CHAPTER IV
HUMAN RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS IN THE REGION

INTRODUCTION

1. The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR or Commission) continues its practice of including a Chapter in its Annual Report to the General Assembly of the Organization of American States (OAS) on the situation of human rights in the Member States of the Organization, based on its competence as set forth in the OAS Charter, the American Convention on Human Rights, the Statute and the Commission's Rules.

2. Preparing reports on the situation of human rights in the countries of the region has been one of the main tools of the Commission since its first Annual Report to the OAS General Assembly in 1969. Beginning in 1977, the Commission started to publish this information systematically, using different titles, chapters or sections, in what essentially has become Chapter IV.

3. In 1996, the Commission established four specific criteria to identify those OAS member States whose human rights practices merited special attention by the IACHR and consequently a special analysis to be included in the annual report. In the 1997 Annual Report, the Commission added a fifth criterion to be considered when deciding which countries to include in this Chapter. The IACHR has applied these criteria on the basis of the mandate and faculties assigned to it by the regional instruments, and accordingly analyzes the situations under consideration in light of the actions of States, pursuant to inter-American human rights standards.

4. Following a process of reflection on the strengthening of the inter-American human rights system, the IACHR issued Resolution 1/2013, “Reform of the Rules of Procedure, Policies and Practices,” which included changes with respect to the content of Chapter IV of its Annual Report. Along these lines, Chapter IV has been divided into two sections:

i. Section A) will include an annual overview of the human rights situation in the hemisphere, derived from its monitoring work, which shall identify the main tendencies, problems, challenges, progress and best practices of civil and political rights, and social, economic and cultural rights;

ii. Section B) will include the special reports that the Commission considers necessary regarding the situation of human rights in Member States, pursuant to the criteria, methodology and procedure provided below.

5. As part of the process of reflection, the Commission reviewed and modified the criteria to be applied for including a State in Chapter IV. B. The current criteria are:

CRITERIA

a. A serious breach of the core requirements and institutions of representative democracy mentioned in the Inter-American Democratic Charter, which are essential means of achieving human rights, including:

i. there is discriminatory access to or abusive exercise of power that undermines or denies the rule of law, such as systematic infringement of the independence of the
judiciary or lack of subordination of State institutions to the legally constituted civilian authority;
ii. there has been an unconstitutional alteration of the constitutional regime that seriously impairs the democratic order; or
iii. the democratically-constituted government has been overthrown by force or the existing government has otherwise come to power through means other than free and fair election, based on universal and secret ballot, pursuant to internationally accepted norms and principles reflected in the Inter-American Democratic Charter.

b. The free exercise of the rights guaranteed in the American Declaration or the American Convention has been unlawfully suspended, totally or partially, by virtue of the imposition of exceptional measures such as a declaration of a state of emergency, state of siege, suspension of constitutional guarantees, or exceptional security measures.

c. The State has committed or is committing massive, serious and widespread violations of human rights guaranteed in the American Declaration, the American Convention, or the other applicable human rights instruments.

d. The presence of other structural situations that seriously affect the use and enjoyment of fundamental rights recognized in the American Declaration, the American Convention or other applicable instruments. Factors to be considered shall include the following, among others:

i. serious institutional crises that infringe the enjoyment of human rights;
ii. systematic noncompliance of the State with its obligation to combat impunity, attributable to a manifest lack of will;
iii. serious omissions in the adoption of the necessary measures to make fundamental rights effective, or in complying with the decisions of the Commission and the Inter-American Court; and
iv. systematic violations of human rights attributable to the State in the framework of an internal armed conflict.

6. The Commission assesses the situation of human rights in the OAS Member States throughout the year in the exercise of its mandate to promote and protect human rights in the region. It gathers information from multiple sources and, in particular, utilizes reliable information obtained from the following sources in making its evaluations:

a. official acts of all levels and branches of government, including constitutional amendments, legislation, decrees, judicial decisions, policy statements, official communications to the Commission and to other human rights organs, as well as any other statement or action attributable to the Government;

b. information available in cases, petitions and precautionary and provisional measures in the inter-American system, as well as information on compliance by the State with recommendations of the Commission and judgments of the Inter-American Court;

c. information gathered in the course of on-site visits by the Commission, its Rapporteurs and members of its staff;

d. information obtained during hearings held by the Commission as part of its sessions;

e. conclusions of other international human rights bodies, including UN treaty bodies, UN Rapporteurs and working groups, the Human Rights Council, and other UN specialized agencies;
f. human rights reports issued by governments and regional organs;
g. reports by civil society organizations, as well as information presented by such organizations and private persons; and
h. public information that is widely disseminated in the media.

7. The Commission deliberates in plenary during its third ordinary session each year, applying the methodology and criteria indicated to make reasoned decisions on Chapter IV and on other matters included in the Annual Report. During its 153rd Period of Sessions, the IACHR analyzed informative memoranda about the situation of human rights in some countries, which were previously requested by the Commissioners, and voted on whether to include them or not. As a result, in some cases it was decided to include the State in Chapter IV.B; and in others, not to do so.

8. The Commission, by an absolute majority, and based on the indicated criteria, decided to include two member States in the current Chapter: Cuba and Venezuela. In addition, as provided in the Rules of Procedure, the Commission sent the draft of the respective sections of Chapter IV.B to the States concerned with a request to present relevant observations within a certain period. Neither Cuba nor Venezuela presented comments within the period provided.

9. It should be mentioned that, in its reform process, the Commission established a procedure by which a State that has received an on-site visit from the Commission, would not be included in Chapter IV.B of the Annual Report of that year. The monitoring of the situation of human rights would be carried out by means of a country report derived from the on-site visit. Once the country report is published, the Commission will follow up on compliance with the respective recommendations by means of Chapter V of its Annual Report. Thereafter, the Commission will decide what would be the appropriate procedure to monitor the situation. Such is the case of Honduras, which received a visit from the Commission in December of 2014.

A. Overview of the human rights situation in the hemisphere

1. List of press releases and requests for information from the States in the exercise of the monitoring faculty

10. In keeping with its mandate to monitor the human rights situation in the hemisphere in 2014, the Commission issued a series of press releases regarding situations that raised concerns and, also, voiced positions recognizing good practices of some States. The complete list of press releases issued by the Commission over the course of 2014 appears hereunder:

- 156/14 - IACHR Welcomes Announcement to Reestablish Relations between the United States and Cuba, Washington, D.C., December 19, 2014
- 152/14 - IACHR Calls on the United States to Investigate and Punish Acts of Torture Established in the Senate Intelligence Committee Report, Washington, D.C., December 12, 2014
• 151/14 - IACHR Welcomes Brazil’s Truth Commission Report and Calls on the State to Implement its Recommendations. Washington, D.C., December 12, 2014
• 150/14 - IACHR Concludes Visit to Chile. Washington, D.C., December 11, 2014
• 147/14 - IACHR Welcomes the Resettlement of Six Guantanamo Detainees to Uruguay and Urges OAS Member States to Follow Uruguay’s Example. Washington, D.C., December 10, 2014
• 146/14 - IACHR Wraps Up Onsite Visit to Honduras. Tegucigalpa, Honduras, December 5, 2014

Annex to the Press Release: Preliminary Observations
• 144/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Colombia to the Inter-American Court. Washington, D.C., December 1, 2014
• 142/14 - IACHR Welcomes Executive Action on Immigration in the United States. Washington, D.C., November 26, 2014
• 141/14 - IACHR Conducts Onsite Visit to Honduras. Washington, D.C., November 25, 2014
• 138/14 - On Transgender Day of Remembrance, the IACHR Expresses Concern About the Situation of Trans Persons in the Americas. Washington, D.C., November 21, 2014
• 134/14 - IACHR Conducts Visit to Colorado, United States. Washington, D.C., November 14, 2014
• 133/14 - IACHR Agrees on Terms of Agreement on Technical Assistance to Mexico. Washington, D.C., November 12, 2014
• 131A/14 - Report on the 153rd Session of the IACHR
• 130/14 - IACHR Condemns Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Dominican Republic. Washington, D.C., November 6, 2014
• 127/14 - UN and IACHR Rapporteurs Call on States to Protect Human Rights Defenders. Washington, D.C., October 31, 2014
• 126/14 - IACHR Welcomes the Measures Taken by Uruguay and Brazil for the Protection of Syrian Refugees. Washington, D.C., October 24, 2014
• 125/14 - Rapporteurship on the Rights of the Child Culminates Visit to Mexico. Washington, D.C., October 20, 2014
• 123/14 - IACHR Takes Case Involving Peru to the Inter-American Court. Washington, D.C., October 17, 2014
• 121/14 - IACHR Urges States to increase efforts to eradicate poverty in America. Washington, D.C., October 17, 2014
• 120/14 - IACHR Announces Schedule for its 153rd Session. Washington, D.C., October 17, 2014
• 119/14 - IACHR Urges States to Respect and Guarantee Human Rights of Rural Women. Washington, D.C., October 15, 2014
• 118/14 - IACHR Chair Concludes Visit to Colombia. Washington, D.C., October 10, 2014
• 117/14 - IACHR Makes an Urgent Call on the Mexican State Regarding the Murder and Disappearance of Students. Washington, D.C., October 10, 2014
• 116/14 - IACHR Takes Case Involving Peru to the Inter-American Court. Washington, D.C., October 10, 2014
• 115/14 - IACHR Urges OAS Member States to Abolish the Death Penalty. Washington, D.C., October 10, 2014
• 114/14 - Edison Lanza Begins his Mandate as Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression. Washington, D.C., October 8, 2014
• 113/14 - IACHR Takes Case Involving Guatemala to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Washington, D.C., October 7, 2014
• 112/14 - IACHR Takes Case Involving Peru to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Washington, D.C., October 6, 2014
• 110/14 - IACHR Wraps Up Visit to the United States of America. San Antonio, Texas, October 2, 2014
• R109/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Pleased with Recent Ruling by Brazil’s Supreme Federal Tribunal. Washington, D.C., September 29, 2014
• 108/14 - IACHR Reiterates its Concern about the Processes to Select and Appoint Judges to Guatemala’s Appeals Court and Supreme Court. Washington, D.C., September 27, 2014
• 106/14 - IACHR Calls upon Member States of the OAS to Guarantee Fully and Effectively the Human Rights of Indigenous Peoples in the Americas. Washington, D.C., September 22, 2014
• 104/14 - IACHR Expresses Concern over Detention Conditions at Rikers Island Prison, United States, a year after the tragic death of an inmate. Washington, D.C., September 18, 2014
• 103/14 - IACHR Expresses Deep Concern over Threats against Defenders of Human Rights Organizations Working for Peace in Colombia. Washington, D.C., September 18, 2014
• 102/14 - IACHR Condemns Killing of Four Asháninka Indigenous Leaders in the Department of Ucayali, Peru. Washington, D.C., September 18, 2014
• 101/14 - IACHR Condemns Murder of Human Rights Defender in Honduras. Washington, D.C., September 17, 2014
• 100/14 - IACHR Takes Case Involving Guatemala to the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Washington, D.C., September 17, 2014
• 99/14 - Argentina Hands Records of the Military Dictatorship to IACHR. Washington, D.C., September 17, 2014
97/14 - Rapporteurship on the Rights of Persons Deprived of Liberty Wraps up Visit to Paraguay. Washington, D.C., September 15, 2014
95/14 - IACHR Congratulates Mexican Supreme Court for Adoption of Protocol Involving Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity. Washington, D.C., August 29, 2014
94/14 - IACHR expresses concern over threats against and arrests of campesino leaders in Bajo Aguán, Honduras. Washington, D.C., August 29, 2014
91/14 - IACHR Deplores Deaths and Injuries at Youth Education Center in Paraguay. Washington, D.C., August 22, 2014
86/14 - IACHR Wraps Up its 152nd Special Session. Mexico City, August 15, 2014
84/14 - IACHR Calls on States to Implement Social Inclusion Policies for Youth. Washington, D.C., August 13, 2014
R83/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Attack to Journalist and Murder of his Son in Mexico. Washington, D.C., August 6, 2014
81/14 - IACHR Receives Requests for Hearings and working meetings for the 153rd Period of Sessions. Washington, D.C., July 31, 2014
80/14 - IACHR Wraps Up its 151st Regular Period of Sessions. Washington, D.C., July 30, 2014
74/14 - IACHR Welcomes Ban on Physical Punishment of Children in Brazil. Washington, D.C., July 11, 2014
• 71/14 - IACHR Urges States to Take Decisive Actions to Prevent Torture. Washington, D.C., June 26, 2014
• R70/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Murder of Journalist in Paraguay. Washington, D.C., June 24, 2014
• 66/14 - IACHR Urges United States to Suspend Execution of John Winfield. Washington, D.C., June 11, 2014
• R64/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Murder of Journalist in Mexico. Washington, D.C., June 10, 2014
• 60/14 - The IACHR Welcomes Recent Developments in OAS Member States to Protect and Promote the Rights of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex Persons. Washington, D.C., May 20, 2014
• 58/14 - IACHR Urges Guatemala to Continue Complying with its International Obligations and Fighting against Impunity. Washington, D.C., May 16, 2014
• 54/14 - IACHR Expresses Concern over Attacks on Migrants and Human Rights Defenders by State Agents in Mexico. Washington, D.C., May 12, 2014
• 53/14 - IACHR Welcomes Military Justice Reforms in Mexico. Washington, D.C., May 9, 2014
• 52/14 - IACHR Condemns Murder of Senior Counsel of Trinidad and Tobago. Washington, D.C., May 9, 2014
• 51/14 - IACHR Holds Third Lecture in the Lecture Series: Transforming the Invisible into the Visible. Washington, D.C., May 9, 2014
• 50/14 - IACHR Deplores Violent Deaths at Educational Facility for Adolescents in Paraguay. Washington, D.C., May 6, 2014
• 49/14 - IACHR Expresses Deep Concern over Agonizing Death of Clayton Lockett in Death Chamber in the United States. Washington, D.C., May 6, 2014
• 48/14 - IACHR Calls on Member States to Open their Archives on the Human Rights Violations Committed under the Regime of Jean-Claude Duvalier. Washington, D.C., May 5, 2014
• 46/14 - IACHR Expresses Concern for Vigilante Attacks in Argentina. Washington, D.C., April 24, 2014

• **44/14 - IACHR Conducted a Visit to New York, United States.** Washington, D.C., April 24, 2014

• **43/14 - IACHR Presents Annual Report.** Washington, D.C., April 23, 2014

• **42/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Chile to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., April 22, 2014

• **41/14 - IACHR Urges Guatemala to Ensure Transparency and Meet Minimum Standards in the Appointment of Justice Operators.** Washington, D.C., April 21, 2014

• **40/14 - IACHR Expresses Deep Concern over Discoveries of 370 Child Migrants Abandoned by their Traffickers in Mexico.** Washington, D.C., April 16, 2014

• **R39/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Murder of Radio Worker in Honduras.** Washington, D.C., April 15, 2014

• **38/14 - IACHR Expresses Deep Concern over the Discovery of 110 Migrants being Held against their Will in a "Stash" House in the United States.** Washington, D.C., April 11, 2014

• **37/14 - IACHR Condemns Execution of Ramiro Hernandez Llanas in the United States.** Washington, D.C., April 11, 2014

• **36/14 - IACHR Urges United States to Suspend Execution of Ramiro Hernández Llanas.** Washington, D.C., April 8, 2014

• **35/14 - IACHR Wraps Up its 150th Session.** Washington, D.C., April 4, 2014

• **35A/14 - Report on the 150th Session of the IACHR.**

• **34/14 - IACHR to Create an Office of the Special Rapporteur on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights.** Washington, D.C., April 3, 2014

