases the risk inherent to their work. This type of stigmatizing and discrediting statements have come from government authorities as well as from leaders of different political sectors, and significantly reduce the impact of the measures that the State reports as adopted for the prevention and protection of the press. In this sense, for RELE the different promotion and training activities promoted by the State are overshadowed by the forcefulness and reiteration of stigmatizing statements from authorities and public officials. For example, during the daily press conferences, the President has referred to the newspaper Reforma as "a conservative newspaper of the mafia of power". Likewise, according to available information, he has accused journalist Carmen Aristegui of making "slanderous reports" for political purposes. On February 11, the President referred to journalist Carlos Loret de Mola as a "mercenary", "corrupt", "not even a journalist", and also exposed personal and fiscal information of the journalist and assured that he would request information through the National Institute of Transparency (INAI) to corroborate the data on the journalist’s income and the payment of taxes that have been questioned by the executive branch.

---


2104 Twitter account of Jesús Ramírez Cuevas (@JesusRCuevas). January 24, 2022; January 31, 2022; February 17, 2022; March 4, 2022; March 16, 2022; May 5, 2022; June 29, 2022.
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2108 Aristegui Noticias. February 4, 2022. AMLO charges again against Aristegui; El Universal. 4 February 2022. Carmen Aristegui "deceived for a long time", says AMLO; the journalist responds; Infobae. 4 February 2022. AMLO lashes out against journalist Carmen Aristegui. "She has deceived for a long time"
of his taxes. In August, the full INAI determined that there are elements to sanction the president for publicly disseminating "without legal authorization" a tax document that violates the privacy of journalist Carlos Loret de Mola. According to publicly available information, between January and April 2022, the President mentioned journalist Carlos Loret de Mola at least 134 times in 60 press conferences.

As reported by the organization Artículo 19, the stigmatizing practices of the head of state have generated a "cascade effect", in which "the stigmatizing language is replicated at different levels of government and by officials of various political parties". For example, this Office learned that the leader of the Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI), reportedly stated that journalists "should not be shot to death (...) they should be starved to death"; and that a national leader of the National Regeneration Movement (Morena) party stated that there are "gunmen in the press abusing freedom of expression and attacking the president", during a political event.

In this context, the Rapporteurship notes that the section "Who's who in the lies of the week", implemented by the Government in June of last year with the alleged purpose of "informing" the citizens and refuting the alleged false news disseminated by the media, continues to be a space used by the executive branch to stigmatize and disqualify the work of the press.

For its part, the State has indicated that the purpose of this "government communication mechanism" is to "analyze, verify and clarify inaccurate news of general interest to the population that could affect the fundamental right to freedom of expression and access to information of persons interested in knowing all points of view on a given news event". In this sense, the State stated that with this space they seek to "contribute to the social responsibility of the media to disseminate truthful information that serves the interests of the people and allows citizens to make their own decisions within a democratic State".

According to the State, this mechanism "constitutes a novel and legitimate channel of communication between society and the public servants with the highest responsibility in the country" and "an exercise of critical analysis to reflect on the public tasks of the federal administration" that seeks to generate a critical and participatory citizenship in public affairs. It also indicated that it is "indispensable for learning about the diversity of public policy issues and the obstacles faced by public institutions in their execution".

According to the authorities, the section "Who's who in the lies of the week" is based on the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, which establishes in its Articles 6 and 7 the right of citizens to "be duly informed about the manner in which the State's stewardship is carried out through the federal public..."

---
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2111 El Universal. April 26, 2022. "Lo suyo es la venganza": AMLO ha mencionado 134 veces a Loret de Mola en 60 mañaneras; Infobae. April 26, 2022. Since the Casa Gris scandal, AMLO has mentioned Carlos Loret de Mola 134 times in 60 lectures; SPIN; Luis Estrada Twitter account (luisestrada.). April 26, 2022.
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administration"\textsuperscript{2119}. According to the Mexican State, in order to guarantee effective accountability to the
governed, "public entities, through their heads, are obliged to issue institutional and informative messages that
are relevant to the population, as is the case here"\textsuperscript{2120}.

953. Likewise, citing standards emanating from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, the State of
Mexico held that "all authorities of the Member States have a duty to pronounce precisely on matters of public
order, taking into account the general interest of the population and, therefore, it is conventionally valid that,
in an official press conference, public information is clarified and distortions that affect governance are
avoided"\textsuperscript{2121}.

954. As previously stated, the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression recognizes that
it is legitimate, and on certain occasions a duty, for State authorities to make statements on matters of public
interest and to defend themselves against criticism or questioning by the press\textsuperscript{2122}. However, in doing so, they
should not discredit or stigmatize those who question them, given their high office, the broad scope of their
pronouncements, and the possible effects that their expressions may have on certain sectors of the population\textsuperscript{2123}. This implies that they must refrain from making speeches that may, directly or indirectly,
courage or promote violence against communicators and journalists\textsuperscript{2124}.

955. As the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has established, public officials must bear in mind that
their status implies a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of individuals and, therefore, "their
statements may not disregard these rights or constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful
pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and
dissemination of their thoughts"\textsuperscript{2125}. This also means that they must refrain from making speeches that may,
directly or indirectly, encourage or promote violence against communicators and journalists\textsuperscript{2126}.

956. For the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the stigmatizing official discourse, which labels the work of
the press as "lies" or "fake news", or labels them as "conservative" or "of the regime", not only contributes to
the deterioration of public debate but also has the potential to increase the risks inherent to journalistic work,
especially in a context of escalating violence against communicators\textsuperscript{2127}.

957. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that the duty of authorities and public officials to adopt a discourse
that contributes to freedom of expression is one of the fundamental components of the States' obligation to
prevent violence against journalists\textsuperscript{2128}. As the IACHR and the Rapporteurship have already pointed out, States
have the obligation to adopt measures to prevent violence against journalists and media workers, which "is

\textsuperscript{2119}Permanent Mission of Mexico to the OAS. March 11, 2022. State Report. Request for information on murders and intimidating
attacks against journalists in Mexico; and prevent ion and protection measures adopted. P. 17-20.
\textsuperscript{2120}Permanent Mission of Mexico to the OAS. March 11, 2022. State Report. Request for information on murders and intimidating
attacks against journalists in Mexico; and prevention and protection measures adopted. P. 17-20.
\textsuperscript{2121}Permanent Mission of Mexico to the OAS. March 11, 2022. State Report. Request for information on murders and intimidating
attacks against journalists in Mexico; and prevention and protection measures adopted. P. 17-20.
\textsuperscript{2122}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
\textsuperscript{2123}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
\textsuperscript{2124}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
\textsuperscript{2125}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
\textsuperscript{2126}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
\textsuperscript{2127}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
Rapporteurship warns about the normalization and worsening of violence against journalists in Mexico and urges the authorities to activate
complementary measures.
\textsuperscript{2129}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
political leaders, persons exercising public functions, and freedom of expression.
\textsuperscript{2120}I/A Court H.R., Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Case of Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary objection, Merits,
Reparations and Costs: Judgment of August 5, 2008. Series C No. 182. para. 131; Case of Ríos et al. v. Venezuela. Preliminary Objections, Merits,
political leaders, persons exercising public functions, and freedom of expression.
\textsuperscript{2121}IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. Zonas Silenciadas: regiones de alta peligrosidad para
958. While this Office recognizes the problems arising from the dissemination of false news and believes that democratic societies must combat attempts to manipulate public debate, it also believes that the purpose of combating alleged "lies" can be channeled through other institutional routes. In this sense, the Rapporteurship believes that the promotion of a governmental check section of journalistic content and labeling of journalists and media puts at risk the guarantees for freedom of expression and democratic institutionality in Mexico.

959. During a public hearing of the 180th Regular Session of the IACHR, held in July 2021, the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression invited the State of Mexico to reflect on and reevaluate the format of the section "Who's Who in the Week's Lies", and invited that its existence be reconsidered, while reiterating the availability of the Office to provide technical advice. Likewise, throughout 2022, the Special Rapporteur called on the Mexican State to suspend this section. Similarly, in its 2021 Annual Report, the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression pointed out that in Mexico the challenge persists of distinguishing between legitimate pronouncements of public authorities that defend an official position and speeches that, under that argument, may imply stigmatization.

960. The Office of the Special Rapporteur stresses that, given the increase in murders, threats, physical aggressions and attacks against journalists in Mexico, it is essential that the State send clear messages of recognition of the legitimacy and value of journalistic work. RELE considers that, under the alleged premise of guaranteeing freedom of expression in its collective dimension and the access of citizens to information of public interest, the "Who's who in the lies of the week" sends public messages that could contribute to the stigmatization against journalists and media outlets. This may contravene the international obligation of the State to adopt a public discourse that contributes to prevent violence against journalists.

961. Regarding the information previously pointed out about the section "Who is who in the lies of the week", RELE identifies that: (i) the State has not made public the criteria on which they conclude, from an official program, that a content corresponds to "disinformation", "false news" or "lies" or other labels on journalistic activity; (ii) this space focuses on counteracting the information published by certain persons or in certain reports that question or criticize the government management, so it has the potential to become an official instance of defense of the Government itself against criticism, with more similarities to propaganda than to verification of information; (iii) the public authorities have not demonstrated how they comply with the Inter-American standards regarding the reasonable, although not necessarily exhaustive, verification of the facts on which they base their opinions when referring to matters of public interest; (iv) it is possible to observe that the statements and labels promoted by the Government in this space may encourage stigmatization and violence against the press; (v) although the State has informed that if any of the statements...
made is inaccurate or requires clarification, it will proceed to publicly acknowledge it, RELE observes that in practice there has been no such possibility.

962. The Special Rapporteurship recognizes that the State has the duty to promote, respect and guarantee freedom of expression in its dual dimension, both individual and collective; and appreciates the commitment and political will of the State to move forward to counteract the negative effects of disinformation. However, RELE considers that this type of actions should be developed by non-governmental and independent entities. The State can take other positive measures to prevent and combat disinformation in the media, such as the promotion of journalistic self-regulation frameworks, the creation of press councils and journalistic ethics courts, as well as the adoption of public policies on media and digital literacy for the development of civic skills. Likewise, RELE considers that judicial channels play an important role in evaluating and settling possible abuses in the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, both by individuals and the State, linked to disinformation.

963. In summary, the Rapporteurship believes that the promotion of a governmental check section of journalistic content and labeling of journalists and media outlets puts at risk the guarantees for freedom of expression and democratic institutionality in Mexico, inasmuch as State institutions are not the ideal platforms to evaluate the veracity of journalistic information and the media are primarily responsible to the public and not to the Government. Based on the aforementioned facts, the Rapporteurship considers that the section "Who’s who in the lies of the week" adopted by the executive branch contravenes Inter-American standards on freedom of expression and calls on the State to suspend this practice.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

964. Spying practices allegedly perpetrated by public agencies against citizens, especially journalists and human rights defenders, remain one of the main challenges facing democratic institutions in Mexico. In 2022, RELE received reports on the use of surveillance tools by the current government against three people investigating human rights violations allegedly committed by the Mexican Army.

965. On October 2, 2022, the Network in Defense of Digital Rights (R3D), Article 19 Mexico and Central America, and SocialTIC, with the support of the Citizen Lab of the University of Toronto, and in collaboration with Animal Político, Aristegui Noticias and Proceso, published the report "Spy Army" where they exposed findings on three new cases of espionage by the Government against journalists and human rights defenders in Mexico, with the Pegasus malware, between 2019 and 2021. These three people would be Raymundo Ramos, President of the Human Rights Committee of Nuevo Laredo, Ricardo Raphael, journalist and writer, and an unidentified journalist from Animal Político. The report specified that at the time of the facts, these three people were carrying out work and investigations related to human rights violations committed by the Armed Forces. In addition, it warned that these practices of illegal intervention of private communications of civilians could continue.

966. According to the report, the Ministry of National Defense hired a "remote information monitoring system" from Comercializadora Antsua S.A. de C.V., in April 2019, and concealed this information from the Attorney General’s Office and the Federal Superior Audit Office, as well as from a request for information filed by the organization R3D.

967. The denouncing organizations requested the establishment of an independent and international mechanism to investigate the illegal espionage committed by previous and current governments, in order to guarantee "truth, justice and the absence of impunity". In this sense, they demanded that the Attorney
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General’s Office conduct a professional and impartial investigation aimed at identifying all material and intellectual authors of illegal espionage in previous and current governments. They also requested the National Senate to suspend the process of approval of the constitutional extension of the presence of the armed forces in security tasks, especially while the reported cases of espionage are not clarified.

Faced with these facts, the president rejected the allegations and stated that the Army performs intelligence work and not espionage, without providing further details that would allow clarifying the facts reported. In addition, the president questioned the organizations saying that they received money from the United States and from those who "did not pay taxes before and now have to pay". He also said that the journalists and media that have questioned him regarding this matter "had a good relationship with previous governments"; and that one of the alleged victims, Ricardo Raphael, is "a sympathizer of the right wing or conservative movement in the country" and "a spokesman of conservatism that is against us".

The Attorney General’s Office announced on October 16 that there are two advanced judicial files for cases related to espionage, which would be 1) the acquisition by the then Attorney General’s Office, during the previous six-year term, of the intelligence equipment 'PEGASUS', for the amount of $456,843,149.00 pesos, without any justification for its celebration and evading public bidding procedures, as well as the irregular use of the Public and National Security Expenses item; and 2) a proceeding for the crime of illegal intervention of private communications to the detriment of various journalists and human rights defenders, in which on August 26, 2021, criminal action was exercised through the obtaining of an arrest warrant against Juan Carlos "N", for his probable responsibility in the commission of the crime of illegal intervention of communications; all this as material co-perpetrator of said criminal act. According to the information available, these investigations would be related to events that occurred during the previous government administration, and not to the events denounced by civil society organizations in 2022.

Likewise, the State has informed this Office that the National Human Rights Commission (CNDH), on May 24, 2022, issued General Recommendation 47/2022 to Mexican State authorities regarding the case of espionage and its impact on freedom of expression related to the Pegasus software. According to the report, said recommendation was addressed to the president of the Board of the Chamber of Senators; the president of the Board of the Chamber of Deputies; the president of the Bicameral Commission of National Security of the Legislative Branch; the head of the Secretariat of Security and Citizen Protection (SSPC), and the head of the Attorney General’s Office (FGR) for the absence of legal regulation for the acquisition and use of technologies for the surveillance, intervention and collection of data of persons in national territory: its impact on freedom of expression, the right to defend human rights and its link to the duty of care of the Mexican State.

Likewise, the CNDH requested that the responsibility of the companies that develop and commercialize such technologies be established in those cases in which their activities may cause human rights violations as a consequence of the operations, products or services they carry out; as well as that clear and specific prohibitions be established regarding the personalized modification of products, the selection of targets and the provision of maintenance or assistance services that imply a violation of national or international human rights law. It also requested that additions or modifications be made to the current legal framework to establish as an obligation of the authorities that carry out interventions to private.
Likewise, the CNDH requested the Ministry of Security and Citizen Protection to promote before the National Security Council the issuance of an administrative instrument to regulate the use of devices and/or systems useful in the interception of private communications; and urged the Attorney General’s Office to continue with the investigation.

As RELE has learned, this General Recommendation of the CNDH would be linked to complaints filed with the CNDH in June 2017 by journalists, communicators and human rights defenders, in which they indicated that they were subject to attempted computer surveillance attacks, via cell phones, through the Pegasus system.

On the other hand, according to publicly available information, on September 29, a group of people calling themselves “Guacamaya” claimed to have obtained information from a server of the Secretariat of National Defense (Sedena) in a volume of approximately 6 terabytes of information. The leaked files would reveal that the Army has been involved in surveillance and profiling activities of journalists, activists, human rights defenders and feminist groups.

The executive branch acknowledged the leaks of the Guacamaya group in the files of the Sedena and assured that in its government “there is nothing to hide”. Likewise, in a press release, the Ministry of National Defense affirmed that the Pegasus surveillance software was contracted between June 27, 2011 and August 24, 2013 “and was used solely and exclusively to maintain the security and operational capacity of the Mexican Army and Air Force”. In this regard, it stated that in accordance with domestic regulations “they are empowered to carry out intelligence activities during the development of operations against organized crime”. However, it assured that “with strict adherence to the rule of law,” the Sedena “does not carry out intelligence activities and much less espionage of any kind against sectors of the population, such as human rights defenders, social activists and journalists.”

The background and the seriousness of the facts denounced require a forceful response from the State, which must provide detailed information on the facts in question, and activate the necessary investigations to clarify the facts, assign responsibilities and provide reparations to the affected persons. As the Rapporteurship has pointed out on previous occasions, “the opacity of the State’s actions in certain areas constitutes fertile ground for the occurrence and repetition of serious human rights violations.”

In this regard, the report "Right to Information and National Security" stresses that obstacles to accessing information on surveillance activities carried out by States "operate in many cases as barriers to..."
accountability for their legitimate use, which should follow the requirements of prior judicial authorization and be strictly necessary and proportionate to the legitimate purposes sought to be protected by the State.  

978. In this regard, the Tshwane Principles on National Security and the Right to Information ("Tshwane Principles") provide that any information relating to surveillance activities by the State should be accessible to society. This information includes: (i) the rules governing all types of surveillance, both overt and covert, including indirect surveillance techniques such as profiling and data mining; (ii) the permissible targets of surveillance; (iii) the presumption threshold required to initiate or continue surveillance, as well as the procedures for authorization and review of the use of such measures; (iii) the types of personal data that may be collected and/or processed for reasons relating to national security; (iv) the criteria that apply to the use, retention, deletion and transfer of such data; and (iv) the entities authorized to carry out surveillance actions and statistics relating to the use of surveillance actions.

979. On the other hand, the Special Rapporteurship has learned of several unconstitutionality actions resolved by the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation in which they invalidated legislative reforms of 2017 to the Federal Law of Telecommunications and Broadcasting. According to the information available, such reforms had repealed provisions related to the rights of the audiences, particularly the obligation for the media to distinguish between information and opinion. As far as this Office was able to learn, in one of its decisions, resolved on August 29, 2022, the Plenary of the Supreme Court based its decision on "the existence of serious violations to the legislative procedure developed before the Chamber itself that impacted the democratic quality of the decision finally adopted, since the right to participation of all political forces with parliamentary representation, in conditions of freedom and equality, was not respected". The Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports expressing concern about these decisions, considering that they impose excessive requirements and controls contrary to Inter-American standards on freedom of expression.

980. This Office recalls that, according to Principle 6 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR, "journalistic activity must be governed by ethical conduct, which in no case may be imposed by States". The Inter-American Court has emphasized that journalism is the primary and principal manifestation of freedom of expression of thought and that "the common good demands the maximum possibility of information and it is the full exercise of the right to expression that favors it". According to the Court, "it is contradictory in principle to invoke a restriction on freedom of expression as a means to guarantee it, because it is to ignore the radical and primary nature of this right as inherent to each human being individually considered, although it is also an attribute of society as a whole. A system of control to the right of expression in the name of a supposed guarantee of the correctness and truthfulness of the information that society receives can be a source of great abuses and, in the end, violates the right to information that society itself has". RELE considers that this is a matter that requires legal certainty and a response compatible with
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freedom of expression standards and has therefore expressed to the Mexican State its willingness to provide technical assistance if deemed appropriate.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

981. As mentioned in the last annual report, the Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that there are challenges to effectively guarantee the right to freedom of expression of women in Mexico, who face differentiated restrictions to their rights based on gender. A report published by the organization Comunicación e Información de la Mujer (CIMAC) in 2022 concluded that the current administration has seen an increase in attacks against women journalists related to their work. One of CIMAC’s criteria when analyzing the increase in acts of violence against women journalists is the type of coverage carried out by the victims. In this regard, they pointed out that journalistic coverage of feminist protests and demonstrations against gender violence represent the most dangerous for women journalists (1.316% of attacks), partly due to the increase in demands for an end to violence against women and the stigmatizing discourse of the president against feminist protests; followed by investigations related to acts of corruption (10.16%); electoral coverage (7.56%); and those related to the health emergency (6.64%).

982. RELE has continued to monitor the development of women’s protests in Mexico, and complaints about the alleged disproportionate use of public force against protesters. In this regard, according to available information, on March 8, 2022, in the context of demonstrations for International Women’s Day, civil society organizations documented disproportionate actions of public force in protests in Mexico City. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also recorded disproportionate responses by the security forces during the demonstrations that took place on March 8 in the city of Morelia, Michoacán state.

983. Reports have also warned about the stigmatization of women’s protests by public authorities. For example, the day before the Women’s Day demonstrations, the president stated in a press conference that the objective of such protests “is no longer to defend women, it is not even feminism, it is a reactionary conservative stance against us, against the transformation policy, which is a totally political stance”, and affirmed having information that the demonstrators “are preparing themselves with clubs, with blowtorches, with Molotov cocktails”. On the same occasion, the Head of Government of Mexico City stated that they expected the participation of “groups that use these dangerous artifacts and violent methods in the demonstration; they are groups that, as you know, cover their faces and use these objects, Molotov cocktails, not only hammers and other tools, but also pepper spray”.

984. Also, according to public reports, on May 19, police officers reportedly assaulted activists of LGBT+ groups who were demonstrating outside the cathedral of the city of Toluca, in order to disperse them. On that occasion, police officers also allegedly resorted to the use of public force against journalists covering the
demonstrations\textsuperscript{985}. In response to these events, the Secretary of Security of the State of Mexico issued a communiqué rejecting the facts and informed that "it will carry out the pertinent investigations, in order to apply sanctions to those responsible" and that "once the investigations are concluded, those public servants who are proven to have violated the principles of police action, will be sanctioned with the corresponding administrative and corrective resources"\textsuperscript{986}.

985. Social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful and unarmed assembly, freedom of association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is obliged to respect, protect and guarantee these rights\textsuperscript{987}. With respect to the obligation to protect and facilitate, the Commission has emphasized that "[t]he imperative social interest in the right to participate in public demonstrations gives rise to a general presumption in favor of its exercise"\textsuperscript{988}. As mentioned in the IACHR report on protest and human rights, States must act on the basis of the lawfulness of public protests and demonstrations and on the assumption that they do not constitute a threat to public order\textsuperscript{989}. Likewise, in relation to the obligation to guarantee the right to protest, the IACHR has established that it "implies first and foremost the duties to investigate and punish any violation occurring in the context of a public demonstration"\textsuperscript{990}.

986. This Office also reiterates that the use of force in the context of protests must be understood as "a last resort that, limited in qualitative and quantitative terms, is intended to prevent an event of greater gravity than that which provokes the State reaction" and that, within this framework of exceptionality, the State must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity and proportionality\textsuperscript{991}.

987. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reminds the Mexican State that, as noted by the IACHR in the report on protest and human rights, "States must adopt positive measures to guarantee this enjoyment to women; children and adolescents; people of African descent; victims of discrimination based on their gender identity or sexual orientation; migrants and non-nationals; indigenous peoples; and groups claiming access to economic, social and cultural rights". As this Office has pointed out, the discourse of these groups of people is historically silenced and must be protected by the States\textsuperscript{992}.

988. On the other hand, in April 2022, the Electoral Tribunal of the Judiciary of the Federation (TEPJF) concluded that a legislator "committed political violence against women on the basis of gender" as a result of various "manifestations made against transgender and transsexual persons", as well as against a deputy of the Morena party, "by reducing their political-electoral rights through these comments"\textsuperscript{993}. The Court determined that the comments made by the deputy used discriminatory language that violated the dignity of transgender women, and that "had the purpose of making the complainant [deputy] and transgender women invisible, violating their political-electoral right to exercise the passive vote, by relating to a gender category"\textsuperscript{994}. In this framework, it ordered the Internal Comptroller's Office of the Chamber of Deputies and Deputies to be
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informed for the application of the corresponding sanction, in addition to the implementation of various measures of comprehensive reparation, consisting of the implementation of courses on gender-based political violence against women and violence against LGBT+ persons, the offering of a public apology and the publication of the summary of the sentence in its Twitter account (SRE-PSC-50/2022)\textsuperscript{2190}.

989. In relation to this case, the National Human Rights Commission (CNDH) condemned the legislator’s expressions, which it considered discriminatory, and urged “legislators, authorities and the population in general to avoid such discriminatory statements and actions”\textsuperscript{2191}. In making its pronouncement, the CNDH also made an “energetic call” to political parties so that within their statutes and principles they consider practices aimed at inclusion, equality, non-discrimination and the elimination of all forms of violence against the LGBT+ population\textsuperscript{2192}.

990. This Office reiterates the importance of States adopting positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression and citizen participation of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as women, LGBT+ persons, or indigenous peoples. The Inter-American system grants enhanced protection to those speeches that express constitutive elements of the personal identity or dignity of those who express themselves\textsuperscript{2193} and, therefore, the Rapporteurship invites States to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of these groups and to tune institutional practices to the enhanced protection of this type of speeches.

991. In relation to the foregoing, the Rapporteurship positively values the progress reported by the State in relation to the promotion of community and indigenous radio broadcasting. In this regard, the State indicated that as part of the activities contemplated in the first stage of the "ProRadio 2022 Program," between April 27 and 29, 2022, three webinars were held in which information and advice was provided to those interested in obtaining a social, community or indigenous concession for radio broadcasting services\textsuperscript{2194}. The State emphasized that "with these actions the Federal Telecommunications Institute reaffirms its commitment to promote community and indigenous broadcasting; as well as the participation of citizens, peoples and communities in the dissemination of their own content that reflects the ethnic and cultural diversity of Mexico"\textsuperscript{2195}.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

992. On April 25, the plenary of Mexico’s Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation declared unconstitutional the decree that created the National Registry of Mobile Telephone Users (Panaut), which obliged users to provide various personal data such as name, nationality, identification number or Unique Population Registry Code (CURP), and biometric data\textsuperscript{2196}. The Court based its decision mainly on the fact that the restrictions to the right to privacy provided by the Decree did not pass the proportionality test, since there were alternative measures equally suitable to guarantee public security and less harmful to this right\textsuperscript{2197}. This decision was
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welcomed by civil society organizations and by the Mexico City Human Rights Commission (CDHCM). As pointed out in the last annual report of the RELE, the reports received from organizations dedicated to the defense of human rights in digital environments warned that its application constituted a serious risk to the privacy of citizens.

This Office has already emphasized that respect for freedom of expression on the Internet presupposes privacy of communications, since "without a private space, free from arbitrary interference by the State or private parties, the right to freedom of thought and expression cannot be fully exercised." States therefore have an obligation to respect and protect the right to privacy in accordance with international human rights law, including in the context of digital communications. In the report "Freedom of Expression and the Internet," the Rapporteurship noted that "the authorities must, on the one hand, refrain from arbitrary intrusions into the orbit of the individual, his or her personal information and communications and, on the other hand, must ensure that other actors refrain from such abusive conduct." For the Office of the Special Rapporteur, this aspect is closely linked to the State’s obligation to create an environment conducive to the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, since the violation of the privacy of communications has a chilling effect and affects the full exercise of the right to communicate.

According to Freedom House’s "Freedom on the Net" report, in Mexico state actors continue to resort to legal threats to remove journalistic information published on digital platforms. Thus, for example, according to available information, on April 11 a judge in Yucatan ordered the media outlets Cambio del Sureste, Grillo de Yucatan, Infolliteras, Noticias al Punto, Yucatan al Segundo and La Noticia Ciudadana to remove journalistic articles related to the former Undersecretary of the Ministry of Urban Development and Environment. The articles in question reported alleged acts of corruption by the former official. Likewise, in April, the organization Artículo 19 denounced that the journalist Eduardo Lliteras of the portal Infolliteras de Yucatán, was notified on April 18 of a lawsuit for alleged moral damages against a businessman, as a result of the publication of a report that related him to illegal activities. According to them, the businessman requested the removal of the publications and a compensation of 500 thousand pesos.

Likewise, a report published in February 2022 by the media Rest of World investigated the role of the company Eliminalia, dedicated to "reputation management", in the activation of removal and deindexation...
processes of internet content related to persons of public interest in Mexico and other countries. According to the information reported, the company would use legal threats and claims alleging copyright infringement against media outlets to get them to remove content that mentioned businessmen and people linked to politics.

996. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that restrictions on freedom of expression on the Internet are only acceptable when they comply with international standards that provide, among other things, that they must be provided for by law and pursue a legitimate aim recognized by international law and be necessary to achieve that aim (the "tripartite" test). In assessing the proportionality of a restriction on freedom of expression on the Internet, the impact that such a restriction might have on the Internet's ability to ensure and promote freedom of expression must be weighed against the benefits that the restriction would bring for the protection of other interests.

997. Also, in a joint statement, the regional freedom of expression mandates of the United Nations (UN), the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), the Organization of American States (OAS) and the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR) emphasized that "States should not subject Internet intermediaries to mandatory orders to remove or otherwise limit content, except where content is lawfully limited in accordance with international standards."

998. Finally, the State reported that through the Federal Telecommunications Institute it launched the Annual Digital Literacy Program (PAD) 2022, which aims to conduct courses and lectures that promote digital skills throughout the country, especially in areas with less access to information and communication technologies. According to the Mexican State, the PAD 2022 was prepared following the recommendations of international organizations that point out the need for States to implement mechanisms for capacity building related to technology and innovation, and the development of strategies, policies and programs for digital skills. The State also reported on the various lines of action of the Federal Telecommunications Institute (IFT) to close the digital divide and its strategic planning for the period 2021-2025; as well as the implementation of initiatives from the executive branch to close the digital divide, such as the Social Coverage Program (PCS), the "Smart Villages, Sustainable Wellbeing" project, among other initiatives identified in different states.

999. Finally, the Rapporteurship appreciates the information provided by the State on mobile service coverage in indigenous peoples. Likewise, RELE welcomes the publication of statistical data by the IFT on the scope of mobile service coverage in 2G, 3G and 4G technologies in the 66 indigenous peoples identified in Mexico in the 2020 Census, as well as the accuracy of the localities in which coverage is still non-existent. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have stated, the production of data and statistics on the levels of internet access throughout the territory is a fundamental part of universal internet access policies, as
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they serve to guide the design of these public policies. RELE has recommended that statistics include at least disaggregated figures according to provinces and geographic areas, gender, age and type of connection; and that, in particular, provide data on the level of Internet penetration in vulnerable communities, rural areas, historically discriminated sectors and indigenous peoples. RELE welcomes these initiatives and encourages the State to continue its efforts to guarantee both access to quality internet and the development of digital skills, through the implementation of digital literacy programs with a human rights perspective.
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NICARAGUA

1000. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed an intensification of the repressive acts by the Nicaraguan State against journalists. These acts included police operations at their residences and media facilities, judicial persecution, confiscation of media and seizure of work equipment. The newspaper La Prensa and its journalistic team were one of the main targets of persecution by the Government, which resulted in the entire editorial staff being forced to leave the country in a short period of time, an unprecedented event in the monitoring of this Office. Likewise, in 2022, journalists Miguel Mora and Miguel Mendoza were sentenced to 13 years and 9 years in prison, respectively, for the crime of “conspiracy to undermine national integrity”. Throughout the year, both journalists - imprisoned in the new Chipote prison - were on hunger strike for prolonged periods of time to demand that the authorities allow them to see their sons and daughters. Reports received by this Office indicate that, since the beginning of the human rights crisis in 2018, at least 54 media outlets would have been closed, almost 30 of them in 2022 alone. On September 21, CNN en Español was taken off the air by the Government. According to the Nicaraguan Institute of Telecommunications (TELCOR), the measure was taken because it found that the content transmitted by CNN “contravenes, violates and injures the legal norms” of Nicaragua. In a year in which the Government cancelled the legal status of more than 2,000 civil society organizations, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that there is a closure and government co-optation of civic spaces and democratic participation in Nicaragua and urges the State to restore and enforce the full enjoyment of civil and political rights, especially freedom of expression, peaceful assembly and association. While measures of persecution and censorship have reached widely diverse organizations, in 2022 the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur particularly observed an increase in harassment against the Catholic Church.

A. Journalism and democracy

1001. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the IACHR received information on an increase in police operations at journalists’ residences and media facilities, raids, confiscation of media outlets, seizure of work equipment, displacement and forced exile of journalists. Likewise, the information reported indicates that some media outlets have cut back their coverage of political issues as a result of government threats to close them. The complaints received by this Office show that the actions taken by the State seek to silence the press and control any speech that questions or contradicts the official voices, undermining the basic guarantees for the exercise of fundamental freedoms.

1002. In 2022, the newspaper La Prensa and its members were one of the main targets of persecution by the Government. According to the newspaper, this attack reached the entire journalistic team, including drivers, photographers and reporters. The information received indicates that, in July the National Police raided the homes of members of the newspaper and arbitrarily detained some of them, who were subsequently transferred to the prison of Nuevo Chipote. According to public information, the actions perpetrated against the newspaper were linked to its coverage of the Government’s expulsion of the religious order Misioneras de la Caridad de Nicaragua, after the cancellation of its legal status on June 29, 2022. The newspaper La Prensa demanded that the Nicaraguan Government “respect the laws”, “release the detainees” and “cease the persecution against the staff of the newspaper” because “they are only doing their job, without committing any crime”. In this context, that same month, La Prensa reported that its entire editorial team was forced to leave
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The Special Rapporteur pointed out that this is unprecedented in the monitoring carried out by the Office, since there are no previous records of an entire newsroom having been forcibly displaced into exile in such a short period of time.

1003. The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemned the escalation of repression by the authorities against journalists and urged the State to cease persecution, intimidation and harassment against the independent press that reports on matters of public interest, including the human rights crisis, and to restore full guarantees to exercise the right to freedom of expression. On September 29, the Nicaraguan Attorney General’s Office reported on the indictment of four workers of La Prensa for the crime of conspiring to undermine national integrity, jointly with the crime of propagation of false news through information and communication technologies, to the detriment of the State and Nicaraguan society. According to what this Office was able to learn, the accused persons include the journalist and the driver assigned to cover the expulsion from the country of the Missionaries of Charity order.

1004. The use of criminal mechanisms against journalists who report on the political and human rights situation in Nicaragua is one of the main repressive tools used by the Government to silence the press. In 2022, this Office learned of several judicial convictions, framed in closed trials that did not respect the basic guarantees of due process, and which confirm that Nicaraguan journalists who persist in the exercise of their profession, despite the Government’s threats, are likely to end up in prison.

1005. On February 4, 2022, the Second Criminal District Court sentenced journalist and former presidential pre-candidate Miguel Mora to 13 years in prison and disqualified him from holding public office for allegedly committing the crime of “conspiracy to undermine national integrity”, provided for in Law 1055 on the Defense of the Rights of the People to Independence, Sovereignty and Self-Determination for Peace. Miguel Mora, owner and former director of the TV channel 100% Noticias, was arrested on June 20, 2021 in Managua and has been deprived of his liberty for more than 440 days in the Judicial Assistance Directorate (DAJ), known as El Chipote prison. Family members have denounced that Miguel’s health has deteriorated severely due to poor food and conditions of confinement. Between July and August, the journalist remained on hunger strike for 59 days to demand that the authorities allow him to see his son and have access to a Bible. On August 12, 2022, in an extraordinary session of the Permanent Council of the OAS, the president of the IACHR, Julissa Mantilla, warned about the hunger strike, and demanded that the Nicaraguan State guarantee his life and health.
According to public information, on August 20, 2022, Miguel Mora was reunited with his son, after the authorities authorized the visit.  

Likewise, on February 8, 2022, the Ninth Criminal Trial District Court of Managua sentenced sports journalist Miguel Mendoza to nine years in prison for allegedly committing the crime of "conspiracy to undermine national integrity", and disqualified him from holding public office for the same years. Likewise, the judge in charge ordered the confiscation of all his assets. The sports journalist was arrested in June 2021, after a police raided his home located in Managua, as part of a wave of arrests of opposition political leaders and critics of the government, prior to the general elections of November 2021. In November 2022, the journalist had been on hunger strike for more than 40 days as a measure to pressure the authorities to allow him to see his daughter. According to the information available, through the defense attorney, eleven requests were introduced so that his daughter could enter the facilities of El Chipote prison, where the journalist is serving his sentence.

On March 23, 2022, in a closed trial - as in the cases of Miguel Mora and Miguel Mendoza - the Second Criminal District Court of Managua sentenced Juan Lorenzo Holmann Chamorro, general manager of the newspaper La Prensa, to nine years in prison for allegedly committing the crime of money laundering. In addition, the judge ordered him to pay a fine that, according to representatives of the newspaper, would be three times the amount for which the journalist was brought to trial. According to the information available, Juan Lorenzo Holmann's health has deteriorated seriously -particularly due to eye and heart problems- and he remains in isolation, incomunicado and in unhealthy conditions of confinement. At the conclusion this report, the journalist has been imprisoned for more than 440 days for political reasons.

In addition to these facts, there are serious reports of an increase of journalists and communicators in displacement and forced exile due to the growing fear of reprisals, which can range from police siege to their homes, threats to their families and even criminalization with exorbitant penalties. The information reported to Rapporteurship indicates that from 2018 to date at least 140 journalists would have left Nicaragua for reasons linked to the lack of guarantees to exercise the profession. Among the journalists who publicly stated their decision to leave the country in 2022 are Aminta Ramírez, Julio Jarquín and María Flordeliz Ordóñez, beneficiary of precautionary measures of the IACHR. However, many people have preferred...
not to make public the decision to go into exile, for fear that their families or close environments may suffer retaliation.2253

1009. Attacks and illegitimate intrusions to the freedom and independence of the media in Nicaragua are part of a trend that continues to increase in 2022. Since the beginning of the human rights crisis in 2018, at least 54 media outlets would have been closed, almost 30 of them in 2022 alone.2254 Likewise, the facilities of the media outlets 100% Noticias, Confidencial and La Prensa were seized and confiscated.2255 The information received by this Office indicates that most of the closed media correspond to local radio stations that were located in the north of Nicaragua and belonged to the Catholic Church2256.

1010. In addition, this Office learned at the beginning of the year of shutting down and confiscation of the portal Trinchera de la Noticia, for allegedly "disturbing social peace and refusing to timely present information or presenting incomplete or inaccurate information", as stated in the resolution of the Judicial Power.2257 The list of news outlets that were shut down in 2022 includes, among many others, the television channel Condega Visión, which broadcast from the department of Estelí; the channel NGTV3, which broadcast from the municipality of Nueva Guinea; Radio Darío, from the municipality of León; Radio Estéreo Libre, from the municipality of Jinotega; Radio Católica de Sébaco and other Catholic radio stations of the Diocese of Matagalpa.2258 In August, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression condemned the arbitrary suspension of seven Catholic radio stations in the Diocese of Matagalpa, and the violent entry of the police into the Niño Jesús de Praga chapel on August 1, from where they allegedly took radio and TV equipment. The IACHR and the Rapporteur reiterated the call to the State of Nicaragua to cease the constant attacks against the Catholic Church.2259

1011. On the evening of September 21, the Government of Nicaragua took CNN en Español off the air.2260 The news outlet assured that it "will continue to fulfill its responsibility to the Nicaraguan public, offering news links on CNNespanol.com, so that they can have access to information that is not otherwise available".2261 It also reaffirmed its commitment "to truth and transparency" and its belief "in the vital role that freedom of the press plays in a healthy democracy".2262 In this regard, the Nicaraguan Institute of Telecommunications (TELCOR) asserted that the order to remove the channel from the cable network was taken based on the functions and powers conferred to it by the internal regulations as it had found that "the content transmitted by the Channel 'CNN en Español' through the Subscription Television network of its representative, contravenes, violates and harms the legal norms (...)" of Nicaragua.2263

---

2253 La Prensa. March 2, 2022. Between 70 and 120 journalists are in exile, due to threats and persecution by the regime; NTN24. July 18, 2022. Independent journalists in Nicaragua, forced into exile.
2263 RT. 22 September 2022. Nicaragua removes CNN en Español signal for "contravening, violating and injuring legal norms"; Telcor says it removed CNN en Español from cable for "violating and injuring legal norms" of Nicaragua.
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1012. According to information gathered by this Office, the Nicaraguan government has used the powers of the Nicaraguan Institute of Telecommunications to arbitrarily close media outlets and censor news programs critical of the government. In Nicaragua, this agency has, among other functions, the supervision, application and control of compliance with the laws governing the operation and provision of telecommunications services. TELCOR's official website specifies that the agency is responsible for "the administration and regulation of the radio frequency spectrum, as well as the granting of concessions, licenses, permits or registration certificates (...) applicable to companies interested in providing telecommunications services (..)" The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have called on the State to refrain from using its powers in the area of telecommunications as a measure to limit or impede the circulation of information, ideas and opinions.

