

APPENDIX II

List of Facilities Surveyed

O A S STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT ST KITTS ISLAND

	FACILITY		LOCATION			
	NAME		COORD	INATES	MINISTRY	REMARKS
NUMBER	NAME	TOWN OR VILLAGE	LATITUDE 'N'	LONGITUDE 'W'		
1	Police Station	Basseterre, Central	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"		
2	Police Station	St. Johnston Village, Basseterre, West	17°-17'-45"	62°-44'-15"	National Security	
3	Police Station	Old Road	17°-19'-15"	62°-48'-15"		
4	Police Station	Sandy Point		RENTED PRO	PERTY	
5	Police Station	St Pauls	17°-24'-15"	62°-50'-30"	National Security	
6	Police Station	Dieppe Bay		ABANDON	ED	
7	Police Station	Tabernacle	17°-23'-45"	62°-46'-30"		
7B		Tabemadic	11 20 40	02 40 00	National Security	
8	Police Station	Cayon	17°-21'-15"	62°-44'-00"		
9	Police Station	Stapleton	17°-19'-30"	62°-43'-45"		
10	Police Station	Frigate Bay		RENIEDPRO	PERIY	
11						
110	Police Training Complex	Basseterre, East	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"	National Socurity	
11D					National Security	
12	Fire Station	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"		
13	Arrivals Hall	Port Zante		PRIVATELY	D W N E D	
14	Administration Building	Port Basseterre	17°-17'-15"	62°-42'-30"		
15	Operations Puilding	Port Possofarra	170 17 15	620 421 201		
15B		Puit Basseterre	17-17-15	o∠⁻-4∠ -30°		
16	Transit Shed	Port Basseterre	17°-17'-15"	62°-42'-30"		
17	Fire Hall	RI B Int'l Airport	17°-18'-45"	62°-43'-15"		
17B				02 10 10		
18	Customs Shed	RLB Int'l Airport	17°-18'-30"	62°-42'-45"	Finance	
19	Main Building Pogson Hospital	Sandy Point	тс	BERECONS	TRUCTED	
20	Main Building	Molineux	17°-22'-00"	62°-45'-30"		
	Mary Charles Hospital					
21					Health	
21B	Cardin Home	Basseterre				
210						
210	Coast Guard Station	Bird Rock	17°-17'-15"	62°-42'-30"		
22	Customs Building	Dira Rock	17 - 17 - 15	02 -42 -50		
23	(Administration)	Bird Rock	17°-17'-15"	62°-42'-30"	Finance	
24	Customs Hoadquarters	Bird Kock	17°-17'-15" 17°-17'-20"	62°-42'-30"		
25	Hoalth Contro	Bassotorro	17 -17 -30	62°-44'-00"		
20	Health Centre	Cayon	17°-21'-15"	62°-44'-15"		
28	Health Centre	Molineux	17°-22'-00"	62°-45'-30"		
29	Health Centre	Tabernacle	17°-23'-15"	62°-46'-30"		
30	Health Centre	Saddlers	17°-24'-30"	62°-48'-00"		
31	Health Centre	Dieppe Bay	17°-24'-45"	62°-48'-30"	Health	
32	Health Centre	St Pauls	17°-24'-15"	62°-50'-30"		
33	Health Centre	Sandy Point	17°-21'-30"	62°-51'-00"		
34	Health Centre	Old Road	17°-19'-15"	62°-48'-15"		
35	Health Centre	Ponds Pasture	17°-17'-30"	62°-43'-00"		
36	Post Office	Basseterre	17°-17'-30"	62°-43'-30"	CWPUP	
37	Post Office	Cayon		RENTED PRO	PERTY	
38	Post Office	Sandy Point	479 401 00"	RENTED PRO		
39	Courthouse	Recentorre	17-18-30" 170 171 15"	62°-42'-45"		
40 /1	Courtnouse Covit Headquarters	Bassotorro	17°-17'-45	0∠ -43-15 62°-42'-20"	Eugai Allalis	
42	Public Market	Bay Road	17°-17'-30"	62°-43'-45"	Agriculture	
43		Duy riodu				
43B	Radio & TV Studios	Basseterre	17°-18'-00"	62°-44'-00"	Prime Minister	
44						
44B						
44C	Defence Force	Basseterre	17°-18'-00"	62°-44'-00"	National Security	
44D	Headquarters					
44E						
45	Treasury Building	Basseterre	17°-17'-30"	62°-43'-30"		
46	Finance Department	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-45"	Finance	

O A S STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT ST KITTS ISLAND

FACILITY			LOCATION			
	NAME		COORD	INATES	MINISTRY	REMARKS
NUMBER	NAME	TOWN OR VILLAGE	LATITUDE 'N'	LONGITUDE 'W'		
47	Public Works Department	Basseterre	17°-18'-00"	62°-43'-15"		
48	Electricity Department Headquarters	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-45"	CWPUP	
49	Electricity Billing Department	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-45"	Communications, Works & Public Utilities	
50	Planning, Trading & Industry	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"	Trade Industry & CARICOM Affairs	
51	Newstead Building	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"	Foreign & Home Affairs	
52	O A S Building	Fortlands	17°-17'-30"	62°-44'-00"		
53	Tourism Centre	Basseterre	17°-17'-30"	62°-43'-30"	Tourism & Environment	
54	Central Marketing Corporation	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"		
55	Ministry of Women's Affairs	Basseterre	17°-17'-45"	62°-43'-30"	Health & Women's Affairs	

O A S STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT NEVIS ISLAND

	FACILITY		LOCATION			
	NAME		COORD	INATES	MINISTRY	REMARKS
NUMBER	NAME	TOWN OR VILLAGE	LATITUDE 'N'	LONGITUDE 'W'		
56	Doling Station	Charlastown	178 00 15	600 27 45		
56B	Police Station	Chanestown	17 -00-15	02 -37 -45		
57	Police Station	Cotton ground	17°-10'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
58	Police Station	Gingerland	17°-08'-05"	62°-34'-15"	Premier	
59	Police Station	New Castle	17°-12'-10"	62°-35'-00"	rieniei	
60	Alexandra Hospital	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
61	Maternity Block	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
62		Charlestown	17 -00 - 13		SS	
63	Paediatric Ward	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
64	Eve Clinic	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
65	Health Centre	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"		
66	Health Centre	Brown Hill	17°-07'-15"	62°-36'-00"		
67	Health Centre	Butlers	17°-10'-15"	62°-33'-00"		
68	Health Centre	Combermere	17°-11'-30"	62°-34'-45"		
69	Health Centre	Gingerland	17°-08'-00"	62°-34'-30"		
70	Primary School	Gingerland	17°-08'-00"	62°-34'-15"		
71	Primary School	St James	17°-10'-30"	62°-33'-15"		
72					Premier	
72B	Primary School	Charlestown	17°-08'-30"	62°-37'-45"		
72C	.,					
73	Primary School	St Thomas (Lowlands)	17°-10'-00"	62°-37'-30"		
74	Primary School	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-20"		
75	Primary School	St John's	17°-07'-45"	62°-35'-30"		
76	Fire Hall	New Castle Airport	17°-12'-15"	62°-35'-30"		
77	Fire Station	Charlestown		02 00 00		
78	Courthouse/Library	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"		
79	Public Market	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"	ALLH&T	
80	Administration Building	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"	Premier	
81	, and a start of a starting	endirectorin		02 01 10		
81B	Power House	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-40"	CWPUP	
82	New Castle Pottery	New Castle	17°-12'-00"	62°-34'-45"		
83	Office & Store Room	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-30"	ALLH&T	
84	Department of Agriculture	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-45"		
85						
85B	Public Works Department	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"		
85C					CWPUP	
86	Ministry of Communications	Stoney Grove	17°-07'-45"	62°-37'-00"		
87	Post Office	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"		
88	Infirmary	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-30"	Premier	
89	Treasury Building	Charlestown		TOBERENO	VATED	
90	Cotton House	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"	Premier	
91	CMC Building	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-45"		
92	Pump House	Fothergills	17°-08'-30"	62°-33'-45"	CWPUP	
93	Pump House	Stoney Grove		ABANDON	IED	
94 94B	Repair Shop & Garage	Cades Bay		ABANDON	IED	
95	Government Repair Shop	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-45"	Premier	ł
96	Married Quarters	Belle Vue		TOBEDEMOL	ISHED	
.97	Nurses Quarters	Brown Hill		NOACCE	SS	
98	Nurses Quarters	Combermere	17°-11'-30"	62°-34'-45"	Premier	
99	Nurses Quarters	Gingerland		ABANDON	IED	1
100	Nurses Home	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-15"	Premier	
101	Veterinary Clinic	Prospect	17°-07'-30"	62°-36'-45"	ALLH&T	t
102	Doctor's Residence	Charlestown	17°-08'-15"	62°-37'-30"	Premier	ł
103	Doctor's Residence	Gingerland		ABANDON	IED	
104	Dav Care Centre	Hardtimes	17°-08'-00"	62°-34'-15"		
105	Living Quarters (Officers)	Butlers	17°-10'-15"	62°-34'-00"		
106	Kitchen & Dining Area etc	Butlers	17°-10'-15"	62°-34'-00"	Premier	
107	Health Centre	Cotton around	17°-10'-15"	62°-37'-15"		
		eetten ground	10	02 07 10		