• **33/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Ecuador to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., April 2, 2014

• **32/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Honduras to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., April 2, 2014

• **31/14 - IACHR Welcomes Adoption of Law on Creation of National Mechanism for Prevention of Torture in Costa Rica.** Washington, D.C., April 2, 2014

• **30/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving El Salvador to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., April 1, 2014

• **29/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Guatemala to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., April 1, 2014

• **28/14 - IACHR and Inter-American Court Agree to Strengthen Cooperation on the Petition and Case System.** Washington, D.C. / San Jose, March 26, 2014

• **27/14 - IACHR Elects New Board.** Washington, D.C., March 20, 2014

• **26/14 - IACHR Announces Schedule for its 150th Session.** Washington, D.C., March 14, 2014

• **25/14 - On International Women’s Day, the IACHR Highlights Deep Concerns Regarding the Protection of the Rights of Women in the Americas.** Washington, D.C., March 8, 2014

• **24/14 - IACHR Welcomes Decision of the Haitian Court of Appeals to Investigate Serious Human Rights Violations Committed under the Regime of Jean-Claude Duvalier.** Washington, D.C., February 28, 2014

• **23/14 - IACHR Expresses Concern about Attacks against LGBTI Persons and other Forms of Violence and Restrictions against LGBTI Organizations in the Americas.** Washington, D.C., February 27, 2014

• **22/14 - IACHR Welcomes Death Penalty Moratorium in the State of Washington.** Washington, D.C., February 26, 2014

• **R21/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Murder of Journalist in Colombia.** Washington, D.C., February 26, 2014

• **R20/14 - Office of the Special Rapporteur Condemns Murder of Journalist in Brazil.** Washington, D.C., February 25, 2014

• **19/14 - IACHR Takes Case involving Peru to the Inter-American Court.** Washington, D.C., February 25, 2014
11. Additionally, in exercising the powers granted to it under Article 41 of the American Convention on Human Rights and Article 18 of its Statute, the Commission requested information from Member States, regarding the specific issues listed hereunder:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member State</th>
<th>Issue/Situation</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Situation of journalist José Rubén Zamora</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Situation of the ADHLP in La Paz</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Dictum on the law on Public Protests for the Federal District</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Decisions by Constitutional Court with respect to the term of Attorney General Paz y Paz</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Situation of children detained with their parents and subject to a proceeding for leaving the prisons, in particular the San Pedro prison, in the city of La Paz</td>
<td>February 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Fire at the Modelo prison in Barranquilla</td>
<td>February 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member State</td>
<td>Issue/Situation</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Deaths of inmates at Unit 6 of the Federal Penitentiary Service, in Rawson</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Situation of internally displaced persons in Mexico</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Attack on María Santos Domínguez, a human rights defender, and her family</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Investigations into the deaths of persons that occur as the result of confrontations with agents of the United States Border Patrol</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Request for information on the legal situation of Mr. Andony Godínez and Invitation to the State of Guatemala</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Suspension of Judge Iris Yassmin Barrios by the Tribunal of Honor (disciplinary body) of the Guatemalan Bar Association (CANG)</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Mechanism of protection for human rights defenders and journalists in Mexico</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Situation of former judge Alexis Ballesteros</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Situation of persons detained</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Request for information on criminal proceeding against journalist Julio Ernesto Alvarado and Invitation</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Situation of the communities of the Ngäbe-Buglé people in the province of Chiriquí</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Casa Alianza</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Assassination of Sandra Luz Hernández, human rights defender</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Attacks on José Guadalupe Ruelas García, human rights defender</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Situation of persons deprived of liberty</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Secondary legislative initiative on telecommunication and radio broadcasting</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Request for information on the reported attacks and arrests of journalists during the demonstrations held in the context of the FIFA World Cup</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Guardians of the Homeland Program (Programa de Guardianes de la Patria)</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>On the measures for the protection of journalist Mark Bassant</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Violent breakup of demonstration at La Puya</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Judgment of the Constitutional Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice of April 24, 2014</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Medical condition of prisoner at Lurigancho Prison</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Representation of the Afro-descendant population in the Congress of the Republic</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Assassination of four members of Fundaprogreso</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Situation of student Alejandro Ospina Cogua</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>On the bill to abolish criminal defamation and also offering technical assistance to the State</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Situation of members of the COPINH and members of the Lenca indigenous community</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Deisy Aguilara</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Marinalva De Santana Ribeiro, human rights defender</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Strike of workers at Chirico</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Situation of the inhabitants of the community known as Colonia Huber Duré (Canindeyú)</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Bill to protect human rights defenders, journalists, other media workers, and judicial officers</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Situation of the girls P and D</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Investigation into the assassination of journalist Hugo Bustíos (Report No. 38/97)</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>On the Law on free access to public information and government transparency</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Protests and arrests</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2. Issues of Concern

12. Under Chapter IV.A, the Commission provides a yearly overview of the human rights situation in the region and, in so doing, has deemed it important to highlight certain issues, as laid out below.

13. In preparing Chapter IV.A of the 2014 Annual Report, the Commission received information from Member States, public officials, civil society, international organizations and two individuals from Uruguay and Mexico. The Commission wishes to express its gratitude for information received from Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Panama, the United States of America and Uruguay. Likewise, the Commission is grateful for information received from public officials from the municipality of Pereira, Colombia, from the Public Defender’s Office of the Republic of Argentina, and from the Directorate of Human Rights Research of the Institution of Ombudsman for Human Rights, Guatemala. The Commission also expresses its gratitude for the information provided by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).³

14. Similarly, the Commission is thankful for information received from civil society organizations and networks of civil society organizations, namely: Defensoría Militar,⁴ Red Pro Bono de las Américas,⁵ Peace Brigades International,⁶ International Human Rights Clinic of the Loyola Law School of Los Angeles,⁷ International Detention Coalition,⁸ Human Rights Watch,⁹ Fundación Pro Bono Venezuela,¹⁰ Centro

---

1 Defensoría General de la Nación de la República Argentina (http://www.mpd.gov.ar/).
2 Dirección de Investigación en Derechos Humanos de la Institución del Procurador de los Derechos Humanos (http://www.pdh.org.gt/).
3 http://www.unhcr.org/cgi-bin/texis/vtx/home.
4 http://www.defensoriamilitar.org/.
5 http://redprobono.org./
6 http://www.peacebrigades.org/.
7 http://www.lls.edu/academics/clinics/experientiallearning/internationalhumanrightsclinic/.
8 http://idcoalition.org/.
9 http://www.hrw.org/.
10 http://www.provene.org/.

11 http://www.cidsep.org.py/
12 http://cmdpdh.org/
13 http://www.centroprodh.org.mx/
14 http://www.reproductiverights.org/
15 http://www.ijdh.org/about/
16 http://www.caritas.cr/ver2/
17 http://asylumaccess.org/AsylumAccess/
18 http://www.acai.cr/sitioweb/
19 https://astradomes.wordpress.com/
20 http://www.cidehum.org/
21 http://www.wilpfinternational.org/
22 http://www.anep.or.cr/
23 http://adhjus.org/
24 https://binacional.wordpress.com/
25 http://www.sinn-global.org/
26 http://www.solederre.org/
27 http://cristosal.org/
28 http://www.insam.org/
29 http://gmies.org/
33 http://www.alliancedefendingfreedom.org/
34 http://aireana.org.py/index.php
Citizen Insecurity

15. Throughout the years, the Inter-American Commission has addressed the issue of citizen security and its relationship to human rights through the study of petitions, cases and precautionary measures, in reports on the situation of human rights in the Member States of the OAS, in thematic reports and at hearings held during its sessions. Based on the information received, the Commission prepared a thematic report on citizen security and human rights in which it analyzed the issue and made recommendations to Member States on how to improve the institutions, laws, policies, programs and practices on prevention and control of crime and violence.37

16. In its Report on Citizen Security, the Commission noted that countries of the region had some of the highest rates of crime and violence in the world at the time and that their young population was the most affected, both as victims and as perpetrators.38 The Commission also noted that for the first time in decades the population of Latin America had listed crime as a major concern, even greater than unemployment. In its report the Commission noted that positive obligations undertaken by Member States are required to develop public policies on citizen security contemplating as a priority an efficient institutional structure that guarantees the public the exercise of human rights related to the prevention and control of violence and crime.39 The Commission highlighted certain difficulties that the region has had in the past in creating a set of institutions to enable the State to function properly address citizen security, particularly with regard to the areas of: i) the treatment of victims of violence and crime; ii) the privatization of security services; iii) the governability of citizen security; iv) the professionalization and modernization of the police forces; and v) the intervention of the armed forces in tasks related to citizen security.40.

17. The Commission continues to be deeply concerned by the level of violence and citizen insecurity in the region. In this section of Chapter IV.A the Commission addresses examples difficulties that States of the region continue to face in addressing the issue of citizen security such as the need for improvements in collecting data related to citizen insecurity, the militarization of security forces, the vulnerability of certain groups and the impact of citizen insecurity generally. Additionally, the Commission provides some examples of positive measures adopted by Member States to address this issue. The Commission recalls that, in keeping with their international human rights obligations, States have an obligation to adopt all necessary measures to protect the life and personal integrity of all those under their jurisdiction. This duty, set out in State obligations in matters of citizen security, also entails protecting people from violence and crime.41

18. Nevertheless, despite the Commission’s findings and recommendations made in its Report on Citizen Security, recent data from the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) shows that States in the region are not adequately discharging their duty to protect those under its jurisdiction and that the situation has become worse. Indeed, UNODC’s Report on Global Homicide in 2013 portrays the Americas42 as the region with the highest homicide rates in the world.43 This report indicates that the global

42 UNODC considers the region of the Americas to be comprised of four sub-regions, namely North America, Central America, the Caribbean, and South America.
average homicide rate stands at 6.2 per 100,000 persons but that on average Central America has rates above 25 homicides per 100,000 persons and South America and the Caribbean have rates between 16 and 23 homicides per 100,000 persons. These rates are roughly three to four times higher than the global average and may be higher when analyzed by individual country. Eight out of the ten countries in the world with the highest homicide rates are located in the Americas. Even more alarming is the indication that homicide rates appear to be steadily increasing in the region or have stabilized at comparatively high rates. Even some countries that have registered a noticeable decrease in their homicide rates in recent years, such as El Salvador and Jamaica, still possess rates that greatly exceed the global and regional averages.

19. The available information establishes that only a handful of Member States in the Americas possess homicide rates that do not exceed the global average, particularly in comparison to the regional average. The Commission is aware, however, that even these countries face many challenges with regard to citizen insecurity. While the national homicide rates in the countries of the region clearly illustrate that citizen insecurity is a major problem in the Americas, they do not portray the entire problem as they fail to address the complex nature of violent crimes and homicides, such as their geographical distribution at the sub-national level, the socio-economic context in which they are committed, the characteristics of the victims and the disproportionate manner in which they are committed against certain segments of society.

20. Such factors are overlooked much too often by States whose response to the growing phenomenon of citizen insecurity is to adopt “tough on crime” and “zero tolerance” policies and legislative reforms in order to appease the demands of a population that is frightened by the growing sense of insecurity and strongly influenced by that fear. State and societal concerns about citizen security are legitimate as violence and crime are perceived by most of the hemisphere’s population as some of the main challenges that...
States must address.\textsuperscript{54} Given that Governments are responsible for taking adequate action, in strict adherence to the law and human rights, it is important that they design and implement short, medium and long-term measures as part of an integral strategy to promote security with a rights-based focus.\textsuperscript{55} A human rights perspective enables the issues of crime and violence, and their impact in citizen security, to be tackled through the strengthening of democratic participation and the implementation of policies focused on the protection of the individual instead of those focused on the security of the State or of any particular political system.\textsuperscript{56}

21. Other kinds of short-term or heavy-handed policies – based on control and repression – in search of fast, effective solutions end up being ineffective or counterproductive in the region’s countries.\textsuperscript{57} Citizen security involves the interrelationship among several actors, conditions, and factors, including the history and structure of the State and society; government policies and programs; the observance of economic, social, and cultural rights; and the regional and international context.\textsuperscript{58} Therefore, its realization cannot be reduced simplistically and misleadingly to speeches announcing harsher criminal justice policies and the massive incarceration of persons as the only response to perceptions of high levels of insecurity. The criminal justice policy of States must be preventive, with policies and programs geared to crime prevention.

22. In 2014 the Commission warned of such regressive trends throughout the hemisphere in terms of the increased exercise of the State’s punitive power in areas with high levels of insecurity and violence, and particularly as regards adolescents.\textsuperscript{59} The Commission is concerned by the positions being proffered as solutions for the issue of citizen insecurity in the region such as lowering the age of criminal responsibility and harsher sentences for adolescents.\textsuperscript{60} In light of this concern, the Commission and the Rapporteur for the Rights of Children spoke out against legislative reforms in Uruguay that sought to reduce the age of criminal responsibility and other initiatives such as Law 19.055 that imposed harsher penalties on adolescents in that country.\textsuperscript{61} The referendum held to decide on the approval of this legislative reform ultimately resulted in the rejection of the proposed reduction of the age of criminal responsibility.\textsuperscript{62}


\textsuperscript{61} See IACHR, Press Release No. 98/14, “IACHR Office of the Rapporteur on the Rights of the Child Wraps Up Visit to Uruguay and Speaks Out against Reducing the Age of Criminal Responsibility,” September 16, 2014, available at: http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media_center/PReleases/2014/098.asp. With regard to Law 19.055, the Commission noted that it stiffened penalties making the deprivation of liberty the rule by establishing that adolescents who commit crimes considered under this law to be very serious may not be released from prison for a period of one year, which has resulted in an exponential increase in incarceration. See IACHR, Press Release No. 98/14, “IACHR Office of the Rapporteur on the Rights of the Child Wraps Up Visit to Uruguay and Speaks Out against Reducing the Age of Criminal Responsibility,” September 16, 2014, available at: http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media_center/PReleases/2014/098.asp. While the Commission expressly addressed this issue in relation to Uruguay in 2014, it is also aware of current and past legislative initiatives proposed in other countries of the region, such as Argentina and Brazil, which also seek to reduce the age of criminal responsibility.