1013. In view of the above information, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that journalism is the primary and principal manifestation of freedom of expression, since it is journalists and the media who keep society informed about matters of public interest and contribute to the existence of a broad, robust and pluralistic public debate. For this reason, States have the obligation to create the conditions for journalists to be able to exercise their function freely, independently and safely.

1014. Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that intimidation and/or threats against social communicators violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have understood that these types of actions are intended to restrict or hinder the work of journalists who investigate attacks, abuses, irregularities or illicit acts of any kind committed by public officials, organizations or private individuals in general. Likewise, these actions are used as an instrument of intimidation that sends a message to all members of civil society engaged in investigating irregularities in the public administration. This Office has also stated that this practice "seeks to silence the press in its watchdog role", preventing society from being informed about events of public interest.

1015. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that direct or indirect pressures from the State aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators are incompatible with freedom of expression. Principle 13 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR establishes that "[t]he exercise of power and the use of public funds by the state, the granting of customs duty privileges, the arbitrary and discriminatory placement of official advertising and government loans, the concession of radio and television broadcast frequencies, among others, with the intent to put pressure on and punish or reward and provide privileges to social communicators and communications media because of the opinions they express threaten freedom of expression, and must be explicitly prohibited by law. The means of communication have the right to carry out their role in an independent manner." This situation, the Rapporteurship reiterates its call to the State to put an end to the escalation of repression and to guarantee the right of journalists to inform without pressure or interference in their work. The Rapporteurship recalls that it is the duty of the State to promote, respect and guarantee the right of all persons to express their ideas, opinions and thoughts.

1016. As the Rapporteurship has previously stated, the evidence gathered indicates that there is currently no guarantee whatsoever to exercise the right to freedom of expression in Nicaragua. Faced with this situation, the Rapporteurship reiterates its call to the State to put an end to the escalation of repression and to guarantee the right of journalists to inform without pressure or interference in their work. The Rapporteurship recalls that it is the duty of the State to promote, respect and guarantee the right of all persons to express their ideas, opinions and thoughts.

2264 Voz de America. October 17, 2022. Telcor: The wapon used by Ortega to close media outlets; La Prensa. September 12, 2022.
2265 Government of Nicaragua. Nicaragua Institute of Telecommunications and Postal Services (TELCOR).
2270 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
2271 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
2272 IACHR. Undated. Background and Interpretation of the Declaration of Principles.
opinions or ideas by any means and without fear of being persecuted, punished or stigmatized for it; to participate in the public debate to encourage and enrich it; and to access relevant information to exercise political control that makes possible a true democracy.2275

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1017. In 2022, restrictions to fundamental freedoms reached a critical point in Nicaragua.2276 According to information received, the main strategies used by the Government to limit freedom of expression and public participation this year have been the massive cancellation of legal status of civil society organizations, judicial persecution, and the implementation of control and surveillance mechanisms against human rights defenders, civil society actors, academics, students, members of the Catholic Church, political parties, opponents of the Government and all those who actively participate in public life.2277 This Office reiterates that there is no longer any space for critical voices in Nicaragua, as the Government’s censorship strategy has been steadily deployed against anyone who attempts to question it and against all available areas of democratic participation.2278

1018. According to information submitted by civil society organizations to the IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur, from 2018 to the present, Nicaragua has cancelled the legal status of 2,634 civil society organizations; 95% of them would have been cancelled in 2022.2279 The list of entities would include feminist organizations or those working for women’s rights; indigenous and Afro-descendant organizations; environmental organizations; among others. Likewise, the IACHR and the Special Rapporteur received information on the de facto confiscation of at least 43 entities and on the different methods of expropriation consummated by the State, ranging from the freezing of accounts and assets, and the seizure of personal property of workers, to the police occupation of their buildings.2280

1019. The international community has emphatically condemned these events. In July 2022, UN experts warned about the arbitrary shutdown of hundreds of civil society organizations and the chilling effect it has on civil society actors, and warned that this situation represents “a clear pattern of repression of civic space”.2281 In September 2022, the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association and the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the IACHR affirmed that there is a “governmental closure and co-optation of civic spaces and democratic participation in Nicaragua” and urged the State to reestablish and make effective the full enjoyment of civil and political rights, especially freedom of expression, peaceful assembly and association.2282 They also called on the international community to increase its commitment to provide supportive responses to the victims of the human rights crisis.2283 The situation of the right to freedom of association was also analyzed by the IACHR during a public hearing held at the 185th Period of Sessions.2284 There, the IACHR described the situation in Nicaragua as “a regime of terror” and

2276 IACHR. September 28, 2022. In light of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs urge authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms. Press Release 218/22.
2277 IACHR. September 28, 2022. In light of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs urge authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms. Press Release 218/22.
emphasized the importance of continuing to closely observe the situation of fundamental freedoms in the country.\textsuperscript{2285}

1020. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that any limitations or restrictions on the freedom of peaceful assembly and association must be strictly subject to a series of requirements. International human rights law understands that only those restrictions that are expressly established by law, that are necessary to achieve one of the compelling objectives provided for in international law, and that are proportional to that objective, will be legitimate. In this sense, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that the dissolution of civil society organizations and media is one of the most serious sanctions that the authorities can impose on an organization, and that they can only be used in compliance with the aforementioned requirements.\textsuperscript{2286} In addition, international standards require that organizations have the opportunity to appeal the decision before an independent and impartial tribunal.\textsuperscript{2287} The Rapporteurship emphasizes that one of the pillars of freedom of association, which must be considered when establishing limitations to this right, is the presumption that the activities of associations are lawful.\textsuperscript{2288}

1021. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates the call to the State to refrain from using the law in an arbitrary and selective manner, and from applying abusive government practices, in order to hinder or restrict citizen participation and freedom of expression, peaceful assembly and association.\textsuperscript{2289} As noted by UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs, “the closure and widespread cancellation of civil society organizations and media outlets highlights the governmental co-optation of civic space and the intention to consolidate the official narrative as the only permitted discourse, which is deepened by official propaganda strategies and pressures to replicate it.”\textsuperscript{2290}

1022. While the Government’s persecution and censorship measures have reached widely diverse organizations, in 2022 the IACHR and its Special Rapportership particularly noted an increase in harassment actions against the Catholic Church.\textsuperscript{2291} In May, the IACHR and the Special Rapporteur condemned the order of the regulator TELCOR to remove the \textit{Canal Católico} from the cable television schedules.\textsuperscript{2292} \textit{Canal Católico}, which was founded in 2011, belongs to the Episcopal Conference of Nicaragua and was interrupted while broadcasting religious activities.\textsuperscript{2293} According to available information, TELCOR’s order was given the day after the bishop of the Diocese of Matagalpa and apostolic administrator of the Diocese of Estelí denounced on social networks the harassment by agents of the National Police.\textsuperscript{2294} According to public information, in December the Public Prosecutor’s Office accused two Catholic journalists who collaborate with the Diocese of Matagalpa: Manuel Antonio Obando Cortedano, head of media of the Diocese of Matagalpa (north), and

\textsuperscript{2288} IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. September 28, 2022. \textit{In light of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs urge authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms.} Press Release 218/22.
\textsuperscript{2289} IACHR. Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. September 28, 2022. \textit{In light of serious allegations regarding the closure of civic spaces in Nicaragua, UN and IACHR Special Rapporteurs urge authorities to comply with their international obligations to respect and guarantee fundamental freedoms.} Press Release 218/22.
\textsuperscript{2291} IACHR. May 27, 2022. \textit{IACHR and Its Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression Urge the State of Nicaragua to End Persecution of the Church and to Enable a Plurality of Voices.} Press Release 119/22; La Prensa. May 20, 2022. \textit{Telcor orders cable companies to remove the Catholic Channel from its signal; Articulo 66.} 31 May 2022. \textit{Ortega regime takes over the frequency of Canal Católico.}
\textsuperscript{2292} IACHR. May 27, 2022. \textit{IACHR and Its Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression Urge the State of Nicaragua to End Persecution of the Church and to Enable a Plurality of Voices.} Press Release 119/22; La Prensa. May 19, 2022. \textit{Monsignor Rolando Álvarez denounces persecution by the police and takes refuge in the Santo Cristo de Las Colinas Parish, El País CR.} 21 May 2022. \textit{Bishop denounces that he suffers police persecution by the Nicaraguan government.}
Wilberto Artola, journalist of the digital channel TV Merced, of the same Diocese. The journalists were reportedly arrested on December 11, 2022.

1023. Article 12 of the American Convention provides for “freedom to profess or disseminate one’s religion or beliefs, either individually or together with others, in public or in private” and establishes that “freedom to manifest one’s religion and beliefs may be subject only to the limitations prescribed by law that are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals or the rights or freedoms of others”. As already pointed out by the IACHR and its Special Rapporteur, the order to exclude the Canal Católico from the cable network could constitute an arbitrary restriction of the right to disseminate one’s religion or beliefs and, in the current context, also to a form of retaliation against the church for its mediating role and for the support it afforded to victims of the ongoing human rights crisis in Nicaragua.

1024. On the other hand, the information presented by civil society organizations during the aforementioned public hearing indicates that the practices perpetrated by the Government to prevent or hinder the exercise of freedom of expression, assembly and association have been largely based on a restrictive legal framework with provisions contrary to international human rights standards. According to the information documented by the Rapporteurship, among the main laws used by the State against civil society actors are Law No. 977 against Money Laundering, Financing of Terrorism and Financing the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, of 2018; Law No. 1040 on the Regulation of Foreign Agents, of 2020; Law No. 1042 on Cybercrimes, of 2020; Law No. 1055 on the Defense of the People’s Rights to Independence, Sovereignty and Self-Determination for Peace, of 2020; and Law No. 1115 on the Regulation and Control of Non-Profit Organizations, of 2022.

1025. In 2022, several UN Special Rapporteurs expressed their concerns regarding the aforementioned Law on Regulation and Control of Non-Profit Organizations, known as the “NPO Law”, approved by the National Assembly on March 31, 2022. In particular, the experts drew the attention of the State to the imposition of extensive administrative and registration procedures, the disclosure of beneficiary data, and the significant limitation of external funding for organizations. The Special Rapporteurs also reminded the State that “its obligations to protect, promote and implement human rights apply in the context of the fight against terrorism, including when enacting measures to combat the financing of terrorism”.

1026. In 2022, the Rapporteurship also learned of the approval of reforms to the National Cinematheque Law, published on October 18, 2022 through Law 1132. The Rapporteurship notes with concern the breadth of the powers provided to the National Cinematheque, which in the context of the human rights crisis in Nicaragua could be applied arbitrarily in order to limit or restrict film production. For example, the regulation grants the entity the power to “authorize the pre-production, production, realization or filming, exhibition and distribution of national or foreign audiovisual and cinematographic projects in Nicaragua”. The regulation also provides for “preventive security measures”, which could consist of “the prohibition of the development, production, realization or filming, exhibition and distribution of national or foreign audiovisual and cinematographic projects in Nicaragua”. Among the main laws used by the State against civil society actors are Law No. 977 against Money Laundering, Financing of Terrorism and Financing the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, of 2018; Law No. 1040 on the Regulation of Foreign Agents, of 2020; Law No. 1042 on Cybercrimes, of 2020; Law No. 1055 on the Defense of the People’s Rights to Independence, Sovereignty and Self-Determination for Peace, of 2020; and Law No. 1115 on the Regulation and Control of Non-Profit Organizations, of 2022.

2295 Swissinfo. December 15, 2022. Two journalists collaborating with Nicaraguan bishop Rolando Álvarez are accused; La Prensa. 15 December 2022. Two Mataquilpan journalists working for Catholic media accused.
2296 Infobae. December 12, 2022. Detention of two Catholic journalists in Nicaragua denounced; Swissinfo. 15 December 2022. Two journalists collaborating with Nicaraguan bishop Rolando Álvarez are accused.
2299 Mandates of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism; the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression; the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants; the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association; and the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders. May 5, 2022. Ref. OL NE 1/2022.
2300 Mandates of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism; the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression; the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants; the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association; and the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders. May 5, 2022. Ref. OL NE 1/2022.

1027. A group of Nicaraguan independent filmmakers denounced that the Law "harms the freedom of creation and expression of independent filmmakers", since "the National Cinematheque goes from promoting and disseminating cinematography and audiovisual arts, to regulating and supervising cinematography and audiovisual arts in all its aspects and formats".\footnote{Voz de America. October 13, 2022. Nicaragua approves reform to control production of audiovisual material in Nicaragua is approved; 100% Noticias. October 13, 2022. Voz de America. October 13, 2022. Nicaragua approves reform to control the production of audiovisual material.} In this sense, they called for "reflecting on the importance of defending creative freedom (...) and ensuring that the rights to freedom of expression and cultural creation are respected".\footnote{IACHR. October 20, 2000. Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression.}

1028. This Office emphasizes that the right to freedom of expression includes “the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of the borders, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of one’s choice. The Inter-American human rights system protects the right to artistic or symbolic expression, to the dissemination of artistic expression, and to access to art in all its forms. Principle 5 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression provides that, “prior censorship, direct or indirect interference in or pressure exerted upon any expression, opinion or information transmitted through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual or electronic communication must be prohibited by law. Restrictions to the free circulation of ideas and opinions, as well as the arbitrary imposition of information and the imposition of obstacles to the free flow of information violate the right to freedom of expression.”\footnote{IACHR. August 12, 2022. IACHR Concludes Promotional Visit to Miami, United States of America, and San José, Costa Rica to Monitor the Situation of Nicaraguan Individuals; Press Release No. 179/22; IACHR. August 12, 2022. Speech by the President of the IACHR, Commissioner Julissa Mantilla. Special session of the Permanent Council.}

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1029. During 2022, this Office has become aware of the particular impact that the actions identified in the previous sections have had on voices traditionally excluded from public debate. The scenario is aggravated by the lack of official statistical data on violence against groups in vulnerable situations, which ends up harming not only the right of access to information of citizens but also the development of public policies in this area.

1030. The Rapporteurship has received information on the shutdown, seizure of equipment and raid on the facilities of the community radio station Radio Vos, which defines itself as a “radio school with a feminist profile”. In August, TELCOR reportedly cancelled the radio station’s broadcasting license for allegedly failing to comply with rulings of the General Law of Telecommunications. In September, the radio station denounced that State officials had raided and occupied the radio station’s headquarters, which was operating in a rented space, and prevented access to the building.\footnote{SwissInfo. September 6, 2022. Community radio station denounces expropriation of its facilities in Nicaragua; Infobae. Daniel Ortega’s regime expropriated the facilities of the community radio station Radio Vos: La Lupa. August 3, 2022. Radio Vos: “They can turn off the radio, but they can’t turn off our voice”; Confidencial. September 6, 2022. Regime assaults and confiscates the facilities of Radio Vos de Matagalpa.} The shutdown of Radio Vos is part of a context of increasing absence of news coverage in areas far from the capital, which this Office has called “zones of media silence”.\footnote{SwissInfo. September 6, 2022. Community radio station denounces expropriation of its facilities in Nicaragua; Infobae. Daniel Ortega’s regime expropriated the facilities of the community radio station Radio Vos: La Lupa. August 3, 2022. Radio Vos: “They can turn off the radio, but they can’t turn off our voice”; Confidencial. September 6, 2022. Regime assaults and confiscates the facilities of Radio Vos de Matagalpa.}

1031. Additionally, in 2022, the Rapporteurship has monitored the impact that the shutdown of more than 2,000 non-governmental organizations has had on actors working on the rights of women, LGBTI+ persons and other vulnerable groups. According to publicly available information, 176 feminist organizations or those working for women’s rights; 76 indigenous and Afro-descendant organizations; and 109 organizations...
dedicated to environmental issues would have been targeted between 2018 and June 2022. For instance, the Asociación Programa Regional Feminista La Corriente was raided and sealed by security forces. The suppression of the legal status of La Corriente reportedly took place alongside 50 other NGOs in the framework of the "National Dignity Day". Likewise, during the month of LGBTI+ pride, Nicaraguan activists in exile have denounced the violence against LGBTI people in the country, the concerning impact that the shutdown of NGOs in Nicaragua has on the defense of the rights of this group, and the effects of self-censorship that repression generates.

1032. This Office recalls that speech that expresses constituent elements of one's personal identity or dignity is specially protected under the American Convention on Human Rights. The Rapporteurship highlights the duty of the Nicaraguan State to respect and guarantee the right to freedom of expression of historically discriminated groups. As the Rapporteurship has previously pointed out, on many occasions these groups lack channels or avenues to voice their complaints, express their ideas and opinions, or to inform themselves about the issues that affect them. Therefore, it is essential for the State to promote public policies for the social inclusion of these groups in the media and other spaces for activism and public advocacy, and to refrain from restricting existing civic and public participation spaces for these groups.

1033. Finally, the Rapporteurship notes with concern the impact that the wave of repression against journalists, human rights defenders, activists and opponents has on women. In particular, women are more vulnerable as they are not only exposed to attacks because of the exercise of their journalistic work or defense of human rights, but are also disproportionately affected and are targets of gender-based reprisals. Thus, for example, in 2022 this Office learned of complaints from women defenders of women's rights about the creation of false profiles with their names, linking them to pornographic sites, as a strategy of intimidation, harassment to discredit their work. Additionally, in early January, Radio La Costeñísima journalists Kalúa Salazar and Yahaciela Barrera were reportedly the target of police harassment while covering a femicide case in Bluefields. In this context, the IACHR, through its Special Follow-up Mechanism for Nicaragua (MESENI) and the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information about the attempt by the police to enter the home of Kalúa Salazar. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information on alleged intimidation and aggressions against the reporter of the Notimatv channel, María Flordeliz Ordóñez, who reported that a police patrol raided her home without a warrant for 55 minutes, and that they allegedly beat and threatened her husband, forcing him to remain silent while police officers interrogated her about her work.

1034. In this context, the Office of the Special Rapporteur draws attention to the significant challenges that persist in gathering official data on discrimination and violence against women and LGBTI+ persons, which...
hinders access to information for citizens and makes the size of the problem invisible.\textsuperscript{2320} As previously pointed out by the IACHR and its Rapporteurship, access to information has an instrumental character for the exercise of other human rights; and implies the observance of different obligations by the States, among which stand out the obligation to collect and produce information, and the obligation of active transparency. The collection and production of disaggregated information on the situation of violence and discrimination against women and LGBTI+ persons allow for greater effectiveness in the design and evaluation of public policies focused on combating this problem.\textsuperscript{2321}

1035. Finally, the Rapporteurship expresses its concern regarding the repression against the Catholic Church analyzed in the previous recitals of this report- and reiterates its call to the State of Nicaragua to guarantee pluralism of voices and content in the media, including religious discourse.\textsuperscript{2322} The Rapporteurship highlights that, according to the Inter-American Legal Framework on the Right to Freedom of Expression, religious speech enjoys a special level of protection under the American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR), as it expresses constitutive elements of personal identity and dignity. This is of particular importance in a country with a majority of its population professing the Catholic religion.\textsuperscript{2323}

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1036. The Rapporteurship recognizes the importance of the digital civic space for the sustainability and survival of independent journalism in Nicaragua and for the exercise of the defense of human rights. In 2022, this Office received information about serious restrictions to the right to freedom of expression, assembly and association on the Internet; and a growing climate of self-censorship of citizens who, for fear of reprisals, choose not to disseminate information or express their ideas and opinions on social networks.\textsuperscript{2324} Likewise, there are still relevant challenges for the universalization of access to the Internet in the country.\textsuperscript{2325}

1037. In 2022, the Rapporteurship recorded numerous criminal convictions based on the Special Law on Cybercrimes.\textsuperscript{2326} In January 2022, the Chinandega Criminal Trial District Court sentenced Donald Avarenga to 12 years in prison for allegedly committing the crimes of conspiracy to undermine national integrity and propagation of false news through information and communication technologies.\textsuperscript{2327} The Rapporteurship also learned of other cases, such as the 11-year prison sentence on the same charges against activist Masaya Nidia Barbosa Castillo;\textsuperscript{2328} the 8-year prison sentence on the same charges against activist Cinthia Samantha Padilla Jirón;\textsuperscript{2329} and the 8-year prison sentence, also on the same charges, against diplomat Edgar Parrales.\textsuperscript{2330} Likewise, in March, activist Yoel Ibarz Sandino, founder of the Facebook page “Mentes Libres”, was sentenced

\textsuperscript{2321} IACHR. Access to information, violence against women and the administration of justice in the Americas. OAS/Ser.L/V/II.154 Doc. 19. 27 March 2015. Para. 42.
\textsuperscript{2322} IACHR. May 27, 2022. IACHR and Its Special Rapporteurship for Freedom of Expression Urge the State of Nicaragua to End Persecution of the Church and to Enable a Plurality of Voices. Press Release 119/22
\textsuperscript{2327} La Prensa. January 18, 2022. Donald Alvarenga, first opponent convicted under Cybercrime Law; La Prensa. January 28, 2022. Judge "rectifies" conviction against opposition leader Donald Alvarenga, increases sentence to 12 years in prison and fine of more than 42 thousand córdobas. Artículo 66. 31 January 2022. Donald Alvarenga, the former Sandinista sentenced to 12 years for “inciting violence” through his social networks.
\textsuperscript{2328} CENIDH Twitter account (@Cenidh). February 11, 2022; Nicaragua Investiga. August 4, 2022. Political prisoner Nidia Barbosa sentenced to 11 years in prison.
\textsuperscript{2329} 100% Noticias. March 8, 2022. Samantha Padilla Jirón sentenced to eight years in prison for “treason” in Nicaragua; La Prensa. November 3, 2022. Samantha Lirón serves 360 days in La Esperanza. These are the women held in this penitentiary system.
\textsuperscript{2330} CNN. April 27, 2022. Nicaraguan ex-diplomat convicted on charges of conspiracy and spreading false news. Confidencial. 27 April 2022. Edgard Parrales before Ortega judge: “I am innocent, I am backed by a history of life and commitment to the defense of Nicaragua.”
to 11 years in prison for conspiracy to undermine national integrity and propagation of false news, in relation to different publications made on this page. On May 5, 2022, the IACHR granted precautionary measures in favor of Yoel Ibzan Sandino, and determined that the State should adopt the necessary measures to protect his rights to life, personal integrity and health. In analyzing and deciding this case, the IACHR took special account of the threats and acts of harassment against Yoel Sandino Ibarra for expressing himself through his social networks in a critical manner to the Government. According to information reported by Confidencial, as of March 2022 at least 30 people had been convicted for conspiracy and propagation of false news.

1038. The Rapporteurship has received numerous reports indicating that these criminal proceedings did not respect the minimum guarantees of due process, especially in evidentiary matters. According to available information, during the trial against journalist Miguel Mendoza, which culminated in a 9-year prison sentence, the court allegedly considered as evidence various publications by the journalist on his social networks. Likewise, this Office became aware of alleged violations of judicial guarantees in the criminal proceeding that the Prosecutor’s Office followed against a 56 years old farmer, Santos Camilo Bellorín Lira, who was sentenced to 11 years in prison for allegedly undermining national integrity and propagating false news through information and communication technologies. According to the information available, Santos Bellorín Lira did not have a profile with recent activity on social networks, nor a cell phone with the necessary technology for connection to a mobile network, or a computer. To support the accusation of cybercrimes, the Prosecutor’s Office allegedly presented screenshots of publications on social networks from some profiles that -according to them- belonged to Mr. Bellorín Lira; however, the Prosecutor’s Office did not present sufficient evidence that such profiles actually belonged to Santos Bellorín Lira.

1039. As reported by the National Assembly, in 2022 various parliamentary commissions conducted consultation on the latest criminal laws, including the Cybercrime Law. In the consultation with the Judiciary, held in February, the representatives of the Supreme Court considered the Special Law on Cybercrimes as “complete”, since they did not “find gaps in the application of the Law”. Likewise, in the consultation with the Nicaraguan Human Rights Ombudsman’s Office, which took place in March, the entity stated that “the laws approved have contributed to the work of the entity dedicated to the protection of the human rights, as is the case of the Cybercrime Law which has given a response to many complaints regarding crimes linked to information technologies”.

1040. As in previous years, the Rapporteurship recalls that the right to freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way as to all media. This Office reiterates that restrictions on freedom of expression on the Internet are only acceptable when they comply with international standards which provide, inter alia,
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2331 Nicaragua Investiga. March 24, 2022. Activist Yoel Sandino, creator of Mentes Libres, sentenced to 11 and a half years in prison.
Artículo 66. 23 March 2022. Ortega’s justice system sentences opposition activist Yoel Sandino to 11 years in prison.
2333 Confidencial. March 8, 2022. Requiem adds more than 30 political prisoners sentenced for “conspiracy” and “cybercrimes”.
2335 CPJ. February 10, 2022. Nicaraguan journalist Miguel Mendoza convicted of crimes of disseminating false news and conspiring against national integrity; La Prensa. February 16, 2022. Journalist and sports reporter Miguel Mendoza is sentenced to 9 years in prison.
2336 Confidencial. February 21, 2022. Farmer with no smartphone or social networks convicted of cybercrimes; Artículo 66.
2337 Confidencial. February 21, 2022. Farmer with no smartphone or social networks convicted of cybercrime; Artículo 66.
2338 National Assembly of Nicaragua. February 24, 2022. Parliamentary Commissions continue the consultations and analysis of the approved Criminal laws.
2339 National Assembly of Nicaragua. February 24, 2022. Parliamentary Commissions continue the consultations and analysis of the approved Criminal laws.
2340 National Assembly of Nicaragua. March 9, 2022. Penal norms contribute to respond to the demands of the population.
that they must be provided for by law, pursue a legitimate aim recognized by international law, and be necessary to achieve that aim (the “tripartite” test).\textsuperscript{2342}

1041. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates the importance that any legislation aimed at regulating content on the Internet does not contain vague and general definitions or disproportionately affect the free flow of information in the digital sphere. In this regard, this Office has already pointed out that general prohibitions on the dissemination of information based on vague and ambiguous concepts, including the concept of “false news” or “fake news” are incompatible with international standards on freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{2343}

1042. In turn, restrictions to digital civic space and self-censorship have escalated, in some situations, to forced exile due to threats, harassment and persecution. In 2022, the Rapporteurship continued to follow up on the case of Kevin Adrián Monzón Mora, who reportedly left the country by force in September 2021. On February 22, 2021, the IACHR granted precautionary measures in his favor, including his family, considering that, as a result of his publications on the social network TikTok, he was exposed to threats, harassment, intimidation and acts of violence in Nicaragua.\textsuperscript{2344} Despite the existence of the precautionary measures granted by the IACHR, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the continued acts of harassment and violence against him.\textsuperscript{2345} This Office learned that, upon attempting to enter the United States, Kevin Mozón was reportedly detained for at least 40 days in an immigration station, between August and September 2022.\textsuperscript{2346} According to available information, he is in the process of applying for political asylum in the United States.\textsuperscript{2347}

1043. This Office has also learned of hacking attempts against newspapers and news portals in Nicaragua, such as the Confidencial newsletter on Whatsapp, the Whatsapp account of Artículo 66 and the Twitter account of 100% Noticias.\textsuperscript{2348} This Office highlights that these cases are framed in a context of constant discrediting campaigns, threats, harassment and doxxing practices in the digital sphere, especially against Nicaraguan human rights defenders and journalists.\textsuperscript{2349} In this regard, an OHCHR report of September 2022 indicated that "officials and citizens related to the Sandinista National Liberation Front also allegedly participated in these acts of harassment, intimidating individuals for allegedly using social networks against the Government".\textsuperscript{2350}

1044. The exercise of freedom of expression online also faces significant infrastructure challenges in Nicaragua, particularly due to the low Internet penetration in some territories. According to information gathered by civil society organizations, although progress has been made in recent years, currently less than half of Nicaragua’s population would have access to the Internet.\textsuperscript{2351} As far as this Office has been able to observe, the most recent official statistics on internet access are from 2017.\textsuperscript{2352} On the other hand, available


\textsuperscript{2349} Colectivo de Derechos Humanos Nicarágua Nunca +; CEJIL; IM-Defensoras. March 18, 2022. Communication to the Honorable Commissioner Esmeralda Arosemena de Troitiño and the Honorable Mr. Pedro Vaca Villareal on the Situation of the defense of human rights and freedom of expression in the digital space Nicaragua. Archives of the Rapporteurship.


information indicates that internet service continues to be expensive and inaccessible for a large part of the population, despite the fact that in recent years the cost of access has been gradually reduced.2353

1045. The Rapporteurship reiterates its call to all States in the region to take action to progressively promote universal access to Internet infrastructure and the technology necessary for its use and affordability.2354 As the IACHR and its Rapporteurship have pointed out on multiple occasions, access to the Internet is currently a sine qua non condition for the full enjoyment of human rights. The Rapporteurship encourages the State to continue working on the development of positive measures to reduce the digital gap faced by vulnerable groups, which on many occasions can reinforce the pre-existing inequalities to which they are exposed.2355.

---

1046. Although in general terms, RELE has recognized that in Panama there are high standards of compliance with the obligations to respect and guarantee the right to freedom of expression, in 2022 there were reports of complaints against journalists by public officials and obstruction of the informative work. Also, according to available information, in July there were large social mobilizations in protest of the high cost of living, where alleged repressive acts against demonstrators and journalists were reported. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Panama to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

1047. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports of legal actions against journalists, especially against the media outlet La Prensa, which are cause for concern as they appear to be a form of possible intimidation to hinder the practice of journalism.

1048. On August 6, former president Ricardo Martinelli said he would file criminal charges against La Prensa. This came on the same day that the media announced ongoing investigations against Martinelli’s companies and after the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) alerted Panama about alleged tax evasion operations of a company linked to the former president. The former first lady also announced that she would proceed legally against the newspaper, stating that “there goes her lawsuit and all her cronies.” The president filed a criminal complaint for forgery after requesting a copy of the published document from the media. In the complaint, the former president asked for an expert appraisal of the document. In 2021, similar cases promoted by Martinelli had been registered. The increase in the use of legal actions against the media and journalists as a tool of intimidation in Panama was warned during the 77th General Assembly of the Inter American Press Association (IAPA).

1049. The Rapporteurship also learned of several publications, including a video, in which the logo of La Prensa had been placed with the aim of intimidating the journalist Rolando Rodríguez. This allegedly occurred after Rodríguez sent some questions as part of an investigation to an assistant of the Vice President of the Republic. The executives of the media outlet blamed the Government for launching these messages as a consequence of Rodríguez’s inquiries that culminated in an article that denounced, among other things, the acquisition of real estate by the Vice President’s family.

1050. On April 1, La Prensa attempted to personally deliver a request for information to the Financial Analysis Unit (UAF) that they had also made by e-mail the day before. However, the people in charge of said institution asked the journalistic team to leave, and they were not attended.

1051. The Rapporteurship had reported in 2021 the indictment of charges of gender violence against journalist Mauricio Valenzuela, who on January 2, 2020 had published information of high public interest about
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2360 La Prensa. October 6, 2022. Threats and defamation of La Prensa for upcoming publications on assets of José Gabriel Carrizo’s family; La Estrella de Panamá. October 11, 2022. Redoubled security for Vice President Carrizo’s family.
2361 La Prensa. October 6, 2022. Threats and defamation of La Prensa for upcoming publications on assets of José Gabriel Carrizo’s family; La Estrella de Panamá. October 11, 2022. Redoubled security for Vice President Carrizo’s family.
2362 La Prensa. October 6, 2022. Threats and defamation of La Prensa for upcoming publications on assets of José Gabriel Carrizo’s family; La Estrella de Panamá. October 11, 2022. Redoubled security for Vice President Carrizo’s family.
2363 La Prensa. April 4, 2022. SPI-IAP siege of journalists of “La Prensa”.
alleged unlawful acts committed by a deputy. On March 17, 2022, the prosecution requested the dismissal of the case in favor of Mauricio Valenzuela, for lack of evidence to charge him with the alleged crime against life and personal integrity, in the modality of psychological and gender injuries. Among the petition, the prosecution referred to the higher level of scrutiny that public officials must tolerate. However, the Judge of Guarantees denied the dismissal and referred the case to another prosecutor, stating that freedom of expression is not above Article 17 of the Constitution, which refers to the honor of persons for her part, the congresswoman filed a criminal complaint for "simulation of punishable acts and slander in judicial proceedings" against the media Focopanamá.

Likewise, the judge in the case reportedly requested the opinion of a US prosecutor to have more elements for the process by means of an international legal assistance requested to obtain information on the social media accounts of Claramente and Focopanamá. On April 4, Mari A. Aponte, litigation prosecutor of the Criminal Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, reportedly sent a letter in which, after evaluating the evidence, she did not consider that there were crimes in the file and explained the incompatibility of the accusations with the principles of freedom of expression.

On the other hand, according to available information, the Ombudsman’s Office has received complaints from the National Association of Journalists (Conape) about the alleged violation of the right to freedom of expression and information during journalistic coverage of the July protests in Panama. The president of Conape, Griselda Melo, denounced that reporters were detained in different parts of the country. The report of the Panamanian Ombudsman’s Office on the citizen protests highlighted that in 8% of the confrontations there was aggression towards human rights officials and journalists who covered the events. The Ombudsman’s Office confirmed the use of tear gas in 12 demonstrations, in six demonstrations rubber bullets were used, in three demonstrations firearms were used, and in one demonstration there was a threat by a police unit.

The IACHR has established that, although the State has the legitimate duty to guarantee security and public order, the use of force must be governed by the principles of legality, strict necessity and proportionality; and it has the duty to respect and guarantee the work of journalists in the context of demonstrations, in view of the important role they play in documenting the events and the actions of the security forces.

RELE also learned that the National Authority for Transparency and Access to Information (Antai) sanctioned on June 5 with a fine of $1 thousand to the digital media La Verdad and journalist Amanda Alvarado.


for publishing in a news item on June 26, 2021 a marriage certificate issued by the National Directorate of Civil Registry of the Electoral Tribunal, which would have been provided by a journalistic source to prove a marital relationship.2373 The Antai considered that the journalist would have incurred in a violation of privacy. Following a challenge, on June 22, Antai’s Director of Personal Data Protection granted an appeal for reconsideration filed by the media, suspending the sanction.2374 The National Journalism Council (CNP) and the Forum of Journalists for Freedom of Expression and Information rejected the sanction as a violation of freedom of expression. 2375

1056. Additionally, in terms of access to information, the Ombudsman’s Office qualified the Director of the Sports Institute of Panama as a "hostile and obstructive official" for unjustifiably denying information to the institution. Brands became the seventh official to receive such qualification.2376

1057. The Rapporteurship recalls that transparency and accountability of public authorities strengthen democratic systems, and that the guarantee of the right of access to information, on many occasions, is a necessary condition to guarantee the exercise of other rights.2377 As interpreted by the IACHR, Article 13 of the American Convention includes the positive obligation of the State to allow citizens to access information in its possession.2378 In this sense, Principle 4 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that "access to information [...] is a fundamental right of individuals. States are obliged to guarantee the exercise of this right".2379 For its part, the Inter-American Court has established that Article 13 of the American Convention, by expressly stipulating the rights to "seek" and "receive" "information," protects the right of every person to access information under the control of the State, with the exceptions permitted under the strict regime of restrictions established in said instrument.2380

1058. The principle of maximum disclosure has been recognized in the Inter-American system as a guiding principle of the right to seek, receive and impart information contained in Article 13 of the American Convention.2381 The following consequences derive from this principle: (1) the right of access to information must be subject to a limited regime of exceptions, which must be interpreted in a restrictive manner, so as to favor the right of access to information; (2) any negative decision must be motivated and, in this sense, the State has the burden of proving that the requested information cannot be disclosed; and (3) when in doubt or in a legal vacuum, the right of access to information must prevail.2382

1059. Likewise, when ruling on restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information at public acts or events, the Inter-American Court has determined that "[w]ith respect to accreditations or authorizations to the press media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that their application is legal, pursues a legitimate objective, and is necessary and
proportional in relation to the objective pursued in a democratic society. The requirements for accreditation must be concrete, objective and reasonable, and their application transparent.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1060. In July 2022, this Office documented a series of protests in which tensions between demonstrators and security forces could be observed. According to public information, since July 6, strikes and demonstrations took place throughout the country in response to the increase in fuel and food prices during the last six months. To this, other claims have been incorporated, linked to the rights of indigenous peoples, transparency in public management, education policies, economic inequality in Panama, among others. According to public information, the demonstrations included, for weeks, the complete blockade of highways in different urban centers and places of the country, seriously affecting the supply of basic food and supplies, the transportation of patients and medical personnel. In addition to the free movement of people in the cities. In this regard, the State also pointed out the effects on the productive system and access to education, highlighting the impact especially on the most vulnerable sectors of the population.

1061. In this context, as mentioned in a press release, the IACHR and its RELE learned that on July 19, situations of violence occurred, resulting in injuries to both demonstrators and members of the public forces in actions to clear blocked highways in Santiago, capital of the province of Veraguas, and in Panama City. In this regard, they noted that during the process of opening blocked roads, the Ombudsman’s Office of Panama recorded clashes between demonstrators and police units that allegedly endangered the integrity of third parties, the elderly, children and adolescents who were not part of the social protests. It also documented that 56 people, including civilians and police officers, received outpatient medical attention. Additionally, according to information received by the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur, 21 people were reportedly detained in connection with their participation in the demonstrations -among them, three adolescents-. According to the Ombudsman’s Office, all were released without charge.

1062. The Ombudsman’s Office published a report that was sent to the IACHR stating that 92% of the demonstrations were peaceful, while in the rest there were alterations. As a result of the protests, 80 people received medical attention for various reasons, including a gunshot wound to the arm and a policewoman who was detained by demonstrators in the city of Santiago. The report also reports the use of tear gas bombs, rubber bullets and firearms by police forces. Another event that was included in the
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document are the confrontations that took place at the University of Panama that affected a young woman due to tear gas while she was allegedly beaten by security forces\textsuperscript{2395}.