APPENDIX III

Summary of Relative Vulnerability to Earthquake and to Hurricane

Facility	Facility No.	No. of stories	Total floor area	Structure classification	Roof covering	Year built	Relative V	ulnerability
			(ft2)		<u> </u>		Wind	Earthquake
Police Station	1	3	28,885	RC	0	1952	L	L
Police Station	2	2	3400	LS	MPS	1972	М	М
Police Station	3	2	3818	RC	MPS	1968	L	L
Police Station	4		L	RI	ENTED PROPER	TY	I	
Police Station	5	2	3472	LS	MPS	1971	М	М
Police Station	6	ABANDONED						
	7	1	870	URM	MPS	1950	Н	L
Police Station	7b	1	1150	LS	MPS	1975	М	Н
Police Station	8	2	3400	LS	MPS	1972	L	М
Police Station	9	1	2197	RM	MPS	1973-1983	L	М
Police Station	10			RI	ENTED PROPER	TY		
	11	1	1150	LS	MPS	1972	Н	Н
Police Training	11b	2	5252	LS	MPS	1972	Н	Н
Complex	11c	1	3161	LS	MPS	1972	Н	Н
	11d	1	1150	LS	MPS	1972	Н	Н
Fire Station	12	2	7120	RC	MPS	1968	М	М
Arrivals Hall	13			PI	RIVATELY OWN	ED	L	
Administration Bldg	14	2	5658	RC	AS	1991	Н	М
	15	1	5016	RM	MPS	1982	М	Н
Operations Building	15b	1	1800	RC	AS	1993	Н	М

Facility	Facility No.	No. of stories	Total floor area	Structure classification	Roof covering	Year built	Relative V	ulnerability
					5		Wind	Earthquake
Transit Shed	16	1	16,250	LS	MPS	1986	L	L
	17	1	3788	RC	MPS	1974	L	L
Fire Hall	17b	2	2317	RC	AS	1974	Н	L
Customs Shed	18	2	6204	LS	MPS	1974	Н	Н
Main Building Pogson Hospital	19		1	TO 1	BE RECONSTRU	ICTED		
Main Building	20	1	5559	RM	MPS	1986	М	L
Mary Charles Hospital								
Cardin Home	21	1	2592	RM	MPS	Before 1973	L	L
Cardin Home	21b	1	5178	RM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М
Administration Bldg	22	2	4200	LS	MPS	1987	L	L
Customs Building (Administration)	23	1	12,586	LS	MPS	1977	М	Н
Customs Warehouse	24	1	14,070	LS	MPS	1973	Н	Н
Inland Revenue Dep't	25		1	SEE	FACILITY NC	0.36	1	1
Health Centre	26	2	5715	RC	0	1949	L	М
Health Centre	27	1	2499	RM	MPS	2000	L	L
Health Centre	28	1	1038	RM	AS	1952	Н	М
Health Centre	29	1	895	RM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М
Health Centre	30	1	1680	RM	AS	1954	Н	Н
Health Centre	31	1	1159	RM	AS	1973-1983	Н	М
Health Centre	32	1	855	RM	MPS	1973-1983	М	L
Health Centre	33	1	1001	RM	MPS	1955	L	L

Facility	Facility	No. of stories	Total floor area	Structure	Roof	Year built	Relative Vu	ulnerability
							Wind	Earthquake
Health Centre	34	1	860	RM	MPS	1973-1983	М	L
Health Centre	35	1	3400	RC	MPS	1975	Н	М
Post Office	36	2	15,871	RC	MPS	1960	L	М
Post Office	37			RI	ENTED PROPER	TY		
Post Office	38			RI	ENTED PROPER	TY		
Power Station B	39	1	22,200	LS	MPS	1972	L	М
Court House	40	2	11,552	RC	MPS	1995	L	L
Gov't Headquarters	41	3	20,310	RC	0	1963/1995	L	L
Public Market	42	1	8340	LS	0	1978	М	Н
	43	1	2692	RC	MPS	1958	М	М
Radio & TV Studios	43b	1	4220	RC	MPS	1972	L	L – M
	44	2	6944	RM	AS	1985	Н	L
	44b	1	2727	RM	AS	1985	Н	L
Defence Force Headquarters	44c	2	4630	RM	MPS	1999	L	L – M
	44d	1	2536	RC	MPS	1969	L	L
	44e	1	2160	RC	MPS	1969	L	L
Treasury Building	45	2	9418	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	L – M
Finance Department	46	3	9936	RC	MPS/BUG	1973	М	L
Public Works Dep't	47	2	3400	RC	0	1952	L	L
Electricity Dep't Headquarters	48	1	1675	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	L

Facility	Facility	No. of	Total floor	Structure	Roof	Year	Relative V	ulnerability
	NO.	SCOLIES	area	Classification	covering	Duile	Wind	Earthquake
Electricity Billing Department	49	2	9640	RC	0	1973-1983	М	L
Planning, Trading & Industry	50	3	8556	RC	MPS	1950's	L	L
Newstead Building	51	3	12,676	RC	0	1996	L	L
O A S Building	52	1	1966	Т	MPS	Before 1973	L	L
Tourism Centre	53	2	17,402	LS	MPS	DK	L	L
Central Marketing Corporation	54	1	7575	LS	MPS	1974/1975	М	М
Ministry of Women's Affairs	55	2	4227	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	L
	56	2	4736	RC	0	1998	L	L
Police Station	56b	2	4096	URM	MPS	1800's/ 1950's	L	L
Police Station	57	1	1268	URM	MPS	1950's	М	М
Police Station	58	1	1512	URM	MPS	1953	L	М
Police Station	59	2	3472	LS	MPS	1973	Н	М
Alexandra Hospital Main Building	60	2	19,474	RC	MPS/ACS	1951	L	L
Maternity Block	61	2	6920	RC	MPS	Before 1973	М	М
Operating Theatre	62			-	NO ACCESS			+
Paediatric Ward	63	1	972	RM	MPS	1996	L	L
Eye Clinic	64	2	3540	RM	AS	1998	н	L
Health Centre	65	1	2760	RC	MPS	1940's	L	М
Health Centre	66	1	1286	RM	MPS	1950/1997	М	L
Health Centre	67	1	945	URM	MPS	1950's	L	L

Facility	Facility	No. of	Total floor	Structure	Roof	Year	Relative V	ulnerability
	110.	5001105	arca	classification	covering	Duric	Wind	Earthquake
Health Centre	68	1	1369	RM	MPS	DK	L	L
Health Centre	69	1	1468	URM	MPS	1944/1997	L	L
Primary School	70	2	13,080	RC	MPS	1978	Н	М
Primary School	71	1	7659	RC	MPS	1979	М	М
	72	2	6758	RC	MPS	1990	L	L
Primary School	72b	1	1950	RC	MPS	1990	L	L
	72c	1	3379	RC	MPS	1990	L	L
Primary School	73	1	10,846	LS	MPS	1970	Н	L
Primary School	74	1	9603	LS	MPS	1965	М	Н
Primary School	75	2	2530	RM	MPS	+1988	L	М
Fire Hall	76	1	2244	RC	MPS	1985-1988	L	L
	76b	1	855	RM	0	2001	L	L
Fire Station	77	2	1936	RM	MPS	1996	М	L
Court House/Library	78	2	5362	URM	MPS	1877	L	L
Public Market	79	1	3775	RC	MPS/ACS	1940	L	М
Administration Bldg	80	2	1848	RM	MPS	1982	L	L
Dower House	81	1	5500	HS	MPS	1984	М	L
(2 Buildings)	81b	1	1200	RM	MPS	1973-1983	М	М
New Castle Pottery	82	1	1316	RM	MPS	1973-1983	Н	Н
Office & Store Room	83	1	1260	RM	MPS	1973-1983	М	L

Facility	Facility	No. of	Total floor	Structure	Roof	Year	Relative V	ulnerability	
		DCOLICD	ui cu	crabbilicación	covering	Duric	Wind	Earthquake	
Dep't of Agriculture	84	2	4708	LS	MPS	2000	L	Н	
	85	1	840	Т	MPS	1991/1992	М	L	
Public Works Dep't	85b	1	608	URM	MPS	1800's	Н	L	
	85c	2	2592	URM	MPS/O	1800's/ 1984/1997	L	L	
Ministry of Communications	86	2	9600	RC	MPS	1994	L	М	
Post Office	87	2	1989	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М	
Infirmary	88	1	3626	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М	
Treasury Building	89	TO BE RENOVATED							
Cotton House	90	1	9715	URM	MPS	DK	L	М	
CMC Building	91	2	2068	RC	MPS	DK	L	L	
Pump House	92	1	1196	RM	0	1973-1983	L	Н	
Pump House	93			1	ABANDONED				
	94								
Repair Shop & Garage	94b				ABANDONED				
Government Repair Shop	95	1	2900	URM	MPS	1943	М	L	
Married Quarters	96			TC) BE DEMOLISI	HED			
Nurses Quarters	97				NO ACCESS				
Nurses Quarters	98	2	704	RM	MPS	Before 1973	М	L	
Nurses Quarters	99				ABANDONED			1	
Nurses Home	100	1	1812	RM	ACS	Before 1973	Н	Н	