Initiatives to reduce the age of criminal responsibility, if approved, would constitute a grave violation of the fundamental rights of adolescents and run contrary to international treaties, as they infringe on the guarantee that adolescents who are criminally prosecuted must be tried within a specialized juvenile justice system that applies a framework based on their age. 63

23. In general, such repression-centered responses have proven to be ineffective, generated more violence, and exacerbated other existing problems. 64 Many times these policies violate human rights and are counterproductive to the rule of law, as they erode confidence in democratic institutions by undermining their ability to control these situations. 65 This type of policy is due, in part, to the lack of an objective analysis of the context and causes of citizen insecurity, as well as social scaremongering and a public debate often focused on emotions and stereotypes. 66 The Commission stresses that the primary objective of criminal justice with regards to children and adolescents must be rehabilitation; that deprivation of liberty should be used only as a last resort and for the shortest time possible; and that judicial proceedings should be specialized and accessible to children and adolescents. 67

24. Furthermore, the Commission is concerned about the growing militarization of security forces as a response to the situation of citizen insecurity. In its Report on Citizen Security the Commission observed that armed forces are not properly trained to deal with citizen security; hence the need for an efficient civilian police force, respectful of human rights and able to combat citizen insecurity, crime and violence on the domestic front. 68

25. In 2014, in a hearing held during the Commission’s 150th regular session, the Commission received information on the general situation of human rights in Honduras and was informed of the privatization and militarization of public security forces, along with a lack of modernization and professionalization of the National Police. 69 Similar information was provided to the Commission during a hearing held at the Commission’s 153rd regular session concerning reports of militarization in Guatemala. 70


70 During this hearing, civil society organizations warned the Commission about the dangers to the rule of law and respect for human rights posed by the growing militarization of citizen security forces. This trend, they said, is characterized by the appointment of military retirees to positions that involve making decisions and crafting policy on security, and by the increasing use of the army in citizen security tasks that belong to the police. Moreover, they were especially concerned about the use of states of emergency as mechanisms to repress situations of high social conflict, and reported that members of the army had committed abuses in such situations. The Commission received information regarding complaints of 600 human rights violations, 20 of which pertained to sexual violence. See IACHR, Press Release No. 131A/14, “Report on the 153rd Session of the IACHR,” December 29, 2014, available at: http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media_center/PReleases/2014/131A.asp. Video of hearings held during the 153rd Period of Regular Sessions available at: http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/multimedia/sesiones/153/default.asp.
26. The Commission was also made aware of the use of more militarized and heavily armed security forces to address situations of civil unrest, such as the situation in Ferguson, Missouri, in the United States of America, following the killing of Michael Brown by a police officer, and it issued a press release condemning such measures. The militarization of security forces to maintain or restore order in situations of civil unrest or public protests is also occurring in other States of the region, such as Venezuela, where the State issued Decree No. 1,605 on February 20, 2015, creating the "Reglamento Orgánico de la Dirección General de Contrainteligencia Militar" ("Internal Regulations of the General Directorate of Military Counterintelligence"). It has been reported that this decree has created a structure for military intelligence similar to those of past military dictatorships in South America, especially to those that existed under the doctrine of national security, which provided unlimited powers and jurisdiction to security forces to carry out intelligence and counter-intelligence operations in direct subordination to the President of the Republic and resulted in many human rights violations.

27. In April 2014, in his report on Mexico, the United Nations Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, Christof Heyns, stated that:

In any country, soldiers involved in policing are notoriously unable to relinquish the military paradigm. Their training often leaves them unsuited for law enforcement. The primary objective of the military is to subdue the enemy through the use of superior force, while the human rights approach, in terms of which all law enforcement operations must be judged, focuses on prevention, arrest, investigation and trial, with force only as the last resort, and lethal force being permissible only to prevent the taking of life. The Special Rapporteur warns that following a military approach to public security risks creating a situation where a civilian population is vulnerable to a wide range of abuses.

This is also the understanding of the Commission and it again calls for the demilitarization of security forces in countries in the region and reaffirms that policing activities should be carried out by civilian police forces and not by the military or by military police forces. The Commission considers it troubling that many countries in the region have recently adopted or appear to be in the process of adopting a more militarized response to the issue of citizen insecurity.

28. In light of the foregoing, the Commission urges States to adopt comprehensive public policies that recognize the multifaceted and complex nature of insecurity and violence and that can address the structural causes of citizen insecurity.

---


72 See Asociación Civil Control Ciudadano, "Maduro oficializa figura del 'enemigo' con reforma de inteligencia militar" ("Maduro makes official the figure of the 'enemy' with the military intelligence reform"), February 18, 2015, available at: http://www.controlciudadano.org/noticias/detalle.php?notid=12669.


With regard to the militarization of security forces in Venezuela, see infra Chapter IV.B.
29. The Commission notes that one of the concerns and main difficulties faced by the UNODC in preparing its report on homicides was precisely the lack of data collected by countries on many of the elements addressed in that report. The World Health Organization (WHO) also faced such challenges when preparing its 2014 Global Status Report on Violence Prevention. Effective policies and prevention strategies benefit from the collection of reliable data and the analysis of homicide and other crime statistics, which deepen the understanding of the factors that contribute to violence and can inform policymakers of how best to direct limited resources towards tackling violent crime. Disaggregated data pertaining to the victim(s), the perpetrator(s), the relationship, if any, between them, the context and the motivation behind the killing is needed to gain a better understanding of what triggers violent crime, who is most at risk, and the elements that can facilitate homicide, so that preventive and reduction-oriented policies can be better targeted.

30. Due to the importance of data collection, the Commission urges the States in the region to thoroughly study and collect data on the situation of citizen insecurity in their territories by: i) analyzing the geographical distribution of violent crimes and homicides at a sub-national level; ii) analyzing the socio-economic context in which these crimes are committed; iii) analyzing the weapons utilized in their commission; and iv) analyzing victimology and disaggregating the information collected in order to identify persons or groups that may be particularly vulnerable to such crimes. The Commission has already manifested concern over the collection of such data and issued recommendations to address this issue in the context of violence against lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans (LGBT) persons. To this end, the Commission recognizes certain progress registered during 2014, with respect to Brazil, for example, where the Federal Government has sought to improve the system for collecting information related to homicides linked to sexual orientation or gender identity. In 2014, the Brazilian Ministry of Health began to request information about sexual orientation and gender identity on mandatory notifications of violent deaths. New forms containing this modification are currently being tested in three Brazilian states. Indeed, in 2013, the IACHR welcomed Brazil’s efforts to produce disaggregated data regarding crimes motivated by the victim’s actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity. This type of effort must be replicated elsewhere in the region and not only in relation to crimes committed against LGBT persons.
31. As previously noted, national homicide rates are flawed as they do not portray an accurate picture of the citizen insecurity problem in Member States. In fact, they often mask differences that exist at a sub-national level as countries with low or stabilized national averages may still have crucial citizen insecurity issues that need to be addressed and resolved. In addition, recent trends in the national average may not necessarily reflect the trend in all the sub-national regions of a country. According to UNODC, “[a] good example of stability in a country’s national homicide rate disguising disparities in homicide rates within its territory is Brazil, where, although the national homicide rate has changed little over the last 30 years, there have been significant changes within its different states. Homicide rates have declined in the States of Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo, but they have risen in other parts of the country, particularly the north and northeast. As homicides in Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo States have decreased (by 29 per cent and 11 percent, respectively) from 2007 to 2011, the homicide rate increased by almost 150 percent in Paraiba and by half in Bahia.” Just as homicide rates vary between the different sub-regions of the Americas, these rates can also vary geographically within a country and an assessment of sub-national homicide rates will indicate to the States the geographical regions of their countries where most homicides are being committed and where measures are most needed.

32. In addition to geographic factors, States must also analyze the context in which homicides and other violent crimes are committed in their countries and in the region in order to implement public policies specifically tailored to address such contexts. This type of assessment requires States to identify risk factors such as the existence of organized crime, armed conflicts, unemployment, poverty and inequality, poor standards of education, and accessibility to firearms that are present in the areas affected by violent crime.

33. For instance, UNODC’s report indicates that the median proportion of organized crime/gang-related homicides is highest in the Americas and that countries in Central America and the Caribbean, such as the Bahamas, Belize, El Salvador and Honduras, show increasing trends regarding this phenomenon. Moreover, this report shows that firearms are the most prevalent killing mechanism in the Americas, accounting for over 60% of all homicides in the region. Despite these figures, the WHO reports that only 6% of countries in the world reported having conducted national surveys on gang violence and 11% of countries in the world reported having conducted surveys on armed violence. Due to the impact of such elements on violent crime and homicide in the Americas, the Commission considers that countries in the region need to pay closer attention to them in order to develop policies and strategies to reverse the existing trends.

86 UNODC Report on Homicides, p. 25.
89 See UNODC Report on Homicides, p. 25.
90 UNODC Report on Homicides, pp. 42-44. UNODC alerts that caution is needed in assessing this data since the attribution of homicide to organized crime or gangs depends on national penal legislation, practices by law enforcement agencies and accuracy in compiling statistics. See UNODC Report on Homicides, p. 42, fn. 4.
91 UNODC Report on Homicides, pp. 65, 66.
34. While the Commission is aware that there are difficulties in linking legal gun ownership to homicide rates⁹⁰ it is also true that certain studies indicate that a strong correlation exists in this regard with respect to intimate partner homicides which disproportionately affect women.⁹¹ The Commission therefore urges States to promote reforms to gun ownership legislation in a manner that will take into consideration the particular risks that women are exposed to by the legal sale of firearms. Such reforms could include more thorough background checks to ensure that men who have a history of violence against women or those who have had or have restraining orders issued against them will find it more difficult to obtain firearms. The firearms legally obtained by such persons may be used to commit acts of violence, including murder, against women and children or used in creating situations that expose these persons to mortal danger.⁹² Moreover, even though there are difficulties in assessing the causal relationship of legal gun ownership and violent crime, the same cannot be said with respect to the illicit trade in small arms, which is a serious problem that requires action from all the States in the region.

35. In addition to focusing on the context and the killing mechanisms, States need to focus their efforts on the actual victims in order to identify the extent to which certain persons or groups are more vulnerable than others. In its Report on Citizen Security the IACHR already recommended that Member States ensure the special standards of protection for those persons or groups that are particularly vulnerable to violence and crime, such as children and adolescents, women, the indigenous population, Afro-descendants, migrants and their families, notwithstanding the obligations that the member states have undertaken to protect and ensure the human rights at stake in the policy on citizen security to all persons subject to their jurisdiction.⁹³ The Commission considers that most States in the region are not properly complying with this recommendation.

36. In this regard, the Commission draws attention to violent crimes committed against LGBT persons in the Americas. In 2014 the Commission continued to receive troubling information on the situation of violence against LGBT persons in the Americas, and the lack of effective measures adopted by States to prevent and respond to such violence, including through comprehensive legislation and public policies. The Commission documented killings and other instances of violence against LGBT persons during a fifteen-month period (between January 1, 2013 and March 31, 2014). Through this Registry of Violence, the Commission found that, during this period, at least 594 persons who were LGBT or were perceived to be LGBT were killed, and 176 were victims of serious non-lethal attacks to their personal integrity apparently related to their sexual orientation, gender identity and/or gender expression in at least 25 OAS Member States, namely Argentina, Barbados, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, the United States of America, Uruguay and Venezuela. According to the data collected, many of these cases of violence against LGBT persons were committed with verbal violence related to the perceived sexual orientation or gender identity of the victims, which was particularly evident in cases of non-lethal violence. Also, the Commission noted that a large number of cases in this Registry evidence high levels of cruelty and heightened levels of violence based on both the perception of sexual orientation and gender identity/expression.⁹⁴

---

⁹⁰ In this regard see UNODC Report on Homicides, pp.67-69.
⁹¹ UNODC indicates that 89% of victims of all types of homicide worldwide are males. However, in relation to intimate partner/family-related homicides, two-thirds of victims of this type of homicide are female. See UNODC Report on Homicides, pp. 13, 14, 49-56.
37. The IACHR notes that the majority of OAS Member States do not collect data on violence against LGBT persons. With a few exceptions of State reporting, in collecting the aforementioned data, the IACHR had to resort to other sources for information such as media coverage and reports from civil society organizations. The Commission’s Registry of Violence is not exhaustive, but reveals the diverse and pervasive forms of violence experienced by LGBT persons in the Americas.\(^\text{95}\)

38. The Commission has also noted with concern that it is mostly younger trans women who are victims of such violence. According to the data collected, 80% of trans persons killed were 35 years of age or younger. The IACHR has indicated that violence against trans persons, particularly trans women, is the result of a combination of factors: exclusion, discrimination and violence within the family, schools, and society at large; lack of recognition of their gender identity; involvement in occupations that puts them at a higher risk for violence; and high criminalization, more specifically, the disproportionate use of criminal processes against members of this group. The criminalization of trans women and other gender non-conforming persons is partly due to the fact that they are often targeted by law enforcement agents, who may act based on prejudice and assume they are criminals; and is also related to discrimination against LGBTI persons in the justice system.\(^\text{96}\)

39. During 2014, the Commission and its Chair were able to examine the human rights situation of LGBT persons in the region during visits to Honduras and Colombia in December and October, respectively. Following its visit to Honduras, the IACHR indicated:

Civil society organizations reported a high number of cases in which lesbian, gay, bisexual, and trans persons have been killed in Honduras. They reported that from 2009 to December 1, 2014, 174 violent deaths of LGBT persons were registered in the country (90 gays, 15 lesbians, and 69 trans persons), primarily in the departments of Cortés and Francisco Morazán. “There is no government proposal to prevent violent deaths,” one defender of the rights of LGBT persons told the IACHR in Tegucigalpa. For its part, the State indicated that several of such cases involving violent deaths had been prosecuted. However, while the State reported on the investigation into a number of cases, it did not present information on measures to prevent violence against LGBT persons.\(^\text{97}\)

At the culmination of its visit to Colombia in October 2014, the IACHR Chair and Rapporteur on the Rights of LGBTI Persons also expressed its concern over killings and other acts of violence against LGBT persons.\(^\text{98}\)

40. On the other hand, the Commission recognizes positive measures adopted by some OAS Member States in order to prevent and address the instances of violence based on sexual orientation and gender identity. For example, in May 2014, the IACHR highlighted some of these positive steps taken by Brazil, Chile, Honduras, and Mexico. In that regard, the Commission noted that, in Honduras and the Mexican state of Oaxaca, the government appointed a specialized prosecutor to investigate and prosecute crimes


based on sexual orientation and gender identity. The Commission also noted that, in the Brazilian state of Paraná, a prosecution unit specializing in the investigation of crimes committed against LGBT persons was created, inspired by similar existing units in the states of Espírito Santo and Pernambuco, to foster educational programs and promote the formulation of public policies. Moreover, the IACHR also recognizes and welcomes several positive steps taken by Colombia aimed at preventing and addressing instances of violence against LGBT persons.

41. The Commission also wishes to draw attention to the level of violence committed against women in the region. Through the implementation of its protection mechanisms and the promotion of women’s human rights, the IACHR continuously received information on violence against women in 2014, including the troubling trends of violence and impunity. The region still suffers from persistent socio-cultural patterns of discrimination against women, including multiple forms of discrimination against indigenous, Afro-descendant, rural, trans women and lesbians, and currently possesses the second highest homicide rate for women in the world. An example of the troubling situation of violence against women can be seen in Honduras where violent deaths of women has been trending upward between 2005 and 2012, rising from 175 homicides of women in 2005 to 606 in 2012, an increase of 246.3%. This intersectional discrimination exposes women in the region to vulnerability to human rights violations that undermine citizen security.

In 2014, the President of the IACHR and Rapporteur on the Rights of Women, Tracy Robinson, stated that “violence against women is regrettably an ordinary feature of life throughout the Americas, engrained and epidemic.” According to information received about incidents of violence against women in 2014, this violence has taken many different forms, including: high rates of homicide and femicide; “corrective” rape
of trans women and lesbians; 106 violence in the context of armed conflict, including forced displacement and the killing of women human rights defenders; 107 forced sterilization; 108 and institutionalized violence related to sexual and reproductive rights, including criminalization of abortion, high maternal mortality rates, and discrimination by healthcare professionals. 109

42. The high rates of violence against women coincided with the persistence of impunity and limitations on the right to access to justice, which perpetuated such violence in 2014. The linguistic and economic barriers 110 to the exercise of access to justice, as well as the gender-based discrimination perpetrated by judicial officials, 111 influenced the high rates of impunity in 2014. Additionally, the Rapporteurship emphasizes that the limitations on the access to information on women’s legal rights and the processing of their cases prevented women from denouncing violence and remained a barrier to the exercise of their human rights. 112

43. The Commission is also preoccupied with the levels of violent crime committed against children, adolescents and young adults in the region. UNODC’s Report on Homicides states that “[t]he 15-29 and 30-44 age groups account for the vast majority of homicides globally, with almost half of all homicide victims aged 15-29 and slightly less than a third aged 30-44.” The report goes on to state that “[t]he homicide rate for male victims aged 15-29 in South America and Central America is more than four times the global average rate for that age group” and “[t]he 30-44 age group is ... at higher risk in some countries in Central America, the Caribbean and all sub-regions in Europe.” 113 The abundant existing evidence shows that


108 CEDAW, Observations on Peru, para 21.


113 UNODC Report on Homicides, p. 14. Particular situations in the region highlight the vulnerability of children. For example, in Venezuela, despite claims by the Government that armed groups do not exist in its territory, there are indications that children have
The Commission urges States to promote the eradication of all forms of violence against children and adolescents and any social tolerance of such violence.