1063. In this context, the IACHR and RELE urged the State to continue to preserve the right to peaceful protest and to maintain the process of inclusive and effective dialogue to address the legitimate claims of citizens\textsuperscript{2396}. They also recalled the importance of ensuring the coexistence of all human rights in tension in contexts of social conflict, with the use of public force as a last resort to ensure social peace\textsuperscript{2397}.

1064. Social protest, which includes the exercise of the right to freedom of peaceful and unarmed assembly, freedom of association and freedom of expression, is a fundamental tool in the defense of democracy and human rights, and the State is obliged to respect, protect and guarantee these rights\textsuperscript{2398}. Regarding the obligation to respect, the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have indicated that "the exercise of the right to assembly through social protest should not be subject to authorization by the authorities or to excessive requirements that hinder its realization"\textsuperscript{2399}. Likewise, with respect to the obligation to protect and facilitate, the Commission has emphasized that "[t]he imperative social interest of the right to participate in public demonstrations gives rise to a general presumption in favor of its exercise"\textsuperscript{2400}. As the IACHR report on protest and human rights mentions, States must act on the basis of the lawfulness of public protests and demonstrations and under the assumption that they do not constitute a threat to public order, even in cases where they are made without prior notice\textsuperscript{2401}. Finally, in relation to the obligation to guarantee, the IACHR has established that it "implies in the first place the duties to investigate and punish any violation occurring in the context of a public demonstration"\textsuperscript{2402}.

1065. This Office also reiterates that the use of force in the context of protests should be understood as "a last resort that, qualitatively and quantitatively limited, seeks to prevent an event of greater gravity than that which provokes the State reaction" and that, within this framework of exceptionality, the State must satisfy the principles of legality, absolute necessity and proportionality\textsuperscript{2403}. Finally, this Rapporteurship recalls that "it is inadmissible in principle to criminalize per se demonstrations on public streets"\textsuperscript{2404}; and that States should refrain from engaging in practices of mass, collective or indiscriminate arrests in public demonstrations\textsuperscript{2405}.

1066. On the other hand, the Rapporteurship took cognizance of the bill concerning the regulation of the right to rectification or reply in relation to the media. On March 10, the National Assembly processed draft bill 242 through the Government, Justice and Constitutional Affairs Committee. If approved, the bill would reduce to 24 hours the period granted to the media to disseminate the replies, would stipulate the application of more

\textsuperscript{2395}Office of the Ombudsman of Panama. August 9, 2022. Defensoría divulga Informe preliminar de conmoción social en Panamá de mayo a agosto del 2022; Telemetro. Defensoría presenta informe de observaciones de las protestas nacionales; Panamá América. 4 August 2022. Up to 23 protests in one day occurred in July reveals Ombudsman's Office report.
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severe fines if the news included the alleged commission of a crime and would also establish that the media should apologize as "a form of moral redress if the falsity of the published news is proven."

1067. Finally, in terms of access to public information, the Rapporteurship was pleased to receive Circular No. DAI-001-2022 of January 25 from the National Authority for Transparency and Access to Information, by which it informed the Municipalities of the obligation to publish detailed budgetary information, including information related to subsidies, exonerations and mobilization expenses. In this way, the authority, which already monitors the websites of public entities and municipalities in the country, would add to this task the aforementioned items as of February 2022. Likewise, in a document sent to this Rapporteurship on July 29, 2022 by the State of Panama, the latter highlighted as a good practice the dissemination by the Human Rights Department of the Attorney General’s Office of a notebook with jurisprudential criteria of the Inter-American Court as well as an academic event held with the support of the National Council of Journalism of Panama and the UNESCO Chair on Freedom of Expression of the University of Los Andes.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1068. The Rapporteurship was informed of alleged acts of forced sterilization of indigenous women in the province of Bocas del Toro, after a congresswoman made public on February 15 the complaints she received while touring the towns of the area. The congresswoman stated that dozens of women "at the time of giving birth had to go to a hospital quite far away, and when they woke up they had been sterilized without their consent." On February 17, the authorities announced the beginning of the investigation and reported "stumbling blocks" in the investigation due to alleged resistance by members of the indigenous people. For its part, the Ombudsman maintained that it was "only one alleged victim" and denied that this was a generalized behavior in the country. In the investigations, emphasis was placed on the "culture of silence" not to talk about these issues because of the taboo connotations they carry. One prosecutor commented that some women who had reported these acts only did so to her because she was a woman, but that they were complex situations that they had not discussed, possibly not even with their own partners out of fear.

1069. This Office considers that the eventual reparative measures in cases such as the one mentioned above should include educational and communicational attention that may help to diminish this culture of silence on sexual and reproductive rights issues, and thus increase the recognition of rights and prevent future human rights violations.

1070. The Inter-American Commission has extensively developed Inter-American standards related to the protection of the sexual and reproductive rights of women, girls and adolescents, with special attention to those in situations of vulnerability, such as indigenous women. In particular, the IACHR has defined the obligation to obtain prior, free and fully informed consent before performing any medical procedure, including surgical sterilization. This obligation requires that qualified medical personnel provide adequate, complete, reliable, understandable and accessible information without threats, coercion or inducements of any kind. In the case of indigenous women, information should be presented in their own language and in a culturally appropriate manner, respecting their traditions and beliefs.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

2406. Radio Panama. March 10, 2022. Assembly has processed a bill that seeks to increase sanctions to the media; La Estrella de Panamá. March 2, 2022. Reforms to the right to reply extend punishment to the media; La Prensa. March 24, 2022. Libertad de opinión, en riesgo por proyecto de ley.


1071. The Rapporteurship learned that on April 6 the telephone company Digicel Panamá S.A. announced that it will cease to operate in Panama, after considering that the merger of Cable & Wireless Panamá (CWP) and Claro would represent the end of free competition for the telecommunications market in the country. After Digicel’s departure, only Tigo, owned by Millicom, would compete with the group resulting from the merger between CWP and Claro.2412

1072. This Rapporteurship recalls that one of the guiding principles for Internet governance is pluralism and diversity. RELE has pointed out that this implies ensuring that no changes are introduced in the network that result in the reduction of voices and content. Public policies on the matter must protect the multidirectional nature of the network and promote platforms that allow the search and dissemination of information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of borders, in the terms of Article 13 of the American Convention.2413
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2412 Panama America. April 7, 2022. Asep reacted to Digicel’s withdrawal and will supervise service provision until the process is completed; Deutsche Welle (DW). April 7, 2022. Digicel assures that in Panama there is no free competition; BN Américas. April 7, 2022. Spotlight: Panama’s telco market after Digicel’s exit.

PARAGUAY

1073. The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights observed in 2022 a high level of danger to practice journalism in Paraguay, mainly in the city of Pedro Juan Caballero, a risk area for journalism due to organized crime, in that locality a journalist was murdered during this year. In addition, there were several attacks, stigmatization, criminal proceedings, among other intimidating actions against journalists.

A. Journalism and democracy

1074. On September 6, journalist Humberto Coronel was shot eight times in the back after finishing his day’s work at Radio Amambay in the Paraguayan city of Pedro Juan Caballero, on the border with Brazil. According to available information, Coronel was about to get into his car when he was attacked by a hit man on a motorcycle. The journalist also worked for the media outlet Mbykymi Noticias.

1075. According to the information available, months before the murder, Coronel and his co-worker Gustavo Báez Sanchez had reported death threats to the police. These would have started after the murder of the mayor of that border city, José Carlos Acevedo, on May 17. In October 2021, Haylee Acevedo, niece of the former mayor, was also reportedly murdered.

1076. The threats against Coronel and Báez were related to their journalistic coverage of organized crime in the area. In the complaint made by both journalists, they referred to a note received at Báez Sanchez’s home, on which was written in Portuguese: “Sabe muitas cosas, vamos ir apagando a que sabe muito, Gustavo Umbertito” (He knows a lot of things, let’s go turning off the one who knows a lot, Gustavo Humberto).

1077. Following the murder of his colleague, Báez said publicly that he was considering the possibility of leaving the country with his family. The media reported that according to the first investigations, the authorities did not rule out that the journalist’s death was related to his work, and therefore this office considered it crucial to call on the authorities to take urgent and pertinent measures to protect the life and personal integrity of Gustavo Báez.

1078. National and international press organizations denounced the government’s inactivity, since despite the fact that the journalist was threatened and that he had denounced it in a timely manner, he had not been assigned any type of protection. According to the information obtained, the authorities had offered police custody to the journalists. This was accepted by Gustavo Báez but rejected by Humberto Coronel. For its part, the Attorney General’s Office announced that the two policemen who were guarding the place where Coronel’s murder took place would be investigated since “they were not near the journalist at the time of the attack”.

1079. After the murder, this Office learned that the prosecutor in charge of the investigation had been dismissed. The investigator reportedly stated publicly that responsibility could not be attributed to the Police or the Prosecutor’s Office, since the journalist should not have been “giving himself away”, arguing that after the first threats he had suffered he should have taken refuge or “tried to put things right”. Despite the above...
considerations, the Rapporteurship valued positively the apology made by the prosecutor, who stated that she had expressed herself erroneously and acknowledged her respect for the work of press workers and journalists. Subsequently, a specialized group was formed to investigate the death of the journalist.

1080. Six days after the murder, the National Prosecutor’s Office charged Mauricio Miguel Recalde with the crime. The accusation was based, among other evidence, on the images collected from the closed-circuit cameras at the scene of the attack and the entire flight path. Subsequently, local media reported the arrest of another man accused of being the logistical facilitator of the crime2421.

1081. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that the duty of authorities and public officials to adopt a discourse that contributes to freedom of expression is one of the fundamental components of the States’ obligation to prevent violence against journalists2422. As the IACHR and the Rapporteurship have already pointed out, States have the obligation to adopt measures to prevent violence against journalists and media workers, which “is accentuated in situations or areas in which there is or has been detected a special risk for journalists working in the media”,2423 The Rapporteurship has repeatedly reiterated that the murder of journalists and communicators constitutes, in addition to a violation of the right to life, the most serious attack on freedom of expression and a form of direct censorship2424.

1082. This Office registered another case that constitutes a threat to journalistic work. According to public information, an alleged businessman reportedly declared his intention to kill journalist Pablo Gastón Ortiz, of the television channel Visión Comunicaciones of the city of Villarrica, and prosecutor Sussy Ramírez, who was investigating the threats2425.

1083. This Rapporteurship has urged the State of Paraguay to investigate the facts of murders and threats in an objective and impartial manner and considering the practice of journalism as a possible motive. In this regard, this Office has previously pointed out that the existence of an adequate institutional framework is crucial for the State to be able to investigate, judge and criminally punish crimes against journalists and that, given this, officials have the obligation to assign the responsibility of investigating and judging these crimes to the authorities that are in the best conditions to resolve them and that have the autonomy and independence to act.

1084. This Rapporteurship has called on the State to increase its efforts to prevent violence against the press, especially with regard to the violation of rights during journalistic coverage. The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and the Inter-American Court have insisted on exhausting the logical lines of investigation linked to freedom of expression in order to achieve effective justice.

1085. On October 10, according to reports received by this office, Milciades Ruiz, a journalist of Radio Capitán Bado, reported having been attacked in a place where allegedly “vote buying” was taking place in a sector on the border with Brazil, since the events allegedly took place during the journalistic coverage of the elections in Brazil. According to the complaint, the journalist was allegedly beaten by four men carrying firearms. They allegedly held him for a few minutes in a house in the area and took away the cell phone with which he had filmed the events2426.

---

2425 Last Hour. September 13, 2022. Divulgan audio donde supuesto empresario dice que mandó a matar a periodista y a fiscala; Facebook account Gaaguazú Noticias Digital. September 14, 2022. Audio where alleged businessman says he had journalist and prosecutor killed. An alleged Catalan councilman and investor.
On the other hand, the Relatoria expresses its concern because on November 3, a court acquitted Waldemar Pereira Rivas, accused of the murder of journalist Leo Veras. According to public information, Veras was murdered on February 12, 2020 in Pedro Juan Caballero. The city of Pedro Juan Caballero is one of the most dangerous in the country to practice journalism due to the organized crime networks that operate in that area. The Union of Journalists of Paraguay repudiated the ruling and denounced that the prosecutor’s office did not carry out an adequate investigation. It also described the court as benevolent to the accused. 

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights condemned the Paraguayan State for the death of journalist Santiago Leguizamón, murdered in April 1991 in the city of Pedro Juan Caballero, Amambay. On February 13, 2021, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights presented the case of Santiago Leguizamón Zaván and family before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. The case refers to the death of Santiago Leguizamón on April 26, 1991, a renowned journalist and human rights defender, for reasons that were allegedly linked to his profession, as well as the failure of the State to adopt adequate and timely measures to protect him and prevent the occurrence of such events. It also addresses the lack of an effective and diligent investigation into these events, consistent with applicable international standards, and the impunity in which the case is found. In August, the State of Paraguay recognized its international responsibility for the violation of the rights that the Inter-American Commission identified as violated in its Report on the Merits, for which it was condemned as responsible for the violation of the rights to life and freedom of thought and expression, in addition to the violation of the rights to judicial guarantees and judicial protection to the detriment of Ana María Margarita Morra and Raquel, Dante, Sebastián and Fernando Leguizamón Morra, wife and children, respectively.

This office received information on criminal proceedings initiated against journalists and media outlets for expressing themselves on issues of public interest, which would reveal an attempt to use the justice system to harass and silence journalists. The office has received information that the Director of ABC Color and journalist Juan Carlos Lezcano were convicted of defamation on November 18. The ruling came as a result of the second criminal proceeding initiated by the former Undersecretary of Taxation (SET) against both journalists. The sentence was received with concern by this Office, since it was issued by the judge despite the fact that it would have ratified the veracity of the information published in 2019 about alleged irregularities during the bidding process to update the tax system. This type of ruling contravenes the principles of jurisprudence on freedom of expression.

The use of criminal law to punish expressions on matters of public interest or about public officials, candidates for public office or politicians violates Article 13 of the American Convention, according to the IACHR. The IACHR Court, for its part, does not rule out criminal prosecution, but considers it disproportionate in most cases. Civil penalties for defamation should not be of such proportions as to have a chilling effect on freedom of expression and should be designed to restore the damaged reputation and not to compensate the plaintiff or punish the defendant; in particular, pecuniary sanctions should be strictly proportional to the actual harm caused and the law should give priority to the use of a range of non-pecuniary remedies. 

Costa Rica (2004), the IACHR Court found a violation of freedom of expression and a disproportionate sentence for a journalist who was criminally convicted of defamation for having reproduced in a Costa Rican newspaper certain accusations of corruption made by the European press against the Costa

---

2428 Twitter account @Periodistaspy. November 3, 2022. Again impunity for the murder of journalists: Última Hora. 4 de noviembre de 2022 Sindicato repudia fallo que dejó libre a presunto asesino.
Rican consul to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Belgium. The IACHR Court ordered, among other things, the annulment of the criminal proceedings against the communicator.

1091. According to public information, Carlos Giménez, a journalist of La Nación, was dismissed from the lawsuit filed against him for libel, defamation and slander. The accusation was brought by Juan Rojas Cantero, president of the Association of Parents of the Recycled Instruments Orchestra of Cateura (OIR) after the publication of an article revealing alleged administrative mismanagement and corruption in the orchestra. Regarding this fact, the International Federation of Journalists warned of a notorious increase in the judicialization of journalistic work in Paraguay and in the region, which would threaten freedom of expression and the right of the people to receive information.

1092. This Rapporteurship received with concern information that one of the most important banks in Paraguay has threatened to take legal action against the newspaper 5 Días, for the publication of an article in which it denounced financial mismanagement on the part of the banking institution. In a statement that would attempt to invalidate the information revealed by the media, the bank allegedly accused 5 Días of setting up a journalistic operation based on falsehoods to confuse and create uncertainty.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1093. This Special Rapporteurship learned of the bill to increase prison sentences for road blockades presented by a group of legislators in the Senate. According to the information available, the proposal would have generated a series of debates and conflicting positions in Paraguayan society. On the one hand, businessmen demanded respect for free transit; on the other hand, social organizations rejected the criminalization of protest. The bill sought to modify article 216 of the Penal Code and impose prison sentences of "up to six years" for those who obstruct the free movement of people and goods on the country's roads.

The proposal came a week after the country experienced a series of blockades and demonstrations due to the increase in fuel prices. Therefore, this Office recalls that the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has reiterated to the States to respect and guarantee the non-criminalization for exercising the rights to freedom of expression, assembly and association in the context of demonstrations and protests. In this regard, RELE took note that the Senate rejected the bill by a majority vote.

1094. The Center for Justice and International Law (CEJIL) and the Human Rights Coordinator of Paraguay (CODEHUPY) stated that "the bill violates international human rights standards associated with and protected by the right to freedom of expression in that it aims to criminalize acts that are protected in the exercise of a right such as the right to protest. At the same time, it deepens violations of human rights of historically excluded and violated communities". Both entities requested the IACHR to publicly express its concern in a prompt manner to prevent the project from being adopted.

1095. In August, this Rapporteurship recorded reports of people demonstrating in the vicinity of Congress while the impeachment trial against the Attorney General was being held. During the demonstration there were reportedly attempts at harassment and repression by security forces. It was reported that shouting and pushing and shoving were exchanged between the demonstrators and the agents. The most critical moment was when the police installed a cordon to search all the demonstrators who approached the Legislative headquarters.
1096. This Rapporteurship considers positive the proposal to protect freedom of the press and freedom of expression through the initiative of the Law for the Security of Journalists and Human Rights Defenders presented by a senator, president of the Human Rights Commission. The bill would open the doors to a legal framework of prevention and protection for those who are at risk as a result of defending human rights and the exercise of freedom of expression such as journalism.  

1097. In the framework of the International Day to End Impunity for Crimes against Journalists, which is commemorated on November 2, the Mesa de Seguridad de Periodistas demanded the Paraguayan State to strengthen public policies that guarantee the exercise of free, safe and quality journalism. It also warned about the need to strengthen awareness and training of social actors and officials, as well as the attention to complaints and the search for protection and justice for press workers.  

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1098. This Rapporteurship is concerned about the possible harassment faced by women journalists within the media. Such is the case of the three journalists of the Sistema Nacional de Televisión and Albavísión Canal9, who were dismissed after having denounced a superior for systematic sexual harassment of the press workers in their charge. According to the registered complaint, from the investigation opened, more complaints and testimonies were gathered about the same person and about other managers of the company. The International Federation of Journalists and the Union of Journalists of Paraguay (SPP) repudiated the dismissals without cause and denounced the fact as a clear act of retaliation and intimidation of women journalists. They also demanded their immediate reinstatement.  

1099. The Rapporteurship has considered that in order to contribute to the eradication of these practices, the media should establish internal policies with specific provisions on gender-based violence and discrimination, with emphasis on labor and sexual harassment. There should also be internal procedures and independent complaint and accountability mechanisms that guarantee the rights of women journalists and all parties involved.  

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1100. On December 6, the foreign ministers of Paraguay and Argentina signed an agreement to promote the strengthening of digital connectivity through fiber optics. The project would seek to improve Paraguay's access to the fiber optic networks used in Argentina and provide better internet service in the territory. This Rapporteurship highlights the importance of expanding Internet connectivity and closing the digital divide.  

1101. This Rapporteurship recalls that States must promote access to the Internet for all persons, which implies expanding Internet infrastructure and access to the technology necessary for its use, but also promoting digital literacy and guaranteeing linguistic plurality. The Rapporteurship recalls that the Internet is a platform and a means for the exercise of human rights such as freedom of expression, political participation, the rights of association and assembly, economic, social and cultural rights, so that States are called upon to ensure the necessary conditions for the enjoyment and exercise of these rights.

---

2439 Twitter account of the Senate of Paraguay (@Senadopy), November 11, 2022; Chamber of Senators of Paraguay. November 11, 2022.  
2440 Draft bill presented to protect journalists and human rights defenders.  
1102. In 2022, the Rapporteurship observed the worsening of social tensions and restrictions to the exercise of freedom of expression in Peru. This involves the exercise of journalistic work in an environment of high stigmatization of the press, constant threats of prosecution, including criminal lawsuits as a result of coverage of matters of public interest as well as a marked hermeticism and opacity of State institutions in the face of journalistic deployment—particularly of the media. On the other hand, the threatening actions of particular groups have presented special concern regarding the right to freedom of expression of groups in vulnerable situations, the press and those who actively participate in the defense of human rights. The Rapporteurship is also concerned about various bills that could have a dissipative effect on the free exercise of journalism on matters of public interest, especially those related to the management of the State, as well as the approval of a law that could jeopardize the teaching of human rights and comprehensive sex education in schools. The work of women journalists, denunciations of sexual violence and the promotion of issues related to access to reproductive rights have also posed obstacles to the exercise of freedom of expression. In April, a state of emergency was declared in the midst of days of protest, where complaints of excessive use of force were also reported. In the digital environment, there are concerns about broad powers to control content on the network, as well as decisions to impose facial recognition technologies without observing personal data protection standards. Peru should continue to make efforts to universalize access to the network, but considering the urgent need to have digital literacy policies as one of its priorities. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Peru to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

1103. The Rapporteurship continues to observe that the deployment of journalistic work in Peru faces important challenges. First, the secrecy of State institutions and the stigmatization of the press by high-ranking public authorities. The Rapporteurship is concerned about the high number of stigmatizing speeches from the highest authorities of the country. For example, then President Pedro Castillo has referred to the press as “a joke,” and has pointed out that the media are “bent on destabilizing the government,” that they only “broadcast lies and false news about Pedro Castillo,” and that they are “biased to tell the country, to lie to it.” In turn, the then premier Aníbal Torres reportedly stated that the press is “harming children” and “damaging the youth” because it “deceives, defames, misinforms.” The Presidency of Congress reportedly stated that journalists “only misinform.” In this context, journalists have been harassed, threatened, physically and verbally assaulted while carrying out their activities, and have also worked in a climate of fear of legal sanctions, including criminal sanctions, when reporting on matters of public interest.

1104. Journalist Gastón Medina of Cadena Sur and Nueva FM reportedly received death threats on February 22, 2022, which, according to the journalist, were linked to his reports on alleged acts of corruption against the

---


2446 Canal N. June 2, 2022. “This press is a joke,” was Pedro Castillo’s last statement to journalists: Infobae. 22 February 2022. Pedro Castillo called the press a ‘joke’ and refuses to answer for the double version he gave to the Prosecutor’s Office.

2447 El Comercio. July 28, 2022. Pedro Castillo questioned the press in message to the Nation: They seek to destabilize, “they broadcast lies and false news”; CNN. July 28, 2022. The 5 most striking phrases of Pedro Castillo’s speech on his one year anniversary as president of Peru.

2448 RPP. July 29, 2022. Colegio de Periodistas de Perú rechaza ataque a la prensa en mensaje de Pedro Castillo por Fiestas Patrias: TV Perú. July 29, 2022. They are going to get tired of looking for evidence of corruption, because they are not going to find it, declared Pedro Castillo.


The Office is concerned about reports on acts of harassment and verbal and physical aggressions against journalists during the coverage of events and occurrences of public interest. RELE has become aware of episodes of obstruction of journalists' work by private individuals outside public buildings, such as the National Congress, the Superior Court of Justice and Prosecutor's Offices, especially in politically sensitive contexts. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received with particular concern reports of aggressions in different parts of the country against journalists and communicators covering protests and demonstrations in the country. In particular, information was received about physical attacks and intimidation against journalists covering the events, as well as obstacles to their work and attempted theft and destruction of equipment, allegedly by unidentified persons who were in the vicinity of the protests. In this context, a correspondent of Canal N was also reportedly the victim of aggressions, a reporter of La República had to be taken to a hospital after being hit in the arm, while a journalist of Panamericana Televisión was injured after being stoned while covering a protest.

Throughout 2022, RELE also observed consistent reports of acts of harassment and threats carried out by groups identified as "La Resistencia", "Legión Patriotas del Perú", and other related groups, whose members were reported on several occasions to threaten, harass and physically attack journalists and communicators. In February 2022, members of the group called "La Resistencia" disclosed personal information of journalist Jaime Chincha, surrounded his home and uttered insults and threats against him. Likewise, in March 2022, a journalist from Latina was attacked by members of "La Resistencia" outside the headquarters of the media channel Cadena Sur 

According to information received by the Rapporteurship during its visit to Peru in May 2022.

El Comercio. Jaime Chincha: members of "La Resistencia" hurled insults outside his home. February 22, 2022; La República. February 22, 2022. Members of "La Resistencia" gathered to attack Jaime Chincha during demonstration | Politics


IFJ. "Peru: eight journalists were assaulted during the national strike" April 5, 2022; IACHR. Press Release 072/22. IACHR condemns restrictions to fundamental rights during protests in Peru and acts of violence; April 7, 2022; CPP. Report 2022-1 on freedom of expression in Peru for the Inter American Press Association, May 6, 2022.

Panamericana. Huancayo: Panamericana TV reporter injured in clashes during protest. April 1, 2022; Amnesty International. Peru: President Castillo must ensure proportional use of force in social protests and avoid human rights violations; April 7, 2022; DW. Peru protests leave at least 6 dead. April 7, 2022; CPP. Report 2022-1 on freedom of expression in Peru for the Inter American Press Association, May 6, 2022.
Congress, in the context of a demonstration with the presence of supporters and opponents of the vacancy of then President Pedro Castillo.\textsuperscript{2463}

1107. The Rapporteurship has also been informed of acts of deprivation of liberty to which journalists were subjected while carrying out their work. On April 27, police officers detained for more than 6 hours a journalist from La República who was covering demonstrations in Chullhuauchao, Apurímac. On that occasion, police officers also momentarily took away his cell phone and allegedly erased images taken during the deployment\textsuperscript{2464}. On September 2, a team of journalists from Talaara TV Noticias was detained for more than 24 hours at the local police station after covering a protest in La Brea, Talara, Piura. On the occasion of the detention, a police officer reportedly restrained a journalist on the verge of asphyxiation\textsuperscript{2465}. On September 28, police officers held for nearly five hours a team from Exitosa Noticias covering an eviction in Lurín, Lima.\textsuperscript{2466}

1108. The Office of the Special Rapporteur became aware of the facts related to the deprivation of liberty of a journalistic team from América TV\textsuperscript{2467}. According to public information, on July 6, 2022, Eduardo Quispe Palacios, Elmer Valdiviezo and Roel Cabrera were detained and deprived of their freedom for more than four hours in the Centro Poblado la Palma, district of Chadín, province of Chota, Cajamarca. In the incident, the journalists had their cell phones and equipment confiscated. Eduardo Quispe Palacios and Elmer Valdiviezo were following up on a report presented in Cuarto Poder three days before the incident, in which they criticized the management of contracts and public announcements on state sanitation works in the district of Chadín, in a case apparently related to the sister-in-law of then President Castillo\textsuperscript{2468}. The journalists and the host saw their releases conditioned to the reading of a note qualifying as "false accusation" the reported facts, in which it was pointed out the need to rectify the case in order "not to harm the central government, nor their relatives"\textsuperscript{2469}. Journalist Eduardo Quispe Palacios was reportedly forced to apologize "to the peasant patrols of the district of Chadín and at the national level for not acting in accordance with the truth"\textsuperscript{2470}. The victims were immediately released, according to information from the Peruvian National Police, and their equipment was recovered\textsuperscript{2471}. In a communiqué, the Central Única Nacional de Rondas Campesinas reportedly stated that "anyone who goes to rondas campesinas bases must first ask permission from their authorities and if people do not want to testify they cannot be harassed" and that "they do not commit the crime of kidnapping by acting within their constitutional powers"\textsuperscript{2472}. The Rapporteurship notes that, according to Article 149 of the Peruvian Constitution, "the authorities of the Peasant and Native Communities, with the support of the Rondas Campesinas, may exercise jurisdictional functions within their territorial scope in accordance with customary law, as long as they do not violate the fundamental rights of the individual."

1109. The Rapporteurship has been informed about the activation of criminal complaints based on crimes against honor\textsuperscript{2473} against journalists who cover matters of public interest, such as the alleged collection of
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The Rapporteurship underscores its concern over the conviction of the 30th Criminal Court of the Supreme Court of Lima, which sentenced reporter Christopher Acosta and Jerónimo Pimentel, director of the publishing house Penguin Random House, to two years’ suspended imprisonment for the crime of aggravated defamation (Article 132 of the Criminal Code) against former presidential candidate and leader of the political party Alianza para el Progreso, César Acuña. Likewise, the judge ordered the convicted parties to pay a civil reparation of 400,000 soles (equivalent to 100,000 US dollars). The process originated as a result of a complaint filed by Acuña after the journalist published the book “Plata como cancha” (Silver as a court). The book is described by the publisher as “an exhaustive journalistic investigation that reveals secrets, impunity and fortune of the Peruvian politician”, and would include “judicial and fiscal records, government resolutions”, among other information related to the political and business career of the plaintiff. However, after criticism of the decision by civil society organizations and representatives of international organizations, on June 16, 2022 Acuña signed his withdrawal from the lawsuit.

The Rapporteurship also observed, as recorded in the Annual Report on Attacks on Press Freedom 2022 of the National Association of Journalists of Peru, that at least 15 reports were documented of notarial letters sent by public officials and former public officials against journalists covering matters of public interest that involve them, with threats of prosecution of cases for violations of honor in addition to descriptions of the work performed. In this sense, the Rapporteurship highlights with particular concern such actions on the part of the highest authorities of the country. On April 12, 2022, the then incumbent President of the Republic sent a notarized letter to journalist Rosana Cueva, of the Panorama program and to the director of the Vigilante.pe information platform, José Beteta, following the broadcast of a report in which the President appears in a leisure activity with an alleged supplier of the State. In his letter, made public, he requests rectification for alleged defamation against him, and states “in case of not rectifying in a proportional manner the tendentious falsehoods propagated by you through your social media, I will have the duty to exercise the rectification for a deterrent effect.”

Therefore, although the Rapporteurship has observed judicial decisions favorable to freedom of the press and special protection for the dissemination of matters of public interest - such as the acquittal in first and second instance of journalist Paola Ugaz in a defamation case reported in previous Annual Reports of this Rapporteurship - the Rapporteurship notes a widespread practice of activation of lawsuits that can generate a deterrent effect on the exercise of the right to freedom of expression.
civil and criminal actions that the Law confers me for the crime of aggravated defamation, foreseen in article 132 of the Penal Code. Likewise, on August 1, 2022, President Pedro Castillo again sent a letter to Panorama after the Sunday paper issued a report with statements from an effective collaborator with mentions to the then president. In the letter, it is stated "I ask you to rectify yourself in view of the fact that all these facts are false; otherwise you will be sued for the crime of slander, libel and defamation."

1113. In May, the Rapporteurship also noted with concern the impediments encountered by journalists and other accredited media professionals in gaining access to the Congress of the Republic, covering congressional activities, and gaining access to public sources related to the legislative body. Specifically, access to the hemicycle, to the hall of the lost steps, to the chroniclers' room and to the steps of the Congress was limited as part of the measures imposed to contain the advance of the COVID-19 pandemic and also, based on a report of the National Institute of Civil Defense (INDECI) related to possible structural risks that the Congress building would present. This despite the fact that, to date, the measures to confront the COVID-19 pandemic in open and closed spaces have already been relaxed in the capital and that, despite the INDECI reports, numerous people enter and use the Congress building. Congressmen and Congresswomen who decide to meet in person, parliamentary work teams, as well as administrative staff and visitors can access the Chamber today. In this context, a congressman reportedly stated that "it is not a media show what is being done inside" as a way of justifying the restrictions on the entry of the press to the Chamber. Likewise, the Presidency of the National Congress has demanded that journalists "do not misinform" regarding the impediment of the press to enter the Congress. However, on May 30, the access to the chroniclers' room was again authorized and, on June 15, 2022, access to the Hall of the Lost Steps, to the rooms of the Legislative Palace and the Víctor Raúl Haya de la Torre and Juan Santos Atahualpa buildings was also authorized, as well as access of journalists to the Chamber, although, in the latter case, in a lower number than in the previous practice.

1114. In 2022, then President Castillo reportedly went for more than 100 days without appearing to make personal statements to the press. The Rapporteurship has learned of various acts by security agents of President Pedro Castillo to prevent the press from approaching the former president at public events or covering the president's activities at these events, which would have included physical attacks on a reporter from RPP Radio who tried to approach authorities of the Executive Branch during a walk from the Government Palace to Congress; and physical attacks on a journalist from TV Mundo Cusco who was doing his job during...
a visit of the former president to a wastewater treatment plant in San Jeronimo, Cusco. In October, the national press was prevented from accessing a press conference of President Pedro Castillo at the Government Palace, organized after the presentation of a constitutional complaint by the Public Prosecutor against the former president. At the event, only journalists representing international media and local media could attend. The Foreign Press Association in Peru denied ministers who had alleged that the conference had requested exclusive access to the conference.

1115. The Rapporteurship has also received information on actions of regional governments that could hinder access to information on matters of public interest. For example, in February 2022, the governor of Piura reportedly prevented journalists he considered critical of his government from attending a press conference on the situation of hospitals in the region, which led to a sit-in protest by local journalists. There have also been reports of a hostile stance by the regional government of Arequipa against the press, especially after complaints of alleged favoritism in the hiring of personnel by the government. In January, a journalist from Ke buena Virú reportedly received a blow to the head from a manager of the Municipality of Virú, La Libertad, while the journalist was interviewing him. In Ica, according to an investigation by Centro Líber through access to public payrolls, said center has identified "A group of thirteen journalists has billed the Regional Government of Ica and its Regional Directorates close to half a million soles for advertising and communications services" and that "the common denominator of this network of communicators is the advertising display that highlights the image of the regional authority and its political movement in social networks."

Likewise, within the framework of the elections for regional governments, the Rapporteurship has received information of which journalists faced obstacles to access schools where voting was taking place and to carry out the informative work.

1116. On February 23, 2022, a host of a newscast of the state-owned public television station announced live on his program that "I have been informed of my untimely dismissal" and stressed that a "situation has been reached where, unfortunately, plurality does not seem to be the flag." The National Institute of Radio and Television of Peru reported that the departure of the host would have occurred after "the completion of a service order agreed with the journalist" on February 28 and that "in the framework of a restructuring in programming, his service order would not be renewed." The Rapporteurship notes that the structure of hiring journalists in the State channel, framed by temporary and sometimes short-term contracts, may allow quick legal changes in the cadre of some of its press professionals, covered by precarious labor ties. RELE emphasizes that public media fulfill their function in democratic societies, the State must guarantee that they are not subject to arbitrary interference by any actor and must reinforce the guarantees for the independent work of journalists.

---


2498 IPYS. August 19, 2022. *Peru: Regional government harasses journalist and restricts journalist’s access to information.*


2503 Selection of complaints of alleged favoritism in the hiring of personnel by the government.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1117. This Office highlights that, as has been recorded over the past years in annual reports of the RELE, episodes of social conflict have been a constant in Peru. In this context, in April 2022, the IACHR has recorded that "The National Freight Transporters Guild called for a national strike on March 28th for economic claims. According to available information, as of April 4, 4 people had died in the context of the strike, among them, 2 people who suffered traffic accidents, 1 child who fell into a river while fleeing confrontations between State forces and demonstrators, and 1 elderly person who was unable to get to his dialysis because of the demonstrations on the roads". There have also been reported cases of injured people, including policemen, as well as riots, burning of tollbooths and looting of commercial premises that allegedly led to the arrest of 22 people. In response to the situation, the Executive Branch published the Supreme Decree of April 4, whereby it established a state of emergency in the cities of Lima and El Callao as of 2:00 a.m. the following day, and temporarily suspended rights such as the inviolability of the home, freedom of transit, personal freedom and freedom of assembly, among others. The state of emergency was lifted in the afternoon of April 5.

1118. In this regard, the IACHR and its RELE condemned the restrictions on fundamental rights in the face of these protests and the acts of violence recorded and possible human rights violations, and urged the State to respect and guarantee the human rights of all persons, both those who participate in the mobilizations and those who do not. It also recalled how inappropriate and dangerous it can be to decree states of emergency to address situations of high social conflict, the risks of human rights violations in this context and that such measures do not represent sustainable and effective responses to address and resolve democratic challenges.

1119. At the same time, RELE monitored the status of Constitutional Complaint No. 132, filed by the former Attorney General of the Nation, Zoraida Ávalos Rivera, against Manuel Arturo Merino de Lama, in his capacity as President of the Republic; Antero Flores-Aráoz Esparza, in his capacity as President of the Council of Ministers and Gastón César Augusto Rodríguez Limo, in his capacity as Minister of the Interior, for the facts related to the state deployment in the days of protest in November 2020, known as #14N, where close to 80 people were injured, including journalists, and two young men, Jordan Inti Sotelo Camargo and Jack Bryan Pintado Sánchez, lost their lives. In this regard, RELE was informed that the proposed Final Report determined that "there is no responsibility of the mentioned officials, recommending the file for the alleged commission by omission of the crime of homicide, serious injuries and minor injuries, to the detriment of J.I.S.C. and J. B. P. S. F. M. C. A. and others". On July 1 of this year, the Standing Committee approved the final report, thus culminating the process in Parliament.

1120. RELE has also received information on the status of the file opened against 11 high-ranking officers of the National Police (PNP) for the crimes of homicide, serious injuries, minor injuries and abuse of authority
for alleged human rights violations in the context of the November 2020 protests. Between July 2022 and November 2022, all scheduled prosecutorial proceedings have been suspended as a result of a negative juridical dispute over jurisdiction for the juridical control of the ongoing investigation. The Rapporteurship notes that on November 29, 2022, the Permanent Criminal Chamber of the Supreme Court decided in favor of retaining jurisdiction in the National Criminal Court.

1121. In light of the above, this Office recalls that States have the duty to investigate and punish any violation that occurs in the context of a public demonstration. In these contexts, the absence of a thorough investigation when rights such as life and physical integrity have been violated generates an intimidating effect that is particularly serious due to the impact it has on the exercise of the rights to assembly, freedom of expression, and free association.

1122. For its part, the Rapporteurship has learned of judicial decisions that have lifted precautionary orders prohibiting persons accused or investigated in criminal proceedings from making statements to the press. This Office emphasizes that, in a September 2022 decision that lifted restrictive measures of "prohibition to make statements to the press, radio, written or television media regarding his case" and "prohibition to carry out political activity directly or indirectly" against a former Peruvian president accused in a money laundering process, the Criminal Chamber of the Supreme Court emphasized that "the criminal judge cannot run the risk of making criminal law a deterrent to the exercise of freedom of expression, which is undoubtedly undesirable in a democratic State." As in other similar cases, RELE emphasizes that the judicial authorities must apply the constitutional or international guarantees that protect freedom of expression and ensure the media the freedom to report on matters of public interest, without being subject to prior censorship and other illegitimate restrictions.

1123. On April 8, 2022, the government presented Bill 1705/2021, which seeks to amend the text of Article 61 of the Peruvian Constitution. The bill proposes that the article expands the obligation to combat oligopolies and determines that "the State facilitates and monitors free competition, according to the principles and purposes of the Social Market Economy". It also adds that "monopolies, oligopolies, hoarding, speculation or price agreements are prohibited, as well as the abuse of dominant positions in the market. The law establishes the corresponding sanctions in the civil, administrative and criminal spheres for those individuals or legal entities that fail to comply with such prohibition". Additionally, said Bill proposes the prohibition "of cross-ownership of social media, in accordance with the law". According to the justification of the Bill, "although it is true that our legal system currently already provides a series of rules at an infra-constitutional level for the punishment of this type of conduct, it is necessary to establish an express directive to the ordinary legislator to deepen the mechanisms that may be necessary to guarantee what the Constitution requires (under the terms now proposed in Article 61)".