Facility	Facility No.	No. of stories	Total floor area	Structure classification	Roof covering	Year built	Relative V	ulnerability
							Wind	Earthquake
Veterinary Clinic	101	1	598	RM	MPS	1973-1983	М	М
Doctor's Residence	102	1	2508	URM	MPS	Before 1973	М	М
Doctor's Residence Main Building	103				ABANDONED			
Day Care Centre	104	1	1650	RM	MPS	After 1983	М	L
Living Quarters (Officers)	105	1	2120	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М
Kitchen & Dining Area etc.	106 a	1	1080	URM	MPS	DK	L	М
Health Centre	107	1	871	URM	MPS	Before 1973	L	М

+upper level rebuilt

APPENDIX IV Recommended Retrofit Action

Facility No. 1

BASSETERRE POLICE STATION

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to both wind and earthquake.

Description

This is a three-storey building constructed of reinforced concrete frame with walls of unreinforced stone masonry and reinforced concrete block. The roof is a flat concrete slab. The windows have no shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$202,000
Total:	<u>\$202,000</u>

ST JOHNSTON VILLAGE POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, pre-engineered, steel-framed building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a low-pitched gable which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

At the upper level there is a small verandah. The main roof is continuous over the verandah.

The windows do not have protective shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

The roof sheets are more than twenty years old. They should be inspected closely to determine whether all or some of them should be replaced at this time.

In any event roof sheet fastener spacing should be reduced in the area over the verandah.

Storm shutters	\$24,000
Additional roof sheeting fasteners	\$5,000
Total:	\$29,000

Facility No. 3

OLD ROAD POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete and concrete block building. The roof profile is a mono-slope of low pitch. Roof overhangs are short and soffitted. The roof is framed in wood and clad with corrugated metal sheets. There is a small, roofed verandah which is formed by extension of the main roof. This verandah carries a soffit. There are no protecting window shutters. The garage does not have a door.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on gently sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. It is set back somewhat from the coast but at an elevation of 40 feet above sea level it is not subject to storm surges. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows.

The roof sheeting is said to be over 20 years old. Although no leaks were observed it should be inspected in detail to verify integrity of sheeting and fasteners.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$27,000
Total:	\$27,000

ST PAULS POLICE STATION An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey, pre-engineered steel-framed building with reinforced concrete walls. Some of the ground floor interior walls are 4 inches thick. The roof is clad with corrugated metal sheets. There is an open, roofed verandah on the upper floor which is formed by an extension of the main roof. This carries a plywood soffit. The windows are not shuttered.

Siting

The building is located at the top of an embankment near to a ghaut. Although there is no risk of flooding it is felt that there is a risk that the building could be damaged by landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition. Some of the structural steel components, particularly on the northern side, are beginning to show signs of corrosion.

Retrofit Recommendations

Exposed steel which is deteriorating should be scraped and wire brushed then given 1 coat of rust-inhibiting primer and 2 coats of enamel paint.

The plywood soffit should be checked for integrity and repaired where necessary. Additional roof sheet screws should be inserted at roof overhangs, eaves, ridge and gable end.

Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows.

Resistance to earthquake could be improved by replacing at least two of the 4-inch block walls on the ground floor with 6-inch reinforced block walls. It should be verified that the remaining 4-inch walls are reinforced vertically at intervals not greater than 32 inches. They should be braced at the top.

Storm shutters	\$24,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$5,000
Wire-brush and paint steel members	\$7.000
Replace two of the 4" block walls on ground floor with 6"	\$5,000
reinforced block walls	
Total:	<u>\$41,000</u>

TABERNACLE POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

There are two buildings on this compound, the Charge Room #7 and the Barracks (#7b).

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates the Charge Room #7 has moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane winds. The Barracks (#7b) has vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

Charge Room: This is a small reinforced masonry building with light timber-framed, hip roof. It is clad with corrugated metal sheets.

The windows are shuttered. The rafters are toe-nailed to the wall plate.

Barracks: This is a light, pre-fabricated, steel-framed, single storey building. Exterior walls are made up of steel-edged cement fibreboard panels. The corrugated metal- sheeted roof is supported on steel purlins which frame into steel trusses, which are in turn supported on steel columns.

Siting

Both buildings are sited on gently sloping ground. The site is not prone to flooding. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

Charge Room: This building is in fair condition.

A section of roof sheeting and the boarding underneath need to be replaced. Several of the window shutters are missing the hooks for securing the windows in the closed position.

Barracks: This building is in poor condition.

The roof sheeting and the steel roof-framing members are in good condition, except for the eave purlins which are so badly corroded that they have no structural integrity. The steel edges to the wall panels on the north or "weather side" of the building are also badly corroded. Where the roof extends over the porch, the roof sheeting is particularly vulnerable to hurricane winds because the ceiling panels were blown out some time ago and have been replaced. The windows are shuttered.

Retrofit Recommendations

Charge Room: Standard hurricane clips should be installed to provide positive tie-down of the rafters. Substandard roof sheeting and roof boarding should be replaced and missing window hooks should be replaced.

Barracks: This building requires major refurbishment. It is recommended that the exterior walls be demolished leaving the steel frames in place. These frames should be wire-brushed and painted, new eave purlins should be installed and then the exterior walls should be rebuilt in reinforced concrete blockwork. New ceilings should be installed as well as permanently hung shutters.

7a	
Hurricane clips	\$2,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$17,000
Replace close boarding	\$9,000
7b	
Demolish exterior walls	\$6,000
Storm shutters	\$8,000
New purlins	\$3,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$23,000
Wire-brush and paint steel members	\$3,000
construct new exterior walls (reinforced concrete blockwork)	\$26,000
Install new ceilings	\$12,000
Total:	<u>\$109,000</u>

CAYON VILLAGE POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, pre-engineered, steel-framed building with reinforced concrete walls. The roof is a low-pitched gable which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

At the upper level there is a small verandah. The main roof is continuous over the verandah.

The windows have protective shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof sheets are more than twenty years old and some leaks are reported. They should be inspected closely to determine whether all or some of them should be replaced at this time.

In any event roof sheet fastener spacing should be reduced in the area over the verandah.

Estimated Cost	
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$5,000
Total:	\$5,000

STAPLETON POLICE STATION An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced concrete block building. The roof is a well-pitched gable which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. There is a porch at the front of the building. The roof of the porch is separated from the main roof.

The windows are not shuttered.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted as protection for the windows.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed in the area above the porch and at eave, ridge and gable ends.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$15,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$6,000
Total:	\$21,000

POLICE TRAINING COMPLEX An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

There are four prefabricated buildings on this compound. One of them is a two-storey building. The others are one-storey structures. The structural system comprises light steel roof trusses rigidly connected to steel columns. Steel purlins span between trusses and support corrugated metal roof sheets. The walls are two to three inches thick cement fiberboard panels edged with steel. The exception is ground floor walls of the two-storey building which are 6 inches thick concrete block construction. Windows are unshuttered.

<u>Siting</u>

The buildings are located on flat land which is subject to flooding during heavy rain and is also at risk from storm surge although a recently constructed sea wall does offer some protection. There is no land slide risk.

Condition

The buildings are in poor condition. All of the steel frame members which are exposed to the elements are in urgent need of attention. Some of them, the light gauge purlins, are so badly corroded that they have no remaining structural integrity. They cannot be relied upon to hold the roof sheets in high winds.

The buildings have ceilings which conceal the purlins above. The condition of these members could not generally be determined readily but in at least one location where there are missing ceiling panels there is an interior purlin which has been destroyed by corrosion. The exterior wall panels are deteriorating. Roof sheets are in better condition for the most part.

Retrofit Recommendation

It is recommended that all of the exterior wall panels be removed and discarded. Roof sheets should be removed and all of the purlins should be replaced with Galvanized steel purlins. The exterior walls should then be replaced with reinforced concrete blockwork which should be anchored to the columns. Exposed heavier gauge steel members should be thoroughly wire-brushed and painted with one coat of rust-inhibiting primer and two coats of enamel.

Storm shutters should be installed.

Since the existing roof sheets are more than twenty years old it is recommended that the roof be resheeted.