Similarly, the Commission alerts the countries in the region to the violent crimes being committed against afro-descendants and indigenous populations. With regard to violence committed against indigenous populations, the IACHR notes that on September 1, 2014, in the community of Alto Tamaya-Saweto, a group of loggers would have ambushed, tied, shot and dismembered several indigenous leaders Asháninkas Edwin Chota Valera, Jorge Ríos Pérez, Leoncio Quinticima Meléndez and Francisco Pinedo. These persons were on their way to Apiwtxa, on the border with Brazil, to participate in a bi-annual coordination meeting against indiscriminate logging in the region. Violence in the region has increased since indigenous populations began demanding the titles to their land. Furthermore, in Peru, acts of violence continue to occur in the context of the opposition to the exploitation of natural resources. The Commission has received information regarding the use of criminal prosecution and the disproportionate use of force against members of indigenous populations that oppose extractive projects. Moreover, the recent reform to the Criminal Code in the form of Law 30,151 could have devastating effects on the indigenous populations in Peru as this law exempts security force agents of criminal responsibility for injuries and deaths caused by the use of force in the performance of their duties. In Costa Rica, indigenous populations in Salitre are said to be in grave danger since July 7, 2014, when a group of 200 ranchers assaulted 10 indigenous families. The ranchers entered two of the occupied farms, burned the ranches chased down the indigenous families through the mountains for three days like animals. While doing so they closed the entrance to the territory. While the State informed the Commission that police promptly responded to these incidents, the Commission received information that there were only two responding police officers and that other ranches were burned due to the lack of police presence on the scene.

Better data collection by the States in the terms set out above will allow them to better identify problems of citizen insecurity in their territories and lead to the development of well targeted policies and legislative initiatives to prevent violent crime and homicides in the region.

Nevertheless, the Commission is aware that preventive measures can fail and that protective measures are crucial in safeguarding the population and addressing the issue of citizen insecurity. The Commission therefore urges States in the region to improve their protection mechanisms in light of the many deficiencies in the protective services offered by state security forces.

In 2014, various recipients of death threats in the region informed state security forces of these threats but no protection or ineffective protection was provided, which ultimately contributed to the
death of these persons. Journalists and human rights defenders appear to be those who were most severely impacted by the lack of effective protection in many of the Member States.

48. In 2014 the Commission expressed concern over the deaths of journalists and social media communicators that had previously informed the State security forces that they were receiving threats against their lives.\(^{119}\) The IACHR considers it particularly troubling that one of the persons killed was a beneficiary of precautionary measures granted by the Commission.\(^{120}\) The ninth principle of the IACHR Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression states: “The murder, kidnapping, intimidation of and/or threats to social communicators, as well as the material destruction of communications media violate the fundamental rights of individuals and strongly restrict freedom of expression.” It is the duty of the state to prevent and investigate such occurrences, to punish their perpetrators and to ensure that victims receive due compensation and the Commission urges States in the region to do so.

49. Human rights defenders in the region are exposed to many violations of their rights which include kidnappings, disappearances and killings as a result of their exercise of their right to defend human rights by filing complaints, law suits and grievances. In order to address this problem, many countries in the region have created protection mechanisms. For instance, Colombia has created the “Programa de protección de defensores de derechos humanos, sindicalistas, periodistas y líderes sociales” (“Protection Program for human rights defenders, unionists, journalists and social leaders”); Brazil has created the “Programa Nacional para a Proteção dos Defensores de Direitos Humanos” (“National Program for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders”); Mexico has created the “Mecanismo de Protección para Personas Defensoras de Derechos Humanos y Periodistas” (“Mechanism for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders and Journalists”). Other Member States have also created such programs or are in the process of creating them.\(^{121}\)

50. The Commission values initiatives designed to dedicate additional attention to protection needs and recognizes the efforts being made in this regard. These programs, however, have proven to be ineffective at times since the Commission expressed concern over the deaths of human rights defenders in Colombia,\(^{122}\) in Honduras\(^{123}\) and in Mexico\(^{124}\) in 2014. Even more worrisome is the fact that many of the deaths of persons that had previously denounced death threats occurred to persons who were beneficiaries of precautionary measures granted by the Commission.\(^{125}\)


\(^{121}\) For instance, during the IACHR’s visit to Honduras in 2014, it received information regarding a bill that seeks to provide protection to human rights defenders, journalists and justice operators.


51. During the 153rd regular session of the IACHR, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, Michel Forst, and the IACHR Rapporteur on the rights of human rights defenders, Commissioner José de Jesús Orozco Henríquez, held a working meeting in which they reiterated their commitment to continue strengthening cooperation between the universal human rights system and the inter-American system to better protect human rights defenders through joint actions. In addition, at the working meeting both Rapporteurs expressed concerns over the persistence of killings, threats, harassment and criminalization of human rights defenders in various countries in the region as well as the lack of adoption of effective measures by States for defenders who are at grave risk. The threats to and the killings of human rights defenders are particularly troubling to the Commission since not only do acts of violence and other attacks against them affect the guarantees inherent to all persons; they also undermine the essential role defenders play within society and leave all the people on whose behalf they work in a state of defenselessness.

52. Similarly, States in the region need to act to ensure proper protection for justice operators whose work is especially crucial in adequately addressing the issue of citizen insecurity. An example of the importance of their work can be found in the Commission's fourth report on the human rights situation in Colombia, where it highlighted that the efforts of judges, prosecutors and public defenders devoted to protecting human rights and democratic principles have greatly contributed to the peace process in that country. Justice operators play an essential role in ensuring that the rule of law prevails. Specifically, they are the ones who guarantee the right of access to justice. Justice operators enable a complaint to go through the proper channels and, in the case of human rights violations, make it possible to investigate and punish those responsible and to provide reparation to the victims, while ensuring due process of law for anyone who may be subject to the State’s punitive power. An assault against a justice operator because of his or her functions is a particularly serious matter, not only because it is assault upon a justice operator’s life or physical integrity, but also because it has the effect of intimidating and instilling fear, which can spread to other justice operators, and have a corrosive effect on the administration of justice.

53. Despite the need to protect justice operators, in 2014 the Commission received information regarding threats against and killings of justice operators in the region, including by organized crime. For instance, the National Commission on Human Rights in Honduras, informed the Commission that justice operators were threatened or killed in the country in 2014. Since 2010, 86 justice operators have been killed in Honduras. Even in Colombia, where their work has been so important to the peace process, a situation of personal insecurity of justice operators persists, as evidenced by threats they receive and the attacks carried out against them.


129 In 2010, a report by the then United Nations Special Rapporteur on independence of judges and lawyers, Gabriela Carina Knaul de Albuquerque e Silva, indicated that over 300 justice operators had been killed in Colombia between 1995 and 2010. That
54. Similarly, the provision of adequate protection and working conditions to members of state security forces is equally important in effectively addressing the issue of citizen insecurity. It is impossible for these persons to provide adequate protection services to the population when they themselves live in fear of being attacked or are forced to work in conditions that place them in unnecessary danger. Information available from some of the Member States shows that the homicide rates of police officers can be considerably higher than the national homicide rate and that these officers may be in even more danger when they are off duty and targeted for execution by organized crime. For instance, statistics from Brazil show that 191 off-duty police officers were killed in Brazil in 2011, 287 were killed in 2012 and 369 were killed in 2013. In those same years, the number of officers killed in the line of duty was 91 in 2011, 160 in 2012 and 121 in 2013. Similar information can be found with regard to off-duty officers at risk in Argentina.

55. As noted above, the collection of data is essential in addressing the issue of citizen insecurity in the region and the Commission has found that very little data is available regarding the death of security force agents. The Commission therefore urges States in the region to investigate such deaths, to study the risk factors to which law enforcement officials are exposed, both in the line of duty and off duty, and to implement measures aimed at safeguarding law enforcement officials so that they may in turn protect the population.

56. On a positive note, the Commission welcomes measures adopted by certain States to improve their protection mechanisms, including through cooperation with international organizations. In this regard, the Commission welcomes the information provided by the Panamanian State that SEPROVIT has been jointly working with UNODC on project PANT37 in order to support the work of the Prosecutor’s Office of Panama in strengthening its institutional capacity to assist and protect victims and witnesses.

57. In addition to the Commission’s concerns regarding the efficiency of the protection mechanisms in place in Member States, the Commission is also troubled by the many instances where state
and private security forces, as well as state agents, are actively involved in human rights violations. It is particularly grave that persons who are entrusted by the population to safeguard their rights, physical integrity and life, end up being the ones who unjustifiably harass, arrest, detain and kill members of the population. In this regard, the Commission notes that information received in 2014 indicated that state and private security forces as well as state officials in the region were alleged to have taken part in forced disappearances,\(^{135}\) in the harassment and repression of human rights defenders,\(^ {136}\) in the harassment and repression of protesters,\(^ {137}\) and in drug-trafficking activities.\(^ {138}\)

58. The killings and disappearance of students in Iguala, in the state of Guerrero, Mexico, between the night of Friday, September 26 and the early morning of Saturday, September 27, 2014, is a prime example of such situations. The events began when a group of approximately 80 students left the central bus station in the city of Iguala, traveling to the city of Chilpancingo. As of that moment, municipal police officers, allegedly in collusion with assassins tied to organized crime, indiscriminately attacked the students with high-caliber firearms over the course of the following hours. These serious acts of violence, the causes of which have not yet been uncovered, left six dead (three students from the above-mentioned school, one of whom allegedly had visible signs of torture, two soccer players, and a woman), at least 14 students injured, some seriously, and 43 students whose whereabouts are still unknown. The IACHR recalls that in 2011, following a working visit to Mexico, it underscored the fact that the State faces serious challenges related to

\(^{135}\) In a public hearing held during the 153\(^{\text{rd}}\) Period of Regular Sessions, the Commission was informed, for example, that since the year 2000, 43 persons have been forcibly disappeared in the Brazilian state of Goiás, allegedly by state security forces. The Commission was told that state officials are not adequately addressing this issue as the country has yet to enact a law that provides a legal definition for the crime of forced disappearances and attempts to push for thorough investigations have been met by harassment and threats from security forces, including to public defenders. In light of this, no proper investigations have been conducted to solve these cases. In this regard, see IACHR, Annex/Press Release No. 35A/14, “Report on the 153\(^{\text{rd}}\) Session of the IACHR,” December 29, 2014, p. 22, available at: [http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/prensa/docs/Report-153.pdf](http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/prensa/docs/Report-153.pdf). Video of hearings held during the 153\(^{\text{rd}}\) Period of Regular Sessions available at: [http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/multimedia/sesiones/153/default.asp](http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/multimedia/sesiones/153/default.asp).


the growing power of organized crime. It observed that these groups "have highly destructive weapons in their possession and influence within some spheres of the State, both at the local and federal levels." Additionally, it noted that many criminal acts carried out by these criminal organizations “apparently involved the participation of corrupt elements of the police and State agencies, which reportedly facilitated the commission of serious human rights violations, giving rise to impunity.” This situation reveals a clear deterioration of the rule of law. In light of this situation, the IACHR signed an agreement with the State of Mexico and the representatives of the missing students of Ayotzinapa, which allowed the Commission to designate an Interdisciplinary Group to provide technical assistance to the state of Mexico in the search for the missing students, in the investigation and actions adopted to punish the perpetrators, and in the assistance to the families of the students.  

59. Finally, in order to effectively resolve the issue of citizen insecurity, in addition to thoroughly studying the phenomenon of violent crime and providing adequate protection to the population in danger, States must also improve their judicial response to the situations where prevention and protection have failed. States must therefore ensure that thorough investigations are carried out to identify the perpetrators of violent crime and bring them to justice. According to UNODC, for every 100 homicide victims only 53 suspects are identified and only 24 of those are ultimately convicted in the Americas.  

At the global level, for every 100 homicide victims, 97 suspects are identified and 43 are convicted. The poor performance by most Member States in providing a judicial response to homicides is alarming, as is the fact that this situation appears to be getting worse in the Americas as the gap between the number of homicides and the number of convictions has been widening in the region over the last few years.  

60. Resolving the problem of citizen insecurity in the region must be one of the main priorities of Member States. This is necessary not only to safeguard the lives of those within the State's jurisdiction, but also to prevent other human rights issues in the region that result from or are exacerbated by the situation of citizen insecurity, such as the displacement of populations, the flow of unaccompanied migrant children to the United States, the emergence or growth of private security forces, instances of vigilante justice and...
the tendency to prosecute and punish adolescents and young adults more severely, even when they may be especially prone to violence and in dire need of protective and not repressive measures.

**Discrimination on the Basis of Nationality**

61. In its monitoring work, the Commission has received information on laws, administrative practices and/or judicial decisions in Member States that disproportionately affect the ability of persons or populations of a particular nationality to enjoy the civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights to which they are entitled by law.

62. In December 2013 the Commission conducted a visit to the Dominican Republic and received troubling information concerning grave violations of the right to nationality, to identity and to equal protection without discrimination. The Commission had already pointed out some of these deeply rooted forms of discrimination in the context of an earlier visit in 1997, but these have been greatly exacerbated by Judgment TC 168/2013 of the Constitutional Court.\(^145\) This judgment gave new interpretation as regards the protection without discrimination. The Commission has already pointed out some of these deeply rooted practices and/or judicial decisions in Member States that disproportionately affect the ability of persons or populations of a particular nationality to enjoy the civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights to which they are entitled by law.

63. The Commission recognizes that the determination of who may be recognized as nationals of a State is an issue that falls in principle under States’ domestic jurisdiction, but this authority is not without limits. In the exercise of their discretion, States must ensure equal and effective protection of the law without discrimination and prevent, avoid and reduce statelessness.\(^148\) Moreover, obligations rooted in international human rights law, and recognized by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR or Inter-American


Court) in the case of the Girls Yean and Bosico v. Dominican Republic,⁴⁴⁹ require that States refrain from applying policies, laws, judgments, or practices that result in people being unable to have access to any nationality.

64. Furthermore, there is an inextricable link between the obligation to respect and guarantee human rights and the principle of equal protection of the law without discrimination. In light of the manner in which the situation in the Dominican Republic has disproportionately affected Dominicans of Haitian descent or perceived to be of Haitian descent, the Commission considers it to be a violation of the right to equal protection without discrimination.¹⁵⁰

65. The Constitutional Court's judgment TC 168/2013 has exacerbated discrimination that has long existed in the Dominican Republic on the basis of race and poverty.¹⁵¹ In 2013, the United Nations Committee for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination noted that multiple levels of discrimination exist in the Dominican Republic. Specifically, it noted the persistence of structural racism and discrimination based on color and national origin, the link between poverty and racism in general, and the “firm denial” by the State of the existence of racial discrimination, which constitutes a critical obstacle in terms of compliance with its international obligations.¹⁵²

66. Since the Commission's visit to the Dominican Republic in December of 2013 the situation has not changed for the better. In fact, the situation appears to have deteriorated as the Constitutional Court, on November 4, 2014, rendered its judgment TC/0256/14, which declared unconstitutional the instrument accepting the jurisdiction of the IACtHR, which was deposited with the Organization of American States (OAS) on March 25, 1999. The Inter-American Court has issued four judgments against the Dominican Republic, of which three concerned the subject of discrimination against persons of Haitian descent. The Commission is of the view that the Constitutional Court's decision represents an obstacle to the State's compliance with the recommendations of the IACHR, the decisions of the IACtHR, the standards of the inter-American system, and with its obligation to end such forms of discrimination.