1124. This Office would like to advise that the issue of alleged media concentration in Peru is related to a case before the Inter-American Commission, currently in the merits phase. In 2022, the IACHR has admitted
the case *Siete Periodistas vs. Peru*, in relation to Articles 8, 13 and 25 in accordance with Articles 1.1 and 2 of the American Convention. In 2013, a group of journalists, editors and journalistic entrepreneurs, filed an injunction lawsuit to declare null and void the purchase of a newspaper conglomerate of written press in the country by a business group of the same sector. As reported in RELE’s 2021 Annual Report, in 2021, the first instance court declared the amparo proceeding to be admissible. However, after an appeal was filed, the sentence was annulled due to errors in the conduct of the process and in the guarantee of ample defense in the first instance. The Rapporteurship also notes that the business group, and defendant in said lawsuit, has argued that in Peru, "the written press is an open market without access barriers, in which numerous independent media participate not only in print editions (where several new newspapers have appeared), but also now in multiple digital platforms; which contribute to ensure plurality of information, diversity of approaches, and freedom of expression. This, without considering that freedom of expression is also exercised through other media, such as radio, television, and even social networks".

1125. The Rapporteurship also learned with particular concern that, based on the analysis of leaked documents of the General Intelligence Directorate of Peru, journalistic investigations have highlighted that the documents reveal that the Directorate has monitored for alleged "terrorist" activities different public events in defense of human rights - including events promoted or organized with the support of government entities - events of political movements and leftist political parties, as well as Muslim religious leaders and associations, human rights defenders, political parties and political leaders and journalists. Likewise, the leaked documents known as "Guacamaya Leaks" would have pointed out the profiling of political parties and leaders and the elaboration of intelligence reports under the category of "affectations to the democratic State" and the indication that local journalists, teachers, local and religious leaders would act in the "indoctrination of the population" and that the "threat actors" identified in the report would have the "advice" of national and international NGOs. The digital media *La Encerrona* reported that after consulting the Armed Forces about the documents, they received an informal call from a colonel of the Information Office to warn them that publishing information related to the Guacamaya Leaks would be a crime.

1126. Throughout 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has also become aware of various bills that could affect the free exercise of freedom of expression in Peru. On August 19, 2022, Bill 2862/2022 was presented in the Congress of the Republic proposing to raise the penalty in cases of defamation by up to five years, if the crime is committed through books, the press, social networks, websites or other means of social communication, which would result in effective imprisonment. RELE recalls that the punitive power of the State, all the more so when the consequence could be possible imprisonment, can only be exercised to the extent strictly necessary to protect fundamental legal goods from the most serious attacks that damage or endanger them.

1127. RELE takes note that the same congressman has submitted, on August 15, 2022, a bill to establish that "the State and private media must grant a free television and/or radio space so that the authorities elected by popular election of the Central, Regional or Local Government may inform, annually to the population, about their administration and for as long as it lasts". According to the legislative proposal (Bill 2815/2022), its purpose would be to inform the citizens regarding "the progress, achievements and goals obtained in the..."
development of the work carried out by the authorities of the central, regional and local government, annually, so that the population may know, directly from their authorities, the work carried out by them for the benefit of the community"2534.

1128. RELE notes with concern the presentation, on July 6, 2022, of Bill 2508/2021 by the Executive Branch, which punishes with a penalty of 1 to 4 years the dissemination of confidential information of the criminal investigation by the aggrieved party, lawyer, legal representative or any of the parties involved in a criminal investigation, judge, prosecutor, jurisdictional assistant, administrative assistant, assistant in prosecutorial function or member of the PNP2535. The RELE emphasizes that the most serious penalties are for the act of disseminating, directly or indirectly, the information through "social communication media or other mass media"2536. The legislative proposal received criticism from different civil society organizations in Peru, which reinforced the risk of imposing disproportionate restrictions on the circulation of information of public interest - such as allegations of corruption2537. The Peruvian Ombudsman’s Office has concluded that "this legislative initiative violates the citizens' freedom of information" and warned about "the risk that the legislative proposal implies for the work of the press, since it criminalizes journalistic sources and allows women and men of the press to be investigated as accomplices or instigators"2538.

1129. Now, prior to the presentation of said Bill by the Executive Branch, the RELE takes note that, in January 2022, the Justice and Human Rights Commission of the National Congress has analyzed two bills referred to the criminal process for effective collaboration and, in its opinion unanimously approved, has presented a substitute text the analyzed bills with the following amendment to Article 409-B of the Criminal Code, contained in said opinion, states: "Whoever unduly discloses, provides, disseminates, supplies, gives or gives, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the identity of an effective collaborator, witness, aggrieved or protected expert, undercover or special agent, or the content of their statements, at any stage of the investigation or proceedings, shall be punished with imprisonment for not less than four nor more than six years"2539. In March, the Committee to Protect Journalists called on Congress to reject this legislative proposal, considering that it would impose an "absolute prohibition on the publication of information derived from the testimony of informants, which may be of obvious public interest, is incompatible with freedom of the press"2540. Despite the fact that in a first plenary vote the bill was not approved, a request for reconsideration of the vote was submitted, which, at the time of writing this report, was still awaiting analysis2541.

1130. However, on July 16, 2022, Law 31515 was published, which amends Law 28874 and regulates government advertising. Said regulation incorporates new requirements, general criteria and modifies prohibitions regarding state advertising2542. The Rapporteurship notes that the modifications established by Law 31515 would have a particular impact in favor of the contracting of state advertising for local media. The Law establishes that one of the requirements for the proposal and technical justification for the selection of broadcast media is the location of the media. It also establishes that a minimum percentage of 30% to 70% of the official advertising contracted by an entity must be destined to local media, variable according to the target audience, the territory where the broadcast message will be disseminated and the contracting state agency (whether national or local)2543.

2537 RPP. June 26, 2022. Journalistic unions reject bill of the Executive that seeks to penalize the dissemination of tax information.
2540 CPJ. March 14, 2022. Peruvian Congress introduces bill to criminalize journalistic disclosure of statements by effective collaborators.
1131. The Rapporteurship notes that these guidelines would be focused on addressing the need for the mechanisms for the allocation of official advertising to cover the different types of media, including local media. However, RELE considers that these measures should be accompanied by the necessary guarantees so that the advertising budget is allocated by impartial and credible institutions; with measurements based on objective and reliable criteria; and following technical criteria. As this Office has pointed out, the allocation of official advertising cannot represent a reward for silence or punishment for criticism, according to the editorial lines of the media. Finally, the Rapporteurship observes that such measures should not be confused with others, such as subsidies or economic aid of any kind that are specifically intended to promote media diversity and pluralism.

1132. The Rapporteurship has also learned that a group of individuals known as "La Resistencia" are linked to acts of violence in places where freedom of expression is exercised, such as bookstores or libraries, for example. According to information received by the delegation, this group has violated several bookstores in the capital, such as the Crisol bookstore or the Primera Parada bookstore. In particular, alleged members of "La Resistencia" have been identified as responsible for acts of harassment and insults against people attending the presentation of the book "Populistas" by Carlos Meléndez, at the Lima bookstore El Virrey on April 28, 2022. On the same occasion, members of the group harassed a journalist from Exitosa.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1133. Throughout 2022, the Rapporteurship continued to receive information about acts of harassment and judicial harassment against women who denounce acts of sexual violence and against groups that promote sexual and reproductive rights. The Rapporteurship has also received information on legislative initiatives that could place restrictions on the right to sexual and reproductive education. At the same time, the Rapporteurship rejects the invasion of a community library in May as a way to intimidate the work of two lesbian women and their community.

1134. For example, on May 23, 2022, the Tenth Unipersonal Criminal Court of the Superior Court of Justice of Lima tried a criminal complaint for aggravated defamation and sentenced a student of the Pontifical Public University of Peru, who publicly and administratively denounced alleged acts of sexual violence committed by a professor of archaeology at the university and former Peruvian Minister of Culture, to 20 months in suspended prison and the payment of 180,000 pesos. In October, United Nations experts warned of the risk that "if the court’s decision stands, it could silence other victims and survivors of sexual violence and prevent them from speaking out against their aggressors".

1135. The Rapporteurship has also learned that the Prosecutor of the Ministry of Women and Vulnerable Populations reportedly sent notarized letters against El Foco after the media outlet published a report alleging mistreatment and harassment of four women who allegedly worked under him. The letter requested the rectification of the versions made by your person against me that constitute the crime against honor, slander and defamation" and points out an alleged improper use and editing of a photograph of him for the dissemination of the article in social networks. In the absence of rectification by the journalists of El Foco, the authorities could place restrictions on the right to sexual and reproductive education. At the same time, the Rapporteurship rejects the invasion of a community library in May as a way to intimidate the work of two lesbian women and their community.

---

2548 IPYS. April 29, 2022. Peru: members of extreme right-wing group attempt to assault reporter; Exitosa Noticias. September 29, 2022. Members of "La Resistencia" attempted to assault Exitosa team during protests.
2550 OHCHR. 17 October 2022. Peru: UN experts concerned that criminalizing women activists will silence victims of sexual harassment.
Court Specialized in Constitutional Matters of the Superior Court of Lima in September\textsuperscript{2551}. On October 14, the journalists were notified of the granting of a precautionary measure in favor of the prosecutor, in which the Fifth Constitutional Court of the Superior Court of Justice of Lima determined the provisional and immediate withdrawal of the retransmitted or permanent publications in the computer platforms (…) of the media outlet \textit{El Foco}, related to the plaintiff, under penalty of the coercive measures allowed by the Constitutional Procedural Code, to be extended while the fine sentence is issued\textsuperscript{2552}.

1136. The Rapporteurship recalls that in the joint Declaration of 2022, the various experts on Freedom of Expression recommended that "women who publicly denounce alleged perpetrators of sexual or gender-based violence should not be charged with criminal defamation, prosecuted for false denunciation of crimes, or be subjected to frivolous or vexatious defamation lawsuits"\textsuperscript{2553}. Within the scope of the Inter-American system for the protection of human rights, and in particular the American Convention and the Convention of Belém do Pará, guarantee the right of women to exercise freedom of expression free from all forms of discrimination and violence.

1137. At the same time, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that women journalists "in challenging macho stereotypes that condemn their participation in public life, are confronted with violence and discrimination against women based on their gender, as well as differentiated forms of violence by state and non-state actors"\textsuperscript{2554}. In 2022, the Rapporteurship learned that a journalist from \textit{Wayka Peru} was the victim of a sexual assault while conducting interviews in the streets of Comas, Lima, when a man made inappropriate touching\textsuperscript{2555}. Likewise, in November, the Peruvian Prime Minister, during activities at the Government Palace, reportedly made negative remarks about the coverage of a Sunday program on América Televisión about the situation of some roads in the country and that its hostess was a "bad mother", "bad wife", "bad daughter" and "if she has children she is only deforming her children"\textsuperscript{2556}. The Rapporteurship emphasizes that violence, discrimination, and stigmatization based on gender reinforce the situation of vulnerability of women, which excludes and expels them from participation in public life for the mere fact of being women\textsuperscript{2557}.

1138. The Rapporteurship also learned that Law 904/2021 on the content of educational materials and resources in Peru, approved on May 6, 2022 by Congress, is currently awaiting enactment\textsuperscript{2558}. The law would condition the publication of educational materials to the prior consultation and consent of parents and guardians, which, according to the Ombudsman’s Office, could impede "access to an education free of gender stereotypes and prejudices, as well as the exercise of the right to sexual and reproductive health"\textsuperscript{2559}. According to the Ombudsman’s Office, this is "a dangerous precedent for all public policies, since it would institutionalize -through a law- a right to veto by people with beliefs or prejudices, generating instability and lack of legal security for the protection of the human rights of people, and particularly, of students"\textsuperscript{2560}.

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textsuperscript{2551} IPYS. 30 September 2022. \textit{Peru: procurador del Ministerio de la Mujer presenta acción de amparo contra periodistas}; Asociación Nacional de Periodistas. November 5, 2022. \textit{Lima: Court orders media outlet to withdraw information on allegations against public official}.
\item \textsuperscript{2552} The Republic. November 5, 2022. \textit{Superior Court of Justice of Lima orders the removal of publications on allegations against MIMP official}; El Foco Twitter account (@elfoco.pe). 34 December 2022.
\item \textsuperscript{2554} IACHR. RELE. \textit{Women journalists and Freedom of Expression}, OEA/SER.L/V/II IACHR/RELE/INF.20/18. October 31, 2018. para. 3.
\item \textsuperscript{2555} IFP-IP. September 23, 2022. \textit{Peru: journalist suffered sexual harassment during coverage}; Swissinfo. 22 September 2022. \textit{Journalist reportedly sexually assaulted while conducting interviews in Lima}.
\item \textsuperscript{2556} Swissinfo. November 7, 2022. \textit{Feminist entities criticize Peruvian prime minister for misogynistic attacks}; IPYS. 7 November 2022. \textit{Peru: prime minister utters sexist insults against TV journalist}.
\item \textsuperscript{2558} Official Gazette El Peruano. June 23, 2022. \textit{Law 31498: Law that promotes the quality of materials and resources}.
\item \textsuperscript{2559} Office of the Ombudsman. May 7, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office: President of the Republic must observe Bill No. 904 that conditions the publication of educational material to the consent of mothers and fathers.
\item \textsuperscript{2560} Office of the Ombudsman. May 7, 2022. Ombudsman’s Office: President of the Republic must observe Bill No. 904 that conditions the publication of educational material to the consent of mothers and fathers.
\end{enumerate}
The Rapporteurship recalls that States should encourage public and private educational institutions to develop curricula and programs to guarantee human rights education in an interdisciplinary manner and in all educational cycles with a gender equality and intersectionality perspective, also guaranteeing comprehensive sex education.  

The Rapporteurship rejects the acts of harassment suffered by women and girls at the Miguelina Acosta Library. This Office was informed that on May 15, 2022, members of the so-called “Resistance” violently broke into a children’s concert held at a fair to raise funds in support of the feminist and community library Miguelina Acosta. According to what RELE learned during its visit to the library, the aggressions, insults and destruction occurred in the presence of children and adolescents, as a way to intimidate the couple of lesbian women known as part of the LGBTI community that carries out a laudable social project in favor of vulnerable children in the center of the capital. The State must act to guarantee the right to freedom of expression of historically discriminated groups and punish those responsible for actions that seek to arbitrarily restrict this right, especially considering that the right to freedom of expression is closely related to promoting understanding and tolerance between cultures, favoring the deconstruction of stereotypes, facilitating the free exchange of ideas and offering alternative opinions and points of view.

### D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

According to official data from the National Institute of Statistics and Informatics, between July and September 2022, approximately 74.2% of the population aged 6 years and older in the country uses the Internet and approximately 58.2% of households in the country have access to the Internet. However, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that, in addition to the existing gap to the universalization of the Internet in the country, there are important geographic and social gaps, which reveal an unequal possibility of access. For example, according to the INEI, “in Metropolitan Lima, 87.2% of the population used the Internet, 78.4% in the rest of urban areas and 42.8% in rural areas. At the same time, while 96.9% and 94.9% of the population with university and non-university higher education, respectively, use (...) Internet services”, only 44.9% of the population with primary education or less do so. Likewise, only 19.1% of the population that accesses the Internet does so at home and on a cell phone.

Access to the Internet is a sine qua non condition for the effective exercise of human rights. The Rapporteurship recalls that the principle of universal access to the network goes hand in hand with other duties on the part of the State, such as the duty to "promote, in a progressive manner, access to the technology necessary for its use and to the greatest possible amount of information available on the network; the duty to eliminate arbitrary barriers to access to infrastructure, technology and online information; and the duty to adopt positive differentiation measures to allow the effective enjoyment of this right to persons or communities that require it due to their circumstances of marginalization or discrimination." At the same time, the Rapporteurship has reiterated that "the authorities should encourage educational measures aimed at promoting the ability of all persons to make autonomous, independent and responsible use of the Internet and digital technologies", a set of processes known as digital literacy, which should be oriented to the development of civic skills in the perspective of democratic coexistence and with a human rights approach.

---

1143. At the same time, the Rapporteurship has taken note of the powers of the Ministry of Transport and Communications to determine the suspension or blocking of operators and intermediaries in the online space. In this regard, the Rapporteurship is concerned about the information on the growing activation of precautionary processes and without opportunities to carry out a contradictory process that generate the blocking of websites and applications2569. The Rapporteurship was also informed about the powers of the Ministry of Transport and Communications to have administrative instruments to facilitate the blocking of applications based on an administrative regulation, according to the information received, said regulation does not guarantee due legal process or the right to a defense, it was also alleged lack of guarantees of transparency or democratic participation in the social scrutiny of such functions2570. For example, the Rapporteurship was informed of the use of Supreme Decrees with such objectives, as in the case of Supreme Decree 035-2019-MTC, which specifies the provisions on the blocking of applications and/or web pages related to the service of public transportation of persons in unauthorized minor vehicles2571.

1144. The relevance of the Internet as a platform for the enjoyment and exercise of human rights is directly linked to the architecture of the network and the principles that govern it, including the principle of openness, decentralization and neutrality2572. The blocking and filtering of content, in addition to any other sanction, must be subject to a strict proportionality judgment and be carefully designed and clearly limited so that it does not reach legitimate content that deserves protection2573.

1145. During 2022, the Rapporteurship also received information on policies, installation of cameras with face detection capability in some cities and districts of the country, without broad debate and prior reflection with citizens, and in the context of opacity about the scope and types of contracts signed between the companies offering the service and the public authorities and the database used2574. Currently, according to information received by the Rapporteurship, Legislative Decree 1182 already authorizes the Police to access, without prior court order, the location or geolocation of cell phone users and orders companies to save traffic data of all communications in the country for up to three years2575. In this regard, the Rapporteurship notes that the District Municipality of La Victoria was sanctioned in July 2022 by the National Data Protection Authority for “processing personal data without complying with the security measures established in the regulations on the matter”2576. In 2020, Hiperderecho and AccesNow reportedly filed a complaint about “the implementation of cameras with facial recognition technology that illegally process biometric data” in that municipality2577.

1146. As highlighted on other occasions by this Office, States have the obligation to respect and protect the right to privacy in accordance with international human rights law2578. Likewise, “surveillance practices and

2571 Hiperderecho. We sue the Ministry of Transport for threatening freedom of expression and violating net neutrality by blocking apps. La República. December 17, 2019. MTC persists in blocking Picup ‘app’ despite lawsuit against decree banning cab on linear motorcycles.
the unlawful or arbitrary interception and collection of personal data not only affect the right to privacy and freedom of expression but may also be contrary to the precepts of a democratic society" 2579.

1147. The Rapporteurship welcomes the decision of the Constitutional Court of Peru upheld a decision that declared unfounded the claim of an alleged drug trafficker against Google and Peruvian media, considering that the claim to remove all mention of his person through the Google search engine and news media is not directly related to the constitutionally protected content of the rights protected by the *habeas data* process. The Constitutional Court pointed out that the alleged "right to be forgotten" is also subject to restrictions or limitations derived, essentially, from the need to harmonize it with other constitutional rights or goods such as freedom of expression, which guarantees access, search and dissemination of news facts. The Constitutional Court also argued that, as a component of the journalistic exercise, the challenged information is limited to reporting on investigations carried out and published or disseminated in the context of the exercise of freedom of the press. The Constitutional Court highlighted the tripartite test of legality, legitimacy and necessity and proportionality of restrictions to freedom of expression highlighted by the ISHR, mentioning the *Claude Reyes v. Chile* case. 2580.

---


DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

1148. During 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression recorded a series of cases of violence against journalists in the Dominican Republic that would threaten freedom of expression and among the main threats are law initiatives that seek to criminalize and attempt to silence criticism of political leaders, including opinions from digital platforms. In addition, RELE learned of complaints about blocking requests for public information and criminal proceedings against journalists and communicators. This Office recognizes the creation of a dialogue commission to review the legislation on freedom of expression, as a way to promote the advancement of rights. Finally, the Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Dominican Republic to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

1149. This office is concerned about the aggression allegedly suffered by journalist Lourdes Aponte of Listín Diario and Ombudsman Pablo Ulloa on April 11. The journalist was allegedly robbed of her photographic equipment and cell phone by agents of the General Directorate of Security, Transit and Land Transportation (Digesett). According to public information, the equipment taken from the journalist contained alleged aggressions perpetrated by the transit agents against the press and the Ombudsman during an inspection operation at the vehicle retention center located in the old El Coco Canodrome. During the aggressions, the victims denounced the handling of weapons by the agents and were even attacked with a golf club, according to their complaints. Although the equipment taken from the journalist was returned to the media, the videos and photographs which would have served as evidence were erased by the Digesett agents2581. Listín Diario filed a formal complaint with the Inter-American Press Association (IAPA) for indirect censorship, secrecy of the source and violation of the right to privacy.

1150. Likewise, there were reports of aggression against journalist Teonilda Gómez and her children. According to the journalist’s complaint, she was the victim of threats, harassment, intimidation, harassment, persecution, psychological and verbal violence, defamation and slander2582.

1151. RELE also reported with concern the lawsuit against the communicator Rafael Antonio Guerrero Méndez, accused of defamation and libel by the former chief of the National Police. Guerrero Méndez made the allegations during the program Corrupción al Desnudo, which is broadcasted on the YouTube platform2583. Guerrero Méndez is accused of defamation committed by electronic, computer, telematic, telecommunications or audiovisual means, for which the penalty is three months to one year in prison and a fine of five to five hundred times the minimum wage. This is the second complaint against the journalist coming from a former head of the security forces. The first one was filed in December 2020.

1152. This office recorded allegations of police intimidation and abuse against journalist Yerlin Peralta by agents of the National Police of Valverde. The journalist, a member of the circle of photojournalists, was allegedly detained with the use of force during a police check. The alleged police abuse was documented in a video that was published by the Nuevo Diario Valverde2584. The authorities must investigate these events and determine whether the acts of violence are related to journalism.

2581 Ciudadanía RD. April 11, 2022. Digesett agents assault Ombudsman and several journalists; Listín Diario. 13 April 2022. Digesett incurs in censorship and deletes data from Listín cell phone; Diario Libre. April 13, 2022. Listín Diario denounces that Digesett agents erased photos and videos from the cell phone of the journalist assaulted in Canódromo.


The Rapporteurship recalls that Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the IACHR states that, among other things, "the kidnapping, intimidation, threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of the media, violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure that the victims receive adequate reparation". States are called upon to provide press officers with the highest level of protection, since journalists, cameramen, photojournalists and communicators who cover protests play a fundamental role in gathering and disseminating information.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

This Office received with concern the denunciation made by the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) regarding various legislative initiatives in the Dominican Republic that would seek to inhibit freedom of the press and limit the work of journalists. In its opinion, the objective is to "overprotect" political leaders from criticism, which could contravene international human rights standards. In addition, a reform to the Penal Code would seek to regulate opinions expressed about individuals and would punish with imprisonment offenses against the president, ministers, legislators and diplomatic representatives in an attempt to "protect the right to privacy". This Office welcomes the fact that the proposal was temporarily withdrawn from Congress after the presidency withdrew it after having installed a commission to review the legislation on freedom of expression. For its part, the IAPA emphasized that Dominican authorities should adapt national legislation to "the criteria of Inter-American jurisprudence" and avoid falling into the authoritarianism of the past.

This Rapporteurship recalls that "desacato laws" are understood to be those laws that criminalize expressions that offend or insult members of the civil service in the exercise of their functions. Inter-American standards consider that the application of desacato laws unjustifiably grants them a right to protection that is not available to other members of society. In addition to direct restrictions, desacato laws indirectly restrict freedom of expression because they carry with them the threat of imprisonment or fines for those who insult or offend a public official, and the fear of criminal sanctions necessarily discourages citizens from expressing their opinions on issues of public interest.

According to available information, the media Listín Diario denounced the Senate of the Republic and the Chamber of Deputies for allegedly impeding access to public information since 2020. This media would have requested 12 pieces of information through the Single Portal for Requesting Access to Public Information (SAIP), without the Senate of the Republic and the Chamber of Deputies delivering the inquiries requested by the journalists. This Office reminds that all public authorities are obligated subjects of the right of access to information. This includes, as noted by the Inter-American Juridical Committee (RES.147 LXXIII-O/08), the executive, legislative and judicial branches, as well as bodies created by law, controlled by the government, or that operate with public funds or perform public functions. Also persons performing public functions.

This Office registered with concern the coercive measure imposed by a court against Fernando Ernesto Santos Cabrera for publishing on Instagram an image with distorted features of the founding leader of the Dominican Republic Juan Pablo Duarte. The court considered that the publication would have generated

---

2586 Inter-American Press Association. June 22, 2022. IAPA concerned about the rise of anti-press freedom projects in the Dominican Republic; Interactive meetings. June 24, 2022. IAPA concerned about boom in anti-press freedom projects in Dominican Republic; Listín Diario. June 22, 2022. Ortiz Bosch on projects would threaten freedom of expression: “This is not the time to discuss conflictive issues”; Por el ojo de la cerradura. 23 June 2022. Ortiz Bosch on projects would threaten freedom of expression: “It is not the moment to discuss conflictive issues” and that it is his opinion and not official.


alarm among a large part of the population for considering that the photograph was "outraged and profaned" for interpreting that Duarte would have been homosexual.

1159. This Office recalls that the restrictions listed by the United Nations that are considered discriminatory to freedom of expression, association and assembly that refer to rules prohibiting the dissemination of information on homosexuality under the pretext of restricting the propagation of LGBT "propaganda".

1160. This Office welcomes the decision of the Executive to create a commission to open a dialogue with various sectors in order to promote a proposal for legislation on freedom of expression. The creation of the commission comes after questioned debates on draft laws that threaten freedom of expression. On the other hand, this office recalls that in October 2020, the government signed the Salta Declaration on Principles of Freedom of Expression in the Digital Age, in favor of safeguarding freedoms in the digital environment.

1161. The Supreme Court of Justice (SCJ) issued a ruling that establishes that defamation offenses through electronic media should be prosecuted only by the Public Prosecutor’s Office at private instance. The decision could be contradictory to a ruling of the Constitutional Court which abolished custodial sentences when information crimes affect legislators and public officials.

---


In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur held a meeting in St. Kitts and Nevis with journalists from the region, with the participation of members of the press. On this day, the Office learned about the state of press freedom in the country. The Rapporteurship took note of the limited access to information of public interest, the arbitrary use of official advertising to interfere in media coverage, the lack of progress in the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act, despite having been approved in 2018, as well as the harassment against journalists on social networks. The year 2022 was marked by the general elections that took place on August 5 and the election of a new government. Prior to dissolving parliament, the former Prime Minister went through a series of political difficulties related to the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act, the lack of transparency of the citizenship-by-investment program, and verbal confrontations between diplomats and elected officials. This Office recorded with concern the alleged abuse of public television and radio by the previous administration for political proselytism. In the second half of the year, the Rapporteurship welcomed the ruling that decriminalized consensual sexual relations, setting an important precedent for the fight against discrimination of the LGBT people in the country and the region.

A. Journalism and democracy

In the framework of a private meeting, the Rapporteurship was informed about the limited access to information of public interest on governmental affairs and activities. Likewise, the Rapporteurship learned of the possible influence of the government on the media through official advertising, which would allow public officials to stop advertising as a reprisal to media critical of the administration. The information received indicates that this practice would have intensified during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the right of access to information is a critical tool for the control of the functioning of the State and public management, and for the control of corruption. The right of access to information is a fundamental requirement to guarantee transparency and good governance of the government and other state authorities. The full exercise of the right of access to information is a guarantee that is indispensable in preventing abuses by public officials, holding public administration accountable and promoting its transparency, as well as preventing corruption and authoritarianism.

This Office reiterates that in the case of the allocation of government advertising, a case of indirect censorship occurs when such allocation is done with discriminatory aims according to the editorial position of the media outlet included in or excluded from such allocation, and with the purpose of imposing conditions on its editorial position or line of reporting. In this sense, the Rapporteurship urges the State to establish procedures for the contracting and allocation of government advertising that reduce discretion and prevent suspicion of political favoritism in its distribution. Advertising funds must be allocated according to pre-established criteria that are clear, transparent, and objective. Government advertising must never be allocated by the States as a reward or punishment for the editorial and reporting content of the media. Such use must be explicitly penalized.

As expressed in its 2021 annual report, the Rapporteurship remains concerned about the lack of implementation of the Freedom of Information Act despite its enactment in 2018. In the framework of a private meeting, this Office learned that a group of journalists reportedly met with the new Prime Minister,

Terrance Drew, and the now former Attorney General, Vincent Byron, to discuss the implementation of said act. The journalists reportedly had seen greater political will for its implementation, however, as of the date of this annual report, no information has been received in relation to progress made on this front.

1167. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that access to information has an essential instrumental function. Only through adequate implementation of this right can people know exactly what their rights are and what mechanisms exist to protect them. In particular, the proper implementation of the right of access to information in its full scope is an essential condition for the fulfilment of the social rights of excluded or marginalized sectors.

1168. Likewise, the Rapporteurship recalls that the State must design a plan that allows the real and effective satisfaction of the right of access to information within a reasonable period of time. This obligation implies the duty to budget the necessary funds to meet, progressively, the demand that the right of access to information will generate. This Office emphasizes that it is the State’s duty to adequately implement access laws. In this sense, the State must adopt norms, policies and practices that preserve and administer information adequately.

1169. In the course of the year, this Office was informed of repeated instances of trolling and harassment in social networks against journalists in the framework of the general elections of August 5, 2022. According to information shared by a group of journalists, these patterns of intimidation would not allow media workers to freely exercise their work. The fake accounts used in this type of activities would have a clear partisan affiliation since they would only emerge when a media outlet publishes a negative story about a particular politician or party.

1170. This Office emphasizes that States have a special obligation to take rapid and effective measures to prevent, protect, investigate, prosecute and punish attacks, threats, intimidation and harassment, offline and online, against journalists and other media workers, including against their property and families, during election periods, particularly where State actors are or may be involved. This obligation is especially pronounced in relation to female journalists and individuals belonging to marginalized groups.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1171. Between April 16 and April 20, 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed of a series of exchanges between the Prime Minister, Timothy Harris, and two of his co-partisans from the Team Unity coalition government: the First Deputy Minister and the Minister of Foreign Affairs.

1172. In a publicly available letter sent on April 16, the two cabinet members reportedly demanded a definitive response from the Prime Minister on 18 issues, including the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act and progress on press freedom. They also demanded greater transparency from the Prime

---

Minister on the management of the Citizenship By Investment (CBI) program, including quarterly reports on the income obtained, the number of applications and the number of persons that were granted citizenship of St. Kitts and Nevis. Additionally, they would have asked him to take action to remove “immediately” and “permanently” the profiles on social networks that would be allegedly defaming members of the government coalition. Finally, they would have demanded the removal diplomats in light of attacks against members of the government and the opposition through national media.2610

1173. According to both cabinet members, the issues mentioned would be part of a series of grievances and concerns of the three political parties that form the coalition government since 2015: the People’s Action Movement (PAM), the Concerned Citizens’ Movement (CCM) and the People’s Labour Party.2611 These issues would explain some of the reasons why the Prime Minister did not have the support of the majority of his cabinet, leading to a dissolution of parliament in May and subsequent general elections in August.2612

1174. On April 20, 2022, the Rapporteurship learned that the Prime Minister responded to the consultations submitted by the First Deputy Minister and the Minister of Foreign Affairs. According to the information available, the Prime Minister would have indicated that there was “significant progress” with respect to the implementation Freedom of Information Act, but that it would be necessary to “amend the legislation.”2613 According to the Prime Minister, the lack of implementation would have not “impeded wide access to, and dissemination of information” concerning government activities.2614

1175. The Prime Minister reportedly stated that while several ministries would have hired Information and Communications Officers, each ministry are still required to appoint an Information Commissioner under the Freedom of Information Act.2615

1176. With respect to the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the State must adopt a systematic policy for training public officials who will work in satisfying the right of access to public information in all of its facets, as well as the training of public entities, authorities and agents responsible for responding to requests for access to State-held information on the laws and regulations governing this right. This obligation also entails the training of public officials on the laws and policies on the creation and maintenance of information archives that the State is obliged to safeguard, administer and produce or gather.2616

1177. The Prime Minister reportedly cited “the significant growth of radio and TV programs from Government Agencies / Departments” as a positive development. This would include his weekly program called “Leadership Matters”.2617 This program would have been broadcasted every Tuesday, from 8 to 10 p.m., in prime time, across television, radio and digital platforms, between September 2020 and April 2022.2618 In total, the Prime Minister had exclusive time on at least nine media outlets - ZIZ Radio 95.9, 96.1, 96.3, 96.9 FM; Freedom (106.5) FM; Sugar City (90.3) FM; VON Radio (860 AM or 93.7 FM); WINN (98.9); and live streaming via Facebook and Youtube from the state-owned accounts ZIZ and St. Kitts and Nevis Information Service (SKNIS).2619

1178. The Rapporteurship recalls that, insofar as it has been recognized that freedom of expression necessarily requires a broad plurality of information, it is essential to guarantee that these public media are

2610 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. Letter to PM Harris from Mins. Richards and Brantley.
2611 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. May 27, 2022. Team Unity: How it started, where it went wrong.
2613 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. PM’s Letter to DPM Richards and Minister Brantley.
2614 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. PM’s Letter to DPM Richards and Minister Brantley.
2615 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. Letter to PM Harris from Mins. Richards and Brantley.
2616 IACHR. The Inter-American legal framework regarding the right to access to information. 2010. OEA/Ser.L/V/II CIDH/RELE/INF. 1/09. Para. 42.
2617 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. PM’s Letter to DPM Richards and Minister Brantley.
2618 The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 28, 2022. PM Harris rejects claim of PAM and CCM regarding misuse of government resources.
independent of the government.\textsuperscript{2620} In that regard, the Rapporteurship reiterates that public radio and television cannot be used as tools of government communication or propaganda; rather, they must be autonomous forums for culture and information that act in the service of society as a whole. Their programming should: (1) disseminate artistic, cultural, scientific, academic and educational productions of general interest, carried out around the country; (2) provide information on issues of public interest; and (3) reflect society’s political, social, geographic, religious, cultural, linguistic and ethnic diversity.\textsuperscript{2621} Likewise, this Office reiterates that the public media must act in a transparent manner. This means, on one hand, that the States must guarantee access to information on all aspects related to their administration (except the guarantees inherent to journalism, such as the confidentiality of news sources); and on the other hand, that they must provide for mechanisms of accountability and citizen participation, such as by providing for the receipt of proposals and comments or complaints from the audience.\textsuperscript{2622}

\textsuperscript{1179} Regarding the transparency of the CBI program, the Prime Minister reportedly advocated in favor of disclosing only the annual estimates generated by the initiative. The revenues, he said, would go into the Consolidated Fund and would be subject to the scrutiny of the Director of Audit.\textsuperscript{2623} He also allegedly defended the decision that the unit in charge of managing the program would be part of the Prime Minister’s office, and that to ensure non-interference, good decision making, and adherence to Due Diligence (DD) protocols, a series of protocols would be in place.

\textsuperscript{1180} The Rapporteurship recalls that the right of access to public information imposes on the State the obligation to proactively disclose information on its functions, activities and management of public resources on a routine basis, even in the absence of a request for access to public information, ensuring that the information is accessible, understandable and updated.\textsuperscript{2624} The Rapporteurship recalls that access to public data in itself is not enough, when it comes to combating corruption. Eliciting data and information of public interest will only matter if access is timely, complete and fosters accountability.\textsuperscript{2625}

\textsuperscript{1181} In connection with the calls to remove fake accounts and trolls on social networks, the Prime Minister reportedly assured that he had no control over social networks, noting that he had called the attention to people allegedly involved in the irresponsible dissemination of information.\textsuperscript{2626} The Prime Minister also reportedly said that he “strongly” discouraged disrespectful behavior on digital platforms, thus acknowledging the need to tone down rhetoric on social networks.\textsuperscript{2627}

\textsuperscript{1182} The Office of the Special Rapporteur wishes to clarify that States, as they are ultimately responsible for protecting and respecting the right to freedom of opinion and expression, must not require or otherwise pressure the private sector to violate human rights or unnecessarily or disproportionately interfere with the right to freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{2628} The blocking or filtering of content to combat hate speech are measures of last resort, and should only be used when necessary and proportionate to the compelling aim they pursue. The States that take such measures should also design them in such a way that they do not affect legitimate speech that warrants protection.\textsuperscript{2629}

\textsuperscript{2623} The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. Letter to PM Harris from Mins. Richards and Brantley.
\textsuperscript{2626} The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. PM’s Letter to DPM Richards and Minister Brantley.
\textsuperscript{2627} The St Kitts and Nevis Observer. April 21, 2022. Letter to PM Harris from Mins. Richards and Brantley.
Finally, regarding criticism of the alleged inflammatory comments made by the diplomatic corps, the Prime Minister reportedly said that “any citizen, including an ambassador, is free to exercise his or her democratic right to speak to issues affecting him or her or his or her country” and that it is “ironic” that the two members of the cabinet criticizing him are not “denied [their] individual rights to free speech, even as [they] have both launched scathing attacks on the Government of which [they] are a part”.2630

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, in principle, all forms of speech are protected by the right to freedom of expression, regardless of their content and the greater or lesser degree of social and state acceptance they enjoy. This general presumption of coverage of all expressive speech is explained by the State’s primary duty of content-neutrality and, as a consequence, by the necessity to guarantee that, in principle, there are no persons, groups, ideas or means of expression excluded a priori from public debate.2631

Likewise, this Office recalls that given that the right to freedom of expression enables the individual and the community to participate in active, robust and challenging debate on all aspects relative to the functioning of society, this right covers debate that may be critical of or even offensive to public officials, candidates for public office or individuals involved in shaping public policy. This does not mean that public officials cannot be judicially protected when their honor is subjected to unjustified attack, but such protection must be consistent with the principles of democratic pluralism, and it must be afforded through mechanisms that do not have a potential for creating inhibition or self-censorship.2632

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

On August 29, 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed of the decision of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (ECSC) to rule in favor of Jamal Jeffers, a homosexual citizen of St. Kitts and Nevis, and of the St. Kitts and Nevis Alliance for Equality (SKNAFE), in a decision that would decriminalize consensual same-sex relations between persons over the age of 16.2633 The plaintiffs had challenged the constitutionality of sections 56 and 57 of the Offences Against the Person Act, which criminalize buggery and indecent assault against males.2634 According to both plaintiffs, these two sections would be contrary to the rights enshrined in Articles 3, 7, 12 and 15 of the Constitution of St. Kitts and Nevis.2635 High Court Judge, Trevor M. Ward, would have ruled that the two sections of the Offences Against the Person Act contravene the rights to protection of personal privacy and the right to freedom of expression, thus rendering them null and void so as not to criminalize sexual conduct between consenting adults in private. 2636

The State of St. Kitts and Nevis, represented by its Attorney General, reportedly argued that the issues addressed by sections 56 and 57 were sensitive issues in society.2637 Likewise, the Attorney General would have expressed that the parliament should address these issues as it would involve the protection of children and political issues related to health, education and social and cultural issues as a whole.2638

With the August 29 decision, St. Kitts and Nevis became the latest country in the hemisphere to declare the unconstitutionality of laws that have historically criminalized LGBT people. Prior to St. Kitts and Nevis, a similar decision was taken in July 2022 by the High Court of Antigua and Barbuda. In previous years, courts in Belize and Trinidad and Tobago also struck down legal codes criminalizing same-sex relations.2639
However, seven countries in the Caribbean, all of which are former British colonies, continue to criminalize these acts and thus infringing on the privacy and freedom of expression of LGBT persons: Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent and the Grenadines.\footnote{UNAIDS. August 30, 2022. \textit{Press Statement: St. Kitts and Nevis becomes the latest country to declare that laws that have criminalized LGBT people are unconstitutional.}}

\footnote{\textit{Press Statement: St. Kitts and Nevis becomes the latest country to declare that laws that have criminalized LGBT people are unconstitutional.}} 1189. Like the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), this Office calls on all States in the Americas to repeal laws that criminalize consensual sexual relations between adults. Likewise, States must take measures to build a legal framework to guarantee the rights of LGBT persons and protect them from all forms of discrimination.\footnote{Inter-American Commission on Human Rights. September 6, 2022. \textit{IACHR welcomes decision declaring criminalization of LGBTI consensual sexual activity unconstitutional in Saint Kitts and Nevis.}} In this regard, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that it is particularly important for States to take action to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression of LGBTI persons and to empower those affected and made invisible by hate speech.\footnote{IACHR. Annual Report 2015. Report of the Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. Chap. IV. Hate speech and incitement to violence against LGBTI persons. Para. 22.}
SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

1190. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recorded the arrest and conviction of an individual who allegedly harassed a journalist at her home. The Rapporteurship also recorded the Prime Minister’s refusal to share information of public interest regarding his mediation in negotiations between the United States and Venezuela. As in other countries in the region, the Rapporteurship observed a series of protests following the visit of members of the British monarchy and, with the death of Queen Elizabeth II, a resurgence of calls to break ties with the crown. This Office recorded at least one instance in which the criminal code was allegedly applied against an opponent of the current government for spreading “seditious words” on social networks. Likewise, this Office recorded media clashes between the President and opposition leaders. In the course of this year, the Rapporteurship recorded demonstrations for the dismissal of teachers who had refused to be vaccinated against COVID-19 and received information that fishermen faced higher costs in one of the main markets of the country. This Office welcomed information about awards celebrating the cultural contributions of individuals in the country, calls from young sectors of the population to promote gender equity, and the holding of artistic exhibitions that promote academic freedom and freedom of expression. The Rapporteurship also took note of significant progress made in the fight against child pornography and universal and neutral access to the Internet.