Storm shutters should be installed.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$65,000
New purlins	\$20,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$87,000
Wire brush and paint steel members	\$22,000
Construct new exterior walls (reinforced concrete blockwork)	\$151,000
Walls to be demolished	\$32,000
Total:	<u>\$377,000</u>

BASSETERRE FIRE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a 2-story reinforced concrete framed structure with concrete block walls. The roof

is sheeted with corrugated metal panels supported on wood trusses. It is protected by a parapet. The upper floor, which accommodates the firemen's quarters, comprises long exterior walls with very few bracing cross walls. The walls are braced instead by reinforced concrete frames spanning across the hall. This system provides adequate transverse lateral stability at this level.

Below this floor, the structure is mostly open-sided and is supported on reinforced concrete columns which resist lateral loads by portal frame action in combination with the floor beams to which they are rigidly connected. This configuration creates a situation where the upper storey is much stiffer in at least one direction than the lower storey.

All other things being equal, this type of structure does not perform as well as the more conventional structures in response to earthquake shaking.

There is no provision for windows to be protected by shutters, whether permanently hung or otherwise.

<u>Siting</u>

The Fire Station is located on flat land near the coast. The site is subject to flooding due to heavy rains. It is subject to storm surge though it is partially protected by a seawall.

Condition

_ .

The building is in fair condition

Retrofit Recommendation

. ...

To reduce the seismic vulnerability of this building the preferred solution is to construct two reinforced concrete bracing walls between some of the ground floor columns-one per long side.

Permanently hung shutters would need to be installed on the building.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$50,000
Construct reinforced concrete bracing walls	\$15,000
Total:	<u>\$65,000</u>

Facility No. 14

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING PORT BASSETERRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, reinforced concrete structure with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a hip framed with light wood rafters and clad with asphalt shingles.

There is a verandah on one side of the building. The main roof extends over the verandah. The windows are not protected by shutters. The rafters are anchored to the wall with a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

Siting

The building is located on a small promontory. There is no risk of flooding but there is a risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Shingles on the roof are ordinary shingles which are not rated for hurricane wind resistance.

It is recommended that corrugated metal sheets be installed on wood purlins over the existing shingles.

Saddle type, rafter-to-wall hurricane straps should be installed on each rafter to secure it to the ringbeam.

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$40,000
New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles	\$55,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$3,000
Total:	<u>\$98,000</u>

Facility No. 15

OPERATIONS BUILDING PORT BASSETERRE

<u>Vulnerability</u>

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced masonry building. The roof profile is a hip of low pitch and it is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhangs a generous corridor which wraps around all four sides of the building. The corridor roof has a soffit.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located on flat ground at the toe of an earthen embankment. An open storm water drain runs between the building and the embankment. In a severe rainfall event considerable amounts of silt-laden water will flow over the top of the embankment and eventually fill the drain with sand. The building would then be subject to flooding. There is also a risk of landslide of the embankment material. The building is not likely to be affected by storm surge.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in the area above the corridors and at the ridge.

The ratio of wall opening area to wall area for this building is high. This accounts for the indication of high vulnerability to earthquake. No drawings were available to study. It is likely that there are several reinforced concrete columns or "wall stiffeners", as they are popularly called, built into the wall and these would have the effect of increasing the earthquake resistance of the building.

It is recommended that some effort be made to locate the drawings so that this detail could be verified.

Failing this, selected block wall panels between windows should be replaced with reinforced concrete to provide the increased lateral force resistance.

Estimated CostStorm shutters\$35,000Additional roof sheet fasteners\$14,000Total:\$49,000

SECURITY BUILDING PORT BASSETERRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, reinforced concrete building. The lower storey has continuous vent blocks at the top of reinforced concrete block walls. The upper storey is clad with reinforced concrete block work.

There is a light, wood-framed gable roof which is clad with corrugated metal sheets.

There is an open, roofed corridor on one side.

The windows are not protected by shutters. The rafters are anchored to the walls by a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix I

Siting

The building is situated on flat land at the toe of an earthen embankment. There is a risk of damage to the building due to landslide. There is no flooding risk and no storm surge risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

A Saddle-type rafter-to-wall hurricane strap should be installed at each rafter.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed in the corridor area and at eave, ridge and gable end.

Resistance to earthquake could be improved by replacing the lower floor vent block with regular reinforced concrete blockwork.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$13,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$2,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$5,000
Replace lower floor vent block with reinforced concrete blockwork	\$2,000
Total:	\$22,000

TRANSIT SHED PORT BASSETERRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a high-bay, pre-engineered, steel-framed building with reinforced concrete block walls.

The roof profile is twin gables on a good pitch. They are clad with corrugated metal sheets.

There are generous roof overhangs at the eaves and there are ridge ventilators on each roof. There are few windows but there are four large roll-up doors.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on the wharf. It is subject to storm surge. There is no land slide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Exposed steel members are under constant corrosion attack and regular maintenance is necessary if this condition is to be held in check. It is observed that several of the original steel members have been replaced with make-shift timber members – obviously because the steel has been lost to corrosion.

Retrofit Recommendations

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed at roof overhangs, ridge and gable ends.

Ventilator attachments should be checked to be certain that they are secure.

The large doors should be fitted with auxiliary braces which could be put in place temporarily when the building is being battened down in preparation for hurricane force winds.

The original steel roof members should be replaced.

Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$45,000
Fit large doors with auxiliary braces	\$2,000
Replace original steel roof	\$10,000
Total:	<u>\$57,000</u>

FIREHALL, RLB AIRPORT An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. Roof beams are of structural steel and roof cladding is corrugated metal sheets. There is a parapet on 3 sides.

There are no windows. There are 3 large fire engine doors ways but there are no doors.

Siting

The building is situated on gently sloping land. It is not subject to flooding.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Consideration should be given to providing roll-up doors for the garages. These would protect both the roof from higher pressures and the engine from flying debris.

Such doors should be provided with temporary steel braces to reinforce them during the passage of a hurricane.

Estimated Cost	
Roll-up doors:	\$36,000
Provide steel braces for doors:	\$2,000
Total:	<u>\$38,000</u>

FIREHALL BARRACKS – RLB AIRPORT

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey concrete block structure with a light, timber-framed roof which is clad with asphalt shingles. These are ordinary, light shingles which are not rated for resistance to uplift in high wind situations. In a hurricane, the shingles will likely be blown off.

The timber rafters are held down on the concrete ring beam by a steel rod which is threaded through the rafter and anchored in the ring beam. This method of anchoring the rafter is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

There are no window shutters.

Retrofit Recommendation

It is recommended that corrugated metal sheeting be installed on 2 x 4 wood purlins over the existing shingles.

Other retrofit needs include:

- 1. installing hurricane clip which will tie the rafter to the face of the concrete ring beam.
- 2. fabricating and installing window shutters.

Install corrugated metal sheeting on 2 x 4 wood purlins over	\$20,000
existing asphalt shingles (metal only)	
Total:	\$20,000

CUSTOMS SHED

R L BRADSHAW INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, pre-engineered steel building with reinforced concrete block walls.

The roof is sheeted with corrugated metal sheets.

The roof overhangs exceeds two feet.

Longitudinal wall X-bracing is not in place

There are no shutters to protect the windows and wall vents.

There are two large roll-up doors on one side of the building.

Siting

The building is situated on flat land which is well drained. There is no risk of flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in poor to fair condition.

Exposed structural sheet members are corroding. In some cases loss of metal has occurred and the structure is therefore weakened. The roof sheeting has been patched in places. One of the roll-up doors has been damaged – some of the metal slats have been pulled out from the edge frame, thus weakening the door.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows. The large wall openings at the front of the building (currently with wire netting across them) need special attention. Hinged shutters and roll-up shutters will not work at these locations. Removable type shutters which can be put in place easily and bolted or braced in position should be considered.

The damaged roll-up door should be replaced. The anchorages of the door guides into the adjacent wall should be examined to ensure that they are adequate. Temporary braces should be fabricated and stored so that they could be put in place across the doors when a hurricane approaches. This would stiffen the doors so that they would be less likely to either buckle or deflect so much that they pull out of the door guides.

Corroded steel members should be replaced. The rest of the exposed steel should be wire-brushed and given one coat of rust inhibiting primer and two coats of enamel paint.

The roof sheeting is of a very distinctive type so the patching has been done with sheets of a different profile. This mismatch increases the potential for leaks and for general weakness in the roof envelope. It is recommended that the building be re-roofed.

Storm shutters	\$43,000
New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles	\$121,000
Replace damage roll-up door	\$9,000
Fabricate temporary braces for doors	\$500
Replace corroded steel members wire-brush and paint steel members	\$16,000
Total:	<u>\$189,500</u>

MARY CHARLES HOSPITAL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

This building is in good condition. The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane winds.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete block building which is 15 years old. The timber rafters are held down on the concrete ring beam by a steel rod which is threaded through the rafter and anchored in the ring beam. This method of anchoring the rafter is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

Retrofit needs include

- 1. installing hurricane clips which will tie the rafter to the face of the concrete ring beam.
- 2. fabricating and installing storm shutters.