67. This view is supported by the Dominican government's statement of October 23, 2014, in which it indicated that it was rejecting the judgment delivered by the IACtHR on August 28, 2014, in the Case of Expelled Dominicans and Haitians v. Dominican Republic. The kinds of violations addressed in this judgment have been monitored by the Commission for more than two decades through visits, country reports, hearings, precautionary measures, petitions, and cases and this is one of three judgments rendered by the IACtHR regarding discrimination against Dominicans of Haitian descent. The Dominican government's rejection of the August 28 judgment of the Inter-American Court took place in a context in which the Dominican Republic has failed to comply with the recommendations of the IACHR, decisions of the IACtHR and human rights standards of the inter-American system, particularly those related to human rights violations that result from structural discrimination against persons of Haitian descent who live in the Dominican Republic.

68. The Commission is profoundly concerned by the statements of the Dominican government and with the recent decisions of the Constitutional Court. In some statements the Dominican State expressed its commitment to the inter-American system, but its failure to recognize its human rights obligations, which

---


it accepted voluntarily through sovereign decisions and actions, contradicts its stated commitment and undermines the international human rights protections of those under the Dominican State's jurisdiction. The Commission calls upon the State to use its best efforts to comply in good faith with its regional human rights obligations. Moreover, the Commission emphasizes that the Constitutional Court's decision has absolutely no basis in international law and can thus have no future effect given that the American Convention on Human Rights does not provide a mechanism to withdraw acceptance of the Inter-American Court's contentious jurisdiction once given, short of denouncing the American Convention as a whole.

69. Moreover, the Commission has recently received information regarding discrimination against Haitian migrant workers in the Dominican Republic. In a public hearing held during the IACHR's 153rd period of regular sessions on the Human Rights Situation of Migrant Workers and their Families in the Dominican Republic, the Commission was informed of the poor living conditions and violations of labor rights of the braceros, which is the word used to identify Haitian migrants who work in the sugarcane fields. During the hearing the Commission received information on the violation of the economic, social, and cultural rights of these migrant workers.

70. The Commission is also monitoring immigration laws, policies and practices in other countries in the region. In this regard, the Commission notes that The Bahamas has been implementing immigration policies that disproportionately affect persons of Haitian descent. The Commission has called upon The Bahamas to review its treatment of migrants and their families and particularly the use of immigrant detention in reportedly substandard conditions.

Discrimination on the Basis of Ethnic and Racial Origin

71. In the area of racial justice, in 2014 the Commission was informed of laws, administrative practices and/or judicial decisions in Member States that disproportionately affect the ability of persons or populations of a particular ethnic or racial origin to enjoy the civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights to which they are entitled by law. In this section the Commission addresses specific situations in Member States that exemplify the issue of racial and ethnic discrimination and which the Commission considers to be particularly troublesome.

72. In a hearing held during the 150th regular period of sessions, the Commission was informed of the impact of Stand Your Ground laws on minorities in the United States. According to the information

---


154 See IACHR, Press Release No. 130/14, “IACHR Condemns Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Dominican Republic,” November 6, 2014, available at: http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media_center/PReleases/2014/130.asp. The American Convention does not establish the possibility that a State that continues to be a party to the treaty can release itself from the jurisdiction of the Inter-American Court. That is the interpretation of the Inter-American Court itself, which is responsible for determining the scope of its own jurisdiction. As the Inter-American Court has stated, absent an express provision, interpreting the American Convention as limiting the scope of the Court’s jurisdiction would run contrary to the object and purpose of international human rights protections. Ibidem.


received, these laws extend immunity from criminal prosecution or civil suits to persons who use deadly force in self-defense beyond the home, without imposing a duty to retreat.\footnote{158}

73. According to the information received, these laws negatively and disproportionately affect African Americans and other racial and ethnic minorities since they are often applied in an inconsistent and discriminatory manner, promoting bias against African-American victims and legitimizing a “shoot first” mindset based on perceptions and prejudices.\footnote{159} Such laws are said to disproportionately affect teenagers of African descent and place them in grave danger due to the widespread perception of their supposed “dangerousness.” During the hearing, presentations and testimonies were received from NGOs such as the NAACP, as well as persons and/or families of persons affected by these laws. In her presentation before the IACHR, Dr. Niaz Kasravi, (Director of NAACP’s Criminal Justice Department) explained that 34 states now have Stand Your Ground laws, since Florida first enacted such a law in 2005. Dr. Kasravi stated that these laws are applied in a racially biased manner, and simply make it easier for people to kill others with impunity. In this regard, she referred to a study that demonstrated that, in the case of African Americans killed by white persons invoking the Stand Your Ground law, 34% of the killings are deemed justifiable, while the proportion is 3% when circumstances are reversed. Sabrina Fulton, the mother of Trayvon Martin,\footnote{160} and Ronald Davis, the father of Jordan Davis,\footnote{161} also provided testimony to illustrate the impact of Stand Your Ground laws.

74. Moreover, the Commission was informed that individuals who tend to use a Stand Your Ground defense, such as survivors of domestic violence, are not necessarily those who are able to access the protections afforded by the law, especially when they are women of African descent. In this regard, the Commission received testimony from Aleta Alston-Toure (of the Free Marissa Now Mobilization Campaign) who provided information on the case of Marissa Alexander. According to her information, in 2010 Ms. Alexander fired a single warning shot at her husband following his assault on her. During her trial for aggravated assault, Ms. Alexander's claim of self-defense based on Florida's Stand Your Ground law was rejected, and she was ultimately sentenced to a mandatory term of imprisonment.

75. Not only do Stand Your Ground laws appear to negatively and disproportionately affect African Americans and other racial and ethnic minorities, but they also appear to increase the problem of public insecurity as the Commission was informed that the number of homicides has actually increased in those states that have implemented such laws. According to Dr. Kasravi, general homicide rates increased by 7% to 9% in Stand Your Ground states, while “justifiable” homicides have increased by more than 50% in the second half of the last decade.

76. During the hearing, the Government of the United States informed the Commission that Stand Your Ground laws have become a matter of concern, but that the federal nature of the United States makes it difficult for the federal government to comment on specific state laws. However, the State disclosed that the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is currently reviewing Stand Your Ground laws and that the Department of Justice would act upon its recommendations. With regard to the state of Florida, the United States Commission on Civil Rights recommended that Florida’s Stand Your Ground law be amended to require a mandatory minimum sentence for defendants who claim self-defense based on the law, and that the law be applied in a non-disparate manner.


\footnote{160} Trayvon Benjamin Martin, a 17-year-old African American from Miami Gardens, Florida who was fatally shot by George Zimmerman on February 26, 2012. Martin was shot in a neighborhood in Sanford, Florida, after being followed by Zimmerman. Zimmerman was charged with second degree murder, but was eventually acquitted in July 2013, based largely on Florida’s Stand-Your-Ground law.

\footnote{161} On November 23, 2012, Jordan Russell Davis, a 17-year-old African-American high school student, was fatally shot by Michael David Dunn at a gas station in Jacksonville, Florida, following an incident in which Dunn asked Davis and his companions to turn down the loud music that was being played in the vehicle in which Davis was a passenger. Dunn relied on Florida’s Stand Your Ground law, but was eventually convicted of first degree murder (on retrial) in October 2014.
States government indicated that the U.S. Civil Rights Commission and the FBI continue to evaluate the situation, with a view to determining whether federal prosecution is appropriate over and above state trials.

77. During 2014, the Commission also considered the impact of racial profiling as practiced by various police forces in the United States. The Commission had the opportunity to receive information on this issue during a specially convened *ex proprio motu* hearing that took place during the 153rd regular period of sessions. During the hearing, Hilary Shelton of the NAACP Washington Bureau noted that racial profiling is a common tactic among many law enforcement agencies, and that many NAACP units report receiving several complaints of racial profiling from its constituents each year. He observed that the prevalence of racial profiling is startling, given its proven ineffectiveness as a law enforcement tool. Mr. Shelton described racial profiling as “the practice of law enforcement agents or agencies relying on race, ethnicity, national origin or religion as a pretext for traffic stops or otherwise detaining them on streets, airports, highways, byways and the like.” In this respect, Mr. Shelton remarked that the associated practice of “stop and frisk” primarily targets African Americans and Latinos. Mr. Shelton also noted that subsequent law enforcement activities (following the initial investigatory procedure) are also influenced or determined by considerations of race, ethnicity, national origin, or religion. At the hearing, the government of the United States acknowledged that racial profiling by law enforcement agencies is a major issue, but affirmed that over the past five years, the Department of Justice has undertaken over 20 investigations into various police departments to address possible unconstitutional practices. The State indicated that it has found patterns of racial and ethnic profiling in some police department, but has initiated a series of reforms to change this.

78. Recent information has highlighted that even African-American law enforcement agents may be the victims of racial profiling by their peers when they are off duty and out of uniform. The officers said this included being pulled over for no reason, having their heads slammed against their cars, getting guns brandished in their faces, being thrown into prison vans and experiencing stop and frisks while shopping. The majority of the officers said they had been pulled over multiple times while driving and five had had guns pulled on them.

79. The IACHR is pleased with the initiatives of the United States to identify where racial profiling is a problem and with the implementation of measures to resolve this problem. However, much work is still needed to eliminate racial profiling practices and to build a sense of trust between the community and law enforcement agents. The Commission therefore urges this State and others in the region to continue and broaden studies being undertaken and measures being implemented in order to eliminate racial profiling.

80. The Commission is aware of other instances in the region where persons of a specific ethnic or racial origin have been unable to fully enjoy their civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights. In some countries, violations of the human rights of persons of a specific ethnic or racial origin arise from structural racism and discrimination. For example, Ms. Gay McDougall, the United Nations Independent Expert on minority issues, issued a statement following her visit to Colombia in 2010 where she noted that the legacy of slavery continues to have a profound impact on the lives of "Afro-Colombians, Blacks, Raizal and Palenquero communities." Afro-Colombians have historically been unable to fully enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights in light of their economic situation and the resulting social segregation. According to a report by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Afro-Colombians appear to be

---


disproportionately affected by poverty and their households, when compared to the rest of the population, have the worst living conditions and access to public services. The UNDP report indicates that 28.8% of Afro-Colombians in rural areas and 16.0% in urban areas live with an income of approximately US$ 1.25 per day. Additionally, around 48% of Afro-Colombians reportedly do not have health insurance. Furthermore, the forced displacement of many Afro-Colombian persons, caused by armed conflict or the implementation of megaprojects, is a structural problem which disproportionately impacts Afro-Colombians, and which has negative effects on their living conditions. Further, discrimination against police officers of African descent has also been reported within Colombian law enforcement as have instances of racial profiling against civilians.

81. The Commission has also received information regarding the particular vulnerability of persons of specific ethnic or racial origin to violent crime in the region. In a public hearing held during the 153rd regular period of sessions, the IACHR received information regarding the situation of violence against Creole and Garifuna populations, particularly women, adolescents, and children, in Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua. The region’s Creole and Garifuna populations are reportedly confronted with different forms of violence—verbal, psychological, physical, sexual, and gender-based—as a result of discrimination and social exclusion, and this violence often goes unpunished. According to the information received, violence against children is a particularly widespread problem as one study showed that 44 percent of victims of sexual offenses were under the age of 14, but only about 5 percent of crimes of sexual violence are reported due to stigma and distrust in law enforcement and the judicial system.

---


was told that it was difficult to obtain concrete data on many of these issues due to the unavailability of information disaggregated by key variables such as sex, race, ethnicity, and age.175

82. Similarly, in Brazil, 30,000 adolescents and young adults between the ages of 15 and 29 were killed in 2012, 77% of whom were of African descent.176 From 2002 to 2010, Brazil registered 418,414 homicide victims, 65% of whom were of African descent.177 During the past decade, homicides among white persons have decreased 24% in the country, while homicides amongst persons of African descent have increased 40%.178 Likewise, in Colombia, Afro-Colombians appear to be the ones suffering most from the levels of violence related to the armed conflict as they often reside in regions of economic and strategic importance affected by the conflict and drug trafficking, making them highly vulnerable to political violence.179 Human Rights Watch has noted that Afro-Colombian areas of the country continue to be battered by the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia.180

83. In 2014, the IACHR had the opportunity to consider information on the impact of mass incarceration on people of color. Information on this issue was received during a specially convened ex proprio motu hearing that took place during the 153rd regular period of sessions. Barbara Arnwine of the Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law explained that the United States has a prison population of about 2.3 million, which is disproportionately made up of persons of color. During the same hearing, the State confirmed this status quo, noting that this situation constitutes a drain on both precious resources and human capital. Carter Stewart, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, recognized that the United States disproportionately imprisons people of color and affirmed that the U.S. Attorney General is committed to addressing these disparities in the criminal justice system. In this regard, he mentioned the creation of a Racial Disparities Working Group and the “Smart on Crime Program” in which the Department of Justice, among other initiatives, modified charging policies in the case of defendants accused of certain nonviolent low-level drug offenses and established community service measures as alternatives to incarceration.

84. The Commission has affirmed that all persons, regardless of race or ethnicity, are entitled to respect for their fundamental rights, including the right to life.181 This obligation mandates State law enforcement agents to exercise restraint in the manner in which they interact with citizens, and to refrain from the excessive or disproportionate use of lethal force when effecting an arrest or otherwise engaging with citizens.182 The Commission has noticed a disturbing pattern of excessive force on the part of police officers towards African Americans and other persons of color. In the United States, for instance, media

---


reports indicate that white police officers are 21 times more likely to kill an African-American man than a white man. In 2014, the Commission was made aware of the deaths of several African Americans at the hands of security forces in the United States and it expressed alarm over separate incidents that led to the deaths of unarmed African-Americans Eric Garner and Michael Brown, at the hands of the police in New York City, New York, and Ferguson, Missouri, respectively. Investigations into both deaths were conducted by U.S. authorities and two grand juries were convened to assess the evidence and determine whether to indict the officers responsible for the deaths. On November 24, 2014, the St. Louis County prosecutor announced that a grand jury decided not to indict the officer responsible for the shooting of Mr. Brown and on December 3, 2014, the grand jury convened to assess the evidence in the investigation into Mr. Garner's death in New York City decided not to indict the officer responsible for applying the chokehold on Mr. Garner. The federal investigation carried out into the death of Mr. Brown did not result in charges being filed against the police officer responsible for his death while the federal investigation into the death of Mr. Garner is still under way and expected to last several months.

Following the decision of the grand jury in the case of Mr. Brown, U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein said that U.S. authorities should review how race-related issues affect law enforcement and justice to tackle a "deep and festering" mistrust in some sectors of the population. Commissioner Al Hussein said he could not comment on whether a grand jury's acquittal of a white police officer in the fatal shooting of an unarmed African-American teenager conformed with international human rights law because he did not have all the details, but stated that he is "nevertheless, [...] deeply concerned at the disproportionate number of young African Americans who die in encounters with police officers, as well as the disproportionate number of African Americans in U.S. prisons and the disproportionate number of African Americans on Death Row." The Commission received information from the Government of the United States that Federal law prohibits the use of excessive force by any law enforcement officer against any individual in the United States, including members of racial and ethnic minorities, and undocumented migrants crossing U.S. borders. The State indicated that since 2009 it has intensified its enforcement of these laws. Victims of police brutality may seek legal remedies, such as criminal punishment of the perpetrator or civil damages. The States also indicated that the Department of Justice has successfully prosecuted law enforcement officers and public officials where sufficient evidence indicates that they willfully violated a person's constitutional rights.