A. Journalism and democracy

1191. On February 3, 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed of a court’s decision to sentence a 21-year-old to nine months in prison after being convicted of trespassing on the property of journalist Sheron Garraway.2643 The journalist reportedly testified before the authorities that in the early morning of May 23, 2021, she was awakened by voices and noises coming from outside her home.2644 The journalist would have then called the police to report the incident. According to public information, the journalist clearly identified the subject at the police station when he was arrested.

1192. The Rapporteurship recalls that States have the obligation to adopt measures to prevent violence against journalists and media workers. This obligation is particularly important in countries in which there is a risk of these incidents taking place and in specific situations in which authorities know or should know that there is a real and immediate risk of such crimes being committed.2645

1193. During a press conference on October 4, 2022, Prime Minister Dr. Ralph Gonsalves reportedly refused to answer a question about the alleged prisoner exchange between the governments of Venezuela and the United States on the weekend of October 1.2646 He reportedly stated that he could neither confirm nor deny what was asked by members of the press and that his government will never “go about and say what it is doing or not doing in any of these respects”.2647

1194. This Office would like to recall that free access to information is also a measure that allows the citizenry to exercise adequately their political rights in a representative and participatory democratic system. Of course, political rights presume the existence of broad and vigorous public discourse, for which it is indispensable to have access to public information that allows for serious evaluation of the progress made and difficulties faced by the authorities in their achievements. Only through access to information under State control is the citizenry able to know if the State is adequately complying with its public functions.2648

---

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1195. The Special Rapporteur was informed of multiple protests following the visit of Prince Edward and his wife Sophie as part of Queen Elizabeth II’s Platinum Jubilee. Protesters reportedly gathered at three locations to demand a formal apology for crimes committed by the British Empire, as well as to demand reparations.2649 The protests in St. Vincent and the Grenadines over the visit of members of the British Royal Family followed other demonstrations over the visit of the Duke and Duchess of Cambridge to Belize and Jamaica in March.2650

1196. The Rapporteurship reiterates that the protection and guarantee of the right to protest deserve special attention when it is expressed by underrepresented or marginalized sectors or groups that face institutional frameworks not conducive to their participation, or serious barriers to access to other forms of mass communication.2651

1197. At the end of March 2022, the Rapporteurship received reports that a political opponent of the current administration was facing charges for uttering “seditious words” during a Facebook live broadcast on December 19, 2021.2652 According to public information, the opponent is known for using social networks to criticize the current government’s policies. Likewise, the opponent would also be among the eleven people whose homes were reportedly raided on August 7, 2021 after the prime minister was injured during a social protest.2653

1198. According to the criminal code of St. Vincent and the Grenadines, “seditious words” would include those intended to “to bring into hatred or contempt, or to incite disaffection against, the person of the Sovereign, Her heirs or successors, the Governor-General or the Government”, as well as those allegedly seeking “to raise discontent or disaffection amongst the inhabitants”.2654 In the live broadcast for which the opponent was charged, he reportedly asserted that there is a war in the country and that there should be anarchy until the right people run the state. The opponent’s defense would have argued that his client’s constitutional right to freedom of speech would have been violated by a “archaic law which our colonisers saw fit to repeal in their country”.2655

1199. As in previous annual reports on Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, as well as other States in the region, the Office of the Special Rapporteur expresses its concern over the existence of the criminal offense of sedition libel, which affects the right to freedom of expression.2656 The Special Rapporteurship recalls that the threat or imposition of imprisonment based on desacato and criminal defamation laws can have a chilling effect that affects not only journalists, but on all of society.2657 Likewise, the Rapporteurship recalls that desacato laws grant a protection to public officials that is not available to the rest of society.2658 Finally, the Rapporteurship reiterates that public officials are subject to greater scrutiny by society, which is why these laws that penalize offensive expressions directed at public officials restrict freedom of expression and the right to information.2659

2652 iWitness News. March 26, 2022. Activist charged with 3 counts of uttering seditious words; Searchlight. 29 March 2022. Activist Kenson King charged with uttering seditious words.
2655 iWitness News. March 28, 2022. Court to decide whether to hear sedition charges against activist; Searchlight. 29 March 2022. Activist Kenson King charged with uttering seditious words.
This Office became aware of a series of protests between August and September led by the St Vincent and Grenadines Teachers Union (SVGTU). According to information received by the Rapporteurship, the protests were intended to express the dissatisfaction of teachers with the alleged unjustified dismissal of several teachers for failing to comply with the directive to be vaccinated against COVID-19. According to public information, close to 200 teachers would have been removed from their posts for refusing to receive the vaccine against this virus; 30 of them would have recovered their jobs after the relaxation of protocols against the pandemic. The Rapporteurship learned that within the framework of the protests, a representative of the union had encouraged civil society to join the demonstrations, emphasizing the constitutional right to protest.

The Rapporteurship also learned of several calls to the government to allow the dismissed teachers to be reinstated because their departure would have affected rural, tertiary and technical schools, affecting access to education for children, adolescents and young adults. The prime minister reportedly responded to the protests and claims by stating that these teachers could reapply for teaching jobs, but that reinstating them would imply that his government should not have approved the mandatory vaccination mandate in the first place.

In October, the Rapporteurship was also informed of another series of protests, in this case by vendors at the Kingstown Fish Market. The vendors reportedly expressed their disagreement with the increase in vending fees which, in turn, would lead to an increase in the price of seafood that would severely affect the poor. The Prime Minister reportedly justified his administration’s decision on the market’s financial losses in the last fiscal year.

This Office recalls that public demonstrations are considered an exercise of freedom of expression, since the expression of opinions, the dissemination of information, and the articulation of demands are central objectives of such demonstrations. Therefore, States are obliged to guarantee and facilitate the exercise of the human rights at stake during demonstrations and protests and to implement measures and mechanisms to ensure that these rights can be exercised in practice, rather than hindered.

As in other Caribbean states, the death of Queen Elizabeth II is said to have focused new attention on the possible removal of the British crown from the constitutional order of St. Vincent and the Grenadines. The Rapporteurship learned that in July, Prime Minister Ralph Gonsalves reportedly expressed his desire to push for a new referendum to remove the Queen as head of state. The Rapporteurship also learned that the opposition leader reportedly claimed that the Prime Minister’s meeting with the new King of the United Kingdom was “demonstrative level of political fraud”, calling it an act of “barefacedness”. The prime minister responded to his opponent by stating that “a long period in opposition has certainly addled his brain” and that the new King Charles knows that he does not agree with a monarchial system for the country.

---

2662 The Vincentian. September 2, 2022. 30 Teachers Have Returned.
2663 iWitness News. September 3, 2022. An open call for reinstatement of dismissed teachers in SVG. SVG TV. 15 August 2022. Dismissed teachers who were removed from service for refusing to comply with the government’s Covid-19 mandate are intensifying their call to be reinstated.
2666 Fish market loses $30,000 monthly, higher vending fees justifiable - PM. Searchlight. Sept. 30, 2022.
2667 Asbert News Network. October 6, 2022. PM Gonsalves asks for reasonableness following fish vendor protests.
2670 iWitness News. July 25, 2022. PM proposes vote on removing Queen as head of state; Barbados Today. 26 July 2022. SVG PM proposes referendum on removing Queen as Head of State.
2672 iWitness News. October 6, 2022. PM said he must respect King Charles despite Republican stance.
1205. The Rapporteurship was also informed of strong accusations between Prime Minister Ralph Gonsalves and his main political opponent. The information available indicates that the opposition leader had asked the Prime Minister to desist from using official government events to defame him.\textsuperscript{2672} The opposition leader reportedly said in August that many women in the country depend on prostitution for their livelihood due to the island’s economic situation.\textsuperscript{2673} In response, the Prime Minister accused the opposition leader of spreading “wayward and ridiculous things”, adding that the women he had referred to would “would give them a licking and send him packing at the next general elections”.\textsuperscript{2674}

1206. The Special Rapporteur recalls that State officials should unequivocally condemn attacks committed in reprisal for the exercise of freedom of expression and should refrain from making statements that are likely to increase the vulnerability of those who are targeted for exercising their right to freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{2675}

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1207. On International Women’s Day, members of the Marion House Youth Assistance Programme (YAP) reportedly gathered in front of the Office of Public Prosecuting Services holding banners and chanting slogans to demand greater participation of women in parliament, the effective functioning of non-governmental organizations and greater participation of young people in national affairs.\textsuperscript{2676} Marion House’s youth assistance program offers accompaniment, counseling and training for one year to vulnerable youth between the ages of 15 and 25.\textsuperscript{2677}

1208. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that women who actively exercise freedom of expression, such as women journalists, women human rights defenders and women politicians, are attacked for exercising freedom of expression and because of their gender.\textsuperscript{2678}

1209. During Emancipation Month, three well-known individuals - David “Darkie” Williams, Ann Jones and Cecil “Blazer” Williams- were honored for their contributions to culture and the creative arts in the country.\textsuperscript{2679} David Williams was recognized for his contributions to multiple festivals and the performing arts, while Ann Jones was recognized for developing the musical sphere in the country; Cecil Williams was also honored for his acclaimed literary works. This Office salutes these types of spaces that celebrate and commemorate the cultural contributions of prolific individuals.

1210. The Rapporteurship welcomed that the Community College of St. Vincent and the Grenadines organized an exhibition in July for graduates of the Associate Degree in Fine Arts, Design and Cultural Communications. The exhibition reportedly featured five students who addressed issues around degenerative diseases, childhood abroad, gender identity and gender roles.\textsuperscript{2680}

1211. Academic institutions should respect pluralism, promote intercultural understanding, and support the ability of members of all communities, and particularly marginalized groups, to voice their perspectives and concerns.\textsuperscript{2681} In this regard, the Rapporteurship welcomes the efforts of institutions to make complex issues visible through the arts.

1212. In October, the Rapporteurship learned that the literacy organization Hands Across The Sea (HATS), through the Ministry of Education, has reportedly donated nearly 4,500 books to students in multiple primary
schools, as well as to community libraries. The donations would be directed to sectors particularly affected by the eruptions of La Soufrière volcano between December 2020 and April 2021. The donation would have been made within the framework of the Caribbean Literacy and School Support Programme of this organization. This Office welcomes partnerships between NGOs and government entities to improve literacy and promote access to information and education in the Caribbean, especially for children and adolescents.

1213. In response to questions from the opposition, the Rapporteurship learned that the Minister of Education and National Reconciliation reportedly responded that the number of students with special needs who have completed their secondary education in the country during the last decade is unknown. However, he assured that the government would be strengthening data collection mechanisms and support systems for students with multiple disabilities.

1214. The Rapporteurship recalls that the right of access to public information imposes on the State the obligation to proactively disclose information on its functions, activities and management of public resources on a routine basis, even in the absence of a request for access to public information, ensuring that the information is accessible, understandable and updated.

1215. Likewise, this Office recalls that academic freedom must be promoted, protected and guaranteed equally and without discrimination on any grounds, including on the basis of disability, genetic characteristics, mental or physical health condition, including those of infectious or contagious disease, mental impairment or any other.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1216. The Special Rapporteur learned that on December 30, 2021, the Royal St. Vincent and the Grenadines Police Force (RSVGPF) issued a statement warning that it is an offense to "produce, make available, distribute, procure, possess or obtain child pornography through a computer system or on a computer data storage medium." These provisions would make part of the Cybercrime Act 2016. The statement, as far as this Office could learn, would have been in response to a pornographic video of an underage person that was circulating through various platforms.

1217. This Office wishes to clarify that child pornography is prohibited in absolute terms by the Convention on the Rights of the Child (article 34.c), by the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography, and by ILO Convention No. 182 on the worst forms of child labor (article 3.b). This prohibition, read in conjunction with Article 19 of the Convention, necessarily implies that child pornography, as a form of speech that is violently harmful to the prevailing rights of children and their best interests, must be excluded from the range of protection provided by freedom of expression. In summary, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that child pornography, as a form of speech that is violently harmful to the prevailing rights of children and their best interests, must be excluded from the scope of protection provided by freedom of expression.

1218. In March, the Rapporteurship was informed that Magistrate Rechanne Browne reportedly recused herself from the trial of a politician for irregular possession of a firearm after he allegedly made false

2684 iWitness News. October 18, 2022. No data on special needs students who completed secondary school.
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accusations against her on Facebook. The magistrate reportedly argued that the publication discredited her, so she would not continue with the case.

1219. This Office recalls that the State’s obligation to protect the rights of others is served by providing statutory protection against intentional infringement on honor and reputation through civil actions and by enacting laws guaranteeing the right of reply. The Rapporteurship also highlights that the dissemination of deliberate disinformation could damage the reputation of a candidate or public person or possibly other more diffuse interests such as the democratic process or the public’s trust in democratic institutions.

1220. On July 18, 2022, the Rapporteurship was informed that a telecommunications operator had won a five-year government contract to improve broadband in St. Vincent and the Grenadines. The information received by this Office indicates that the successful bid would seek to improve wireless internet access in at least 116 locations on the island. The company would have committed to provide downloads of up to 300 Mbps in community centers, learning resource centers, sports fields, tourist sites and non-profit organizations. The initiative is reportedly being driven by the island’s National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission (NTRC).

1221. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the principle of universal access refers to the need to guarantee connectivity and access to the Internet infrastructure and other ICT services that is universal, ubiquitous, equitable, truly affordable, and of adequate quality, all throughout the State’s territory. In this regard, this Office stresses that steps should be taken to progressively promote universal access not only to infrastructure but also the technology necessary for its use and to the greatest possible amount of information available on the Internet; to eliminate arbitrary barriers to access to infrastructure, technology and information online, and to adopt measures of positive differentiation to allow for the effective enjoyment of this right for individuals or communities who face marginalization and discrimination.

1222. In early October, this Office learned that the government of St. Vincent and the Grenadines had approached PayPal to complain about obstacles to carrying out transactions. The government allegedly claimed that the U.S. company was not providing the same services as other Eastern Caribbean states such as Grenada, St. Lucia and Antigua and Barbuda.

1223. The Rapporteurship expresses that it is important that the authorities make efforts to progressively close the digital divide, widely recognized by the States, whether based on wealth, gender, geographic demarcations or social groups, between States and within States.

1224. The Special Rapporteurship learned of the approval in late October of a new Electronic Communications Bill in St. Vincent and the Grenadines. With the passage of this law, the country would become the second member state of the Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL) to introduce new legislation to regulate the telecommunications sector; St. Kitts and Nevis would have been the first state to do so in February 2021. The law would seek to strengthen customer service delivery, establish a dispute tribunal, introduce severe penalties for non-compliance with legislation, and promote fairness, transparency
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and accountability between providers and regulators.\textsuperscript{2701} The legislation would also seek to improve the conditions and terms for the issuance of licenses, facilitate the production of content and the monetization of content through internet-based platforms, expand the scope of telecommunications to include electronic communications, and preserve an open and neutral internet.\textsuperscript{2702}

\textbf{1225.} The Office of the Special Rapporteur would like to recall that according to the principle of universal access, that is, the rights to equality and nondiscrimination, regulatory mechanisms need to be established - including pricing regimens, universal service requirements and licensing agreements - to foment broad access to the Internet, including for vulnerable sectors of society and the most isolated rural areas.\textsuperscript{2703} In this sense, this Office welcomes the approval of the new Electronic Telecommunications Law as it constitutes a first step in the consolidation of universal access to the Internet and freedom of expression in this space.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{2701} Searchlight. November 1, 2022. \textit{SVG passess bill to better respond to new telecoms issues}.
  \item \textsuperscript{2702} St Vincent Times. October 30, 2022. \textit{SVG new telecoms law is geared at improving customer service delivery}.
\end{itemize}
SAINT LUCIA

1226. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered a series of legal disputes over the closure of a radio station that did not have a license to operate, which, in turn, would be the medium of choice for the political opposition in the country. As in other countries in the region, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of a series of protests following the visit of members of the British monarchy. According to what this Office was able to learn, the public demonstrations were characterized by calls for an apology for the crimes committed during the period of colonization, requests for economic reparation and the possible removal of the British monarch as head of state. Over the course of the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of progress in terms of equity for people with disabilities, as well as for women in the country. Likewise, this Office learned that the commemoration around the day of emancipation was revitalized on the island and reportedly enriched the public debate through the celebration of festivals and talks about the history of slavery and the identity of Afro-descendant people in the country. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the efforts for digital literacy in the country, especially in educational centers.

A. Journalism and democracy

1227. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the invitation from the United States Embassy to writers from Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines to apply to the International Writing Program (IWP) at the University of Iowa. The program lasted 12 weeks between August and November 2022. This Office considers that this type of initiative is valuable for cultural exchange, professional development, and the full exercise of freedom of expression of Caribbean writers.

1228. In March 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the closure of the Sky FM radio station, until then available on the 93.1 FM frequency. According to the information received by this Office, the National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission - NTRC issued an order to suspend the station for not having a license to operate. This would be the second time that an order of this type has been issued against the radio station in question, since the first was issued in the second half of 2021. In the first communication from the NRTC, the outlet was reportedly informed that, if it ignored the orders, operators could face a fine and jail time.

1229. Public information would indicate that the radio network would be the one most frequented by the island’s political opposition, the United Workers Party. Therefore, this Office recorded accusations of reprisals against the opposition in the country. The Minister of tourism, investment, creative industries, culture, and information reportedly asserted that Sky FM was aware that it would not have a license to broadcast its programming and therefore would be operating “illegally.” Therold Prudent, an announcer for the station and a member of the UWP, reportedly claimed that the station did not have enough time to correct the situation. Additionally, Prudent would have ensured that Sky FM continued to broadcast through digital platforms.

1230. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the allocation of radio and television licenses must be guided by democratic criteria and pre-established, public, and transparent procedures, which serve as a brake on the possible arbitrariness of the State and guarantee conditions of equal opportunities for all persons and stakeholders. Likewise, this Office reiterates that the regulation on broadcasting should aspire to promote and expand the scope of the right to freedom of expression and not to restrict it. To this extent, the
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legal framework should ensure that the media could serve as a vehicle for the free, vigorous, open, plural, and diverse exercise of freedom of expression.\textsuperscript{2711}

**B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law, and democratic institutions**

1231. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a series of protests in April as part of the visit of the Earl and Countess of Wessex in anticipation of Queen Elizabeth II’s Platinum Jubilee.\textsuperscript{2712} The information received indicates that the protesters have demanded a public apology and the payment of reparations by the British monarchy for the transatlantic slave trade.\textsuperscript{2713} Additionally, members of the press have raised questions about the sources of financing for this visit, questioning its suitability.\textsuperscript{2714} Members of the British royal family reportedly faced similar protests and demands in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and Antigua and Barbuda, as well as Grenada, where they had to cancel their visit.

1232. In November 2021, Barbados removed Queen Elizabeth II as head of state by becoming a republic. Since then, and according to public information, other countries, including Jamaica and Antigua and Barbuda, have expressed interest in making a similar decision. Following the aforementioned demonstrations, the Prime Minister of Saint Lucia, Philip J. Pierre would have asserted that "it is the democratic right of the people to protest" and that his is a government that "believes in democracy and the rule of law."\textsuperscript{2715}

1233. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the protection and guarantee of the right to protest deserve special attention when it is used by underrepresented or marginalized sectors or groups that face institutional frameworks that do not favor their participation, or serious barriers to access to other forms of mass communication.\textsuperscript{2716} Likewise, this Office emphasizes that the protest as a form of participation in public affairs is especially relevant for groups of people historically discriminated against or in conditions of marginalization.\textsuperscript{2717}

**C. Freedom of expression and fight against discrimination and exclusion**

1234. This Office welcomed the call for a series of awareness sessions to address the findings of a study on the needs of people with disabilities and provide inputs to local governments to meet them.\textsuperscript{2718} The study in question was reportedly commissioned by the Caribbean Development Bank (CBD) to assess the situation of people with disabilities in Saint Lucia, Grenada, Jamaica, and Trinidad and Tobago.\textsuperscript{2719} The research was carried out by the University of the West Indies with resources from the Central Statistical Office, the collaboration of the National Council for Persons with Disabilities, and oversight by the Ministry of Equity, Social Justice, and Empowerment.

1235. As the authorities and civil society design public policies to improve the quality of life of persons with disabilities, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States should consider the promotion of assistive technologies for persons with disabilities in order to guarantee a distribution uniformity of the benefits of ICTs, and to reduce the digital divide and provide digital opportunities for all. In addition, States must adopt policies to promote and encourage those who provide services on the Internet to adopt accessible formats for people.

---


\textsuperscript{2712} Sky News. April 28, 2022. Earl and Countess of Wessex faced with protesters during St Lucia visit demanding slavery apology from the Queen; Caribbean Loop News. April 28, 2022. Chastanet: The time has come for St Lucia to become a republic.

\textsuperscript{2713} Sky News. April 28, 2022. Earl and Countess of Wessex faced with protesters during St Lucia visit demanding slavery apology from the Queen.

\textsuperscript{2714} Evening Standard. April 26, 2022. Earl and Countess of Wessex’s Caribbean tour blasted by St Lucia radio host; The Telegraph.

\textsuperscript{2715} Caribbean Loop News. April 28, 2022. Chastanet: The time has come for St Lucia to become a republic.


with disabilities. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that States should include regulations and incentives so that digital television can be inclusive and accessible to all people; new digital technologies facilitate the use of devices, services, and applications that make audiovisual content accessible to people with hearing and visual disabilities.

1236. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recorded the commemoration of Emancipation Day on the island on August 1, 2022. As this Office was informed, 2022 marked 184 years since the abolition of slavery in the British Empire, when people in 384 plantations on the island would have been released. The available public information indicates that the day was marked by a day of reflection by the State and civil society under the slogan of “Enkindling our Consciousness”. According to the reports received by this Office, Emancipation Day was revitalized in 2022 to turn the holiday into a national month of observation. Some of the activities held in August included festivals, concerts, art exhibits, history workshops, lectures, and a series of media talks.

1237. On August 23, 2022, this Office learned that the Saint Lucia National Reparations Committee (NRC) and the National Cultural Foundation (NCF) organized a panel titled “Transatlantic Slavery and Why it Must Forever be Remembered and Condemned”. The panel was reportedly broadcast on the National Television Network (NTN) and included the participation of historian, author, poet and researcher Dr. Morgan Dalphinis. The date commemorates the International Day for the Remembrance of the Slave Trade and its Abolition and the beginning of the Haitian revolution in 1791 that established the first Afro-descendant republic in January 1804.

1238. Likewise, on August 31, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that two civil society organizations had organized a day to commemorate the International Day for People of African Descent (IDPAD); these organizations would be the Saint Lucia National Reparations Committee (NRC) and the National Cultural Foundation (NCF). The NRC and NCF reportedly carried out an hour and a half live broadcast on the National Television Network (NTN). The United Nations designated the decade from 2015 to 2024 as the international decade for people of African descent, establishing August 31 as the day of commemoration in all Member States under the motto of “recognition, justice, and development”.

1239. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that, as indicated by the inter-American legal framework, States must focus particularly, as warranted by local circumstances, on combating historical discrimination, prejudices, and tendentious attitudes that impede the equal enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression by certain groups. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that the month of emancipation of 2022 has had a series of events around slavery and the identity of people of African descent to raise awareness and guarantee the right to freedom of expression.

1240. In August 2022, this Office learned that the Minister for Public Service, Home Affairs, Labour and Gender Affairs reportedly urged the CARICOM secretariat and regional governments to commit financial, material, and human resources to achieve gender equality in the development of the Caribbean. The minister reportedly made the statement at the opening of a national consultation on the CARICOM Draft Regional Gender Equality Strategy. The consultation reportedly included the participation of 42 people representing 32 governmental and non-governmental agencies of Saint Lucia.
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1241. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States are under the obligation to adopt positive measures aimed at guaranteeing the substantive equality of women in the exercise of freedom of expression and the transformation of institutions, systems, roles, and stereotypes that perpetuate their inequality and exclusion from public debate. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also stresses that the inclusion of women in communication, decision-making, and development processes is fundamental so that their needs, opinions, and interests are considered in the design of policies and decision-making.

1242. In September 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered with concern information indicating that a 13-year-old student was denied entry to an educational institution because his hair did not conform to the school rules. The child’s father reportedly asserted that the school’s actions would be unconstitutional and should be resolved by a court. For its part, the government of Saint Lucia reportedly expressed its commitment to revise the rules of the schools regarding the appearance and grooming of students. According to public information, the St Lucia Teachers’ Union (SLTU) claimed to be "disturbed" by the implications that a change in these regulations would have on school discipline, arguing that "if a student is allowed to break one rule, then inevitably the authority of all other rules will be compromised." 

1243. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that no discriminatory norm, act, or practice based on criteria suspected of discrimination, whether by state authorities or by individuals, can diminish or restrict, in any way, the rights of a person in the exercise of their academic freedom.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1244. On the occasion of the start of the new 2022-2023 school year in September, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Ministry of Education had provided 2,100 Chromebooks and 2,120 tablets to primary and secondary school students on the island. According to the information received by this Office, the devices would have Google Workspace for Education technology, allowing students to access Google classroom, Google Meet, Google docs, among other applications. The student endowment would be part of the Caribbean Digital Transformation Program (CARDTP).

1245. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have stated on previous occasions, it is essential to promote and reinforce the digital citizenship of children and adolescents, in order to guarantee their full and future integration in a society and in a world in which new technologies already play a central role. Without connectivity, children lose the ability to access an increasingly significant part of the public sphere. Likewise, this Office emphasizes that the authorities must promote educational measures aimed at promoting the ability of all people to make autonomous, independent, and responsible use of the Internet and digital technologies, which is known as digital literacy. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the provision of devices for students in the country and encourages the State to promote digital literacy within the framework of this effort for digital transformation on the island.

1246. On September 13, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the progress shared within the framework of the consultative forum of the Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority – ECTEL and the National Telecommunications Regulatory Commissions -NTRCs. Saint Lucia’s administrative officer...
reportedly said that efforts in the country would have focused on informing citizens about the commission's work through media platforms, educational programs, roadshows, and meetings with public opinion. With regard to the implementation of Universal Service Fund (USF) projects, the official asserted that internet connectivity, as well as computers, would be provided to the National Skills Development Center and the Boys Training Center.

1247. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, in accordance with the principle of universal access and the rights of equality and non-discrimination, regulatory mechanisms must be established, which include price regimes, universal service requirements, and license agreements, to promote broad access to Internet, including vulnerable sectors and the most remote rural areas\textsuperscript{2743}. Likewise, this Office reiterates that it is necessary to adopt regulations and public policies that promote the interoperability and interconnection of the Internet at a global level. In this way, the free flow of information of ideas and expressions is encouraged, avoiding the creation of territorial technological barriers or of any other nature that cause the fragmentation of the Internet at a national or regional level, and the consequent limitation of freedom of expression and access to information\textsuperscript{2744}.

1248. In late September 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of an online scam that would impersonate the Ministry of Equity, Social Justice, and Empowerment. Information circulating online would indicate that the ministry would be offering financial assistance to parents of students pursuing Technical and Vocational Education and Training - TVET to obtain the Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate\textsuperscript{2745}. The scam would be asking parents to share their personal information, such as their name, their cell phone, the name of their children and their date of birth, among others. According to the information received by the Office of the Special Rapporteur, the ministry would have issued a statement assuring that it would be a scam\textsuperscript{2746}.

1249. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that given the impact on the private life of individuals, States must establish personal data protection regimes that regulate their storage, processing, use, and transfer\textsuperscript{2747}. Therefore, this Office urges the State of Saint Lucia to take measures to prevent fraud and impersonation of public entities on the Internet and digital platforms.

\textsuperscript{2745} The Voice. October 1, 2022. \textit{Ministry of equity Cautions Over Online Scam}.
\textsuperscript{2746} The Voice. October 1, 2022. \textit{Ministry of equity Cautions Over Online Scam}
SURINAME

1250. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur observed with concern a significant deterioration in freedom of expression in Suriname due to physical and verbal attacks, as well as judicial harassment, that several journalists in the country were reportedly subjected to. This Office received multiple reports on the tensions between the Surinamese journalistic union and the state authorities, which resulted in a boycott of the media and alleged stigmatizing accusations. The deterioration of this fundamental right in the country has reportedly been confirmed by the 2022 Freedom of Expression Index, in which Suriname had fallen by 33 ranks since 2021. Likewise, during this year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the use of criminal contempt (desacato) laws, which would have led to the conviction of opponents of the current administration for allegedly defaming the head of state. Mid-year, this Office recorded massive demonstrations against the current government in the country’s capital, however there were only minor clashes with the police and dialogue between demonstrators and authorities prevailed. According to what the Office of the Special Rapporteur was able to learn, the government would have taken into consideration the demands and claims of the protesters. This Office also registered progress in diplomatic relations with the Netherlands and the possibility of an official apology for the role of the European country in slavery in Suriname. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of Suriname’s dissatisfaction over a Netflix series that would promote a negative image of the country.

A. Journalism and democracy

1251. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received with concern reports that the life of journalist Jason Pinas, of the newspaper De Ware Tijd, would be in danger after an altercation with the bodyguards of Vice President Ronnie Brunswijk at the end of 2021. On December 14, 2021, this Office learned that Pinas was reportedly knocked down by the official’s security team when the journalist tried to photograph him while he was leaving the national parliament. Also, his cell phone would have been confiscated at the scene. After being transferred to a medical center, Pinas claimed to have pain in his neck, head, and arm. Likewise, the journalist reportedly assured the Dutch media that he would not dare to go out for fear of his safety.

1252. The Public Prosecution Office reportedly identified three of the bodyguards involved in the altercation with the journalist. On January 11, 2022, the three suspects reportedly appeared before the Sub district Court where they allegedly faced charges of public violence, assault, destruction, and robbery, offenses under the country’s criminal code. The journalist reportedly gave his testimony about the events that same day. The case was postponed to March 8 and then to May 10. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that on July 12, 2022, the three indicted bodyguards were sentenced to three months in prison with probation for three years. The journalist also reportedly received compensation equivalent to 28,000 Surinamese dollars and a formal apology from his attackers.

1253. In the first week of 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that a vehicle with two sticky grenades had reportedly been found in front of the house of journalist Pinas. Regarding this, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Public Prosecution Office had opened investigations to clarify

---

2750 Rechter straft beveiligers Surinaamse vicepresident voor mishandeling journalist.
the facts. As a result of this alleged attack, this Office learned that the national government had provided the journalist with a security device to protect his integrity and that of his family.

1254. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that acts of violence against journalists have a triple effect: (1) they violate the right of victims to express and disseminate their ideas, opinions, and information; (2) they have a chilling and silencing effect on their peers; and (3) violate the rights of individuals and societies in general to seek and receive information and ideas of any kind. Due to the foregoing, the consequences for democracy, which depends on a free, open, and dynamic exchange of ideas and information, are particularly serious faced with these events.

1255. Likewise, this Office recalls that the obligation to criminally investigate, prosecute, and punish requires States to investigate violence against journalists without delay and using all available legal means, in order to clarify the truth and ensure that aggressors are identified, prosecuted, and punished. In this regard, States must adopt an adequate institutional framework that establishes entities with sufficient independence and capacity to investigate, prosecute, and punish violence against journalists, including, when necessary, specialized investigation units or specialized courts.

1256. Finally, the Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that the obligation to prevent requires that States adopt a public discourse that contributes to preventing violence against journalists, instruct their security forces to respect the surveillance role played by the media, respect the right of journalists to reserve their sources of information, notes and personal and professional files, and sanction and maintain accurate statistics on this violence against journalists, among other things.

1257. This Office learned that the attack by members of the security team of Vice President Ronnie Brunswijk against Jason Pinas allegedly resulted in a media boycott of the official. According to the information received by this Office, several journalists in the country have promised to avoid covering all the acts and pronouncements of the vice president as a form of protest for his treatment of the union, which would include the attack against Pinas but also alleged stigmatizing statements in the past. The boycott reportedly ended on February 16, 2022, the date on which the Suriname journalists’ association stated that it would meet with the government and that its protest would have fulfilled its mission of sending a sign against violence and the stigmatization of the press in the country.

1258. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, as stipulated in the inter-American legal framework, public officials have the duty to ensure that with their statements they are not harming the rights of those who contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts, such as journalists and the media. This Office also emphasizes that political and public figures must be more exposed and not less exposed to public scrutiny and criticism. The need for an open and broad debate, which is crucial for a democratic society, must necessarily encompass the people who participate in the formulation
or implementation of public policy. Since these people are at the center of public debate and knowingly expose themselves to public scrutiny, they must show greater tolerance for criticism. 

1259. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that journalist Mones Nazarali had allegedly been arrested by the Surinamese police in early May 2022. According to the information received by this Office, Nazarali was reportedly brought before a prosecutor where he was accused of various crimes, including defamation, slander, disturbance of public order, and insulting the police; these crimes would lead to prison sentences according to the criminal code. This Office learned that the charges reportedly stemmed from a complaint filed by two police officers, including a regional commander, following an unfavorable report broadcast in Actionnieuws Suriname in which Nazarali allegedly accused the police of corruption and incompetence. The Committee to Protect Journalists (CPJ) reportedly attempted to communicate with the police, but their messages were blocked by this entity. On May 5, 2022, two days after his arrest, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the prosecutor’s decision to dismiss the case against the journalist, for which Nazarali was reportedly released.

1260. The Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights that it is legitimate for politicians and public officials to criticize, correct, or object to specific reports in the media. However, when they do so, they should always be careful to ensure that their comments are accurate, avoid stigmatizing and discrediting the media, and do not threaten journalists or undermine respect for media independence. In this regard, this Office recalls that media workers who investigate cases of corruption or wrongdoing should not be the target of judicial harassment or any other type of harassment in retaliation for their work.

1261. This Office recalls that Article 13.2 of the American Convention requires compliance with the following three basic conditions for a limitation to the right to freedom of expression to be admissible: (1) the limitation must have been precisely and clearly defined through a formal and substantive law, (2) the limitation must be aimed at achieving imperative objectives authorized by the American Convention, and (3) the limitation must be necessary in a democratic society to achieve the imperative objective sought; strictly proportionate to the purpose pursued; and suitable to achieve the imperative objective that it intends to achieve. It is up to the authority that imposes the limitations to demonstrate that these conditions have been met. On the other hand, all the stated conditions must be met simultaneously for the limitations imposed to be legitimate under the American Convention.

1262. In the framework of the world day for freedom of the press, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Surinamese journalists association [Surinaamse Vereniging van Journalisten(SVJ)] had expressed in a press release its concern about "the great pressure that freedom of the press has faced in Suriname in recent months." In its statement, the SVJ also reportedly rejected a recent call in parliament to impose sanctions against the media and their journalists. Additionally, the association would have warned of the surge in people without journalistic training who would have great visibility on digital platforms and could breach the ethical codes of the profession. Finally, the SVJ reiterated its call for a bilateral dialogue between journalists and the government that serves "the interests of society."

1263. In May, this Office noted with concern Suriname’s precipitous decline in the 2022 World Press Freedom Index, published by Reporters Without Borders (RSF). According to public information, the country
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dropped 33 positions from the 2021 ranking, ranking 52nd for this year\textsuperscript{2772}. In the past, Suriname had fluctuated between places 19, 20 and 21\textsuperscript{2773}.

1264. Following the attack on journalist Jason Pinas and the media boycott against Vice President Ronnie Brunswijk, the Surinamese journalists association ([Surinaamse Vereniging van Journalisten(SVJ)]) and members of the executive reportedly sought to meet to improve their relationship. At the end of April 2022, the association reportedly published a statement regretting that the dialogue had not been able to start until that moment\textsuperscript{2774}. In that communication, the union once again urged the government to start a dialogue on freedom of the press in Suriname. On June 20, 2022, this Office learned that the association had met in person in Paramaribo with President Chandrikapersad Santokhi, who in turn was accompanied by Vice President Ronnie Brunswijk and Ministers Albert Ramdin and David Abiamofo\textsuperscript{2775}.

1265. According to subsequent statements, both parties reportedly agreed to improve their troubled relationship\textsuperscript{2776}. The president, on behalf of the executive branch, reportedly promised to maintain better communication with the media and to address the reasons why the country would have dropped in the World Index of Freedom of Expression 2022. The vice president reportedly referred to the boycott that he was subjected by the press and reportedly promised to communicate normally with journalists and ensure that they are forwarded to the corresponding ministers when they request specific information. The government also reportedly expressed its desire for the Suriname Journalists Association ([Surinaamse Vereniging van Journalisten (SVJ)]) to work on the professionalization and accreditation of journalists in the country, which would have been endorsed by the SVJ. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the efforts of both parties to settle their differences in favor of freedom of expression, access to information, and the strengthening of democracy in the country. In this sense, this Office encourages the Surinamese authorities and journalists to maintain a cordial, open, and constant dialogue.