Estimated Cost	
Install hurricane clips	\$6,000
Fabricated and install storm shutters	\$39,000
Total:	<u>\$45,000</u>

CARDIN HOME WARDS 1&2

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

Each of these two wards is a single storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roofs are well pitched gables, clad with corrugated metal sheets.

Roof overhangs are short. There is an open roofed corridor on one side. The corridor roof is separated form the main roof.

The windows are shuttered.

Siting

The buildings are sited on gently sloping ground which is well drained. There is no risk of flooding.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The buildings are in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in the area above the corridors.

Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$7,000
Total:	<u>\$7,000</u>

CARDIN HOME WARDS 3&4

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

Each of these two wards is a single storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roofs are well pitched gables, clad with corrugated metal sheets.

Roof overhangs are short. There is an open roofed corridor on one side. The corridor roof is separated form the main roof.

The windows are shuttered.

Siting

The buildings are sited on gently sloping ground which is well drained. There is no risk of flooding.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The buildings are in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in the area above the corridors.

Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$14,000
Total:	<u>\$14,000</u>
COAST GUARD STATION BASSETERRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and to hurricane.

Description

This is a high-bay, steel-framed building with pitched gable roof. Roof and wall cladding is corrugated metal panels. The building is braced longitudinally and transversely. The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The site lies right on the coast and is subject to storm surges. There is little risk of damage due to landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows.

Consideration should be given to providing auxiliary metal bracing as temporary reinforcement for the large roll-up door during hurricanes.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$88,000
Install wind vents	\$37,000
Total:	\$125,000

CUSTOMS BUILDING (ADMINISTRATION)

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, pre-engineered, steel-framed building with concrete block wall cladding.

The low-slope gable roof is clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are short. There is no X-bracing in the plane of the side walls.

There are no window shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on flat land which is well drained. There is no risk of flooding nor of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

To improve the resistance of the building to horizontal forces consideration should be given to inserting X-bracing in two bays in each side wall.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$29,000
Add bracing	\$1,000
Total:	<u>\$30,000</u>

CUSTOMS WAREHOUSE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to both earthquake and hurricane.

Description

This is a light, prefabricated steel building. The main structural elements are rigid frames (rafters and columns rigidly connected together). Steel purlins frame into the rafters and support the corrugated roof sheeting. Standard X-bracing is not in place in the planes of the longitudinal walls. There are no shutters to protect the windows.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on a plateau cut into sloping ground. There is some risk of flooding due to storm water runoff flowing down-slope. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The west section of the building is in good condition.

The roof sheeting on the east section of the building is in poor condition

Retrofit Recommendation

The absence of the X-bracing creates low resistance to racking of the building by horizontal forces, which are generated by hurricane and earthquake. It is recommended that the bracing be replaced. Alternatively, a system of steel bracing wind bents should be installed where X-bracing would disrupt operations in the building.

The east section of the building should be re-sheeted using 24 gauge material.

Storm shutters should be installed.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$30,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$261,000
Add X-bracing or wind bent	\$26,000
Total:	\$317,000

BASSETERRE HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and moderate vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof is a flat concrete slab. There is a considerable area of glass windows which is not protected by shutters.

Siting

The site is well drained and so is the area round about it. Risk of flooding is low. Risk of landslide is also low.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be provided for protection of the windows. Because of the configuration of the panels the shutters may have to be the type which is kept in storage and positioned as necessary when a storm approaches. Alternatively, a type of roll-up metal shutter could be used.

Improved resistance to earthquake could be achieved by replacing say 25% of the interior stud walls with reinforced concrete blockwork.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$40,000
Replace 25% of interior stud walls with reinforced concrete blockwork	\$18,000
Total:	\$58,000

CAYON HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and to hurricane.

Description

This is a new, single-storey, reinforced concrete block structure. The hip roof is well pitched, is framed in timber and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

Windows are of the impact resistant type.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping land. There are no flooding or landslide risks.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

NONE.

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable.

MOLINEUX HEALTH CENTRE

An essential facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates that this building has moderate vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a 1038 square foot single-storey, concrete block building with light, timber-framed roof which is clad with asphalt shingles. There are no window shutters.

The shingles are the ordinary, light type. It is unlikely that this material is approved by its manufacturer for installation in high wind areas. There is a real risk that during a hurricane the shingles would be lifted off thus allowing water to enter the building through the roof.

The rafters are pinned to the wall by a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix1.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding and there is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendation

It is recommended that corrugated metal sheeting be installed on wood purlins above the asphalt shingles and that saddle-type rafter-to-wall hurricane straps be installed.

It is also recommended that permanently attached window shutters should be installed.

Shutters	\$7,000
Install new roof sheets above asphalt shingles	\$23,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$1,000
Total:	\$31,000

TABERNACLE HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This building is a single storey, reinforced masonry structure with a timber-framed, gable roof clad with new corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs exceed two feet but the sheeting is protected by soffits.

There is no protection for the windows.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground. There is no risk of flooding or of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted at eaves, ridges and gable ends.

Storm shutters	\$6,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$3,000
Total:	\$9,000

SADDLERS HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a one-storey concrete block building with light, timber-framed, roof clad with asphalt tiles.

Siting

The building is located towards the bottom of a slope. There is some risk of flooding due to storm water flowing down-slope. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The walls are cracked in several places, including one full-height crack at the intersection of 2 interior walls. The roof timber is in good condition but roof leaks have been reported. The windows are not protected by shutters.

The shingles on the roof are ordinary asphalt shingles. It is certain that they are not rated for use in hurricane wind zones. They are likely to be blown off quite easily, which would result in significant leakage.

The rafters are pinned into the tops of the walls using a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix 1.

Retrofit Recommendation

It is recommended that corrugated metal sheeting on 2x4 wood purlins be added above the existing shingles. It is recommended that special hurricane clips be installed on the face of the ring beam to anchor the rafters.

The wall cracks should be investigated to verify that they are caused by deficiencies in the system of reinforcing. If so, then the walls would have to be chased at intervals of 32 inches, a reinforcing bar inserted, and the block work made good.

Protective window shutters should be installed.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$12,000
New metal sheeting over existing shingles	\$33,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$2,000
Wall repair	\$47,000
Total:	<u>\$94,000</u>

DIEPPE BAY HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, reinforced concrete block building. The roof is a well-pitched gable framed in wood and clad with asphalt shingles. The roof overhang is short. Rafters are pinned into the wall by a detail which is no longer recommended. (See Appendix I)

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground with little risk of flooding or landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The asphalt shingles in place on the roof are the ordinary type. They are not rated by the manufacturer for resistance to hurricane wind. It is recommended that corrugated metal roofing be installed on wood purlins above the shingles.

Further, Saddle-type, rafter-to-wall connectors should be installed where each rafter goes through the exterior wall.

Storm shutters should be fitted to protect the windows.

Estimated CostStorm shutters\$8,000New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles\$23,000Saddle-type hurricane straps\$1,000Total:\$32,000

ST PAULS HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, reinforced concrete block building with a low-pitched, gable roof.

It is framed in timber and clad with corrugated metal panels. The roof overhang is short. The rafters are pinned into the wall by a detail which is no longer recommended. (See Appendix I)

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land which is not subject to flooding. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Saddle-type rafter-to-wall connectors should be installed where each rafter passes through the exterior wall.

Storm shutters should be installed as a protection for the windows.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$6,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$9000
Total:	<u>\$15,000</u>

SANDY POINT HEALTH CENTRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete block building, single-storey with wood-framed gable roof clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. There is a porch at the front of the building.

The windows do not have protective shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land which is well drained. There is no risk of flooding. There is no risk landslide damage.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in the area over the porch and at eave, ridge and gable ends.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$7,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$3,000
Total:	<u>\$10,000</u>

OLD ROAD HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed of reinforced concrete block. It has a low-pitched roof, part gable, part mono-slope, framed in wood and clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are short. There is an open, front porch over which the main roof extends. Rafters are pinned into the wall by a detail which is no longer recommended. (See Appendix 1)

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land. It is near the coast but is not subject to storm surge. There is no flooding risk and no landslide risk.

Condition

The condition of the building is good.

Retrofit Recommendations

It is recommended that saddle-type rafter-to-wall hurricane clips be installed on each rafter where it passes through the wall.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed at eaves, ridge and gable ends and also in the area of the porch.

Wood shutters should be fitted as protection for the windows.

Storm shutters	\$6,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$9,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$2,000
Total:	<u>\$17,000</u>

PONDS PASTURE HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Also a designated Emergency Shelter

Ranking

In its present configuration, this building is not considered suitable for use as a "front-line" emergency shelter. Its status as such should be discontinued.

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

The building is 25 years old and is constructed mainly of 6-inch and 4-inch reinforced concrete blockwork. It carries a light, timber-framed gable roof which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhang is short and is boarded up - which is an advantage. The windows are not protected by storm shutters

Condition

The physical condition of the building is good.