---


184 Globo, “Taxa de negros mortos pela polícia de SP é 3 vezes a de brancos, diz estudo” (“Study says rate of killings of Afro-descendants by police in Sao Paulo is three times the rate of killings of white persons.”), March 26, 2014, available at: http://g1.globo.com/sao-paulo/noticia/2014/03/taxa-de-negros-mortos-pela-policia-de-sp-e-3-vezes-de-brancos-diz-estudo.html.


88. The Commission takes note of these efforts and urges the State to intensify them. The Commission underlines that the use of deadly force by police officers requires an investigation carried out with due diligence, in order to ensure clarification of all circumstances and corresponding responsibility. The Commission will continue to monitor actions by the Federal state and local government to prevent and respond to cases such as these.

State Compliance with Recommendations of the Inter-American Commission and Decisions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

89. The IACHR calls upon the OAS Member States to intensify their efforts to comply with the Commission’s recommendations and with the decisions of the Inter-American Court. As a result of the commitment they have freely undertaken, Member States possess an obligation under international law to comply with the recommendations of the Commission and with the decisions of the Inter-American Court for those States that have accepted its jurisdiction. Such compliance is essential in order to fully guarantee and promote the human rights contained in the many treaties of the inter-American system.

90. The IACHR has already noted the lack of compliance of the Dominican Republic with the recommendations of the Commission, the decisions of the Inter-American Court and with the human rights standards of the inter-American system above. Due to the gravity of that situation, the IACHR reiterates that it is imperative that the Dominican Republic comply with the recommendations of the Commission, the decisions of the Inter-American Court and with the human rights standards of the inter-American system and cease implementing policies and practices that result in discrimination against persons that are descendants or appear to be descendants of Haitians.

91. In addition, several examples of compliance and non-compliance with the Commission’s recommendations and with decisions of the Inter-American Court occurred in 2014 with respect to precautionary and provisional measures granted by the Inter-American Commission and Court, the right to truth, and accountability for human rights violations.

92. In 2014, the Commission was made aware of various instances of non-compliance with precautionary and provisional measures granted in exceptional circumstances to guarantee the life and physical integrity of persons deprived of liberty in the Americas. For example, with respect to Brazil, in December 2013 the Commission granted precautionary measures in favor of persons deprived of liberty at the Penitentiary Complex of Pedrinhas and requested the State to, among other things, adopt the necessary measures to ensure the life and physical integrity of the inmates.\textsuperscript{190} However, in light of the lack of compliance by the State and additional deaths of inmates,\textsuperscript{191} in September 2014, the Commission referred the matter to the Inter-American Court and requested that it grant provisional measures in favor of the persons deprived of liberty at this facility; a request granted in November 2014.\textsuperscript{192} Similarly, in March 2014, in light of the lack of compliance by the Brazilian state with precautionary measures granted in August 2011 in favor of persons deprived of liberty at Professor Aníbal Bruno Prison,\textsuperscript{193} the Commission also requested the Inter-


\textsuperscript{192} Inter-American Court, Matter of the Penitentiary Complex of Pedrinhas regarding Brazil, Order of Inter-American Court of Human Rights of November 14, 2014, available at: \url{http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/medidas/pedrinhas_se_01.pdf} (only in Spanish and Portuguese).

\textsuperscript{193} See precautionary measure PM 199/11 (People deprived of their freedom at Professor Aníbal Bruno Prison, Brazil) granted in 2011 at \url{http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/decisions/precautionary.asp}.
American Court to grant the inmates provisional measures to ensure their lives, personal integrity and health; a request granted by the Court in May 2014. In December 2013 the Commission also granted precautionary measures in favor of the inmates of the Central Penitentiary of Porto Alegre and requested the State to, *inter alia*, take measures to guarantee the lives and well-being of the inmates at this facility.

93. The necessity of precautionary and provisional measures to address such issues in Brazil arises from the State's prior lack of compliance with the human rights standards of the inter-American system concerning the protection of persons deprived of liberty. Nevertheless, the Commission is aware that this problem is hardly limited to the Brazilian state. For example, in 2014 the Commission granted precautionary measures in favor of two persons deprived of liberty in Cuba and El Salvador as a result of the lack of compliance of these States with the human rights standards of the inter-American system concerning the detention of persons with disabilities. The IACHR reiterates that States hold a special position as guarantors of the rights of persons deprived of liberty. Consequently, confinement entails a specific and essential commitment of States to ensure the lives and safety of inmates. The duty to ensure means that States must implement the measures needed in order to prevent situations of risk.

94. The Commission also wishes to draw attention to the lack of compliance of the United States with precautionary measures granted in favor of death row inmates. In 2014, the Commission granted precautionary measures in favor of Messrs. Charles Warner, John Winfield, Ramiro Hernández Llanas, and Russell Bucklew, and urged the United States to suspend their execution. The United States did not comply with the precautionary measures granted in favor of Messrs. Warner, Winfield, and Llanas and carried out their executions in 2014 and early 2015. Similarly, in 2014 the State carried out the execution of Mr. Edgard Tamayo Arias who had been a beneficiary of a precautionary measure granted by the Commission in 2012.

95. On the other hand, the Commission takes note of positive measures taken by Colombia to comply with precautionary measure PM 374/13 granted in favor of Gustavo Petro, Mayor of the city of Bogotá. The Commission found that the information presented by the requesting party and by the State demonstrated, *prima facie*, a serious and urgent situation involving the political rights of Mr. Petro, elected by popular vote as Mayor of Bogotá and currently in office, as the implementation of the effects of the decision removing him from office and ruling him ineligible to exercise his political rights could render ineffective an
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eventual decision on the petition presented on his behalf. Accordingly, the Commission requested that the State of Colombia immediately suspend the effects of the decision of December 9, 2013 by the Attorney General’s Office, so as to ensure that Mr. Petro could exercise his political rights and complete his term as Mayor of Bogotá, for which he was elected on October 30, 2011, until the IACHR has ruled on the respective individual petition. Ultimately, Gustavo Petro was reinstated in his position by virtue of the decision of the Superior Tribunal of Bogota of April 2014, followed by precautionary measures ordered by the State Council of Colombia in May 2014. In a public statement regarding the matter of Mr. Petro, Luis Ernesto Vargas Silva, President of the Constitutional Court of Colombia, highlighted that precautionary measures of the IACHR are binding and must be complied with. The President of the Constitutional Court added that all rights are equally important and that the jurisprudence of that Court has been consistent regarding the binding nature of IAHCR precautionary measures. The Inter-American Commission welcomes the institutional response of Colombia, which has resulted in the effectiveness of its precautionary measures in the case of Gustavo Petro.

96. As noted above, the Commission has also been monitoring the matter of the investigation of and accountability for grave human rights violations in the region during this past year. With many States in the region facing enormous challenges in safeguarding the rights of thousands of victims after periods of dictatorship, internal armed conflict, and situations involving widespread violence, the IACHR presented its report on the Right to Truth in the Americas in late 2014.200 In its report, the Commission noted that a lack of access to information about what had happened was a common pattern in many countries in the region during the military dictatorships and that, in some countries, concealing information was a deliberate policy of the State and even a "tactic of war."201 In this context, the report examines States’ obligations with regard to the goal of guaranteeing the right to the truth in the face of grave human rights violations. The report refers specifically to the progress made on this front and the challenges that remain in different countries in the region.

97. With respect to the right to truth, the Commission wishes to highlight several positive events that occurred in Member States in 2014. In this regard, the Commission notes the decision issued by the Haitian Court of Appeals in Port-au-Prince on February 20, 2014, in which it ordered the investigation of the serious human rights violations committed under the regime of Jean-Claude Duvalier.202 The Court of Appeals concluded that international law is part of Haitian domestic law and that, therefore, statutes of limitation do not apply to crimes against humanity. The serious and systematic human rights violations committed under the regime of Jean-Claude Duvalier were documented by the Inter-American Commission in its Report on the Situation of Human Rights in Haiti, published in 1979 as well as individual cases and other monitoring. In May 2011, after the return of Jean-Claude Duvalier to Haiti, the IACHR issued a statement on the duty of the Haitian State to investigate those violations and pointed out that the torture, extrajudicial executions and forced disappearances committed during the regime of Jean-Claude Duvalier are crimes against humanity that, as such, are subject neither to a statute of limitations nor to amnesty laws. The Commission has already stated that the decision of the Court of Appeals in Port-au-Prince represents a fundamental step in the strengthening of the rule of law and in restoring confidence in the Haitian justice system.203 Following this decision, and a hearing held during the IACHR’s 150th regular period of sessions, the Commission noted that the lack of access to official files, in some cases held by the Haitian Government
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and in others by foreign Governments, is an obstacle for justice in the human rights violations committed under the Duvalier regime and it urged those Governments to open their archives and grant access to all files that might help in the search for justice.204

98. The Commission also wishes to highlight the final report of the Brazilian Truth Commission issued in December 2014. The fight against grave human rights violations and the publication of the Brazilian Truth Commission’s findings contribute to the strengthening of the democratic State and provide a voice and hope to victims and their families. Mindful that, in the case of victims of human rights violations and their families, access to the truth about what occurred is one form of reparation, the IACHR recognizes Brazil’s contribution and efforts to guaranteeing the right to the truth.

99. Similarly, the Commission wishes to underscore the Argentine State’s contribution to promoting the right to truth by handing over the newly discovered records of its military dictatorship to the IACHR. On September 16, 2014, the Minister of Defense of Argentina, Agustín Rossi, presented certain records of the dictatorship which ruled Argentina between 1976 and 1983. These records summarized the contents of the meetings held by the military junta in charge of the Government. These documents have immeasurable historical and legal value. They reflect a policy of seeking the truth, which is inspiring and valuable.

100. Recent reports also indicate that a Truth and Justice Commission may soon be created in Uruguay by the newly elected President, Mr. Tabaré Vázquez.205 The Commission welcomes this announcement and it urges the State of Uruguay to follow through on this proposal with the resources and access to information that will be required.

101. Access to official information is of crucial importance. In a hearing held during the 150th regular period of sessions, the Commission received information regarding the challenges to the work of the Truth Commission of the State of Guerrero, Mexico. According to the information received, the Guerrero Truth Commission, which investigates human rights violations committed during what is known as the Dirty War, has faced obstacles in carrying out its mandate, primarily related to the lack of access to information. The information received in the hearing concerned limitations regarding access to documents considered “classified,” as well as to files that have allegedly been hidden or altered.

102. Moreover, while the Commission welcomes developments regarding the promotion of the right to truth by some Member States, it notes that accountability and access to justice for grave human rights violations committed during military dictatorships in the past continue to be a problem. The Commission’s report on the Right to Truth in the Americas stresses that amnesty laws that obstruct the investigation of grave human rights violations are absolutely incompatible with human rights principles, regardless of the nature of such laws or when they were adopted. Likewise, in accordance with consolidated jurisprudence of the inter-American system on human rights, the non-applicability of statutes of limitation to crimes against humanity is an imperative norm of international law with which States must comply. It is therefore necessary that, in addition to investigating past human rights violations, States also provide accountability for such violations, which is key to fighting impunity and to promoting and preserving justice.

103. With respect to accountability, the Commission received information that victims still face barriers in accessing justice in various States of the Americas. In Brazil, for instance, an amnesty law continues to be an obstacle for accountability. This not only illustrates a lack of compliance with human rights standards of the inter-American system, but also demonstrates a specific failure to comply with the


205 El País, “Vázquez: Falta información; hay que buscarla porque en algún lado está” (“Vázquez: Information is missing; it is necessary to look for it because it has to be somewhere”), February 13, 2015, available at: http://www.elpais.com.uy/informacion/vazquez-presento-comision-justicia.html.
Inter-American Court’s decision in the Case of Gomes Lund et al. v. Brazil. In addition, the lack of incorporation of the criminal offense of forced disappearances into domestic law continues to create obstacles to access justice since it forces authorities to attempt to prosecute such crimes under different offenses which are subject to statutes of limitation under Brazilian law. Not only does this perpetuate impunity through the application of statutes of limitation, but it also fails to accurately reflect the grave human rights violations that occurred during the military dictatorship in that country, denying victims and society the right to truth.

104. Other countries in the region, such as Colombia, have already incorporated the criminal offense of forced disappearances into their domestic legal system, which has led to other positive measures. For example, in Colombia, the National Commission for the Search of Disappeared Persons (CNBD) has been created. The IACHR has been informed by the Government of Colombia that anyone who believes that someone has been a victim of forced disappearances can access the CNBD through a request filed before any judicial authority without having to wait for the person to be missing for a certain amount of time before filing the request. Furthermore, the IACHR was told that once the mechanism has been activated, public officials have 24 hours to initiate all the necessary measures to find the disappeared person. The IACHR was informed that public officials cannot refuse to undertake the measures requested or ordered on the basis that a person must be missing for a determined period of time in order to legally be considered missing. Moreover, family members of the person that has allegedly been the victim of a forced disappearance can follow the work of CNBD and may even be authorized to participate in the measures carried out whenever their participation does not obstruct the work of CNBD. The IACHR considers such initiatives by the Colombian government to be very positive. Not only has the State recognized the problem of forced disappearances in the region by incorporating the offense into its domestic legal system, but it has also implemented measures that recognize that forced disappearances continue to occur today and that they must be quickly investigated. The Commission urges the state of Colombia to continue to address this issue and find ways to perfect existing mechanisms and to create new mechanisms whenever needed.

105. Along these lines, Guatemala reported on its attempt to create a mechanism to establish the whereabouts of victims of forced disappearances. A bill that would create the National Commission for the Search of Persons, Victims of Forced Disappearances and other Forms of Disappearances has been under Congress’s scrutiny for several years. The Commission also welcomes this initiative and urges the state of Guatemala to continue to make all efforts necessary to pass this bill.

106. The Commission is also aware of obstacles to access to justice in Chile where the United Nations Human Rights Committee has indicated that, despite advances in the investigation, prosecution and sanction of grave human rights violations committed in the past in Chile, the "gradual statutes of limitation" or "semi statutes of limitation" contained in Article 103 of the Chilean Criminal Code is an obstacle for accountability as it determines the reduction or mitigation of sentences. Moreover, the Commission notes that despite the decision of the Salvadoran Supreme Court of Justice of September 26, 2000, and the commitment expressed by El Salvador regarding the repeal of its amnesty law of 1993, the law continues to be in effect and is an obstacle to justice. Also, despite advances by the Salvadoran State in implementing the recommendations of the Commission issued in Case 10.287 (Las Hojas Massacre) and the decision of the Inter-American Court in the Case of El Mozote Massacre, reparations and restitution to victims have yet to be fully effectuated.

---
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Furthermore, in May 2014 the Commission also expressed concern over impunity for grave human rights violations committed in the past in Guatemala. The Commission noted that the Constitution of Guatemala itself establishes, in Article 46, the general principle according to which human rights treaties and conventions that have been accepted and ratified by Guatemala take precedence over domestic law. For its part, Article 68 of the American Convention establishes the obligation to comply with the judgments of the Inter-American Court. In May of 2014, the Inter-American Court held a hearing to monitor compliance by Guatemala with eleven judgments (Blake, “Street Children” (Villagran Morales), Bâmaca Velásquez, Mack Chang, Maritza Urrutia, Plan de Sánchez Massacre, Molina Thiessen, Carpio Nicolle et al., Tiu Tojin, “Las Dos Erres” Massacre and Chitay cases). The purpose of this hearing, as the Court announced, was to receive updated and detailed information on reparations and the obligation to investigate, establish the facts, prosecute, and punish those responsible. Despite the emblematic nature of these cases and the time passed since the various judgments, the obligation to investigate, prosecute, and punish those responsible has not yet been fulfilled by Guatemala. Moreover, the State has consistently refused to provide updated information on compliance with the recommendations of the IACHR.