1266. In its communication with this Office, the State of Suriname stated that it "recognizes and respects the right to freedom and freedom of the press," ensuring that the right to express ideas and feelings is guaranteed in article 19 of its constitution, as well as in article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, a treaty ratified by Suriname since December 28, 1976\textsuperscript{2777}. Therefore, the State assured that it has national and international obligations to guarantee compliance with both rights\textsuperscript{2778}. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur urges the State to act in accordance with the obligations that it indicates to know and share.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1267. Following the charges against journalist Mones Nazarali, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that in Suriname colonial muzzle laws still prevail in Suriname, which would be used to intimidate members of the press, activists, and citizens critical of the government in power. According to the information received by this Office, these legal procedures were created to protect governments, authorities, and authority figures from public scrutiny\textsuperscript{2779}.

1268. In April, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that two legislators from Suriname had filed a motion to declare null and void certain sections of the criminal code that would violate freedom of
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\textsuperscript{2779} La Vanguardia. May 5, 2022. \textit{Exigen liberación de periodista surinamés que denunció corrupción policial}.
expression. The initiative was reportedly presented after the arrest of at least three people, among them the political activist Siberano Pique, for comments that would be considered insulting. The available public information indicate that Pique reportedly published a video in March 2022 in which he allegedly insulted the president and the first lady. Article 152 of Suriname's criminal code, in force since colonial times in 1910, punishes the intentional insult of the head of state, under which several people have been accused for criticizing the president.

1269. This Office allows itself to clarify that contempt (desacato) laws are a class of legislation that criminalizes the expression that offends, insults, or threatens a public official in the performance of his official duties. Likewise, this Office stresses that expressions, information, ideas, and opinions about public officials in the exercise of their duties and about candidates for public office also enjoy a special level of protection under the American Convention. In this sense, in cases of conflict between the right to honor of public officials and the right to freedom of expression, the exercise of weighting must start from the prevalence in principle (or prima facie prevalence) of freedom of expression given the interest in the debate on public affairs, this right acquires a higher weighted value.

1270. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the application of the criminal offense of contempt (desacato) to those who disseminate expressions critical of public officials is, in itself, contrary to the American Convention, since it constitutes an application of subsequent liabilities for the exercise of freedom of expression that are unnecessary in a democratic society, and disproportionate due to their serious effects on the issuer and on the free flow of information in society. Contempt (desacato) laws are a means to silence unpopular ideas and opinions and deter criticism by creating fear of prosecution, criminal sanctions, and monetary sanctions. The legislation on contempt (desacato) is disproportionate due to the sanctions it establishes in the face of criticism of the functioning of state institutions and their members, for which reason it suppresses the essential debate for the functioning of a democratic system, unnecessarily restricting freedom of expression.

1271. In July 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a series of demonstrations against the government of Chandrikapersad Santokhi. Public information indicates that hundreds of took to the streets to protest alleged corruption scandals, instances of nepotism, and the implementation of economic austerity measures, among other things. This Office learned that the demonstrators were reportedly controlled by agents of the police and the riot unit when they tried to enter the premises of the executive branch. With the prolongation of the protests, the police would have taken preventive measures and would have reiterated that the alleged instances of vandalism, blockade of roads, incitement and alteration of public order would be sanctioned by law. The police reportedly rejected an alleged attempt by some protesters to throw Molotov cocktails at a government building. The information received would suggest that trade and traffic have been
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affected by the protests against the Santokhi administration, so the national army reportedly took precautions to support the police forces2791.

1272. The nation’s president reportedly invited members of the Organic Movement to form a delegation to meet and engage in dialogue2792. The demonstrators allegedly refused to speak with the president in a closed and private environment, for which they would have requested the presence of the media2793.

1273. On July 21, the Surinamese police reportedly repressed at least three protesters from the so-called Organic Movement, who camped out in front of the National Assembly building. According to the authorities, this type of activity would only be allowed with their prior permission. The police reportedly tore down the tents of these campers after they refused to leave the area2794.

1274. The Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the Organic Movement protests would have lasted until at least August 13, 2022, when more than 400 people, including politicians and trade unionists, gathered in Paramaribo and, especially, in the surroundings of the Independence Square2795. Days before, on August 3, members of the movement had asked the government to reinstate two police officers who had been suspended for participating in the anti-government demonstrations2796.

1275. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have expressed on multiple occasions, protests are usually an important means of action and the pursuit of legitimate objectives by organizations and groups, and as such may also be protected by the right to freedom of association. Among the lawful and legitimate purposes of freedom of association are public demonstrations and social protests2797. Additionally, when the social protest is framed within the speeches that criticize or denounce public officials and authorities or refer to matters of public interest, they are especially protected by Article 13 of the American Convention2798.

1276. Due to the foregoing, this Office reiterates that the exercise of the right of assembly through social protest should not be subject to authorization by the authorities or to excessive requirements that make it difficult to carry it out. The legal requirements that establish a basis for a meeting or demonstration to be prohibited or limited, for example, through the requirement of prior permits, are not compatible with the right of assembly or with the exercise of freedom of expression in the Inter-American System2799.

1277. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that States have the duty to adopt the necessary measures to prevent acts of violence and guarantee the safety of people and public order. However, when using force in these contexts, States must adopt measures proportional to the achievement of these objectives and not arbitrarily hinder the exercise of the rights at stake in the protests2800. In this sense, when the authorities take, legally and legitimately, the decision to disperse a protest, the order to disperse must be clearly communicated and explained, allowing the protesters to understand and comply, offering them time enough to disperse without recourse to police force2801.

Finally, this Office emphasizes that, in general, the authorities must favor dialogue and negotiation in the management of any form of protest, and not resort to force during demonstrations, occupations, or protests, except when absolutely necessary. Therefore, in no case should force be used indiscriminately in the context of protests.2802

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

At the end of July 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the 10th edition of the Panamazonic Social Forum (FOSPA) in Brazil. According to the information received by this Office, the forum would have had the participation of indigenous Surinamese.2803 This Office learned that the environmental activist Erlan Seur met with the Minister of Territorial Planning and the Environment [Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieu (ROM)] to present him with the joint declaration of stakeholders and indigenous people of the Amazon region, signed in the framework of FOSPA 2022.2804 Available information would indicate that the declaration asks the national government to take urgent measures to counter threats to indigenous territories and protect the environment in Suriname. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that activists and indigenous people have the possibility of holding meetings and encounters with public officials to exercise their right to freedom of expression, association, and calls to action.

In mid-August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a meeting between the national reparation commission of Suriname [Nationale Reparatie Commissie] and a nine-member delegation from the Dutch House of Representatives. The president of the commission, like other political representatives, reportedly assured that the State of Suriname should adopt a position on the past of slavery, the recognition, the apologies, and the reparations to which the Netherlands should be subject.2805 This Office was informed that, at the end of their visit, the Dutch parliamentarians concluded that an apology to the Surinamese people would be an "essential beginning for recognition and reparation."2806 Therefore, a majority of the chamber of the Netherlands reportedly issued a statement for the first time in favor of apologizing for Dutch slavery. Members of the committee that visited Suriname have also reportedly advised the prime minister’s cabinet to increase efforts to provide education about the Dutch role in the transatlantic slave trade and the country's colonial past.2807

This Office learned that, in September, the Prime Minister of the Netherlands, Mark Rutte, paid a visit to Suriname. In a speech before the parliament of this country, the president said he did not want to ignore the past, assuring that 2023, during the 150th anniversary of the abolition of slavery in the Netherlands, there could be a possible recognition.2808 The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the rapprochement between Suriname and the Netherlands in order to reject the slavery past.

At the end of October 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomed the policy launched by the government of Suriname against bullying. The initiative was reportedly launched after a 15-year-old student was assaulted because of their sexual orientation, as would have been recorded on a video.2809 This Office welcomes the fact that the country’s Ministry of Education, Science and Culture has reiterated the right of all people to security and protection. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that it is of particular

2806 Kamerleden wagen zich nog niet aan uitspraken over excuses voor de slavernij; NRC. August 23, 2022. Na de ‘slavernijreis’ begin de puzel voor de voorstanders van excuses.
importance that States adopt positive actions to guarantee the exercise of the right to freedom of expression of groups historically excluded from public debate, such as LGBT+ persons.\textsuperscript{2810}

1283. Likewise, this Office recalls that the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of expression must be combined with efforts to combat intolerance, discrimination, hate speech, and incitement to violence, particularly with the promotion of proactive public policies to social inclusion in the media and to ensure that LGBTI individuals and communities can exercise their right to freedom of expression without discrimination. All these efforts must strictly conform in a general way to international human rights law, and, in particular, to the standards on freedom of expression\textsuperscript{2811}.

D. Freedom of expression and the internet

1284. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recorded a series of tensions between the States of Suriname and South Korea over a Netflix series that would revolve around a South Korean drug lord in Suriname\textsuperscript{2812}. The information received by this Office indicates that the Surinamese government has complained about the publication of this series since it could reinforce a negative image of the country. For its part, South Korea would have issued a security warning for its citizens in Suriname due to the growing tensions between the two countries. This Office learned that Suriname's Ministry of Foreign Affairs claimed to be considering the possibility of taking legal action against the producers of the series\textsuperscript{2813}.

1285. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, in principle, all forms of speech are protected by the right to freedom of expression, regardless of their content and the greater or lesser social and state acceptance they enjoy. This general presumption of coverage of all expressive speech is explained by the State's primary obligation of neutrality with respect to content and, as a consequence, by the need to guarantee that, in principle, there are no persons, groups, ideas or means of expression excluded from prior to public debate\textsuperscript{2814}. In this sense, the duty not to interfere with the right of access to information of all kinds, extends to the circulation of information, ideas, and expressions that may or may not have the personal approval of those who represent the state authority at any given time\textsuperscript{2815}.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

1286. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the concerns expressed by journalist associations in the country about legislation that could negatively affect freedom of expression on the internet, whistleblower protections, and privacy, among others. This Office registered lawsuits by elected officials and public figures for alleged instances of defamation. The Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the financial crisis that the public media are going through, resulting in the possible dismissal of hundreds of workers. Likewise, this Office took note of the possible interference of public officials in the operation of the media, their journalistic standards, and the coverage of news of interest to society. In terms of progress, the Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that the national authorities have withdrawn their appeal to a judicial ruling that would have determined that the raid of a communication medium in the first half of 2020 would have been unconstitutional. This Office also welcomes the celebration of the 53rd General Assembly of the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU) and all the events that were part of it to strengthen journalism in the region. This year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur registered multiple protests and public demonstrations over the mandatory vaccination against COVID-19, inflation, the salary of public employees, and alleged instances of police violence against young people; these protests would have resulted in strong tensions between demonstrators and police forces. Finally, this Office learned of the start of a frenzied public debate on the use of communications interceptions in the country by state authorities.

A. Journalism and democracy

1287. Within the framework of the world day for press freedom, the Office of the Special Rapporteur took note of the concerns expressed by the Media Association of Trinidad & Tobago through a press release. Therefore, this Office was made aware of instances of national legislation that, according to the association, could have a "chilling effect" on press freedom in the country. The 2017 Cybercrime Bill, the Whistleblower Protection Act, the 2011 Data Protection Act, the Broadcast Code, and the 2021 Sexual Offenses Bill (Amendment 3) are within the laws that would cause concern to the journalistic union. This Office learned that the association remains attentive to acts and omissions that could endanger the "free and fair practice" of journalism.

1288. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that the minister of youth development and national service had sued the newspaper Trinidad Express for allegedly defaming his good name. On May 6, 2022, this Office learned that the outlet had published a report alleging that the minister had participated in illegal activities. As this Office was able to learn, the editor-in-chief of the outlet, Omatie Lyder, and the journalist Anna Ramdass, would be among the people sued by the public official. The information received indicates that the minister is seeking compensation for defamation, an apology from the newspaper for "breach of trust" and "misuse of private information," as well as the removal of online articles and Facebook posts on the topic.

1289. This Office recalls that political and public figures must be more exposed – and not less exposed – to public scrutiny and criticism. The need for an open and broad debate, which is crucial for a democratic society, must necessarily encompass the people who participate in the formulation or implementation of public policy. Since these people are at the center of public debate and knowingly expose themselves to public scrutiny, they must show greater tolerance for criticism.

1290. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the public telecommunications services company of Trinidad and Tobago, Telecommunications Services of Trinidad and Tobago, had announced the dismissal of nearly 500 employees at the end of May. In response, the Communication Workers Union (CWU) reportedly

---

said it would challenge the company’s decision, which would entail legal decisions as well as public protests. As this Office was able to learn, the dismissal took place within the framework of a restructuring due to the financial crisis that the company is going through as a result of COVID-19 and technological changes in the country. Likewise, and according to public information, the company would face minimal growth margins due to the increase in Internet traffic and the drop in the price per gigabyte.

1291. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the mandate of public media must be clearly established by law and must include, among other things, contributing to diversity, which must go beyond offering different types of programming, giving voice to and meeting the needs of information and interests of all sectors of society. Likewise, innovative mechanisms should be explored for the financing of public media, in such a way that it is sufficient to allow them to fulfill their mandate of public service, that it is guaranteed in advance for periods of several years and that it is adjusted according to inflation.

1292. This Office reiterates that the State must ensure that these media have sufficient and stable public funds. Public financing suitable to the mandate established by law is a guarantee against arbitrary interference by public and private sectors. In this sense, although other forms of complementary financing can be foreseen, they cannot condition its public service mission by determining its contents. For the rest, the public media must have a stable budget and financial autonomy that prevents arbitrary interference from the government sector as well.

1293. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the new institutional alliance between the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU) and the University of the West Indies so that members of the journalistic union can access online Master’s degree in Media Management free of charge and for the sake of improving their skills. The information received would indicate that there were eleven people who initially benefited from these courses; these people work in six CBU partner organizations and come from Anguilla, Barbados, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago. The Office of the Special Rapporteur considers as valuable the collaboration between institutions, the media, and journalistic associations to offer opportunities that strengthen journalism in the region.

1294. The Office of the Special Rapporteur congratulates the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU) for having held its 53rd General Assembly in Tobago between August 15 and 17, 2022, the first face-to-face edition since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. This Office salutes the collective effort of the journalistic union in the Caribbean to meet and exchange experiences and perspectives on their work, including shared challenges and opportunities. In particular, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights the holding of a panel on media literacy, the discussions around disinformation in the region, the conversations on cross-border collaboration and the Caribbean Media Awards (CMA) that highlighted the best productions on radio and television.

1295. Within the framework of the 53rd edition of the General Assembly of the Caribbean Broadcasting Union (CBU), the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the criticisms against the media that Prime Minister Keith Rowley would have expressed. According to public information, the president pointed out what he considers to be the lack of verification of information and context by journalists. Likewise, the head of state would have urged the union to review the content and structure of the information they disseminate.
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Like-wise, the prime minister would have expressed his concern about "cultural imperialism" on radio stations since they were replacing national and regional music production with "American pop". The head of state would also have called for journalism in the Caribbean to preserve the region’s identity and focus on development.

1296. In addition, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of the call made by the Attorney General of Trinidad and Tobago against the media as a result of their coverage of the Firearm User’s Licence (FUL) Audit Report in September 2022. According to the information received, some media reported the postponement of the presentation of the report to parliament, arguing that it had been "shot down." The prosecutor would have argued that the report had not been shot down and that it would be going through "due process," for which he urged the press to avoid "sensational headlines" and to present "fair and balanced" information on the matter.

1297. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that public officials must be careful to ensure that their comments are accurate, avoid stigmatizing and discrediting the media by resorting to labels that refer to so-called "fake news" or other qualifiers that discredit and not to threaten journalists or undermine respect for the independence of the media.

1298. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also recalls that the illegitimate interference or direct or indirect pressure from governments regarding any expression or information disseminated through any means of oral, written, artistic, visual, or electronic communication, to influence its content for political reasons, should be prohibited by law. This unlawful interference includes politically motivated actions against independent journalists and media, and the blocking of websites and Internet domains for political reasons. In particular, it is unacceptable for public officials to suggest unlawful acts of retaliation against those who have disseminated classified information.

1299. In August 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that the State withdrew its appeal against a court ruling that declared that the police raid of a media outlet in March 2020 was illegal and unconstitutional. At the time of the raid, the authorities reportedly sought information on who provided information to investigative journalist Denyse Renne about an investigation being carried out against the deputy commissioner of police. The outlet would have published a report on the deputy commissioner the day before, pointing out that he had carried out alleged irregular banking transactions between 2014 and 2017. During the raid, police officers reportedly confiscated four USB flash drives. The outlet sued the offices of the attorney general, the superintendent of the financial investigations branch of the police, and the police commissioner. The newspaper’s legal defense argued that the State had not presented evidence that the newspaper committed any illegal act and that the police had not investigated the alleged internal sources that would have revealed the information.

1300. This Office recalls that, as established in the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, every social communicator has the right to keep their source of information, notes, personal and professional archives confidential. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the principle of confidentiality of sources establishes the right of all social communicators to refuse to reveal the sources of...
information as well as the product of their investigations to private entities, third parties, public, or judicial authorities. Professional secrecy is considered to be the right of the social communicator not to reveal information and documentation that they have received in confidence or as part of their research work. It is worth noting that this right is not constituted as a duty, since the social communicator is not obliged to keep the secret of their sources of information, but for reasons of professionalism and professional ethics.

1301. On September 21, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that the Minister of Health had put an end to the weekly press conferences on the evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic in Trinidad and Tobago. According to public information, the minister argued that the decision was due to favorable figures after two and a half years. If there is any important development, the minister assured that he would summon the media again.

1302. This Office recalls that access to information, including information on public health issues, is a citizen's right. As a result, the process for accessing information should be simple, fast, and free or low cost. Likewise, the right of access to public information imposes on the State the obligation to proactively disclose information on its functions, activities, and management of public resources on a routine basis, even when there is no request for access to public information, guaranteeing that the information be accessible, understandable, and up-to-date.

1303. The Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating an increase in levels of self-censorship in the country. In September, this Office learned that several citizens in Dow Village, in the Couva–Tabaquite–Tabaquito region, had refused to speak to the national media out of fear for their safety. The press would have been present at the scene after Phillip Boodram, a drug trafficker, had died on September 10, 2022 in a confrontation with the police. According to public information, another six people died in clashes with the police that weekend.

1304. This Office clarifies that silenced zones have certain characteristics or common factors: the presence of organized criminal structures; high rates of administrative corruption that facilitates the cooptation of state institutions; the absence of an effective response from the authorities in charge of prevention, protection, and prosecution; or the lack of support or accompaniment for journalists, exposing them to greater risk. All of these factors inhibit journalists from the affected areas from carrying out their work, limit freedom of expression, and have a chilling effect on the free flow of information, generate self-censorship and reinforce the tendency to carry out journalism that avoids reporting on issues of security, corruption, or influence peddling and to develop journalism aligned with power.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1305. In 2022, this Office received multiple reports on social protests, some of which were reportedly dispersed by police forces. On January 13, 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that dozens of people had gathered in Queen's Park Savannah, in Port of Spain, to protest against vaccination by COVID-19. According to the information received, officers attached to the guard and emergency branch responded and ordered the protesters to disperse. The laws of Trinidad and Tobago require that all demonstrations be notified with advance notice to the police commissioner; the police commissioner reportedly received notification of this protest, although he said that notification would not be sufficient as it must be approved.
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1306. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the holding of demonstrations and protests should not be subject to prior authorization by the authorities. When the law requires prior notification, it must be simple, accessible, non-discriminatory, and not onerous; In the event that a restriction is established, it must be founded in writing and a timely and expeditious appeal must be available before an independent tribunal. Similarly, this Office reiterates that, when the authorities take, legally and legitimately, the decision to disperse a protest, the order to disperse must be clearly communicated and explained, which allows the protesters to understand and comply, offering them enough time to disperse without resorting to police force.

1307. In April, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that sectors of civil society and the opposition had mobilized in El Socorro, Barataria, St Joseph, St Augustine, Tunapuna, La Horquetta, Sangre Grande, and Valencia, among others, to protest the increase in the price of fuel. Although the protests would have been peaceful, traffic disruptions were reported.

1308. In May, hundreds of public employees from various union organizations took to the streets to protest a raise equivalent to two percent over a period of eight years. Despite other rounds of negotiations, the unions also reportedly moved to reject a four percent increase in August. The police would have been present throughout the demonstrations but would not have repressed them. The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the fact that the police forces have guaranteed the right to protest and trade union association.

1309. In the same month of May, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed of a sit-in at the immigration office in the city of San Fernando by Venezuelan citizens as a result of possible deportation orders. Venezuelan citizens have demanded that international human rights agencies investigate the violations to which they are subjected. Some lawyers and members of the humanitarian sector have argued that Venezuelans, even those with asylum claims, are being forcibly repatriated.

1310. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the protection and guarantee of the right to protest deserve special attention when it is used by underrepresented or marginalized sectors or groups that face institutional frameworks that do not favor their participation, or serious barriers to access to other forms of mass communication. Likewise, this Office reiterates that the protest as a form of participation in public affairs is especially relevant for groups of people historically discriminated against or marginalized.

1311. In July, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating that the residents of Beetham Gardens and Sea Lots had set tires on fire and blocked the highway for the alleged murder of three young people in a confrontation with the police in Port of Spain. These three people, 17-year-old Isaiah Roberts, 21-year-old Leonardo Brandon Williams, and 22-year-old Fabian Richards, were reportedly shot by police in the early
hours of July 2.2859 Malakai Glenn, 16, was reportedly injured.2860 The police version is that several agents would have chased the three young people in a vehicle, who would have opened fire first; the residents assured that the youths would not have weapons and were returning from a party. The protests following the alleged wrongful murder of these youths would have resulted in the initial arrest of at least eight people for blocking the roads.2861 Nearly 200 police officers were deployed to guarantee the free flow of vehicles. Also, firefighters were present to clear the debris on the highway.2862 The authorities reportedly urged the residents of Beetham Gardes and Sea Lots to stop blocking the roads, acknowledging that they have the right to protest but should not commit crimes. If they continue to block the roads, the authorities stated that they would proceed to make arrests. The acting police commissioner, McDonald Jacob, reportedly assured that police intelligence indicated that the protests were provoked by an “external force”.2863

1312. In view of alleged questions about the circumstances in which these three young people died, the police have promised to investigate the officers involved in the shooting.2864 The officers were reportedly released on July 6 pending further investigation.2865

1313. The Office of the Special Rapporteur states that the participation of societies through social demonstrations is important for the consolidation of the democratic life of societies and that, in general, as an exercise of freedom of expression, it has an imperative social interest, which leaves the State an even tighter framework to justify a limitation to this form of exercise of freedom of expression.2866

1314. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that any impact on public order invoked as a justification for limiting freedom of expression must be due to real and objectively verifiable causes that pose a certain and credible threat of a potentially serious disturbance of the basic conditions for the functioning of democratic institutions.2867

1315. This Office reiterates that States must stop applying criminal offenses that convert behaviors commonly observed in protests into criminal acts, such as roadblocks or acts of disorder that, in themselves, do not affect goods such as life, the security or liberty of persons, since in the context of protests they constitute forms of exercise of the rights of freedom of expression, assembly, and free association.2868 Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that in the contexts of social protest, States must refrain from engaging in practices of massive, collective, or indiscriminate arrests.2869

1316. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that States are obligated to guarantee and facilitate the exercise of human rights that are put at stake during demonstrations and protests and implement measures and mechanisms so that these can be exercised in practice, not as a way of hindering them.2870 In this sense, this Office emphasizes that potentially lethal force cannot be used merely to maintain or restore public order or to protect legal assets less valuable than life, such as property. Only the protection of life and physical integrity in the face of imminent threats can be a legitimate objective to use such force.2871

1317. In July, this Office was also informed that the national security minister had condemned the protests over the death of Meshach Gibson in the midst of a confrontation with the police. The circumstances in which Gibson would have died would have resulted in several protests along North Coast Road. Those close to Gibson argue that he was killed by police, while authorities maintain that the man shot them first and they fired back. The minister reportedly asserted that the demonstrators should not interrupt traffic since citizens have other ways of sharing their nonconformity. Likewise, he explained that the conduct of the police would be subject to investigation and that civil cases against the institution prospered, so there would be no reason to protest.

1318. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the general principle of non-discrimination applies especially to demonstrations and protests. Indeed, States cannot limit social protest based on the prejudices and intolerance that governments or societies have towards a person or group. Neither can they establish restrictions with discriminatory effects due to the type of claim, content, or demand that the participants of the demonstrations try to defend.

1319. Likewise, this Office recalls that the authorities must facilitate the holding of meetings, social protests, or public demonstrations, guaranteeing that they can be carried out, seen and heard by the target public in the space chosen by the conveners, so that the message that the organizers and participants want to spread can be heard. Therefore, as a general rule, the right to demonstrate and protest includes the right to choose the time, place, and manner of doing so.

1320. In the month of August, the Office of the Special Rapporteur also learned of a series of demonstrations for the six-month ban on the export of scrap iron industry. Protesters reportedly blocked highways with rubble, so police and firefighters would have had to remove it. The senior superintendent of the traffic branch reportedly ensured that anyone in the presence of an officer obstructing traffic would be arrested. The Ministry of Works and Transport reportedly criticized the deterioration of the road as a result of the protests, calling the protesters “irresponsible and unpatriotic”.

1321. This Office recalls that political authorities must refrain from expressing notions that are detrimental or stigmatizing a protest or the people who participate in it or organize it, since it can put certain sectors of the population in a situation of greater vulnerability and risk of new attacks.

1322. Since the beginning of October, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that dozens of workers from the Water and Sewerage Authority (WASA) had mobilized to protest the possible dismissal of nearly 600 workers as a result of a possible restructuring. Likewise, this Office learned that the residents of Palo Seco had blocked a highway for the second consecutive day with rubble and burning tires in protest against the increase in unemployment, deficient road infrastructure, crime, and the cost of living. Police and firefighters were reportedly deployed to clear the rubble and reopen the roads. The demonstrators would have assured the presence of an officer obstructing traffic would be arrested.
that they would continue protesting until the aforementioned problems were resolved. Regarding the state of the road infrastructure, there were also protests and blockades in other locations in June and September.

1323. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have expressed, public demonstrations are considered an exercise of freedom of expression, since the expression of opinions, dissemination of information, and articulation of demands constitute central objectives of the protests.

1324. In mid-January 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that the legal team of a parliamentarian had sent a Pre-Action Protocol Letter to social media blogger Rhoda Bharath asking her to delete and apologize for a post on Newsauce alleging that the elected official would play a role in obtaining fake immunization cards for her constituency staff.

1325. This Office recalls that public officials and those who aspire to be, in a democratic society, have a different threshold of protection, which exposes them to a greater degree to public scrutiny and criticism, which is justified by the nature of interest.

1326. In March 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was informed that a court in Trinidad and Tobago had ruled in favor of cricketer Dinanath Ramnarine for having been apparently libeled on Facebook by Rafi Ali, a businessman and administrator of the same sport. The judge would have reasoned in her ruling that the monetary compensation to which the player would be subject should serve as a warning to any citizen using social networks to defame and attack the reputation of other people. The judge also reportedly found that smears on Facebook are “invasive” and leave “inescapable stigmas,” even when the alleged smears cease to be topics of online conversation. The judge would have indicated that public figures could benefit financially by defaming other personalities through digital platforms.

1327. The Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that the protection of honor and reputation, when its affected through the use of the Internet is alleged, must generally respond to weighting criteria similar to those used in other areas of communication. In this sense, when it comes to information that has circulated through any of the multiple forms of Internet use, in order to define whether damage has occurred that must be repaired, it is essential to take into account the circumstances of the specific case, without appealing to presumptions that cannot be technically supported and that make unjustified distinctions based exclusively on the nature of the medium used to disseminate a certain expression. The Office of the Special Rapporteur affirms that a law that penalizes, specifically, crimes against honor online and imposes more rigorous penalties than for those perpetrated in the offline world would not be acceptable; this would mean a disproportionate restriction for expression on the Internet, under a paradigm that considers this medium more risky than others. These types of measures would have the effect of restricting and limiting the Internet as a space for the free exchange of ideas, information, and opinions. Finally, when establishing the remedy to be used, it is

---

2883 Twitter account of Trinidad and Tobago Express Newspapers (@expressupdates). June 21, 2022; TV6 TNT. September 10, 2022. Lopinot Residents Cry No Water & Poor Roads.


2887 Guyana Chronicle. March 9, 2022. Former TTPB member ordered to pay former WIPA boss Ramnarine thousands in damages for libelous Facebook post.


necessary to identify the advantages that the Internet can grant a person to immediately and effectively exercise their right to rectification or response.2892

1328. In April 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received information indicating that the Trinidad and Tobago Police Service (TTPS) and the Strategic Services Agency (SSA) had purchased and used the Israeli Pegasus software to spy on the public2893. The opposition leader would have assured in a forum that a whistleblower would have provided her with information indicating that a select group of officers would be intercepting the private communications of parliamentarians and members of the press, the judiciary, state agencies, and unions, among others.2894

1329. The accusations were reportedly denied by the Acting Commissioner of Police, as well as by the minister of national security and the prime minister Keith Rowley.2895 The prime minister reportedly argued that only the Strategic Services Agency (SSA) could have an interception suite to ensure that interceptions are only carried out within the parameters of the Interception of Communication Act and the 2020 Interception of Communications Amendment Act.2896 The head of state would have explained that the interception software and hardware can only be used by qualified police officers as long as they have prior authorization from the SSA.

1330. The acting police commissioner reportedly confirmed that software to monitor the activities of alleged criminal activities was purchased during the former police commissioner’s tenure, although the software would not have the same capability as Pegasus.2897 Control over this software, whose name would never have been revealed, would have been divided between the Trinidad and Tobago Police Service (TTPS) and the Strategic Services Agency (SSA).2898 According to public information, the software would never have been used and would have been under the full control of the SSA since September 2021, so the police would not have possession of any software to intercept communications.2899 The authorities stated that using the software would require extensive training and that it had not been agreed how or who could use this tool.

1331. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that, by virtue of the close relationship between freedom of expression and privacy, States must avoid the implementation of any measure that arbitrarily or abusively restricts the privacy of individuals, understood in a broad sense as any space privacy and anonymity, free from intimidation and reprisals, and necessary for an individual to be able to freely form an opinion and express their ideas, as well as seek and receive information, without being forced to identify themselves or to reveal their beliefs and convictions or the sources they consult.2900

1332. Likewise, this Office recalls that the mere existence of surveillance programs produces an indirect limitation that generates an inhibitory effect on the exercise of freedom of expression. Indeed, the threat to the privacy of communications makes people wary of what they say and -consequently- of what they do, installs fear and inhibition as part of the political culture and forces them to take precautions when communicating between them.2901

1333. The Office of the Special Rapporteur emphasizes that States should publish global information on the number of approved and rejected interception and surveillance requests, including as much information as possible such as – for example – a breakdown of requests by service provider, type of investigation, time during which investigations are extended, etc.2902 Additionally, decisions to carry out surveillance tasks that invade

2896 TTT Live Online. April 22, 2022. Political Mischief - PM Rowley Says No Pegasus Spyware In T&T.
people’s privacy must be authorized by independent judicial authorities, who must account for the reasons why the measure is appropriate to achieve the purposes pursued in the specific case; whether it is sufficiently restricted so as not to affect the right involved more than is necessary; and whether it is proportional to the interest that is to be promoted.\footnote{2903}

1334. In late July 2022, an appeals court reportedly ruled that Telecommunications Services of Trinidad and Tobago (TSTT) is a public authority subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. Information Act (FOIA).\footnote{2904} The information received by this Office would indicate that the social activist Ravi Balgobin-Maharaj submitted in 2017, unsuccessfully, a request to know details about its management structure, salaries of senior positions, and its shareholder agreement.\footnote{2905} Initially, TSTT reportedly refused to provide this information, arguing that the company was not wholly owned or controlled by the State. The appeal would have been successful after it was shown that the presidential cabinet would have approved the appointment of the new president of the TSTT in 2020.

1335. As the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have stated with reference to the inter-American legal framework, free access to information is a means for citizens to adequately exercise their political rights in a representative and participatory democratic system. Certainly, political rights presuppose the existence of a broad and vigorous debate for which it is essential to have public information that allows for a serious evaluation of the progress and difficulties of the achievements of the different authorities. Only through access to information under the control of the State is it possible for citizens to know if public functions are being adequately fulfilled.\footnote{2906}

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1336. In the context of Trinidad and Tobago’s annual carnival in February 2022, the Rapporteurship received reports of alleged discrimination against women members of the group Divas Cabaret Calypso Tent.\footnote{2907} The president of the National Carnival Commission (NCC) reportedly did not select the all-female group in question to participate in this national celebration despite the fact that they had participated in five previous editions of the carnival.\footnote{2908} The group Divas Cabaret Calypso Tent stated that it would have a better preparation and track record to participate in these celebrations and accused the national carnival commission of being "sexist" and "misogynistic".\footnote{2909} The group reportedly issued a pre-action protocol letter prior to possible action in the High Court over claims of nepotism, cronyism and gender discrimination. The letter also stated that the group would file a formal complaint with the Equal Opportunity Commission to investigate whether the NCC exhibited "inherent bias and entrenched discrimination against women".\footnote{2910}

1337. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the importance of exercising women’s right to freedom of expression derives, among other reasons, from the role of this right in achieving effective gender equality and strengthening democracy. While gender equality is essential to freedom of expression as a fundamental right, the exercise of freedom of expression is a key instrument to promote gender equality.\footnote{2911} In this sense, this Office states that the restrictions and obstacles to the exercise of the right to freedom of expression of women only reinforce their marginalization from the public space, and structural discrimination prevents them from freely exercising their right to freedom of expression.\footnote{2912}
1338. With the start of the school year, this Office learned that multiple parents would be having difficulties to pay for their children’s schoolbooks. The information received would indicate that several families would not have the resources to buy the school curriculum books since prices had risen substantially compared to the previous year. Some of the second-hand books were of date, making them unsuitable for boarding.

1339. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that academic freedom implies the right of every person to seek, generate, and transmit knowledge, to be part of academic communities and to carry out autonomous tasks to carry out educational activities of teaching, learning, teaching, research, discovery, transformation, debate, search, dissemination of information and ideas, and access to quality education freely and without fear of reprisals. Additionally, academic freedom has a collective dimension, consisting of the right of society and its members to receive information, knowledge, and opinions produced within the framework of academic activity and to obtain access to the benefits and products of research and innovation. Due to the foregoing, this Office expresses its concern regarding the financial barriers that children and adolescents in Trinidad and Tobago may be facing in accessing education and school textbooks.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1340. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was alerted to several incidents of internet fraud. The Trinidad and Tobago Police Service (TTPS) was reportedly asking citizens to exercise greater caution when making purchases and transactions online in view of the increase in companies defrauding customers. Likewise, the Physiotherapy Association of Trinidad and Tobago (PATT) is denouncing an increase in the number of people posing as physiotherapists on social networks, which would lead to multiple individuals being treated by unqualified persons.

1341. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur was also informed of violent incidents that were reportedly disseminated through social networks. In October, this Office became aware of an attack against a driver and an underage student after numerous pupils beat them outside an educational institution.

---

2914 Trinidad & Tobago Guardian. Parents opt for second-hand books during back to school shopping.
URUGUAY

1342. This Office has reiterated that Uruguay maintains high levels of respect for the right to freedom of expression and democratic institutions. However, in 2022 this Office has received reports on stigmatizing speeches of high-ranking officials against journalists, alleged cases of surveillance where State agents could be involved, threats and harassment against the press, and the progress of a reform that would leave without effect a law that was supported by this Office, by the United Nations and other international bodies. On issues of digital access, a court ordered Google to deindex personal information found in newspaper articles dating back more than 10 years, which sets a precedent in jurisprudence on this issue. The Rapporteurship thanks the Permanent Mission of Uruguay to the OAS for its role in founding the Group of “Friends of Freedom of Expression and Journalism” (GALEP) of the OAS, a group of Member States committed to act jointly to strengthen the exercise and respect for the right to freedom of expression, information and thought, and with the objective of reaffirming that free and independent media are fundamental for democracy.

A. Journalism and democracy

1343. On September 25, journalist Gabriel Pereyra denounced acts of surveillance allegedly committed by state intelligence groups. In a publication on social networks, the journalist stated: "the third chief of the police intelligence service ordered surveillance and monitoring of me". He added that he "never mistrusted" the "president or the interior minister", who denied that they were responsible for the alleged surveillance. The journalist said he had spoken about this with the Minister of the Interior and with the Director of Coexistence, who assured him that this monitoring did not come from the political leadership. Pereyra works for Radio Sarandi, VTV news and is a columnist for the weekly Búsqueda, and has dedicated himself to covering police sources and their possible links with corruption and organized crime structures. According to the information available, these surveillance orders were given after the publications related to questioning acts of corruption in the police forces. After his denunciations were made public, the authorities of the Ministry of the Interior ordered investigations, but according to the information received, these were closed without finding those responsible for the alleged illegal surveillance, as publicly reported.

1344. The Uruguayan Press Association (APU) issued a communiqué referring to a meeting held by APU executives with the Minister of the Interior to discuss Pereyra's situation and, in view of the information that the case had been closed after questioning some police officers, requested an independent investigation.

1345. Likewise, according to public information, Frente Amplio senators Mario Bergara and Charles Carrera were allegedly spied on, allegedly by a former presidential custodian who was arrested in September 2022.

---

2919 Twitter Gabriel Pereyra. September 25, 2022; Twitter Edison Lanza. September 25, 2022; Radio Sarandi 690. September 26, 2022. Gabriel Pereyra denounced that the third chief of Police Intelligence ordered to spy on him; San José Ahora. September 26, 2022. Sindicato policial denunció que tienen teléfonos tapped; situación “es gravísima”; Twitter APU. September 27, 2022.

2920 Twitter Gabriel Pereyra. September 25, 2022; Radio Sarandi 690. September 26, 2022. Gabriel Pereyra denounced that the third chief of Police Intelligence ordered to spy on him; San José Ahora. September 26, 2022. Sindicato policial denunció que tienen teléfonos tapped; situación “es gravísima”; Twitter APU. September 27, 2022.

2921 Twitter Gabriel Pereyra. September 25, 2022; Radio Sarandi 690. September 26, 2022. Gabriel Pereyra denounced that the third chief of Police Intelligence ordered to spy on him; San José Ahora. September 26, 2022. Sindicato policial denunció que tienen teléfonos tapped; situación “es gravísima”; Twitter APU. September 27, 2022.

2922 Twitter Gabriel Pereyra. September 25, 2022; Radio Sarandi 690. September 26, 2022. Gabriel Pereyra denounced that the third chief of Police Intelligence ordered to spy on him; San José Ahora. September 26, 2022. Sindicato policial denunció que tienen teléfonos tapped; situación “es gravísima”; Twitter APU. September 27, 2022.

2923 The Observer. September 26, 2022. Heber closed investigation on surveillance of Pereyra but APU will support him if he decides to denounce in Fiscalía; La diaria. September 27, 2022. Gabriel Pereyra questioned MI investigation on surveillance order against him and said it was “an interrogation of themselves”; M24. September 27, 2022. Interior did not investigate espionage, “it was an interrogation of themselves”, questioned Gabriel Pereyra.