Siting

The building is sited in a very flat, coastal area which is protected from storm surges by a newlyconstructed sea wall which is intended to protect the nearby main coastal road from battering by ocean waves. However, the wall ends a few hundred feet east of the site and storm surge running inland at this point could still affect the building.

Operational Deficiencies

There are adequate toilet and storage facilities inside the building but neither bathing nor kitchen facilities are available. A kitchen cannot be constructed outside because of lack of space around the building. Construction of an attachment on the east side, say, would violate the usual building setback requirements of the Building Code.

Water storage facilities are not in place, neither is electric power generating equipment.

A diesel-powered electricity generating set, muffled or hooded to reduce noise, can readily be added outside the building.

An elevated water storage tank can also be added.

Physical Deficiencies

The seismic vulnerability assessment indicates that a larger area of stiffening walls is required in each direction (longitudinal and transverse) to provide the building with above-average resistance to earthquake. This would have to be done by rebuilding some of the interior walls up from 4 inches to 8 inches thick.

The wind vulnerability assessment indicates that given the coastal exposure of the building the exterior 6-inches thick, nominally-reinforced concrete block walls will be only marginally secure in

a Category II hurricane. The interior of the building has a ceiling so the size and spacing of rafters and the detail of the rafter tie-down were not apparent. No drawings were available and the ceiling was not opened for this study. However, it is believed that the connection of the timber rafters to the concrete ring beam is by a detail which is no longer recommended (Appendix I) since it has been found, by experience, that under intense roof suction such as occurs during a hurricane, the rafter splits at the point where it is drilled to accept the anchor rod. The top section of the rafter, together with the roof sheeting then blows away leaving the bottom portion of the rafter securely anchored in place.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof connection deficiency can be addressed by installation of a type of hurricane clip which can be attached to the concrete ring beam.

The wall thickness deficiency can be addressed most readily by increasing the wall thickness by 2 inches of concrete to make 8 inches, while adding another curtain of steel reinforcement within the added 2 inches. The reinforcement can be in the form of welded wire mesh and the concrete can be placed by the shotcrete process.

The windows are not protected by permanently installed shutters. Such protection is recommended.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$24,000
Saddle type hurricane straps	\$3,000
Build-out walls to 8" thickness	\$84,000
Total:	<u>\$111,000</u>

BASSETERRE POST OFFICE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a low-slope hip which is framed with light wood rafters and clad with corrugated metal sheets. There is no roof overhang.

There are open, roofed corridors on each side. These have concrete roofs.

Siting

The building is located on flat land on the coastline. It is subject to flooding due to torrential rains as a result of outflow from the main flood water channel through the city – College Street. This will happen if the College Street outfall to the sea becomes blocked by sand and debris. The building is also subject to storm surge. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$111,000
Total:	\$111,000

POWER STATION (BUILDING A and B) An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

These are two high-bay, steel-framed buildings. The roofs are clad with corrugated metal sheets. There are no overhangs.

In Building A walls are of concrete, others are framed in steel and clad with corrugated metal panels. In Building B, all of the walls are clad with corrugated metal panels.

In each Building there are many windows which are not protected by shutters. There are large doors and small doors in the building envelope.

Siting

The Buildings are located on flat land which is subject to flooding due to storm water runoff from the north and west. A new storm water interceptor drain has recently been constructed and this has effectively dealt with water flowing towards the property.

Still, rain water falling on the property itself occasionally causes flooding problems in the building. Portable pumps are kept at the ready to deal with this situation.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The condition of the buildings is poor.

A large section of upper level metal sidewall panels is missing at Building A.

The roof of Building B leaks at the southern end. Several roof sheets may have become loose. Most of the doors on each building are either missing altogether or are in a state of disrepair. This includes large doors 18 feet high by 15 feet wide as well as small doors 8 feet high by 3 feet wide.

Sidewall panels on the southern end of Building B have come loose. Rake flashings are missing from Building B.

Retrofit Recommendations

Early attention should be given to the provision of shutters which could easily be put in place on the approach of a hurricane and removed just as easily afterwards.

Early attention should also be given to replacement of missing or sub-standard doors. The large doors should be fitted with braces which could be placed temporarily to reinforce the door in the event of a hurricane.

Loose roof and sidewall sheets on Building B should be refastened or replaced. The entire roof of Building A and the roof and sidewalls of Building B should be inspected closely to determine whether there are other sheets which should be replaced at this time.

Additional drainage works should be undertaken to divert rainwater which falls in the yard away from the building.

Estimated Cost	
Window shutters	\$155,000
New roof and wall sheeting	\$40,000
Doors:	
18ft high x 15ft wide roller-shutter(1 each)	\$17,000
18ft high x 12ft wide roller-shutter(1 each)	\$12,000
8ft high x 4ft wide (2 each)	\$4,000
8ft high x 6ft wide(2 leaves) (1 each)	\$2,000
Drainage works	\$5,000
Total	<u>\$235,000</u>

BASSETERRE COURTHOUSE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete bearing wall structure. There are two stories plus a basement. The subroof is reinforced concrete on metal decking on steel joist. Most of this is covered by a well-pitched hip roof which is framed in structural steel and clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhangs are short and are soffited. There is a small verandah on one side. This also has a soffit. Air conditioning equipment is located on the small section of the flat roof which has no false roof. In this area the concrete roof is covered by a waterproofing membrane which is protected by parapets.

The windows do not have shutter protection.

There is a large roll-up door on one side.

Siting

The building is sited on flat land near the coastline. The lower floor is set several feet off the ground and is not subject to flooding or to storm surges. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Equipment fastenings to the roof should be checked to verify that they remain secure and components of the equipment itself should be checked to ensure that there are no loose parts which could become dislodged during a hurricane and damage the roof covering.

Consideration should be given to providing temporary auxiliary braces for the roll-up door. The braces would reduce deflection of the door which would cause it to pull out of the door guides at the sides of the wall opening. The fastening of the guides to the wall should also be reviewed for adequacy.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$90,000
Auxiliary braces for roll-up door	\$500
Total:	<u>\$90,500</u>

GOVERNMENT HEADQUATERS BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete Building with reinforced concrete block walls. It is three storeys high. The roof is a flat concrete slab.

Some, but not all of the windows are protected by shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is set on gently sloping ground which is adjacent to a major flood water route through the city. It would be subject to flooding in a severe rainfall event if the lower end of the channel becomes blocked and water spills over into Central Street and Liverpool Row.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the remainder of the windows.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$142,000
Total	<u>\$142,000</u>

PUBLIC MARKET - BASSETERRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is an open-sided, single storey, steel-framed structure. The roof is a well-pitched gable clad with corrugated metal sheets. Three of the bays are braced in the plane of the building. There is therefore no longitudinal bracing of the structure.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on flat land near the coast. It is not subject to flooding but is subject to storm surges. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in poor condition. Although the roof sheeting is well preserved the steel supporting structure is corroding. In some places metal loss is actually occurring.

Retrofit Recommendations

Badly corroded members which are cantilevered from the columns should be replaced. The rest of the structure should then be chipped and wire brushed and given one coat of rust inhibiting primer and two coats of enamel paint.

Since X-bracing in the weak plane of the columns would very likely be an inconvenience for persons using the facility, consideration should be given to alternative means of improving the buildings resistance to lateral loads in the longitudinal direction. This could be achieved by welding in reinforcements to induce rigid frame action in the three bays which are braced in the roof.

Replace corroded steel members	\$6,000
Wire-brush and paint steel members	\$33,000
Install wind bents	\$44,000
Total:	<u>\$83,000</u>

ZIZ TELEVISION STUDIOS

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof profile is a hip with low slope. It is clad with corrugated metal sheeting. On one section of the building there are short roof overhangs which have a soffit. In other sections of the building the roof sheeting is protected by a parapet.

The windows are shuttered.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land which is well drained. There is no flooding. There is no risk of landslide damage.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

None necessary

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. It is roofed with a moderately pitched gable which is clad with asphalt shingles. Roof overhangs are short. There are several ridge ventilators on the roof. On one side of the building there is a covered corridor and the main roof extends over this corridor.

The light wood rafters are anchored in the wall using a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

Some of the windows have shutters. Most of them do not.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition. Some roof leaks are occurring.

Retrofit Recommendations

The building is roofed with ordinary asphalt shingles which are not rated for high wind resistance. Corrugated metal sheeting should be installed over the existing shingles.

Saddle-type rafter-to-wall connectors should be installed on each rafter.

The attachment of the ventilators should be examined to verify that they are secure.

Hinged shutters should be installed as protection for the windows.

Storm shutters	\$49,000
New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles	\$63,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$4,000
Total:	<u>\$116,000</u>

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, reinforced concrete block structure. It is a well-pitched gable roof which is clad with asphalt shingles. The roof overhang is more than two feet. There is a covered corridor on one side of the building. The corridor roof is formed by an extension of the main roof.