In May 2014 the IACHR expressed concern with Resolution 03-2014 adopted by Guatemala’s Congress, which, in referring to the prosecution of Efraín Rios Montt, states that “it is legally not viable that the elements that constitute the crimes mentioned could have happened in Guatemala, principally with regard to the existence in our homeland of a genocide during the internal armed conflict.” The declaration further observes that the investigation and punishment for the grave human rights violations committed in this context create “conditions contrary to peace” and “prevent definitive national reconciliation.” Moreover, the first line of its text explicitly refers to the trial which started in 2013 against retired military officers Efrain Rios Montt and Mauricio Rodríguez Sánchez, and directly urges the judiciary to render justice “in a way that this justice would produce peace.” It is very troubling that this Resolution makes specific reference to the Rios Montt genocide trial indicating that the required elements of that crime have not been met in Guatemala and provides indications as to how the judiciary should rule in such cases. The Inter-American Commission considers that a declaration of this nature, in the current context, does not constitute a constructive step, in contrast with the efforts made by various State institutions to investigate and punish grave human rights violations and to fight against impunity and urges the State to respect the principle of separation of powers, an essential condition of judicial independence, and allow justice to take its course without interference.

Later in 2014, during the IACHR’s 153rd regular period of sessions, the Commission held a hearing on access to justice and the legacy of the armed conflict in Guatemala where the Commission received additional troubling information regarding the obstacles to access justice in Guatemala. It is of particular concern to the IACHR that there are still outstanding warrants for the arrest of individuals accused of grave crimes committed during the armed conflict. Such is the case with Col. José Antonio Solares, who would have been involved in the Community of Río Negro Massacre and who, despite an arrest warrant against him, remains at large and continues to receive monthly pension payments from the State. The Commission considers it to be imperative that the State take the concrete and critical measures necessary to comply with its fundamental obligations under international law.

Also with regards to accountability for human rights violations, the Commission wishes to highlight some positive and negative developments that occurred in 2014 in relation to the use of military jurisdiction. As a positive development, the Commission wishes to highlight the approval by the Mexican Congress of reforms to the Code of Military Justice restricting the scope of military jurisdiction. Under these reforms, the Mexican Congress of reforms to the Code of Military Justice restricting the scope of military jurisdiction. Under these
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reforms, cases involving human rights violations committed by members of the military against civilians will now be tried exclusively by the civilian justice system and not by military courts. The IACHR welcomes this reform as an important step in the protection of fundamental rights in Mexico and in the fulfillment of the State’s international human rights obligations, primarily regarding guarantees of the right to truth, justice, and reparation for victims and their family members. Both the Inter-American Commission and Court have established that Mexico must carry out appropriate legislative reforms to the Code of Military Justice to make it compatible with international human rights standards. In its judgments, the Inter-American Court has indicated that “[i]n a democratic State of law, the military criminal jurisdiction shall have a restrictive and exceptional scope and be directed toward the protection of special juridical interests, related to the tasks characteristic of the military forces.” The IACHR recognizes the important advance represented in these reforms.

111. On the other hand, the Commission’s 2014 visits to Honduras and Chile revealed that their continued application of the military justice system to human rights violations contravened international standards. In a hearing held during the IACHR’s 153rd regular period of sessions, the Commission was also informed of a series of legislative bills that seek to expand the scope of the military jurisdiction that are currently being analyzed in Colombia.

112. The Commission is also concerned with reports of torture in the region and it has already urged States in the region to take decisive action to prevent torture. The IACHR has specified that an essential aspect of the right to personal integrity is the absolute prohibition of torture, a peremptory norm of international law creating *erga omnes* obligations. According to principles of international law, the right to humane treatment cannot be suspended under any circumstance. International law establishes its non-derogable nature in time of war, public danger or other emergency that threatens the independence or security of the State, without any exceptions. The Commission has considered that this peremptory prohibition of all forms of torture is the corollary of the duty of the State to treat every person deprived of liberty humanely and with respect for his or her dignity.

113. The IACHR has emphasized the need for each State’s highest authorities to send a clear message of complete rejection of torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment, and to ensure that this sort of behavior is eliminated from all security and law enforcement agencies. Additionally, the Commission has called on all members of the OAS to ratify the United Nation’s Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and has urged those that have to effectively implement a national mechanism for prevention, assuring that it has enough resources, independence and institutional support to effectively fulfill its duties. It is of the utmost importance that States in the region strengthen their work with regional and international mechanisms to prevent torture and to implement the orders and recommendations issued by supervisory bodies with a view to consolidating the national systems for protection. At the same time, the Commission wishes to commend those States that have ratified the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and have already implemented national mechanisms for prevention.

114. With regard to torture, the Commission is particularly concerned by the practices and policies adopted by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) of the United States during the interrogation of suspected terrorists. For many years the Commission has closely followed the situation of detainees at Guantanamo Bay. Recently, on October 9, 2014, the United States Senate Intelligence Committee issued a report on the program carried out by the CIA to detain and interrogate persons suspected of terrorist acts.
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after the attacks of September 11, 2001. Senate Intelligence Committee Chairperson Dianne Feinstein issued a statement in which she expressed that “CIA personnel, aided by two outside contractors, decided to initiate a program of indefinite secret detention and the use of brutal interrogation in violation of U.S. law, treaty obligations and our values.”

115. On the occasion of the release of the Senate Intelligence Committee Report, the Inter-American Commission reiterated its calls on the United States to carry out a full investigation in order to clarify the facts, and prosecute and punish all persons within its jurisdiction responsible for acts of torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; and to provide integral reparations to the victims, including restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction and measures of non-repetition, pursuant to international standards. The Commission remains deeply concerned by the absence of any accountability on the part of State agents who perpetrated or acquiesced in this torture.

Migrants

116. The Commission has closely monitored the situation of migrants in the Americas during 2014 and is deeply concerned with the many human rights violations that have been committed against them. In the context of regional migration, the Commission has been particularly concerned with human rights violations committed against children and adolescents in recent years and 2014 was no exception. The effect of the citizen insecurity situation on the migration or forced displacement of children, adolescents and youth is particularly worrisome to the Commission and it urges States to redouble their efforts to tackle the root causes of violence and insecurity and to promote policies that enable social inclusion and overcoming the underlying profound inequities and lack of equal opportunities for youth. These are the situations that contribute to the problem and force thousands of adolescents and children to migrate.

---


219 In recent years, the IACHR, through its various mechanisms, has documented with concern the increasing number of persons, including children, fleeing various forms of violence in countries of the Northern Triangle of Central America – El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras - and Mexico. This violence, along with other factors such as poverty, discrimination, and inequality, has led to the current state of humanitarian crisis in the region. Some of the most dramatic spikes seen yet in the number of arrivals of unaccompanied children and families to the United States occurred between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014 (“U.S. fiscal year 2014”). According to official data, during U.S. fiscal year 2014, the U.S. Border Patrol apprehended a total of 68,541 unaccompanied children and 68,445 families, which represented a 77% increase in the number of arrivals of unaccompanied children and a 361% increase in families over fiscal year 2013. The majority of the arrivals of unaccompanied children and families were to the U.S. southwest border and particularly to the Rio Grande Valley of the state of Texas. A recent report released by UNHCR, entitled Children on the Run, found that of children surveyed from Mexico and Central America who were in an irregular migratory situation in the United States, 58% indicated that they were “forcibly displaced” due to violence by organized armed criminal actors, including drug cartels and gangs; domestic abuse; and in the case of Mexico, forced recruitment into human smuggling networks. In addition, the Commission notes that the level of violence in the region is not only having an impact on international migration. In a public hearing held during the IACHR's 153rd Period of Regular Sessions, UN Special Rapporteur Chaloka Beyani stated that, at the end of 2013, there were more than 6 million displaced persons in the countries of the Americas as a result of armed conflict, criminal violence, and human rights violations. Many of the internally displaced end up in urban areas, where they remain at risk of being found by the same criminal organizations that forced them into displacement. Moreover, they face multiple obstacles and forms of discrimination when they try to access education, health, and employment. At the hearing the Special Rapporteur called on the States of the Americas to consider the experience of other regions and develop a binding regional instrument for the protection of the human rights of internally displaced persons. In its report on Human Rights of Migrants and Other Persons in the Context of Human Mobility in Mexico, the Commission describes the situation of internally displaced persons in Mexico and notes that the context of violence has meant that more and more people have been forced to uproot themselves within the country. The lack of official information as to how extensive internal displacement may be shows how invisible the problem is. Nongovernmental organizations have estimated that there are more than 160,000 internally displaced persons in Mexico. See IACHR, 153rd Period of Regular Sessions, Public hearing on the Human Rights Situation of Refugees and Asylum Seekers in the Americas: 30th Anniversary of the Cartagena Declaration Situation of Human Rights of Migrant Children, Families and Refugees in the Americas, audio and video available at: http://www.oas.org/es/cidh/multimedia/sesiones/153/default.asp; IACHR, Press Release No. 80/14, “IACHR Publishes Report: ‘Human Rights of Migrants and Other Persons in the Context of Human Mobility in Mexico,’” August 10, 2014, available at: http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media_center/PReleases/2014/088.asp; IACHR, Press Release No. 67/14, “IACHR
117. During the 153rd regular period of sessions of the IACHR, public hearings were held concerning the human rights situation of migrant and refugee children and families in the United States and in the Americas.\textsuperscript{220} These hearings followed the Commission’s visit to the U.S. southern border as part of its ongoing efforts to monitor and report on the human rights situation of migrant and refugee children and families in the United States.

118. In these hearings, the Commission received further information on the practice of the United States of detaining children with their mothers; the lack of qualified, properly trained staff to attend to these families in U.S. immigrant detention centers; violations of the right of migrant children and families to request and receive asylum; and expedited deportation proceedings, in many cases, without the deportees having had access to legal representation.\textsuperscript{221} The Commission also received information regarding the humanitarian crisis and the lack of a State institutional framework, effective policies, and inter-institutional coordination of preventive measures conducive to the holistic protection of children and adolescents.

119. During another hearing held during the 153rd regular period of sessions on Migrant Detention and Alternative Measures in the Americas, which was requested by more than 160 civil society organizations, and also included the participation of the UNHCR, the Commission received troubling information regarding the issue of immigrant detention.\textsuperscript{222} At the hearing, the Commission received troubling information from civil society organizations pointing to a disturbing increase in automatic, widespread detention in some countries in the region; the punitive nature of this type of deprivation of liberty; and the lack of guarantees for due process and judicial review in cases involving migrant detentions. Another matter of concern, they said, involves a lack of transparency and an absence of monitoring of detention centers by civil society or by government or international human rights agencies, which often leads to migrants being subject to inhumane conditions or abuse during their detention, which can last months or even years. Besides the impact on personal liberty, petitioners stressed the negative impact of migrant detention on the safety of those detained, particularly those in vulnerable situations such as children, families, victims of human trafficking, asylum seekers, refugees, and stateless persons.

120. Following a visit to the United States southern border, the Commission expressed concern over the use of a widespread regime of immigration detention as a vast majority of families are being automatically detained under the custody of Immigration and Customs Enforcement.\textsuperscript{223} The Commission noted with concern that there is no substantive difference or criteria used to determine the families that will be released on bond or on recognizance to a sponsor other than the capacity of the family immigration
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The IACHR expressed regret that the United States plans to expand its detention facilities and detainee capacity by 2,400 additional beds in the new facility being constructed in Dilley, Texas. The Commission is also deeply concerned about other aspects of immigrant detention in the United States. In this regard, the Commission has observed with concern that families who are detained following their processing at a border station or a port of entry are generally maintained in detention for the duration of their immigration proceedings, even where a positive credible fear determination has been made by an asylum official. The Commission understands that families are regularly being denied bond or the bond amount is set extremely high, to amounts of $15,000 or more, such that those who may qualify to leave are unable to do so. Another major concern is that of access to legal representation and information on U.S. immigration proceedings. The Commission notes that there is a shortage of lawyers who are willing and able to provide legal representation at low or no cost to the families detained, and likewise notes the difficulties expressed by organizations and individual attorneys who represent detained families in entering the center and being able to bring in with them tools such as phones and computers in order to work more efficiently on cases. Another of the Commission’s principal concerns regarding families is that of the conditions of the detention, and how the fact of being detained may aggravate the consequences of violence or trauma from which many are fleeing, and generate further trauma for the detained families.

The IACHR has also noted concerns with regard to the use of immigrant detention in Mexico and it has received troubling information from UNHCR that in several Caribbean countries, irregular migrants, including asylum-seekers, remain in detention for prolonged and even indefinite periods of time. According to UNHCR, the majority of these States have no formal arrangements to address the situation of detained persons with specific protection needs, or to refer them to the competent authorities or to UNHCR.

The Commission has also received troubling information from Human Rights Watch (HRW) detailing how policies in the United States aimed at deterring migration through criminal prosecutions for some federal immigration crimes have been raising serious human rights concerns. According to HRW, illegal entry, the misdemeanor of entering the country without authorization, and illegal reentry, the felony of reentering the country after deportation, are now the most prosecuted federal crimes in the United States, and disproportionately affect noncitizens seeking to rejoin their families in the United States after a prior deportation. The Commission considers the criminalization of immigration violations to be of great concern.

International human rights law regards the deprivation of liberty as an exceptional measure. In the criminal context, it may be imposed following an individualized assessment of the need, with due regard for alternatives, when necessary. In the immigration context, the standard of exceptionality must be even higher, as immigration violations are not or should not be criminal, but administrative in nature. As the Commission has consistently indicated, the principle of exceptionality governing the deprivation of liberty in the immigration context “carries even more weight when children are involved. Only in the most extreme cases could such a measure be justified.”
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and require special protection, as recognized in international human rights law. Child migrants find themselves in situations that gravely endanger their basic rights, such as their right to life and humane treatment, as they are often victims of abuse and exploitation throughout the entire journey. Under international standards, measures other than detention must be adopted in the best interests of migrant children, with full respect for all their human rights, including the right to seek asylum or refuge. Finally, the Commission has also indicated that States have an obligation to ensure that places where migrant persons are detained are monitored independently, so as to prevent other human rights violations.

124. In light of the foregoing, the Commission strongly recommends that all States in the region cease the detention of migrants as a general policy and that they only apply such a harsh measure after assessing each case individually and determining that there is indeed a need to deprive that person of his or her liberty. Detention is a disproportionate measure in many, if not most cases, and programs that emphasize alternatives to detention provide a more balanced way for States to ensure compliance with immigration laws. In the exceptional event that detention is justified, it must be carried out in conditions that are civil and non-punitive in nature.