2924 Montevideo Portal. September 28, 2022. APU: Interior investigation into Pereyra complaint is “cursory” and “does not provide guarantees”; Subrayado. September 26, 2022. Interior assures that there was no order to monitor or follow journalist Gabriel Pereyra; Telediario. September 27, 2022. APU agrees after meeting with Interior over Pereyra’s complaint.
for falsification of documents to Russian citizens. The CEO of the company Vertical Skies, who appears in the chats, acknowledged the espionage but denied responsibility to the company, arguing that it had been an action of his partner independently of the company. Senators of all parties rejected this espionage and those affected filed a criminal complaint asking a prosecutor’s office to initiate a separate investigation to the case against Artesiano for forgery.

1346. This Office considers it essential that the State investigate the facts in an effective and impartial manner. Likewise, the Rapporteurship recalls that both regionally and universally it is recognized that surveillance practices and the unlawful or arbitrary interception and collection of personal data not only affect the right to privacy and freedom of expression but may also be contrary to the precepts of a democratic society. The Inter-American system, in line with the European and universal systems, established a tripartite test to verify the legitimacy of a state or non-state interference in private life such as electronic surveillance. According to this test, the surveillance measure must be legal, in a formal and material sense, necessary and proportionate. Likewise, in line with what has already been stated by the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, RELE reiterates the call for an immediate moratorium on the sale, transfer and use of surveillance technology until regulatory frameworks in line with human rights are established.

1347. On the other hand, in 2022 the Office of the Special Rapporteur also received information on alleged threats and intimidation against the press in Uruguay. According to information gathered, on July 20, journalist Silvia Techera, of AM 1340 La Voz de Melo, Subrayado and Canal 10, reportedly received death threats through social networks for her journalistic work, particularly after covering a murder trial related to drug trafficking in the city of Melo, department of Cerro Largo, a region bordering Brazil. Investigations by the authorities determined that the telephone from which she received the threatening messages belonged to a minor who is being held in a detention center for minors in conflict with the law, and who is related to a person who was convicted of the murder covered by journalist Silvia Techera. RELE was able to learn that the authorities contacted her to express their solidarity and to provide security measures to guarantee her protection.

1348. In addition, the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) reported threats against journalist Alfonso Lessa, which also reportedly included a car chase.

1349. RELE also received information on alleged threats and harassment of journalists by political actors. Journalist Antonio Ladra publicly stated that an administrative official of the Municipality of Rio Branco had threatened to sue him for defamation after having published about government irregularities involving a municipal official.

---

1350. In this line, Amnesty International warned about a setback in press freedom in Uruguay. For its part, the APU said that "the arrogance, harassment and violence of Grupo R Multimedio against its workers persists. The complaints against this employer are accumulating and the situation remains unchanged".

1351. On the other hand, this Office also learned that on February 5, a group of Computer Crimes and Interpol agents had arrived at Azul FM in search of access to the computers where material from the program La Pecera was stored. The police left the radio station with recordings of the program. According to public information, a prosecutor of sexual crimes would have ordered the raid of the radio station Azul FM and the house of the host of the program La Pecera, Ignacio Álvarez, in order to inquire about the sources of the journalists and to access their cell phones, after the broadcasting of intimate audios recorded by the accused for aggravated sexual abuse of a 30 year old woman. According to public information, this material was not part of the file and was provided by the defense attorney of the defendants.

1352. This Office recalls that, as stated in Principle 9 of the Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, "intimidation and threats (...) against social communicators violate the fundamental rights of individuals and severely restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of States to prevent and investigate these acts, punish the perpetrators and ensure that the victims receive adequate reparation". The IACHR and its Special Rapporteurship have understood that this type of attack "seeks to be a tool of intimidation, through which a clear message is sent to all those in civil society who carry out investigative work on irregularities in public administration. This practice seeks to make the press, as a control mechanism, keep silent or become an accomplice of those persons or institutions that carry out abusive or illegal acts or deeds. Ultimately, what is sought is to prevent society from being informed of these events at all costs".

1353. As was reported in RELE's last annual report, stigmatizing accusations by public officials against journalists and the media persist. In this regard, the Association of Journalists of Uruguay (APU) reported the continuity of "harassment against journalists", referring particularly to the senator of the National Party, Graciela Bianchi. According to available information, on August 23 Senator Graciela Bianchi, of the National Party, publicly declared that she would "take care" of journalist Eduardo Preve, after he published a tweet in which the communicator made a timeline about a drug trafficking case and possible links with the Uruguayan government.

1354. Also, according to available information, during a press conference, the Secretary of the Presidency allegedly told journalist Macarena Vico, with the microphone turned off, "no provoques al pedo. Te mandan eh!". This would have happened after the reporter asked about the modifications to the anti-smoking law and about a meeting that the President would have had with a delegate of a tobacco company. Subsequently, Delgado apologized and considered his words as "a mistake". Through a press release, the APU denounced...
that "once again, from the highest political level of the country, the workers of TV Ciudad are being attacked".\footnote{2946}

1355. Likewise, the APU issued a statement on August 5 in which it expressed "great concern" about the alleged "growing climate of tension" in the conversation on social networks between politicians and journalists\footnote{2947}. "It is not a question of parties, leaders or journalists. It is about taking care of democratic coexistence so that everyone, each in their role, can develop their activity with absolute freedom, without pressure and without the level of hostility that is being visualized," said the organization\footnote{2948}.

1356. Likewise, according to the information available, Senator Guido Manini Ríos, of the Cabildo Abierto Party, had shared a publication on social networks containing stigmatizing messages against the news program \textit{Búsqueda}, whose work was described as "hired assassin journalism"\footnote{2949}. This Rapporteurship received reports that some of the attacks against journalists were allegedly carried out by the media outlet \textit{La Mañana}, which is related to the Cabildo Abierto party, through unsigned notes that would be replicated by the leaders of this party\footnote{2950}.

1357. The Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression has pointed out that those who engage in debates of general interest participate in a public space that they are also called upon to protect\footnote{2951}. As the Inter-American Court of Human Rights has held, in a democratic society it is not only legitimate, but sometimes a duty, for state authorities to pronounce on issues of public interest\footnote{2952}. However, in doing so, they are subject to certain limitations, oriented mainly to verify the facts on which they base their opinions and to address them with even greater diligence than that employed by private individuals, due to their high position, the broad scope and possible effects that their expressions may have on certain sectors of the population\footnote{2953}. Likewise, as the Court has held, "they must bear in mind that as public officials they have a position of guarantor of the fundamental rights of individuals and, therefore, their statements cannot disregard these or constitute forms of direct or indirect interference or harmful pressure on the rights of those who seek to contribute to public deliberation through the expression and dissemination of their thoughts"\footnote{2954}.

1358. The Inter-American Court has also understood that statements made by high-ranking public officials against journalists and the media can lead to an increase in the risk inherent to their profession\footnote{2955}. On this point, they have emphasized that "while it is true that there is a risk intrinsic to journalistic activity, people who work for a certain media outlet may see the risk situations they would normally face exacerbated, if that media is the object of official speeches that may provoke or suggest actions or be interpreted by public officials..."

or by sectors of society as instructions, instigations, or in any way authorizations or support, for the commission of acts that endanger or violate the life, personal safety or other rights of persons exercising journalistic work or those exercising their freedom of expression.  

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1359. This Rapporteurship was informed of the executive branch’s proposal to repeal the Audiovisual Communication Services Law ("media law"), approved in 2014 and which would have had strong international support. The initiative was rejected by different actors of civil society and academia. However, in the end there was no agreement among the ruling party to repeal the media law completely, but instead the government coalition reached an agreement to modify Article 56 of the law. Thus, on October 6, the Senate approved the Accountability bill, and with this, a new wording of Article 56 of the Audiovisual Communication Services Law was signed. According to public information, the new text allows cable companies to request licenses from the Executive Branch to provide Internet services in the country. This reform would have been rejected by Antel’s workers’ union (Sutel), which through a statement expressed its "strongest opposition to this type of changes in the regulation of communications services being made in the scope of Accountability, especially considering that since April 2020 a new media law is being discussed in the Chamber of Deputies, without agreement and with numerous criticisms."  

1360. On the other hand, this Office learned that duly identified journalists were denied access to the trial of Alejandro Astesiano, head of the president’s custody, who was arrested at the presidential residence for his alleged participation in a documentary forgery network. According to available information, the hearing has been declared in reserve by the judge in charge of the case. In view of this situation, the APU rejected the judge’s decision to deny access to the press and sent representatives to engage in a dialogue with the president of the Supreme Court of Justice to express its disagreement.  

1361. The Rapporteurship recalls that transparency and accountability of public authorities strengthen democratic systems, and that the guarantee of the right of access to information, on many occasions, is a necessary condition to guarantee the exercise of other rights. In ruling on restrictions imposed on journalists or communicators for access to official sources of information at public acts or events, the Inter-
American Court has determined that "[w]ith respect to accreditations or authorizations to the press media for participation in official events, which imply a possible restriction on the exercise of the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, it must be demonstrated that their application is legal, pursues a legitimate objective and is necessary and proportional in relation to the objective pursued in a democratic society. The accreditation requirements must be concrete, objective and reasonable, and their application transparent."2967

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

1362. This Office learned that three deputies presented a bill called "Accessible and Inclusive Heritage", which seeks to guarantee access to information for the blind and persons with other disabilities.2968 This Office reiterates the importance of States adopting positive measures in relation to the right to freedom of expression, access to information and citizen participation of groups that are often excluded from public debate, such as persons with disabilities. In this regard, this Office encourages the State of Uruguay to identify possible obstacles or limitations to the freedom of expression of this group of people, and to continue its efforts to guarantee the full enjoyment of their rights. For RELE, the full guarantee of the right to access to information for all persons, without distinction or discrimination, is an essential precondition for persons with disabilities to be able to exercise other rights and overcome the exclusion they often suffer.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

1363. According to public information, on October 2, in the context of the presidential elections in Brazil, TV Ciudad’s Twitter account was the target of a cyber attack and was reportedly taken over by a group of supporters of Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro.2969 The hackers allegedly made a series of publications in favor of the current Brazilian president and published tweets promoting the use of cryptocurrencies. TV Ciudad reported that its Twitter account had been hacked by supporters of Jair Bolsonaro.

1364. On the other hand, this Office learned that the Uruguayan justice system issued a ruling against Google, forcing the company’s search engine to de-index personal information that was included in journalistic articles dating back more than 10 years, which arose from a criminal complaint that had already been filed.2971

1365. This Office recalls that freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way that it applies to all media. The IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have previously stated that human rights and, in particular, the right to freedom of expression, find in the Internet a unique instrument to deploy its enormous potential in broad sectors of the population, and that its relevance as a platform for the enjoyment and exercise of human rights is directly linked to the architecture of the network and the principles that govern it, including the principle of openness, decentralization and neutrality. In this context, the Rapporteurship reiterates that any measures that have a restrictive impact on the exercise of the right to freedom of expression of individuals in the digital space are only acceptable when they comply with international standards that provide, among other things, that they must be provided by law, pursue a legitimate purpose recognized by international law, and be necessary and proportionate to that purpose.

---


2968 Grupo Multi medio. September 22, 2022. Project on access to information for the blind and disabled presented.

2969 MVD Noticias Twitter account; October 2, 2022. They hacked TV Ciudad’s Twitter and posted in favor of Bolsonaro.

2970 MVD Notícias Twitter account; October 2, 2022. They hacked TV Ciudad’s Twitter and posted in favor of Bolsonaro.

VENEZUELA

1366. As in previous years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continues to observe significant restrictions on freedom of expression in Venezuela, especially on matters considered to be criticism of the Government or public authorities. In this sense, journalistic work faces obstacles related to fear of violence in an environment ruled by stigmatization, harassment, and threats, as well as fear of criminalization for the exercise of their work. Human rights organizations are facing a similar scenario, in a year in which the government once again proposed legislative reforms that could impact their funding and independence. Criminal legislation, including in some cases legislation against terrorism, continues to be applied for the alleged purpose of silencing voices of criticism. In this context, the SRFOE received information on the selective and disproportionate application of the Hate Law for expressions posted on the internet. Likewise, in 2022 the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to register complaints about the selective use of powers of state bodies—especially CONATEL—, which reportedly led to the closure of radio stations and blocking of websites. In addition to the closure of civic space, on which the IACHR and its Office of the Special Rapporteur have already ruled, there is high state opacity, despite the new Law on Transparency and Access to Information of Public Interest, in force since September 2021. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur is particularly concerned about the differentiated impact that restrictions on freedom of expression have on women journalists and indigenous peoples in Venezuela. The SRFOE also observes that in many municipalities “silenced zones” continue to deepen, where journalistic coverage of matters of public interest is scarce or almost non-existent.

A. Journalism and democracy

1367. As emphasized in a Joint Declaration signed by the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) and by the United Nations (UN) Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, they continue to document severe restrictions on the right to freedom of expression and the persistence of a hostile environment for the exercise of journalistic work in Venezuela. This context is characterized by harassment, persecution, arbitrary arrests, and stigmatizing accusations against those who investigate and actively participate in matters of public and political interest. Likewise, the closure of the media, as well as the seizure of their equipment, directly or indirectly implemented by the government, increasingly limit the access of citizens to reliable information from independent sources, and have generated zones of media silence in Venezuela, aggravated by the infrastructural obstacles of the energy and economic crisis. All of these measures have created a general environment of self-censorship both among citizens and in the press that reports on matters of public relevance, such as the human rights crisis in Venezuela. Likewise, professional journalism faces significant challenges for its sustainability. The facts documented below demonstrate the persistence of the closure of democratic spaces in Venezuela, as reported by the IACHR in previous years.

1368. Within the framework of the public hearing “Situation of freedom of expression in Venezuela,” held at the IACHR 184th Period of Sessions, civil society organizations denounced that the country is going through a long-term crisis in terms of freedom of expression. The organizations presented information on the criminalization of expressions critical of the Government through the Hate Law; arrests; harassment; violations of freedom of the press; and the closure of the digital civic space as a result of blockades and regulations promoted by CONATEL. For its part, the Permanent Mission of Venezuela to the OAS highlighted the use of criminal proceedings to suppress the dissemination of information and opinions critical of the administration of President Nicolás Maduro. At the hearing, the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression pointed out that, according to the information reported, it is possible to observe that hate speech is confused with speech specially protected by freedom of expression. Likewise, he pointed out that the persecution of people for their work by the infrastructural obstacles of the energy and economic crisis.

---

2972 Joint declaration of UN Rapporteurs and IACHR on freedom of expression in Venezuela. Libertad de expresión en Venezuela: estado de la cuestión y líneas de actuación, August 30, 2022.  
2973 Joint declaration of UN Rapporteurs and IACHR on freedom of expression in Venezuela. Libertad de expresión en Venezuela: estado de la cuestión y líneas de actuación, August 30, 2022.  
2974 Joint declaration of UN Rapporteurs and IACHR on freedom of expression in Venezuela. Libertad de expresión en Venezuela: estado de la cuestión y líneas de actuación, August 30, 2022.  
2975 Joint declaration of UN Rapporteurs and IACHR on freedom of expression in Venezuela. Libertad de expresión en Venezuela: estado de la cuestión y líneas de actuación, August 30, 2022.
expressing their opinions on social networks or demonstrating through humor on political issues contributes to the deterioration of public debate.2976

1369. As this Office was able to learn, on January 10, 2022, José Gregorio Urbina, director of the community radio station Frontera 92.5 FM, parish coordinator of the Communist Party of Venezuela in Puerto Paez and legal representative of the Las Trincheras Community Foundation, was murdered by unknown individuals in his place of residence after being attacked with a firearm. Despite the fact that, according to the publicly available information, the Seventh Prosecutor’s Office with Indigenous competence, Execution of Sentence, and Process in Fundamental Rights of the Apyure state had reportedly set up a commission to investigate the crime, throughout the year the Communist Party of Venezuela and other civil society organizations have denounced the lack of progress on the investigation of the facts. According to the information available, in November 2021, José Urbina had reported threats after reporting on alleged human rights abuses and violations by agents of the Bolivarian National Guard in the border region of Puerto Paez.2977

1370. The murder of José Gregorio Urbina is part of a hostile context for the exercise of freedom of the press, which includes, for example, acts of threats to life and integrity, harassment, intimidation, physical, and verbal attacks, threats of arrest, confiscation of work equipment, and censorship of journalistic material. The information received indicates that on some occasions said acts were perpetrated both by individuals and by agents of the State.2978 The NGO Espacio Público has documented, between January and August 2022, at least 58 restrictions on journalistic coverage.2979 Likewise, on some occasions, journalists have reported that unknown individuals or state agents have taken pictures of them while they are doing their work, which they consider to be an act of intimidation.2980

1371. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of alleged obstructions to the information work in coverage of electoral events2981; eviction operations2982; emergency situations due to landslides2983; the functioning of public transportation in the country2984; events that occurred in public hospitals2985; and public events.2986 The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes with particular seriousness the acts of harassment and intimidation against journalists who covered the initiation events of the presidential recall referendum.2987

2982 IPYS. August 3, 2022. Labor de reporteros fue limitada por funcionarios de seguridad en el estado Portuguesa.
1372. The attacks and assaults committed against journalists violate the right to freedom of expression, both individually and collectively, and cannot be tolerated in a democratic society. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that principle 9 of the IACHR’s Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states that "[t]he murder, kidnapping, intimidation of, and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression. It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators, and to ensure that victims receive due compensation." In turn, the SRFOE reiterates that freedom of expression protects the right to record and disseminate any incident that involves matters of public interest, and that the State must provide journalists with the highest degree of protection so that they can carry out their work freely and keep society informed.

1373. The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that the aforementioned hostile climate for journalistic work is fostered by stigmatizing statements by public officials against journalists and the media. In June 2022, the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights expressed her concern about the discrediting of the work of the press and the defense of human rights through institutional means. Once again, the Office of the Special Rapporteur regrets that Diosdado Cabello, former president and current representative of the National Assembly and host of the program ”Con el Mazo Dando” on a public television channel in Venezuela, has used this space of communication with the public to send stigmatizing messages against journalists. Among other messages that concern the Office, the representative accused the press of seeking "political destabilization" and "discrediting people", and exposed photos of journalists known for their critical coverage of the government with a message of "all are wanted for being thieves". Likewise, according to the information reported, the mayor of the municipality of El Tigre publicly accused a journalist of being an "imbecile"; a legislator from the state of Táchira reportedly described a journalist as "crazy" and a "liar", and disclosed her personal telephone number on social networks; the governor of the state of Vargas reportedly described a medium as "garbage", and the Minister of the Interior reportedly branded the press as "a nuisance" during a coverage.

1374. This Office recalls that public authorities are called upon to maintain a discourse favorable to public deliberation and freedom of expression. In this sense, they have the duty to refrain from making stigmatizing or intentionally false statements that may increase the risk of attacks on their life and integrity, linked to the profession. Likewise, international human rights standards require that the authorities treat journalists with respect and fairness in interviews at press conferences. The inter-American human rights system has indicated that stigmatizing statements from public officials could constitute an indirect restriction on the right to freedom of expression.

1375. In this context, the Office of the Rapporteur is concerned about the use of judicial mechanisms, especially by public officials, with the aim of silencing the criticisms made regarding their actions in the public interest.

---

2986 OHCHR. June 29, 2022. Venezuela: Bachet reconoce los avances y plantea el camino por recorrer en materia de derechos humanos.
2990 ABC International. February 11, 2022. Diosdado Cabello amenaza con ir a por la web de 'El Nacional'.
2991 Youtube account of Con el Mazo Dando. January 19, 2022.
sphere. In particular, the Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the confirmation of the adjudication of the former headquarters of the newspaper El Nacional to representative Diosdado Cabello on January 27, 2022.\(^{2998}\) The adjudication is part of the process of execution of a conviction of reparation ordered in favor of the representative. In 2021, the Venezuelan Judiciary ordered the newspaper to pay around 13 million dollars for non-pecuniary damage to congressman Diosdado Cabello, for having replicated a work by the Spanish newspaper ABC that, through testimony, indicated the congressman was allegedly linked to drug trafficking businesses.\(^{2999}\) On March 15, 2022, the International University of Communications began operating at the former headquarters of the newspaper.\(^{3000}\) In December 2019, the representative had already stated that he hoped that the judicial decision would allow the adjudication of the headquarters of El Nacional to turn it into a university. At the same time, in February 2022, the representative stated that the adjudication of the headquarters did not yet correspond to the full value of the compensation determined by the Judiciary and indicated that he could "go after the website" of the newspaper in order to complement the payment, especially since the newspaper El Nacional would be "provoking" him to "go one step further".\(^{3001}\)

1376. The SRFOE reiterates that the imposition of subsequent liabilities for the exercise of freedom of expression requires that the sanctions comply with the principles of legality, legitimate objective, necessity, and proportionality. This Office also recalls that criminal law is not conventionally appropriate to protect the honor of the official\(^{3002}\); and that the imposition of eventual civil sanctions must strictly respond to necessity and proportionality, since a disproportionate civil sanction can have an effect that is as intimidating and inhibitory to the exercise of freedom of expression as a criminal sanction.\(^{3003}\)

1377. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has continued to receive reports on the use of criminal law against journalists with intimidating purposes, including crimes such as terrorism or treason; in addition to the continuity in the application of the Hate Law in a selective and disproportionate way. This law orders fines and up to 20 years in prison for any person who disseminates information considered to promote or incite hatred, discrimination, or violence; and grants legal powers to censor content that may be protected by international human rights law. According to information received at the public hearing on the situation of freedom of expression in Venezuela, within the framework of the 184° Period of Sessions of the IACHR, since its entry into force in 2017, this law has been used to delegitimize and criminalize any person who questions or criticizes government management or to people who perform public functions.\(^{3004}\) The Office of the Special Rapporteur was also informed about the issuance of intermediate precautionary measures against journalists as a condition for arrest warrants to be lifted, and the impact that these may have on the exercise of freedom of expression. According to the information available, said measures would be justified by the need to protect the investigations or the process and would be sustained over time for terms that exceed the legal limit.\(^{3005}\)

1378. In light of the foregoing, this Office highlights the case of journalist Ronald Carreños, who since October 26, 2020, has been detained in intramural prison for the alleged commission of the crimes of conspiracy, illicit trafficking in weapons of war and ammunition, and terrorist financing.\(^{3006}\) On July 9, 2021, the judge in charge of the case admitted the accusation and, since May 2022, various oral and public hearings


have been held. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur learned that some hearings had been held within the framework of the process against Roland Carreño throughout the first semester of the year. However, the legal defense of Ronald Carreños has highlighted procedural delays, in addition to other procedural irregularities. During his detention, Roland Carreño faced a sharp deterioration in his health, for which he had to be transferred to a hospital on at least one occasion.

This Office also highlights the case of journalist Roberto Deniz, who since October 2021 has been sued in criminal proceedings for the alleged crime of incitement to hatred, linked to his journalistic work. Roberto Deniz currently lives outside of Venezuela, his relatives have been beneficiaries of precautionary measures from the IACHR since February 2020, and since 2021 the journalist has had an arrest warrant in the framework of said criminal proceeding, even with a request for the inclusion of a red alert in Interpol. Throughout 2022, Roberto Deniz was reportedly the target of online harassment campaigns. In June, a host for a state television channel pointed out on his social networks that Roberto Deniz was reportedly linked to a drone and the second in command of Al Qaeda disappears and the whole world wonders on social networks why they don’t do the same with Nicolas Maduro.

On the other hand, on August 4, 2022, the Attorney General announced that the Office of the Attorney General requested an arrest warrant against the journalist Carla Angola, who lives in the United States, for alleged defense (apología) for the crime of assassination. According to the information available, said measure would be based on an interview in which the journalist pointed out that "the United States uses a drone and the second in command of Al Qaeda disappears and the whole world wonders on social networks why they don’t do the same with Nicolas Maduro".

The Office of the Special Rapporteur welcomes the judicial archive and respective suspension of the case of Luis Carlos Díaz, who, along with his family, has been a beneficiary of IACHR precautionary measures since 2019. With this, a series of restrictive intermediate measures are lifted, which had been issued against the journalist since March 2019, when, after spending 24 hours in detention, he was released under different conditions of precautionary order, in a process where he was accused of "instigation to commit crimes".

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recommends that eventual restrictions to prevent speech that incites hatred for various reasons be limited to the definition established in Article 13.5 of the American Convention on Human Rights and Article 20 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. In addition, that current, certain, objective, and forceful proof is taken as a presupposition that the person was


not simply expressing an opinion (no matter how harsh, unfair or disturbing it may be), and rather that they had the clear intention of committing a crime and that they had a current, real, and effective possibility to achieve their goals.\textsuperscript{3019} As the UN, OAS, OSCE, and ACHPR special mandates for freedom of expression noted in a joint statement, “vague notions, such as the provision of communications support for terrorism or extremism, the ‘glorification’ or ‘promotion of’ of terrorism or extremism, and the mere repetition of terrorist statements, which in themselves do not constitute incitement, should not be criminalized”.\textsuperscript{3020}

1383. At the same time, there continues to be a closure of independent media outlets in Venezuela based on the alleged non-compliance with the administrative requirements for radio broadcasting or the use of radio space, through administrative processes with few legal guarantees. Throughout the year, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continued to register cases of raids on different headquarters of radio stations, television stations, and print newspapers, many times accompanied by the confiscation of equipment and closure of media outlets. Between January and September of this year, the National Telecommunications Commission of Venezuela reportedly ordered the closure of at least 26 radio stations in Portuguesa, Anzoátegui, Guárico, Barinas, Cojedes, Zulia, and Sucre, in procedures carried out by State agents and security forces agents.\textsuperscript{3021} A total of 16 of these stations were closed in September 2022 alone.\textsuperscript{3022} At the same time, in just one week in October, another 15 stations were reportedly closed.\textsuperscript{3023} A registry carried out by the organization Espacio Público concluded that, between 2003 and 2022, more than 200 stations were closed by order of CONATEL.\textsuperscript{3024}

1384. In this context, this Office continued to receive information about closures of local radio programs, on many occasions due to pressure and orders from CONATEL agents. According to the information compiled by this Office of the Special Rapporteur, local journalists also report pressure to close operating programs by public, regional, and local authorities. For example, the radio program of an opposition candidate was reportedly closed five days before the regional elections in the state of Barinas, allegedly as a result of pressure exerted by CONATEL on the radio stations that broadcast his program.\textsuperscript{3025}

1385. The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that direct or indirect pressure aimed at silencing the informative work of social communicators is incompatible with the right to freedom of expression. Pursuant to Principle 13 of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression, “the exercise of power and the use of public funds by the state, the granting of customs duty privileges, the arbitrary and discriminatory placement of official advertising and government loans; the concession of radio and television broadcast frequencies, among others, with the intent to put pressure on and punish or reward and provide privileges to social communicators and the media because of the opinions they express threaten freedom of expression, and must be explicitly prohibited by law”. Likewise, the SRFOE emphasizes that the allocation of frequencies for radio and television must consider democratic criteria that guarantee equal opportunities, diversity, and pluralism.\textsuperscript{3026}

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

1386. The SRFOE observes, as in previous years, that a pattern of persecution, accusations and harassment of opposition voices persists in Venezuela, in an environment of high opacity of the State, even with the entry into force of the Law of Transparency and Access to Information of Public Interest in 2021. The Office of the


\textsuperscript{3020}The UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Opinion and Expression, the OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media, the OAS Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression and the ACHPR (African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights) Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression and Access to Information. \textit{Joint declaration on defamation of religions, and anti-terrorism and anti-extremism legislation}, 2008.


\textsuperscript{3022}Espacio Público. October 3, 2022. \textit{Septiembre: censura y más restricciones}.


\textsuperscript{3024}Espacio Público. September 28, 2022. \textit{Situación general del derecho a la libertad de expresión, Enero – agosto 2022}.
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Special Rapporteur has recorded the continuity of arrests of human rights defenders and protesters, in some cases based on the Hate Law and anti-terrorism legislation. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also received information on the alleged use of public force during social protests. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has highlighted with particular concern the risks of restricting the activity of civil society organizations through new proposals to regulate their operation.

1387. In 2022, one year after the "Law on Transparency and Access to Information of Public Interest" went into force, the Espacio Público organization reported that, of 75 requests for access to information that they submitted to different State bodies, only 62 were received and, of them, 57 were not answered. Only 2 would have been adequately answered. Likewise, the Supreme Court of Justice of Venezuela declared inadmissible a lawsuit that questioned the failure by the Legislative Assembly to respond to a request for access to information. In its resolution, it indicated that the requests must contain "a sufficiently precise description of the information that it is aspired to receive, to allow it to be located, as well as the reasons that justify the request (which, it insisted, should only refer to information that truly has the character of public interest), and its magnitude must be proportional to the intended use of it".

1388. This Office notes that it is important to make progress with laws that promote the effectiveness of the right of access to public information in Venezuela. In this sense, this Office warns about the risks of open and ambiguous concepts of requirements and exceptions in the laws on access to information, which the Office of the Special Rapporteur has registered as a characteristic of the current Transparency Law, and of other bills and norms approved by the State of Venezuela. This is because they can provide excessive discretion to the public agents and organizations in charge of applying it.

1389. In this context, the SRFOE observes that within the framework of a recent law establishing the "Commission to guarantee justice and reparation for victims of crimes against Human Rights" it is generically provided that "in order to guarantee the confidentiality of the sources, as well as the security of the victims, possible perpetrators and witnesses, the actions and documents of the Commission for the Guarantee of Justice and Reparation of the Victims of Crimes against Human Rights will be confidential from third parties". The SRFOE reiterates that information related to human rights violations is subject to a high presumption of disclosure; and urges the authorities to refrain from imposing broad confidentiality conditions on such information. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur reiterates that "when applying a restriction to the right of access to public information, not only the requirements of legality and protection of a legitimate purpose must be met, but also the requirement of necessity and proportionality. The need for the measure will be met when the limitation is not only conducive to achieving the desired achievement, but is also imperative, that is to say that of all the alternatives, those measures that restrict or interfere to the least possible extent the effective exercise of the right to seek and receive information must be chosen".

1390. The SRFOE observes that there are still challenges regarding the obligation of active transparency on the part of the State of Venezuela. For example, according to a report by the IPYS organization, the Central Bank of Venezuela, the Ministry of Health, the National Assembly, and CONATEL do not publish information on budget allocations or reports on accountability. The lack of active transparency would be even more worrisome in a context where according to the information reported limitations on access to public institutions and access to official sources for journalistic coverage are constantly documented.

---

1391. At the same time, the Office of the Special Rapporteur notes that a climate of stigmatization and persecution prevails towards the work of defending human rights. In this sense, the SRFOE has been informed about the alleged circulation of lists of names and information of people considered to be opponents of the government, including journalists and human rights defenders, with the alleged purpose of intimidating them. In connection with these events, around 1,600 people were reportedly identified in an online campaign known as “1,600 plugged in”.

1392. Likewise, according to the information reported to the SRFOE, both individuals and public officials have filed lawsuits against people who report on and denounce matters of public interest. In May 2022, the governor of the state of Carabobo filed a defamation lawsuit against Marino Alvarado, a member of the Venezuelan Human Rights Action Education Program (Provea), and Alfredo Infante, a member of the Gumilla Center. The lawsuit is reportedly linked to public demonstrations by human rights defenders in which they demanded that the possible responsibility of the Governor be investigated in alleged extrajudicial executions in the state of Carabobo. In June, during a press conference, Marino Alvarado and Alfredo Infante retracted and stated that their request to investigate and establish responsibilities "did not intend to accuse the governor, although it may have been understood that way". The defenders reported that they reached a “conciliation agreement” with the governor of Carabobo, who would have reaffirmed commitments to defend human rights and investigations.

1393. The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also observed with concern the application of criminal figures of incitement to hatred and terrorism against human rights defenders and, in general, people critical of the government. The SRFOE also received information on other cases related to arbitrary arrests against union leaders for alleged political reasons.

1394. The Office of the Special Rapporteur condemns the fact that the activist José Javier Tarazona, director of the Fundaredes organization, continues to be detained since July 2, 2021. According to the information available, he was charged with the crimes of terrorism, incitement to hatred and treason. Despite the fact that the other human rights defenders who were detained along with him were released on July 2, José Javier Tarazona remains in detention to this day. The criminal proceedings against Tarazona would be in the oral trial phase and his representation has reportedly denounced a delay in conducting the proceedings. José Javier Tarazona and his family nucleus are beneficiaries of precautionary measures granted by the IACHR. From June 18, 2022, and on October 30, 2022, the IACHR issued a resolution to monitor and modify the precautionary measures with the aim of reflecting the situation of deprivation of liberty of the beneficiary. In particular, the IACHR requested that the State protect the rights to health, personal integrity, and life of Mr. José Javier Tarazona Sánchez, which includes assessing the possibility of adopting alternative measures to prison.

---


3037 EFE. June 23, 2022. ONG venezolanas se retractan de supuesta difamación a un gobernador chavista.


The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes with concern the various operations to arrest social and political activists by agents of the Directorate of Military Counterintelligence (DGCI) and the Bolivarian National Intelligence Service (SEBIN), which occurred between July 4 and 7, 2022. According to available information, in three days there were detentions under the crimes of "terrorism", "conspiracy", and "criminal association" of Gabriel Blanco, trade unionist; of Emilio Negrín, president of the Federation of Workers of the Judiciary; and of Alcides Bracho, Alonso Meléndez, Reynaldo Cortés, and Néstor Astudillo, members of the Red Flag party.

According to the information available, these people played an important role in the mobilizations demanding labor rights that took place throughout the year in Venezuela. In September 2022, SEBIN officials attempted to enter the headquarters of the human rights organization PROVEA, during a press conference with the relatives of the detainees.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also learned of the State's initiative to impose restrictions on the operation and financing of civil society organizations through legal channels. On May 4, 2022, the Permanent Commission on Foreign Policy, Sovereignty, and Integration of the National Assembly released the preliminary draft of the "International Cooperation Law", which is currently under discussion. The bill contemplates the prohibition, suspension, restriction, or elimination of civil society organizations that "directly or indirectly promote or participate with other associations, organizations, governments, or international organizations, in the application of unilateral coercive measures against the Republic". The SRFOE has noted that the initiative uses ambiguous language that could give rise to discretionary interpretations by the authorities and lead to arbitrary cancellations. Likewise, the Office of the Special Rapporteur is concerned about the creation of the Integrated Registration System for non-governmental organizations, the registration of which would be mandatory, and that could prevent, delay or limit the creation or operation of organizations. At the same time, there is concern about the provision that any citizen could request information about the registered organizations, with a detailed specification of their funding sources, which would affect the confidentiality required by the organizations in relation to the causes they promote.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also continued to receive information on the disproportionate use of public force to disperse protests. According to the Venezuelan Observatory of Social Conflict, during the first half of 2021 alone, 52 protests were repressed by state security forces. Likewise, this Office is concerned about the reports of arrests in the context of protests with the alleged purpose of intimidating and limiting citizen mobilization. According to public information, on February 12, 2022, two citizens were arrested who were trying to put up a banner critical of the government on a walkway in Macut. Both would have been accused of the crimes of incitement to hatred and conspiracy by the Prosecutor's Office.

Likewise, on June 7, 2022, nine young people were detained in the municipality of Chacao (metropolitan district of Caracas) by members of the Chacao Municipal Police. According to publicly available information, the youths were detained for allegedly vandalizing a municipal mural. Relatives of the detainees confirmed that the whereabouts of the young people were not known for at least 30 hours after their arrest, when five of them were released. The others were only released on June 10, with precautionary measures and, according to the information reported, criminal charges were filed against them.

---

1399. In turn, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has received information on restrictions on freedom of artistic expression in Venezuela. This Office stresses that the right to artistic or symbolic expression, to the dissemination of artistic expression, and to access art in all its forms is included in the right to freedom of expression.3050 The SRFOE observes that the representative Diosdado Cabello, in his program "Con El Mazo Dando", would have used expressions such as "immoral" and "liars" to refer to various international artists. As a result of this stigmatization, at least two concerts have been cancelled. One of the artists stated that he had canceled his concert because he did not feel comfortable with the risks that an event could generate in this context.3051

1400. On the other hand, according to the information received by the SRFOE, university autonomy in Venezuela faces different challenges, including budgetary challenges; attacks on university facilities; little possibility of participation of the sector in the design of public policies that affect them; an environment of indirect pressures; and a lack of academic freedom in conducting research.3052 In 2022, the Legislative Assembly created a "Commission for the formulation of the strategy for the construction of the University Education Law"; according to the information received, one of the objectives of the Commission could include the reform of the current Law on Universities. Likewise, according to the available reports, the process did not have sufficient participation from the university sector and dialogues with civil society.3053 At the same time, the National Assembly sanctioned the Partial Reform Law of the Decree with Rank Value and Force of Organic Law on Science, Technology, and Innovation, which could restrict the freedom of research in universities by conditioning the granting of public incentives to objectives of the "Plan for the Homeland", whose focus would be close to the interests of the current government.3054 The Office of the Special Rapporteur has received information that the respective "Plan for the Homeland", in addition to other regulations in force, would contribute to conditioning the exercise of academic freedom, especially those initiatives that could be critical of the Government.3055

1401. Likewise, in September, the Superior Court of Justice of the Nation declared inadmissible an appeal for annulment filed by different universities to request that the "ONAPRE instructions" be annulled, due to the violation of the labor rights of university workers. This is an instruction issued last March by the National Budget Office (Onapre), which, according to the universities, would have a negative impact on the remuneration of teachers. Likewise, the court sanctioned the university entities to pay a fine "for fifty (50) times the official exchange rate of the highest value currency, established by the Central Bank of Venezuela." From the organization Aula Abierta, they stated that said ruling could have a chilling effect on the rest of the universities and the public, by sending a message "that there are prohibited topics and actions that should not be exercised before the courts".3056

1402. This Office recalls that, as stated in the Inter-American Principles on Academic and University Freedom, "any state interference in the curricula and academic programs must meet the requirements of legality and legitimate purpose within the framework of the American Convention on Human Rights, as well as

---

suitability, necessity, and proportionality under the precepts of a democratic society”. Likewise, “the distribution of resources cannot become a tool to attack institutions and academic groups, nor threaten critical thinking” and the “public budget must observe the necessary proportionality so that all higher education institutions can carry out their activities with equal autonomy”.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has observed with particular concern the situation of Venezuelan women, journalists, and activists, who are the target of differentiated attacks based on gender in the exercise of their activities. Among the information received, the SRFOE learned that the journalist and director of El Vistazo, Nilsa Varela, had filed a complaint with the Attorney General’s Office for harassment and media and psychological violence against the mayor of El Tigre, three directors of that municipality, and the director of Mundo Oriental, accusing them of “a public campaign carried out through various computer media that can be classified as gender-based violence”. As the journalist pointed out, the individuals denounced undertook “a systematic incitement to hatred” against her since the first quarter of 2022, in relation to her journalistic work. As far as this Office was able to learn, the campaign included the manipulation of her photos (memes) and accusations that there was speculation about a love affair between the journalist and a former mayor of El Tigre.