The rafters are held down on the wall using an anchoring detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

The windows have shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not prone to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The asphalt shingles on this roof are the ordinary kind. They are not rated for hurricane wind uplift. It is recommended that corrugated metal sheets be installed on wood purlins above the existing roofing. Sheet screws should be closely spaced in the area above the corridor.

Saddle-type rafter-to-wall hurricane straps should be installed on the rafters.

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$19,000
New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles	\$53,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$3,000
Total:	<u>\$75,000</u>

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, reinforced concrete block building. The roof is a hip and it is clad with corrugated metal sheets. There are vents in the roof. The roof overhangs are about two feet long but have soffit boards. There is an upstairs verandah over which the main roof extends. This verandah roof has a soffit.

The windows do not have shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged storm shutters should be fitted as protection of the windows.

The installation of the vents should be examined to verify that it is secure.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed at roof overhangs and verandah.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$32,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$6,000
Total:	<u>\$38,000</u>

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a gable, well pitched and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short and have soffits.

The windows have no shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged storm shutters should be fitted as protection of the windows.

Storm shutters	\$33,000
Total:	\$33,000

OLD TREASURY BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey unreinforced stone masonry structure. The roof is a hip and is supported on steel trusses. It is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roofing is protected by a parapet all around.

There is a roofed corridor on each floor on one side of the building

The windows are not protected by storm shutters.

Siting

The building is sited on flat land on the coast. It is subject to flooding and to storm surges. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The windows should be fitted with storm shutters. Because of the layout of the windows, a roll-up type of shutter would fit better than a hinged shutter.

Estimated CostStorm shutters\$66,000Total:\$66,000

FINANCE DEPARTMENT BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a three-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The walls are further clad with ship-lapped siding. The windows are not protected by shutters.

One part of the roof is a well-pitched gable clad with corrugated metal sheets. The other section is a flat concrete roof with built-up roofing and gravel laid over it. This roofing is protected by parapets. Overhangs at the gable roof are short.

Siting

The building is located on relatively flat land but it is well drained. There is little risk of flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$70,000
Total:	<u>\$70,000</u>

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls and a flat, reinforced concrete roof. The windows are not protected by shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping ground which is well drained. There is no flooding risk. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

The concrete roof should be sealed. This could be done either by erecting a false, light-weight roof above it, framed with wood and clad with corrugated metal sheets; or by installing insulating board and waterproofing membrane on the concrete surface.

Before either course of action is taken the roof concrete should be broken out at randomly selected points to check that the steel reinforcing bars are largely intact and not corroded.

If there is extensive corrosion of the reinforcing bars more extensive roof repairs would need to be undertaken before the top surface is sealed.

> \$24,000 \$17,000

\$41,000

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	
Seal concrete roof	
Total:	

ELECTRICITY DEARTMENT

Headquarters Building

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed of reinforced concrete frames with masonry walls. The hip roof is supported on steel trusses and is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short and are soffited.

The windows are not protected by storm shutters.

Siting

The building is located on sloping land which is well drained. There is no flooding risk.

There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows and glass-paneled doors.

The roof sheeting is more than twenty years old and some of the sheets have been replaced in recent years.

The roof should be closely inspected to determine whether more of the sheets should be replaced at this time.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$12,000
Total:	\$12,000

ELECTRICITY DEARTMENT

Billing Section

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof construction is concrete on metal decking supported on steel joists. There is a parapet all around.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is situated on gently sloping ground which is well drained. There is no flooding risk and no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition generally, except that the roof leaks in several places.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed as protection for the windows.

A water proofing membrane should be laid to seal the roof.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$68,000
Install water proofing membrane	\$49,000
Total:	\$117,000

MINISTRY OF TRADE BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a three-storey reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof is a woodframed hip of flat slope and is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof is protected by parapets on three sides. On the fourth side the roof overhang is short.

Some, but not all of the windows are protected by hinged storm shutters.

Siting

The building is located on sloping ground adjacent to a major flood water route through the city – College street Ghaut. Flooding of the bottom floor could occur in a severe rainfall event if the waterway becomes blocked downstream and water overtops the channel and flows into Central Street. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed on the remaining windows.

In as much as the roof sheets are more than twenty years old they should be closely inspected to determine whether they should be replaced at this time.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$60,000
Total:	<u>\$60,000</u>

NEWSTEAD BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a three-storey reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof is a reinforced concrete slab.

There is a verandah on two sides of the building.

The windows are protected by hinged wood shutters.

Siting

The building is situated on gently sloping ground adjacent to a major flood water route through the city – College street Ghaut. Flooding of the bottom floor could occur in a severe rainfall event if the waterway becomes blocked downstream and water overtops the channel and flows into Central Street. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

None

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable

OAS BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey wooden structure with a well pitched gable roof which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. It is founded on stone masonry walls.

Roof overhangs are short. A verandah wraps around three sides of the building.

This roof is separated from the main roof.

The windows are protected by storm shutters.

Siting

The building is located on sloping ground.

The site is not subject to flooding and there is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof sheets are more than 20 years old. Some of them have been replaced in recent years. It is recommended that a close inspection of the sheets be made to determine whether more of them should be replaced at this time.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should also be replaced in the verandah area, at eaves, ridge and gable ends.

Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$5,000
Total:	<u>\$5,000</u>

PELICAN MALL

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and

Description

This is a two-storey steel-framed building with concrete and concrete block walls. The roof is a well pitched gable sheeted with corrugated metal panels. There is an integral louvered venting structure which is continuous along the ridge. Roof overhangs are short.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

There are several small roll-up doors on one side of the building.

Siting

The building is located on flat land on the coastline. It is subject to flooding due to torrential rains as a result of outflow from the main flood water channel through the city - College street. This will happen if the College Street outfall to the sea becomes blocked by sand and debris. The building is also subject to storm surge. There is not landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

The fixings of the door guides into the adjacent walls should be inspected and reinforced where necessary.

Additional roof sheet screws should be inserted at eave, ridge and gable ends of the roof.

Storm shutters	\$122,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$24,000
Total:	<u>\$146,000</u>

CENTRAL MARKETING CORPORATION

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey pre-engineered steel framed structure with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a well pitched gable which is clad with corrugated metal panels. There is a low parapet at the gable ends.

Elsewhere the roof overhang is short.

There is a monoslope extension on one side. The roof over the extension has a flatter slope. It is separated from the main roof.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located in a flat area near the coastline. It is subject to flooding during heavy rains. It is also subject to storm surge-though the general area is partially protected by a sea wall.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

There are four ventilators on the roof. These represent points where the roof envelope could potentially be breached during a hurricane. These installations should be specifically inspected to verify that the attachments to the roof members are secure and weather tight.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$53,000
Total:	\$53,000

MINISTRY OF HEALTH BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, two-material building which was typical in the commercial areas of towns and villages many years ago.

The lower storey is constructed of stone masonry; the upper storey is constructed of wood braced studs with ship-lapped wood siding. The roof is configured in two hips, well pitched and clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhangs are short and the front porch has a soffit.

Siting

The building is located in a flat land which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

In as much as the roof sheets are more than 20 years it is recommended that a detailed inspection of the roof be carried out with a view to determine whether some or all of the sheets should be replaced at this time.

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable
CHARLESTOWN POLICE STATION EXTENSION

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, reinforced concrete structure with reinforced concrete block walls. The roof is a flat concrete slab. The windows are all shuttered.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located in flat land which drains quite well. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

None

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable

CHARLESTOWN POLICE STATION

CORE BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a 200 year old, two-storey stone masonry structure. It has a well pitched wood-framed gable roof which is clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are very short. The windows are shuttered. There are no open-sided corridors or verandahs.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located in flat land which drains quite well. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

None

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable

COTTON GROUND POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed of unreinforced masonry with a light, well-pitched, timberframed roof clad with corrugated metal panels. The roof sheeting is more than 20 years old. It leaks. Roof overhangs are short.

There is an open verandah, which is soffited. The windows are shuttered.

<u>Siting</u>

The site is well drained and there is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The building should be re-sheeted with 24 gauge, galvanized and coated sheets. Roof sheet fasteners should be screws rather than nails and care should be taken to close the fastener spacing in the areas of higher roof section space, dash, space over the verandah and at eaves, ridge and hips.

Resistance to earthquake can be improved by replacing the stud walls with reinforced masonry.

Re-sheet existing roof	\$25,000
Replace stud walls with reinforced masonry	\$11,000
Total:	<u>\$36,000</u>

GINGERLAND POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed of unreinforced stone masonry. The hip roof is well pitched, framed in timber and clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are short. Window openings are shuttered. There is an open, roofed porch at the front.

<u>Siting</u>

The site is not subject to flooding or to landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Recommended Retrofit Action

It is recommended that the spacing of roof sheet fasteners over the porch be examined to verify that such spacing is closed to 6 inches.