125. During the hearing on the Situation of Human Rights of Migrant Children, Families and Refugees in the Americas, the Commission was also informed of the negative effects of criminalization and a militarized response to migration.230

126. In Mexico, in April 2014, an attack was allegedly carried out by State agents against 300 migrant persons who were subsequently detained in the Mexican state of Tabasco.231 It was reported that attacks were also carried out against Friar Tomás González, Friar Aurelio Montero, and Rubén Figueroa, defenders of migrants’ human rights and beneficiaries of Precautionary Measures 273/11, granted by the IACHR on April 19, 2013.232 According to the information available, during an immigration control operation, a large number of migrants were struck and insulted by State agents. Human rights organizations reported that they had a difficult time gaining access to the holding center where the migrants were detained.233 Along these lines, the IACHR’s report on Human Rights of Migrants and Other Persons in the Context of Human Mobility in Mexico took into account concrete, consistent indications that many of the serious violations of migrants’ rights in Mexico occur in a context of abuse of authority by State agents who belong to the National Institute of Migration or the various police forces.234

127. Militarized response to migration has also resulted in deaths of migrants in the United States. From January 2010 to February 2014, at least 28 persons have reportedly died in incidents with agents of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Agency of the United States of America (CBP).235 As reported by human rights organizations, of these incidents, 27 persons have died as a result of the use of lethal force and one person died after not receiving medical attention.236 Most of these deaths have occurred in confrontations between migrants and U.S. officials responsible for immigration control on the border.


between the United States of America and Mexico. According to the monitoring of human rights organizations, nine persons who were killed were accused of throwing rocks towards state agents, who then responded with the use of lethal force.

128. In the view of the Commission, direct cooperation to address the causes of migration rather than deterrence measures, and the adoption of measures to facilitate regular migration, especially in cases of family reunification, are needed to reduce the risks to which migrants are exposed and to fully guarantee their human rights. Indeed, in December 2014, the U.N. Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants, Mr. François Crépeau, the Chair of the U.N. Committee on the Protection of the Rights of Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families, Mr. Francisco Carrión Mena, the Special Rapporteur on Refugees, Asylum Seekers, Internally Displaced Persons and Migrants of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights, Ms. Maya Sahli Fadel, and the Rapporteur on the Rights of Migrants of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Mr. Felipe González Morales called upon the need for open, safe and regular migration channels for the more than 232 million migrants throughout the world, 20 percent of whom are estimated to be in an irregular situation. These experts stressed that a lack of reasonable avenues for regular migration often leads migrants to sacrifice their dignity, safety and even their lives while seeking to reach their destination.

129. Furthermore, Ms. Maya Sahli Fadel stated that the overall effect of restrictive State immigration policies has been to put migrants at greater risk and render them more vulnerable to human rights abuses and violations. Mr. Francisco Carrión Mena stated that “[c]riminalizing people for crossing or attempting to cross borders does nothing to tackle the causes of irregular migration, but contributes instead to rising intolerance, xenophobia and the social exclusion of migrants.”

130. Restrictive immigration policies also influence the path taken by many migrants, which are often perilous. In 2014, the IACHR published a report titled “Human Rights of Migrants and Other Persons in the Context of Human Mobility in Mexico,” which addresses the situation of migrant persons and others in the context of the movement of people in Mexico, as well as the situation of those who defend migrants’ human rights. This report discusses cases involving abuses and crimes committed against migrants by drug trafficking organizations and organized crime. These include massacres of dozens of migrant persons, massive abductions of migrants in transit through Mexico and acts of sexual violence against migrants. The report includes personal testimonies of survivors of these situations, as well as of people whose relatives disappeared en route to the United States and the various hurdles they face as they try to find their loved ones.

131. The increase in criminal violence in recent years in Mexico has had a particular impact on people in transit, who are in a vulnerable situation and are victimized. This has posed very complex challenges for the Mexican State and the situation calls for the State to take every measure necessary to safeguard the security of persons within its jurisdiction. The lack of a citizen security policy specifically
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geared to preventing, protecting, and prosecuting crimes committed against migrants is a serious problem that must be urgently addressed.244

132. At the same time, the Commission recognizes that the Mexican State has made important legislative advances in the area of human rights of migrants. In this regard, the Commission draws attention to a 2008 decree that decriminalized undocumented persons’ entry into Mexican territory, as well as the General Population Act of 2010, under which human rights complaints or claims seeking justice must be addressed, regardless of the immigration status of the person filing them. Along these lines, the Commission also recognizes the importance of the 2011 Law on Refugees and Additional Protection and the 2011 Immigration Act, as well as the National Registry of Missing or Disappeared Persons Act and the 2012 General Law to Prevent, Punish, and Eradicate Crimes Associated with Human Trafficking and for Protection and Assistance to the Victims of These Crimes.245  The IACHR also appreciates the statements made by representatives of Mexico on the need to break the existing dichotomy between the human rights protection that Mexico seeks for Mexican migrants abroad and the protection that Mexican authorities provide to migrants from other countries who are either living in or are in transit through Mexico.246

133. Deterrent migration policies adopted by States in the region are also contributing to the death of migrants who are forced to travel through more perilous routes in order to reach their destination. In this regard, the Commission notes the increase of maritime incidents involving boats carrying migrants in the Caribbean Sea during 2013.247  These tragic incidents have caused the deaths and disappearances of many migrants. The victims of these incidents, which include men, women and children, are mostly migrants from Haiti, Cuba, Dominican Republic and other countries of the Caribbean and South America. UNHCR has indicated that, in 2014, the Caribbean Protection Unit recorded a total of 152 maritime incidents in the Caribbean involving at least 5,215 persons originating from at least 10 countries. The top three nationalities represented were Haitian (at least 3,076 persons), Cuban (at least 1,395 persons) and Dominican (at least 395 persons). In total, 25 persons were reported deceased and 48 persons were reported missing at sea. These numbers reflect an 11% increase in reported interdictions in the Caribbean since 2013, when at least 4,680 persons were identified, and may be even higher.248  Asylum seekers who have shared their experiences with UNHCR reported that, after having paid large sums of money for passage, they were left to flounder in small boats at sea or landed on uninhabited islands or were otherwise confronted with violence and threats to life.

134. Ms. Maya Sahli Fadel, Special Rapporteur on Refugees, Asylum Seekers, Internally Displaced Persons and Migrants of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights has stated that “[a]lthough … focus … has been on the Mediterranean, thousands also die every year trying to navigate the Caribbean Seas or the Indian Ocean, or cross the deserts and rivers of the Americas.”249  Given the mixed migration flows that are evident in the region and in order to respond appropriately to the increasing number of people fleeing their home countries by sea as a result of various forms of violence or in search of better living conditions, the Commission calls upon all States that carry out operations of search, rescue and interception of migrants at sea to establish appropriate measures to identify persons who may be refugees or who, due to their
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248 According to UNHCR, the maritime monitoring conducting by UNHCR’s Caribbean Protection Unit is based on publicly available information and is subject to severe underreporting. In the absence of a comprehensive data collection system, the actual dimensions of the problem are likely to be even higher than suggested.

vulnerable condition, may have special protection needs, such as migrant children. The IACHR also urges States to establish mechanisms at national and regional levels to allow for the prompt identification of migrants aboard these vessels, including the identification of the remains of those who died, as well as to inform their families about their status and whereabouts.

135. The Commission has also received information regarding the dangers of land migration routes in the border region of Chile and Peru. According to the Chilean government, antipersonnel landmines buried in places such as non-authorized locations, such as migration routes or irregular entry points, have injured or killed people who have tried to enter the country in an irregular manner. However, the government of Chile has reported that, after each incident, it orders an investigation to determine the cause of the event and the immigration status of the persons injured, who are taken to a hospital. In addition, the Commission has been informed that Chile is currently conducting a national demining program, through which it had eliminated, by 2014, about 85,000 of the 181,814 units that were planted along its borders during the years 1973 and 1990, and thus prevent further accidents at the border. The Commission considers the demining program to be crucial in eliminating the risks the mines pose to migrants and it commends the State for such efforts. However, in light of the large amount of mines that are still buried in non-authorized locations, the Commission urges the State to intensify these efforts to fully guarantee the life and physical integrity of migrants.

136. In addition, through its monitoring in the region, the Commission has observed that, in recent years, in addition to the traditional forms of persecution and situations, such as armed conflicts, generalized violence, violations of human rights, new situations, such as violence caused by organized crime, natural disasters or those caused by humans, large-scale development projects or violence based on gender identity or sexual orientation are also forcing thousands of persons to flee their countries. According to figures of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, at the end of 2013, there were 514,796 refugees, 291,164 persons in refugee-like situations and 130,299 asylum-seekers in the Americas. According to UNHCR, persons in refugee-like situations include groups of persons who are outside their country or territory of origin and who face protection risks similar to those of refugees, but for whom refugee status has, for practical or other reasons, not been ascertained. These forms of persecution and violence have brought about mixed migratory flows in the region, including persons from outside the Americas. Many of these persons require international protection. The dynamics of forced migration require an approach that guarantees the international protection of the human rights of the persons who are fleeing situations of risk.

137. Despite the need for added protection, the Commission has noticed a decline in the rates of recognition of refugee status in some countries of the region. The Commission has also noticed that there are still countries in the region that have not adopted norms and procedures that ensure the effective enjoyment of the right to seek and receive asylum or the principle of non-refoulement. Other challenges that the IACHR has identified relate to the fact that some countries of the region lack qualified persons to manage the refugee status determination process, especially in the case of children and adolescents, tight deadlines to apply for the recognition of refugee status, and undue delays in resolving claims.

138. The Commission has received information that this situation in the countries of the Caribbean region is particularly troubling. According to UNHCR, the states and territories in the Caribbean are source, destination and transit countries for tens of thousands of migrants each year. The region also produces refugees and is a transit gateway for refugees and asylum-seekers trying to reach North America.
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within larger groups of migrants by land, air and sea (often through smuggling networks). In addition to the asylum applications lodged by persons originating from within the region of the Americas, the number of persons coming from other regions in the world, in particular from North Africa and the Middle East, is clearly on the rise. In 2014, a total of 363 new asylum-seekers were registered in the region.

139. According to UNHCR, the largest number of asylum-seekers was registered in Trinidad and Tobago, where 184 persons applied for asylum in 2014. This represents a 27% increase compared to the number of new arrivals registered in 2013 regionally and a 195% increase in the number of new arrivals in Trinidad and Tobago in 2013. The growing number of arrivals is reportedly placing a strain on the limited resources and capacities available.

140. Despite the increased migration in the Caribbean region, the region is characterized by the absence of a legislative or policy framework for the protection of refugees and stateless persons. There is also a scarcity of adequate reception mechanisms to respond to the specific needs of (unaccompanied) children, women-at-risk, older persons or persons with health problems or disabilities. The IACHR stresses the importance of the right to seek and receive asylum and the principle of non-refoulement as human rights that the States of the region must ensure for those persons who have been forced to flee persecution and violence.

141. UNHCR has also indicated several positive developments and good practices in the Caribbean region. According to the information received, all but four Caribbean states have signed the 1951 Convention and all but five have signed the 1967 Convention. Belize, the Dominican Republic and The Cayman Islands have adopted enabling legislation. In addition, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago have adopted a specific and comprehensive refugee policy. The Bahamas, Belize, the Dominican Republic and Jamaica have established and/or reactivated national mechanisms to determine refugee status and Trinidad and Tobago is in the process of developing refugee status determination procedures and setting up an Inter-ministerial Eligibility Commission.

142. Another positive development in the region has been the measures taken by Brazil and Uruguay to provide a humanitarian response to the crisis experienced by refugees from the conflict in Syria. According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, as a result of the war in Syria, 6.5 million people have been forcibly displaced internally and more than 3 million have fled the country, creating the largest population of refugees worldwide. According to publicly available information, Uruguay has committed to receiving 120 Syrian refugees and on October 9, 2014, then President of Uruguay José Mujica led the government delegation that received the first group of Syrian refugees, which comprised 42 people from five families, including 33 children. Uruguay was the first Latin American country to carry out a program of resettlement of Syrian refugees since the war began in Syria and the Commission commends the country for this initiative. For its part, the Brazilian government has planned to grant humanitarian visas for people who have been forcibly displaced by the armed conflict in Syria. According to official data, as of July 2014, the Brazilian consulates in the Middle-East had granted 4,189 humanitarian visas and during the past two years, Brazil has recognized as refugees 1,512 people from Syria.252

143. The IACHR also notes that countries from Latin America and the Caribbean met in Brasilia, Brazil, in December 2014, on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of the Cartagena Declaration on Refugees, to discuss collaborative efforts to maintain the highest standards of protection for refugees and internally displaced persons at the regional and international level and to implement solutions to the problem of statelessness in the region. Their commitment to these goals was registered in the Brazil Declaration (A Framework for Cooperation and Regional Solidarity to Strengthen the International Protection of Refugees, Displaced and Stateless Persons in Latin America and the Caribbean) and in its Plan of Action (A Common Roadmap to Strengthen Protection and Promote Sustainable Solutions for Refugees, Displaced and Stateless Persons in Latin America and the Caribbean within a Framework of Cooperation and Solidarity).253
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253 Both documents can be found at http://www.refworld.org/docid/5487065b4.html.
The Brazil Declaration and its Plan of Action recognize the new realities in Latin America and the Caribbean which force persons to flee situations of risk or persecution in search of protection. As a response, States have developed new strategies to expand local integration opportunities, resettlement, voluntary repatriation and regional programs for migrant workers. States have also committed to the eradication of statelessness in the region by 2024. The IACHR calls on the Member States of the OAS to implement the plan of action in a manner that will have a positive impact on effectively guaranteeing the human rights of asylum seekers, refugees, internally displaced and stateless persons. Similarly, it urges States to establish, at the national level, mechanisms to evaluate and follow through with the implementation of the Plan of Action.

The full and proper integration of asylum seekers and refugees in countries of asylum is also a challenge for the protection of the human rights of these persons. The right to work is not always a reality due to the existence of patterns of discrimination and xenophobia affecting these people. Asylum seekers and refugees may also encounter many difficulties when attempting to assert their economic, social and cultural rights, such as access to public education and to health services or the right to decent and adequate housing. These situations must be corrected in order to truly recognize as subjects of human rights those persons who are in greatest need of protection, namely asylum seekers and refugees.

In this regard, the Commission also applauds the measures taken by Brazil and Uruguay to integrate refugees into their societies. For example, the Uruguayan government has adopted a series of measures in order for the Syrian refugees to receive housing, medical care, Spanish lessons, an introduction to the culture and customs of Uruguay, and job training. Also, families will have a technical support team during their first two years in Uruguay, including at least one social worker and a psychologist. Furthermore, in anticipation of the integration of girls and boys into the Uruguayan educational system, teachers, students and parents were given prior information about cultural diversity, language differences and lifestyles. In Brazil, it has been reported that migrants who live in extreme poverty in the city of Sao Paulo will be included in the “Bolsa Família,” a conditional cash transfer program that provides 77 Brazilian reais, roughly 27 U.S. dollars, per month to participants. It is estimated that this measure will positively affect between 15 and 50 thousand migrants and it is expected to be applied nationwide in the future. The Commission welcomes Brazil’s Ministry of Social Development’s interpretation of the country’s Foreigner’s Statute which recognizes that migrants have the same rights afforded to Brazilians by law and under the country’s constitution.

Finally, the Commission calls on States in the region to implement measures that will normalize the immigration status of irregular migrants in order for them to fully exercise their civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights. Migrants’ contributions to development in their host countries frequently comes at a human cost, especially for those in an irregular situation who are often constrained by circumstances to perform tasks at whatever financial, physical or even psychological cost.


148. One positive development regarding the regularization of irregular migrants in 2014 has been the executive action on immigration announced by President Barack Obama of the United States of America on November 20, 2014. This executive action has the potential to protect nearly four million persons of the 11.2 million persons in an irregular migratory situation from deportation. While the Commission is cognizant that this measure has a limited scope and that its implementation has been blocked pending the outcome of lawsuits brought by a group of states, it would represent a step in the right direction as the regularization of migrants is an effective way to reduce their vulnerability.\textsuperscript{259} The Commission therefore urges the United States to continue to strive for the approval and implementation of this executive action.