Likewise, according to information received, a councilor from the municipality of Naguanagua described feminist activist Ariana González on her social networks as a "mental scruffy [saparrastrosa (sic)]" and "Peruvian obedience to the dictator," after the activist described certain statements by the official as "sexist". The councilman reportedly deleted the post and made a public retraction hours later.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that State officials must publicly and emphatically condemn any attack on women journalists and human rights defenders, and must refrain from making statements that could put them in danger. The SRFOE emphasizes that respect for and guarantee of the right to freedom of expression requires that the voices of women journalists and human rights defenders –and their interests, needs, and proposals– be heard and taken into account.

Additionally, this Office is concerned about the patterns of exclusion from public discourse that prevail over indigenous peoples, motivated –among other aspects– by the lack of Internet access for these groups. In this context, the SRFOE received serious information about the murder of four indigenous people from the Yanomami community in Parima, a border region with Brazil, during a conflict over Wi-Fi wireless network connectivity.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur also underlines the challenges for the sustainability of the media in Venezuela, especially those that carry out local journalism in cities outside the capital. According to research...
conducted by IPYS Venezuela in 2020, of the 317 municipalities in the country that had been studied, 90 of them represent "news deserts" - that is, municipalities where the coverage of information through newspapers, news sites, radio stations, or television channels is insufficient; and 122 are "moderate deserts." The states of Táchira and Zulia, in addition to other border areas and areas with the presence of indigenous peoples, were the territories where the most local information gaps were found. The IPYS study concluded that more than 5,271,753 people live in territories where not enough local information is produced. The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes, on the one hand, that the restrictions on local radio broadcasting registered in the section "Journalism and democracy" of this report, including the closure of radio stations in the framework of administrative processes conducted by CONATEL, contributes to the intensification of this scenario. This Office recalls that the right to freedom of expression requires States to adopt measures to guarantee its exercise under conditions of equality and non-discrimination. This includes the duty to "remove the obstacles that prevent certain social sectors from accessing the media; and, at the same time, actively promote the inclusion of disadvantaged or currently marginalized groups in the media."  

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has monitored cases of application of the Hate Law for publications made on social networks with messages that question the Government. Likewise, it has continued to receive reports on the blocking of websites by different internet providers of the media and organizations considered to be in opposition to the Government. On the other hand, the SRFOE received information that digital platforms had deactivated profiles of people who acted synchronously in the dissemination of ideas favorable to the Government. Likewise, infrastructural difficulties contribute to the closure and deterioration of the digital civic space in Venezuela. On the other hand, this Office learned about the creation of a messaging application by the Government that, although it would facilitate the contact of citizens with government entities, has generated alerts about the security of shared data, especially in a context of complaints about alleged surveillance of opposition members by state bodies.

In 2022, this Office has learned of the application of the Hate Law against citizens who question the Government on social networks. For example, a man was arrested in the state of Apure for "incitement to hatred" against the Bolivarian National Police, after posting a video on social networks in which he criticized police action; likewise, they would have retained his cell phone. In addition, a citizen was detained in Aragua de Barcelona by officials of the Bolivarian National Guard for allegedly "incitement to hatred", after posting status updates on WhatsApp criticizing said body and the municipality's mayor's office, which the GNB would have described as "vilifications and curses against security agencies and state institutions" in the arrest report. Likewise, comedian Olga Mata was reportedly arrested on charges of "incitement to hatred" after posting a humorous video on the TikTok social network in which she referred to officials. Olga Mata was reportedly released under precautionary measures, she had to delete the video from her social networks and record another video apologizing.

The Office of the Special Rapporteur has also registered the continuity of reports on violations of the principle of net neutrality, characterized especially by the blocking of websites of voices considered to be in opposition to the Government, including newspaper pages. For example, in February the operators Cantv, Digitel, Movistar, Inter, NetUno and Supercable reportedly held a block against the pages of *Efecto Cocuyo, EVTV Miami, Crónica Uno*, and *TVV Noticias*. In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur highlights that although blockades were already operating on *Efecto Cocuyo* and *EVTV Miami*, this year new blockades were applied
through other operators. Likewise, El Nacional denounced the blocking of its website by Cantv, Inter, Digitel, and Movistar, on a date close to the threat made by the representative Diosdado Cabello to "go after the page" of the newspaper as part of a process of execution of a court decision for compensation. In June, the website of the NGO Justicia, Encuentro y Perdón was reportedly blocked by Cantv and Movistar. As has been highlighted in previous years, these practices are facilitated, on the one hand, by the control of the provision of Internet access services by state companies and, on the other, by the regulation of operators in Venezuela, which allows attempts to control the circulation of information by decisions of CONATEL.

1411. In the face of blockades, the sustainability of the media depends on newspapers making considerable efforts to try to circulate their stories. For example, Efecto Cucuyo would have begun to distribute content through WhatsApp and Telegram. The Alatakka podcast, a podcast produced weekly by El Pitazo and intended for journalistic coverage in the Wayuu language, is also distributed by WhatsApp. The digital space also became a space for closed radio stations by order of CONATEL, which has resulted in the migration of these media to the digital format, many times with considerable economic losses.

1412. As the SRFOE has previously highlighted, freedom of expression applies to the Internet in the same way as to all media. Restrictions on freedom of expression on the Internet are only acceptable when they comply with international standards that provide, among other things, that they must be provided for by law and pursue a legitimate purpose recognized by international law and be necessary to achieve said purpose (the "tripartite" test). In the digital sphere, users have the right to use, send, receive, or offer any content, application, or legal service without the traffic being conditioned, directed, or restricted by means of blocking, filtering, or interference that does not comply with the tripartite test. In the same way, this Office recalls that subsequent liabilities for the exercise of freedom of expression online under the figure of "incitement to hatred" can only be legitimate if they follow international standards of freedom of expression, particularly those that deal with non-violent speech protected by international human rights law.

1413. In addition to the blocks, newspaper pages also face other obstacles to stay online. For example, on June 4, El Universal’s Twitter page was hacked. Likewise, throughout the year, the newspaper Tal Cual, the Primer Informe page, and the digital outlet Alberto News were victims of DDoS attacks with the aim of taking their pages off the air.

1414. The Office of the Special Rapporteur learned of the launch of the "Ven-App" application by the Government, which would allow sending messages, sharing files, and creating groups. The application also allows, through "Line 58", citizens to connect with government agencies to make claims about the provision of public services. According to reports from civil society organizations, the application could present risks to the rights of citizens, especially due to permissions to access personal data, geolocation, calendars, and cameras.
1415. The facts just mentioned are inserted in a context of complaints about surveillance practices by the Government. In its most recent report on transparency in communications, Telefónica warned that more than 1.5 million lines of its users would have been subject to interception in 2021, which corresponds to approximately 20% of its users.3084 Likewise, the report of the United Nations independent international fact-finding mission on the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, published in September 2022, identified that the General Directorate of Military Counterintelligence has historically collected “information on target persons through leads, military sources, a network of informers, the infiltration of sectors of the opposition or telephone and digital surveillance, often without any judicial order”.3085 Such acts would allow the activation of operations to detain “dissidents and opponents of the Government, whether real or perceived as such, based on criteria such as their alleged participation in plots against the Government, their leadership roles or their potential leadership, their roles within the political opposition, their public criticism of the Government and, on occasions, whether they could be extorted”.3086 Similarly, SEBIN would also have monitored “civilians, real opponents, or perceived as such by the Government and other high-profile critical individuals” through different methods, which were lengthened in time the higher the profile of the person being monitored.3087 Among them opposition politicians, journalists and people working for student organizations, as well as student and protest leaders.3088

1416. As the SRFOE has previously stated, “respect for freedom of expression online presupposes the privacy of communications. Indeed, without a private space, free from arbitrary interference by the State or individuals, the right to freedom of thought and expression cannot be fully exercised”.3089 For this reason, States must avoid the implementation of any measure that arbitrarily or abusively restricts the privacy of individuals.3090 The duty of the State includes protecting the privacy of digital communications, as well as the confidentiality, integrity and availability of data and computer systems.3091

1417. On December 2, 2021, Twitter announced that it removed 227 accounts in Venezuela for amplifying accounts, hashtags, and topics in support of the Venezuelan government and its official narratives.3092 The “Venezuelan Anti-Blockade Observatory”, an organization linked to the Ministry of People’s Power for Economy, Finance and Foreign Trade, stated that the measure was arbitrary and that it shows that the platform is “categorizing media and users according to their own interests”.3093

1418. The Office of the Special Rapporteur also observes that Internet access in Venezuela continues to be subject to significant infrastructural obstacles. There are constant reports of service failures or signal drops. Also, the cost of access would remain high.3094 According to the organization Freedom House, “Venezuela’s economic crisis, marked by several years of recession and hyperinflation, has affected the country’s electrical and telecommunications infrastructure and the quality of Internet access. The country’s dilapidated infrastructure suffers from failure, theft, and vandalism, resulting in frequent blackouts and poor connection speeds”.3095 Based on the public information available, this Office of the Special Rapporteur has verified that

3094 In this sense, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recorded the different cases that were monitored by VE Sin Filtro, according to alerts published on its Twitter page throughout the year. Twitter account of VE sin filtro (@vesinfiltro).
the plans provided by CANTV, the country's main operator, would have values of around 3 to 15 dollars – according to a September 2022 quote – for a 4mbps connection up to 22mbps, in a country whose minimum wage is estimated at approximately 16 dollars a month, according to public information.\textsuperscript{3096} Since 2020, CONATEL has not published reports on the telecommunications sector; while the latest data released by the agency reported a penetration rate of 53.66%.\textsuperscript{3097} In March 2022, the OVSP reported that only 36.1% of people's homes would have fixed internet.\textsuperscript{3098}

\textbf{1419.} Internet access is a \textit{sine qua non condition} for the effective exercise of human rights.\textsuperscript{3099} The Office of the Special Rapporteur recalls that the principle of universal access to the network goes hand in hand with other duties on the part of the State, such as "progressively promoting access to the technology necessary for its use and to the greatest possible amount of information available on the net; the duty to remove arbitrary barriers to access to infrastructure, technology, and information online; and the duty to adopt measures of positive differentiation to allow the effective enjoyment of this right to persons or communities that require it due to their circumstances of marginalization or discrimination".\textsuperscript{3100}


\textsuperscript{3097} CONATEL. 2020. \textit{Estadísticas Anuales y Trimestrales}.

\textsuperscript{3098} OSVP. March 18, 2022. \textit{OVSP: 36,1% de los consultados en doce ciudades de Venezuela cuenta con Internet para el hogar}.


1. As on previous occasions, the Office of the Special Rapporteur concludes its Annual Report with a chapter of conclusions and recommendations. The purpose of this practice is to establish a fluid dialogue with the member states that will enable the Americas to set an example of respect, guarantee and promotion of the right to freedom of expression.

A. Journalism and democracy

2. The Office of the Special Rapporteur notes with extreme concern the persistence of high levels of violence against journalists in the region. In 2022, at least 39 journalists, belonging to 10 of the 35 OAS Member States monitored by the Rapporteurship, have been murdered in the Americas for reasons that could be linked to their profession, according to reports from international and local organizations working in defense of press freedom. The murders were reported in Brazil (3), Chile (1), Colombia (4), Ecuador (2), United States (1), Guatemala (1), Haiti (8), Honduras (3), Mexico (15) and Paraguay (1).

3. This is the highest figure recorded by RELE in the last 24 years, since the publication of its first annual report in 1998. In this regard, the Rapporteurship warns about this escalation of violence against journalists, calls for reflection and awareness of the serious implications that this has, and reiterates that the murder of journalists and communicators is the most extreme form of censorship and cannot be tolerated in democratic societies. The Rapporteurship also notes with concern the lack of progress in judicial investigations into the murders of journalists, which contributes to widespread self-censorship of the press.

4. While the murder of journalists is the most extreme form of censorship, violence against the press in Mexico has also manifested itself through other forms, such as threats and intimidation against journalists and attacks on media facilities.

5. RELE recognizes that, in some countries of the region, the phenomenon of violence against journalists is largely associated with the increase of violent organized criminal groups, which often have a greater incidence in areas far from large urban centers or in border cities. As the report "Zonas silenciadas: Regiones de alta peligrosidad para ejercer la libertad de expresión" (Silenced Areas: Highly dangerous regions for freedom of expression) points out, in those regions where criminal organizations have a strong presence, journalists are caught in the crossfire and, on many occasions, in order to protect their lives or physical integrity, and even to remain in the profession, they must align themselves with the interests of some power, which means ceasing to report and remaining silent. Despite the efforts of many States in the hemisphere to curb this escalation of violence against journalists and media workers, the Office of the Special Rapporteur continues to document high numbers of murders year after year and notes that significant challenges persist - some of them structural in nature - to ensure that there is effective justice and adequate reparation for the victims.

6. Likewise, RELE considers it crucial that, in the actions undertaken to prevent, protect, investigate, prosecute and punish crimes against the press, the States address the specific needs and risks suffered by women journalists because of their gender. As has been previously highlighted by the IACHR and its Rapporteurship, the social constructions of gender and the historical discrimination against women determine that the patterns of violence that persist in the region against the press have particularities and/or a differentiated impact on women journalists and media workers.

7. The Rapporteurship also highlights that in 2022 there were documented attacks and restrictions on the press in the context of coverage of social protests; activation of judicial mechanisms against journalists for reasons related to their journalistic work on matters of public interest; obstruction of access to public information, coverage of official events and press conferences; among others. In countries facing a breakdown of the rule of law and processes of democratic erosion, the Rapporteurship also observed irregular detentions of journalists, summons to interrogations, confiscation of work equipment, cancellation of news spaces and media outlets, and even prison sentences for reporting and questioning the Government. In addition to the renunciation of independent journalism, the Rapporteurship is extremely concerned that the forced exile of
journalists is increasingly becoming one of the main options for dealing with repression in some countries in the region.

8. On the other hand, this Office warns that the aforementioned facts are inserted in a context of constant stigmatization by public officials and leaders towards the press, which threatens their integrity and increases the risk inherent to their work. This type of stigmatizing and discrediting statements have come from government authorities as well as from leaders of different political sectors, and in some cases also from other actors.

9. In relation to this point, as in previous years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recommends to the member states:

   A. Adopt adequate prevention mechanisms to avoid violence against communicators, including public condemnation of any act of aggression, omitting any statement that may increase the risk to journalists, respect for the right of journalists to keep sources of information confidential; the training and education of public officials, especially police and security forces, and, if necessary, the adoption of behavioral guides or guidelines on respect for freedom of expression, the determination of appropriate sanctions proportionate to the harm committed, as well as the preparation of accurate statistics on violence against journalists.

   B. Adopt the necessary measures to guarantee the safety of those who are subject to a special risk due to the exercise of their right to freedom of expression, whether the threats come from agents of the State or from private individuals. The protection measures or programs must be adequate and sufficient to fulfill their purpose, in accordance with what is expressed in that report.

   C. Conduct diligent, impartial and effective investigations into murders, assaults, threats and acts of intimidation committed against journalists and media workers, in accordance with what is expressed in this report. This implies the existence of special investigation units and protocols, as well as the identification and exhaustion of all possible criminal hypotheses linking the aggression to the professional practice of the victim.

   D. To try by impartial and independent courts all those responsible for murders, assaults, threats and acts of intimidation due to the exercise of freedom of expression, to remove legal obstacles to the investigation and punishment of such crimes, to ensure the widest possible participation of the victims and their families in the investigation and judicial proceedings, as well as adequate reparation, and to eliminate gender barriers that hinder access to justice.

   E. Adopt the necessary measures so that those who work in the media and had to be displaced or exiled due to being in a situation of risk can return to their homes in safety. When it is not possible for these persons to return, States should adopt measures so that they can remain in the place of their choice in dignified conditions, with security measures and the necessary economic support to maintain their work and family life.

   F. Adopt specific, adequate and effective measures to prevent attacks and other forms of violence perpetrated against women journalists and to prosecute and punish those responsible. The State should adopt effective measures to promote the reporting of violence against women journalists and to combat the impunity that characterizes these crimes.

   G. Promote the repeal of laws that enshrine desacato, regardless of the form in which they are presented, given that these norms are contrary to the American Convention and restrict public debate, an essential element of democratic functioning.

   H. Repeal criminal defamation laws and, in particular, refrain from using criminal prosecutions to protect honor and reputation when disseminating information on matters of public interest, on public officials or on candidates for public office. The protection of the privacy or the honor and reputation of public officials or persons who have voluntarily taken an interest in matters of public interest should be guaranteed only through civil law.
I. Promote the incorporation of Inter-American standards into civil legislation so that civil proceedings brought against persons who have made statements about public officials or matters of public interest apply the standard of actual or actual malice, in accordance with Principle 10 of the Declaration of Principles and are proportionate and reasonable.

J. Promote the modification of ambiguous or vague criminal laws that limit freedom of expression in a disproportionate manner, such as those designed to protect the honor of ideas or institutions, in order to eliminate the use of criminal proceedings to inhibit free democratic debate on all matters of public interest.

K. Refrain from using public power to punish or reward media and communicators, in relation to their editorial line or the coverage of certain information, either through the discriminatory and arbitrary allocation of official advertising or other indirect means aimed at impeding communication and the circulation of ideas and opinions.

L. Encourage democratic debate through declarations, practices and public policies that promote tolerance and respect for all people, on an equal footing, regardless of their thoughts or ideas.

M. Urge state authorities to refrain from making public statements or using state media for public campaigns that may encourage violence against individuals because of their opinions. In particular, avoid statements that may stigmatize journalists, media and human rights defenders.

N. Eliminate any regulation that enables prior censorship by any state body, as well as any prior conditioning that may imply censorship of freedom of expression, such as prior requirements of truthfulness, timeliness and impartiality in information.

O. Adopt legislation to regulate state powers of control and oversight, and the allocation of public goods or resources directly or indirectly related to the exercise of freedom of expression. At this point, the task is to adjust the institutional frameworks to two fundamental purposes: to prevent the possibility of state powers being used to reward or punish the media according to their editorial line and, on the other hand, to promote pluralism and diversity in the public debate.

P. Review its legislation to establish the limits to the power to monitor private communications, its necessity and proportionality, in accordance with the universal rights of individuals and the principles of international law that have been included in this report.

Q. Ensure that the public has access to information on private communications surveillance programs, their scope and the controls in place to ensure that they cannot be used arbitrarily. In any case, States should establish independent control mechanisms capable of ensuring transparency and accountability over these programs.

R. Refrain from sanctioning journalists, members of the media or members of civil society who have access to and disseminate classified information on this type of surveillance programs, considering it to be in the public interest. Similarly, confidential sources and materials related to the disclosure of classified information should be protected by law.

B. Freedom of expression, Rule of Law and democratic institutions

10. 2022 was also a year marked by protests and demonstrations in different parts of the region. The Special Rapporteurship observed with concern the excessive use of police force to disperse protests, which in some cases resulted in injuries, detentions and deaths.

11. The Rapporteurship followed with particular concern the situation in Peru, where the worsening of social tensions and restrictions on the exercise of freedom of expression in the context of social protests have been observed. Likewise, in Bolivia, the challenges to the guarantees for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression, assembly and association are framed in a context of tension, fragmentation of the social fabric and serious signs of deterioration of public debate, which is rooted in processes of discrimination and racism. The
conflicts surrounding the Civic Strike in Santa Cruz are examples of these social tensions, where both the excessive use of force and acts of violence by some individuals in the context of demonstrations have been reported.

12. In Canada, the first two months of the year were marked by mass demonstrations against COVID-19 vaccination mandates and pandemic restrictions; Ottawa, the Canadian capital, was the epicenter of these protests for three weeks. The disruption of public order reportedly led the federal government to declare, for the first time in history, a state of emergency. In the context of these demonstrations, the Rapporteurship received reports that several journalists were threatened and harassed while covering the protests in Ottawa, but also in other parts of the country.

13. RELE also documented information on the development of social protests in Panama, in protest of the high cost of living, where alleged repressive acts against demonstrators and journalists were denounced. On the other hand, in June, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and its Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression expressed their concern about the worsening of social tensions in Ecuador within the framework of the National Strike in Ecuador. This Office also learned of reports of human rights violations in the context of social protests in the province of Jujuy, Argentina. According to public information, on March 3, at least seven demonstrators were allegedly arrested by the police during a demonstration to demand food for soup kitchens and charged with the alleged crime of minor and serious injury to the police, assault and resistance to authority, and obstruction of transport and services. The individuals were released a week later and, according to public complaints, were allegedly subjected to cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment while in detention. Reports also indicated that several people, both demonstrators and police officers, were injured in the violent clashes during the protests.

14. In Brazil, decisions of the Federal Supreme Court determined that security force agents should adopt all necessary measures to guarantee the liberation of roads in the face of roadblocks carried out by people protesting after the results of the 2022 elections and - according to decisions of the Federal Supreme Court itself - supporting claims against the integrity and legitimacy of the Brazilian electoral system. Since October, the use of tear gas and other allegedly non-lethal artifacts against demonstrators obstructing roads, whether by blockades, highways or urban and local roads, has been recorded.

15. In Chile, RELE received information on various acts of violence against journalists covering social protests in Santiago de Chile. On May 1, journalist Francisca Sandoval of the local community media Señal 3 La Victoria, was shot in the face by a private individual while covering a Workers’ Day protest in Barrio Meiggs, in the center of the Chilean capital[11]. The journalist died on May 12, after being hospitalized as a result of the attack. During the protest, Radio 7 reporter Fabiola Moreno and Prensa Piensa community media reporter Roberto Caro were also shot and wounded.

16. In the United States, this Office continued to observe attacks against journalists in the context of social protests; most of the attacks recorded by this Office during the year were reportedly in the context of the protests against the repeal of Roe v. Wade and the demonstrations in Puerto Rico over the lack of electricity on the island.

17. The Rapporteurship also recorded the development of protests in Caribbean countries, such as Antigua and Barbuda; in the Bahamas, where demonstrations led by the Bahamas Unified Bus Drivers Union were reported; in Barbados, where demonstrations were observed by nurses from the United Workers Union (UWU) demanding better working conditions and a solution to the shortage of personnel on the island; in Guyana; among others.

18. In Haiti, several reports indicate that since the assassination of former President Jovenel Moïse on July 7, 2021, the country has been in an escalation of violence and humanitarian crisis, which has been aggravated by the economic rise of vital products and which would have provoked protests especially during September and October 2022.

19. On the other hand, in its monitoring of issues related to freedom of expression and its structural relationship with the rule of law and democratic institutions, RELE received information on obstacles to the
full exercise of the right of access to public information, for example in Bolivia, Costa Rica, Panama, Peru, Dominican Republic, and in various Caribbean countries. In Venezuela, one year after the "Law of Transparency and Access to Information of Public Interest" came into force, the organization Espacio Público disclosed that out of 75 requests for access to information submitted to different State bodies, only 62 were received and, of these, 57 were not answered. Only 2 were answered in an adequate manner.

20. The Office also documented several legislative initiatives that could have a negative impact on the right to freedom of expression. In Cuba, on May 15, the National Assembly approved a new Penal Code whose objective would be to "modernize" criminal legislation and update it in accordance with the 2019 Constitution. In this regard, the IACHR and the Office of the Special Rapporteur expressed concern about the creation of criminal definitions that could be used to criminalize the legitimate exercise of freedom of expression, assembly and association.

21. In El Salvador, in the context of the emergency regime declared by the Government, the Legislative Assembly - at the initiative of the executive branch - approved reforms to the Penal Code and the Law for the Prohibition of Maras, Gangs, Groups, Associations and Organizations of a Criminal Nature. The Minister of Justice and Security stated that the purpose of the reform to the Law for the Prohibition of Gangs was to "repress and dissuade the individual from illegitimately using the right to freedom of expression" in a way that could "directly affect public order". According to available information, the reforms were approved on the same day of their presentation, without further parliamentary deliberation. On April 13, 2022, the Rapporteurship issued a press release in which it warned about the risks of severe criminalization of legitimate exercises of freedom of expression presented by the criminal reforms; and called on the State to adapt the legislation to the Inter-American human rights standards on freedom of expression.

22. In Guatemala, Decree 39-2022 created the Law for Prevention and Protection against Cybercrime, approved on August 4, 2022 by the Congress, whose objective was "to protect the personal data of Guatemalans, strengthen the rules of social-digital coexistence in the country, update national legislation in this new technological era and the criminalization of cybercrime, computer fraud and the protection of personal data on the Internet". The norm was questioned by civil society organizations and specialists, who alleged imprecision and vagueness in the normative text; the introduction of restrictive provisions to the right of access to public information; the violation of the right to reserve sources, among other points that they considered incompatible with the Constitution. A few weeks later, on August 24, the Congress decided to shelve this decree, after a series of observations and objections presented by deputies.

23. In terms of academic freedom, RELE learned that university autonomy in Venezuela faces various challenges, including budgetary challenges; attacks on university facilities; limited possibility for the sector to participate in the design of public policies that affect them; and an environment of indirect pressures and lack of academic freedom in the conduct of research.

24. On the other hand, in the United States, the Office of the Special Rapporteur received reports indicating an increase in literary censorship in the United States, mainly in schools. According to public reports, between July 2021 and June 2022, the availability of books in school libraries in the United States would have been significantly reduced, due to the increasing prohibition of various literary works because of their content. In this period, according to the Rapporteurship, at least 2,532 books would have been banned, including 1,648 individual works. Analyses conducted by civil society organizations and the media indicate that the vast majority of banned books were related to LGBTQI+ people and issues, anti-racism, sexual and reproductive rights and sex education, and some perspectives of social activism. Currently, according to a report by PEN America, such actions would have been recorded in 138 of the approximately 13,000 school districts in 32 of the 50 states, affecting access to information for about four million of the approximately 56 million students in more than five thousand of the approximately 130,000 schools, according to available information. Likewise, such measures would have affected works by at least 1,261 authors, 290 illustrators and 18 translators between 2021 and 2022. According to the reported data, from July 2022 to date, at least 139 additional bans would have been filed.

25. In relation to this point, as in previous years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recommends to the member states:
A. Guarantee the legitimate exercise of social protest and prevent the application of disproportionate restrictions that could be used to inhibit or repress critical or dissident expressions. In order to be compatible with international human rights obligations, any national regulation affecting the right to social protest must comply with requirements of legality, necessity and proportionality.

B. To initiate the necessary legislative reforms in order to eliminate from the legal system prior authorization or permit requirements for demonstrations and protests in public spaces, and to expressly establish a general presumption in favor of the exercise of this right.

C. Ensure the protection of individuals and refrain from stigmatizing or stereotyping demonstrators and their demands, avoiding making generalizations based on the behavior of particular groups or isolated events. If security forces must act in a demonstration, they should use the safest and least harmful measures to the rights of individuals. The response of the security forces should be oriented to the protection and facilitation of rights and not to their repression. The general principles on the use of force, applied to the context of protests and demonstrations, require that the management of security operations be carefully and thoroughly planned by persons with specific experience and training for this type of situation.

D. Within the framework of the positive obligations to guarantee the right and protect those who exercise it and third parties, States must establish specific rules and protocols for the security forces acting in situations of social protest and public demonstrations. These directives should be aimed at ensuring that police officers act with the certainty that their obligation is to protect the participants in a public meeting or demonstration or gathering, insofar as the exercise of a human right is involved.

E. Ensure that firearms are excluded from the devices used to control social protests. Prohibiting the carrying of firearms and lead ammunition by officials who may come into contact with protesters has proven to be the best measure to prevent lethal violence and deaths in the context of social protests. The operations may contemplate that in some place outside the radius of action of the demonstration, firearms and lead ammunition are available for exceptional cases in which a situation of violence arises that warrants their use. In this extreme case, there must be explicit regulations about who has the authority to authorize their use and the ways in which this authorization is duly documented.

F. Continue enacting laws that allow effective access to information and complementary regulations that ensure its adequate implementation, in accordance with international standards in this area.

G. Effectively guarantee, both de jure and de facto, habeas data to all persons, as an essential element of freedom of expression and of the democratic system.

H. To promote the effective and efficient implementation of the rules of access to information, adequately training public officials and educating citizens in order to eradicate the culture of secrecy and provide citizens with the tools to effectively monitor the functioning of the state, public management and control of corruption, which are essential in the democratic process.

I. Strengthen the institutional structure for overseeing the implementation of laws on access to public information, in accordance with the highest standards in this area, such as those adopted by the OAS General Assembly in its Resolution AG/RES.2607 (XL-O/10), through which it adopts the "Model Inter-American Law on Access to Information".

J. Promote the mass dissemination of information on women’s human rights and the ways to enforce them, the protection mechanisms available to women potentially at risk of suffering violence and the ways to access them, the free legal assistance programs available to women victims of violence and discrimination, and the other mechanisms for assistance in these matters.

K. Intensify efforts to move toward the effective implementation of comprehensive systems for the collection of information on discrimination and violence against women that incorporate information from all state bodies with competence in this area, especially the judicial systems. Based on the
information collected - complete statistics on violence and discrimination against women, as well as other kinds of quantitative and qualitative information on the subject. Such statistics should be updated periodically and should include information disaggregated at least by sex, gender, age, race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status and disability status, so as to build an accurate picture of the specific ways in which violence and discrimination affect the most vulnerable groups among women. Informally publish and disseminate the statistical information produced.

L. Establish systematic training policies for state officials, particularly judicial officials, on international standards regarding access to information, violence and discrimination against women. Likewise, train civil society organizations in the use of the mechanisms available to make requests for information to the State.

M. To observe, in its policies and decisions, the Inter-American Principles on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy; and to evaluate the adoption of measures that incorporate these standards into its domestic law.

N. To provide protection for freedom of artistic expression in accordance with Inter-American standards.

C. Freedom of expression and the fight against discrimination and exclusion

26. The Rapporteurship observes that obstacles persist for the effective enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression of various vulnerable groups. Particularly, there are challenges for their realities to be inserted in the public debate.

27. This Office recorded important advances in the region, such as court decisions that seek to guarantee the freedom of expression of women journalists and women who report gender violence; the sanctioning of public officials for stigmatizing statements; the prompt reaction, in some cases, of public authorities to discriminatory speeches; the promotion of measures to guarantee plural public debate and combat violence against representatives of groups in vulnerable situations during electoral periods; and decisions that guarantee the right to freedom of expression of LGBTI+ persons, particularly in relation to gender identity and sexual orientation. On the last point, RELE highlights the declaration of unconstitutionality of the crimes of buggery and serious indicency by the High Court of Justice of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court.

28. However, the Rapporteurship underscores the persistence of obstacles that correspond, on the one hand, to speeches that could point to hatred, discrimination and intolerance, including by public officials; to threats, harassment and violence against people who use their voices to bring the claims of vulnerable groups to the attention of society; and to attempts to censor, directly or indirectly, forms of expression and content related to the historical discrimination suffered by vulnerable groups. In environments of high tension, such as electoral contexts, there was an increase in reports of politically motivated violence against groups in situations of vulnerability, which have manifested themselves in threats and in a growing atmosphere of self-censorship.

29. On the other hand, the Rapporteurship has also noted a deficit of spaces for certain discourses to have a place in the public debate. Journalistic initiatives for the defense of human rights and the dissemination of information with a perspective of the rights of women, indigenous peoples, Afro-descendants, and LGBTI+ persons should be recognized for their courage and resilience, but they face socioeconomic and legislative challenges, among others.

30. During 2022, the Rapporteurship was able to record differentiated affectations of violence against women journalists, which, in addition to gender-based stigmatization, included harassment, physical and verbal aggression and even cases of sexual violence. The Rapporteurship also recorded cases of alleged persecution and threats, including the activation of investigations or judicial proceedings, against women journalists covering issues such as access to voluntary interruption of pregnancy and cases of sexual violence. In this sense, RELE also documented restrictions to protests with historical claims of women, some of them in the framework of demonstrations for the International Women’s Day, on March 8; and the recurrent denunciations of misogynist attacks in social networks. Additionally, RELE has observed that there are still high levels of violence against trans persons for reasons related to the exercise of freedom of expression.
The year was also marked by reports of attempts to restrict debate on issues of gender, sex education or race in schools. This includes not only reports of administrative measures, but also legislative measures that seek to maintain control over education on these topics, especially for children. The Rapporteurship also recorded the attempt to limit the so-called "neutral language" in educational institutions. Similarly, under the alleged justification of child protection, the Rapporteurship learned of restrictions or censorship on the exhibition of works of art and artistic production. Artists belonging to groups in vulnerable situations also denounced that their artistic productions are often subject to attempts at restriction by the State or even by pressure from certain sectors of the citizenry.

In 2022, the Rapporteurship also received multiple reports expressing concern about the increase in certain speech that could be covered by the "hate speech" provided for in Article 13(5) of the American Convention. In this regard, questions have grown regarding the scope of the right to freedom of expression over certain speech that is considered close to the threshold of "hate speech". The Rapporteurship rejects situations in which political leaders, especially high-ranking public officials, have failed to address speech that promotes intolerance, discrimination, or hatred, or that constitutes disinformation. However, as in previous years, the Rapporteurship warns that expressions of "hate speech" or "extremism" continue to be used as a basis for silencing voices critical of public power. Therefore, in the midst of this debate, the Rapporteurship recalls that the right to freedom of expression protects even expressions that disturb, shock and disturb; and that only those expressions that meet the specific requirements of Article 13.5 of the ACHR, and that pass a contextual test of content and form of speech, such as the threshold test of the United Nations Rabat Plan of Action, can be prohibited.

This Rapporteurship emphasizes that censorship of debate on controversial issues will not attack structural inequalities and prejudices against historically discriminated groups. States are called upon to promote preventive and educational mechanisms and to promote broader and deeper debates that address the cultural roots of discrimination. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms will depend on guaranteeing plurality and diversity in public debate, in access to the media and in institutional channels for groups in vulnerable situations.

In relation to this point, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recommends to the Member States:

A. To counteract by all possible means speech that incites discrimination, hostility or violence, in accordance with international human rights standards.

B. Recognize social protest and artistic discourse as privileged spaces for historically discriminated groups to enjoy the right to freedom of expression, even considering that it is up to the groups themselves to choose the modalities of protest and artistic expression.

C. To encourage public officials to contribute, in the exercise of freedom of expression, to counteract discrimination and intolerance, intercultural understanding, social inclusion and respect for diversity.

D. Invite, within the framework of party autonomy, political parties to participate in inter-party initiatives and adopt good practices aimed at eradicating stigmatization against the press, especially during election periods.

E. To legislate on community radio broadcasting, so that an equitable portion of the spectrum and the digital dividend is allocated to community radio stations and channels. When allocating these frequencies, democratic criteria should be taken into account to guarantee equal opportunities for all individuals to access and operate these media under equitable conditions, without disproportionate or unreasonable restrictions and with protection against violence, in accordance with Principle 12 of the Declaration of Principles and the "Joint Declaration on Diversity in Broadcasting".

F. Promote effective policies and practices that allow access to information and equal participation of all sectors of society so that their needs, opinions, and interests are taken into account in the design and decision-making on public policies. Likewise, to adopt legislative and other measures necessary to
guarantee pluralism, including laws that prevent the existence of public or private monopolies and undue or excessive concentration of the media.

G. Adopt clear, fair, objective, and equitable procedures for the granting or renewal of broadcasting licenses, taking into consideration the importance of the media for all sectors of society - including those historically discriminated against - to participate in the democratic process in an informed manner.

D. Freedom of expression and the Internet

35. In 2022, the Office of the Special Rapporteur has observed the continuity of state and private initiatives to control information that threaten the existence of the Internet as a free, autonomous and pluralistic space. The Rapporteurship recorded a trend of using digital technologies to intimidate journalists, human rights defenders and other Internet users who participate in debates on public interest. In addition, the context of deterioration of public debate resulting from the articulation of stigmatizing discourse, disinformation, hate speech and private control of the flow of information has prompted restrictive state responses to the right to freedom of expression on the Internet.

36. In addition, this Office observed that, following reports of fraud, ransomware attacks and the dissemination of defamatory and disinformation speech, there has been an increase in proposals for cybersecurity legislation and policies in the region, many of which are based on criminal law, especially in the Caribbean. The Rapporteurship reiterates its concern with such proposals, which often lack data protection and due process safeguards and therefore may disproportionately impinge on the right to privacy, as well as undermine the rights of access to information, freedom of expression and judicial guarantees. In this context, in Belize the police have reportedly implemented a tool that would allow them to penetrate cell phones, computers and other devices to detect and prevent cybercrime. In Barbados, the government shut down its information technology platforms following a ransomware attack. In the Bahamas, the government reportedly announced the national cybersecurity project following alleged data breaches of public infrastructure. RELE recalls that cybersecurity measures must be limited and proportionate to the risk faced and must follow a legitimate purpose, as well as be specifically provided for in the law and have oversight mechanisms so that they are not deployed as tools for surveillance and state control over the citizenry.

37. In 2022, RELE also followed discussions on legal frameworks around content moderation on platforms in the region. In the United States, this discussion has taken place in the Supreme Court, where the liability of intermediaries under section 230 of the Communications Decency Act is analyzed in the cases Google vs. Gonzalez and Tamneh vs. Twitter. Likewise, in Brazil, the Electoral Court issued Resolution 23714/2022, which allows for the removal of content "knowingly false or seriously out of context that affect the integrity of the electoral process." In addition, the Rapporteurship highlights the continuity of attempts of criminalization of speech by state entities under arguments of confronting violence and disinformation. In this regard, in Bolivia, RELE learned of a determination of preventive detention of a journalist after the publication of a meme on social networks, under allegations that it was discrimination at the discretion of the Mining Administrative Jurisdictional Authority.

38. The Special Rapporteurship notes with concern that the tipping point for freedom of expression on the Internet that it warned of in 2021 continues to worsen around the same problems that undermine democratic coexistence in the Americas. The widespread deterioration of public debate, access and digital literacy gaps, and the prevalence of content governance models that are incompatible with human rights standards, increasingly pose obstacles to the preservation of a free, safe and inclusive Internet for all people. Given the complex and dynamic nature of the current challenges, RELE calls on States to continue building initiatives for dialogue and cooperation with a multi-stakeholder perspective that respect the principles of network architecture and are based on the respect and guarantee of human rights, taking as a reference the experiences of the continent and the search for broader consensus.

39. In relation to this point, as in previous years, the Office of the Special Rapporteur recommends to the Member States:
A. Avoid regulatory frameworks for internet intermediaries for user content circulating on their platforms, which may encourage the dynamics of "private censorship".

B. Avoid the creation of general and/or ambiguous laws to combat disinformation on the Internet, as well as the use of criminal prohibitions to punish the dissemination of "falsehoods" or "non-objective information".

C. Engage in multilateral dialogues with the aim of building consensus in line with the principles and standards of international human rights law, especially in the area of online content moderation and Internet governance.

D. Promote broad, plural and robust consultations with all interested parties on the occasion of any legislative proposal or institutional initiative that arises in this area.

E. Promote universal access to the Internet to guarantee the universal and effective enjoyment of the right to freedom of expression through this medium.

F. Carry out positive actions for literacy, awareness and development of digital civic competencies and skills.

G. Ensure that the processing of data and Internet traffic should not be subject to any kind of discrimination based on factors such as devices, content, author, origin and/or destination of the material, service or application, in accordance with the principle of net neutrality.

H. Promote good practices so that public officials contribute with their speeches to counteract disinformation on the Internet.