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable

NEWCASTLE POLICE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to hurricane and moderate vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey, prefabricated, steel building with reinforced concrete walls. On the upper floor the interior walls are 4 inches thick. The roof is low pitched and is clad with corrugated metal panels which are 11 to 15 years old. The roof leaks. There is an open, roofed corridor along one side. The windows are not shuttered.

Siting

The site is on flat land set back from the coast. It is below elevation 12 feet above sea level and would be subject to storm surges.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Window shutters should be provided.

A full maintenance check should be carried out on the roof to identify areas where sheeting laps may be faulty and these should be sealed and reinforced with additional fasteners.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should also be placed in the area over the corridor. Resistance to earthquake damage could be improved by replacing at least two of the interior 4-inch thick concrete block walls on the upper floor with 6-inch thick reinforced concrete walls.

It should also be verified that the remaining 4-inch walls are reinforced vertically. They should be braced at the top.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$24,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners:	\$5,000
Replace two of the 4" thick concrete block walls on upper floor with 6" thick reinforced concrete walls:	\$5,000
Brace remaining 4" walls at the top:	\$3,000
Total:	<u>\$37,000</u>

MAIN BUILDING AT ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building. Wall cladding is of unreinforced stone masonry on the ground floor and concrete block on the upper floor. The hip roof is framed with light wood rafters at close spacing. Roof cladding is asbestos sheeting.

Roof overhang is short.

The open corridors on each side have concrete roofs and the windows are shuttered.

Siting

The site is sloped gently and is well drained. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition. Some of the columns and beams on the ground floor are badly spalled indicating severely corroded reinforcing bars.

Retrofit Recommendations

The building is currently being remodelled and refurbished.

Asbestos roof sheets are being replaced with corrugated metal sheets and saddle type rafter-to-wall hurricane straps are being installed.

The ground floor columns and beams are being repaired.

Estimated Cost

Not Applicable.

MATERNITY BLOCK AT ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey reinforced concrete building with reinforced concrete block walls. The light, timber-framed hip roof is clad with corrugated metal sheets which are said to be more than 20 years old. The windows are not shuttered.

The open corridors are roofed with reinforced concrete slabs.

One half of the ground floor is open, without walls. This represents an unfavorable condition for resistance to earthquake, as the upper storey is much stiffer than the lower one.

Siting

The building is sited on gently sloping land which is well-drained. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

A few of the ground floor columns are spalled indicating the presence of corroded reinforcing bars.

Retrofit Recommendations

The windows should be fitted with shutters.

The spalling columns should be broken back to sound concrete, new reinforcing bars should be placed - foundation to beam above – and concreted in.

A twenty-five foot long by twelve feet high reinforced concrete shear wall should be constructed at the east side of the lower storey to provide additional stiffness.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$48,000
Concrete shear wall	\$10,000
Column repairs	\$4,000
Total:	\$62,000

PAEDIATRIC WARD AT ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey concrete block building with the light, timber-framed gable roof clad with corrugated metal panels.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The site is sloped gently and is well drained. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted to the windows.

Storm shutters	\$7,000
Total:	<u>\$7,000</u>

EYE CLINIC AT ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two storey, reinforced concrete block building with light, timber-framed hip roof clad with asphalt shingles. These are high wind resistance.

The roof overhang is short and there are no verandahs. The windows are not protected by shutters.

The rafters are pinned into the wall by a detail which is no longer recommended. (See Appendix I).

Siting

The site is sloped gently and is well drained. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in good condition – through there are significant roof leaks at the south end of the building.

Retrofit Recommendations

The windows should be fitted with storm shutters.

Saddle type rafter-to-wall hurricane clips should be installed on the rafters.

Corrugated metal roof sheets should be installed over the existing shingles.

Storm shutters	\$25,000
New metal sheeting over existing asphalt shingles	\$35,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$2,000
Total:	<u>\$62,000</u>

CHARLESTOWN HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a single storey building with reinforced concrete frames, masonry walls and light, timber framed roof which is clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are short and are soffited. There is an open, roofed corridor front and back. The roof over the corridor is of reinforced concrete. The windows are not shuttered.

\$19,000

The site is not subject to flooding and there is no landslide risk.

The building is in good condition.	
Retrofit Recommendations	
Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows.	
Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$19,000

Total:

Siting

Page IV-69

BROWN HILL HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey unreinforced stone masonry building with a recent addition in reinforced masonry. The roof profile is a moderately pitched gable. Roof covering is corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhang is about two feet long and does not have a soffit. There is a porch at the front of the building. The roof over this porch is continuous with the main roof. The porch does not have a soffit.

The windows in the original construction have shutters but those in the recent construction do not.

Siting

The building is sited on gently sloping land. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The three windows in the new construction should be fitted with storm shutters.

Soffits should be added to the roof overhangs and to the front porch.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed at the porch, roof overhangs, ridge and gable ends.

Storm shutters	\$9,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$4,000
Add soffits to roof overhangs and to front porch	\$9,000
Total:	<u>\$22,000</u>

BUTLERS HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed mainly of stone masonry. The roofs are low-pitched, framed from timber and clad with corrugated metal sheeting. The rafters are pinned into the walls using a detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix 1. The roof overhang exceeds two feet and is not soffitted. Most, but not all of the windows are protected by storm shutters. There is an open, roofed verandah at the front of the building.

Siting

The site is not subject to flooding, although there is some evidence of erosion around the foundations. The risk of landslide is remote.

Condition

The condition of the building is good.

Retrofit Recommendations

Saddle type hurricane clips should be inserted to provided more secure anchorage of the rafters to the exterior walls.

Special attention should be paid to the roof overhangs and the verandah roof. It is recommended that soffits be constructed as a means of reducing upward pressure of the roof sheeting itself. In addition, the sheeting should be secured to the roof at closer intervals in these areas.

The remaining unprotected windows should be fitted with shutters.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$7,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$1,000
Add soffit	\$7,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$3,000
Total:	<u>\$18,000</u>

COMBERMERE HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey building with a reinforced masonry structure.

The roof profile is a moderately pitched hip and it is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

The windows do not have shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The condition of the building is good.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$10,000
Total:	<u>\$10,000</u>

GINGERLAND HEALTH CENTRE

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey building constructed of stone masonry. The light, timber-framed, hip roof is well-pitched and is clad with new corrugated metal panels. The roof overhangs are short. The windows are not protected by storm shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted as protection for the windows.

Storm shutters	\$10,000
Total:	<u>\$10,000</u>

Facility No. 70

GINGERLAND PRIMARY SCHOOL

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a complex of two-storey, reinforced concrete and concrete block structures. Roofs are wellpitched gables framed with timber and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs exceed two feet. There are open roofed corridors on one side. These are formed by continuation of the main roof. The metal louver windows are arranged in large groups stretching form column to column. They are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The site is gently sloping. There is some risk of flooding as runoff from heavy rains makes its way downslope. Area drainage to the nearby ghaut is not well developed. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The condition of the building is poor. There is extensive corrosion of the metal roof sheets and hurricane clips.

Retrofit Recommendations

The building should be re-roofed using 24 gauge sheets which are galvanized and coated with PVF2 material. Fasteners used should be galvanized screws. Care should be taken to place roof sheet fasteners at closer spacing in areas of high wind uplift such as over the corridors, at roof overhangs, eaves, ridges and at the gable ends. Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows. Because of the particular configuration of the windows, roll-up type metal shutters would be more appropriate than casement type wood shutters.

Storm shutters	\$92,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$127,000
Total:	<u>\$219,000</u>

Facility No. 71

ST JAMES PRIMARY SCHOOL

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This complex comprises several single storey buildings grouped around a courtyard. They are of reinforced concrete and concrete block construction. Roofs are low-pitched gables, timber-framed and clad with corrugated metal panels.

The roof design includes generous overhangs which shade the windows and open, roofed corridors. The corridor roofs are independent of the main roofs which is a mitigating factor. The windows are shuttered.

Siting

The site slopes gently. There is no danger of flooding nor of landslides.

Condition

The building is in fair condition

Retrofit Recommendations

The long roof overhangs at the back of the buildings should be shortened and independent canopies should be constructed over the windows.

Cut back roof overhang at back of buildings and make good	\$13,000
Construct independent canopies over the windows	\$16,000
Total:	<u>\$29,000</u>

CHARLESTOWN PRIMARY SCHOOL

A Designated Emergency Shelter

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and hurricane.

Description

This complex comprises a two-storey block and two, one storey blocks with a combined floor area of 11,800 square feet. They are reinforced concrete structures with reinforced masonry wall panels. The roofs are light, well pitched, framed with timber and clad with corrugated metal panels.

Siting

The site is easily accessible and is well drained. There are no landslide or erosion risks.

Condition

The buildings are in good condition.

Capacity

When functioning as a shelter the complex would have a capacity of 110 people.

Facilities

Bathrooms are located on each floor of each building. Plumbing fixtures currently in place are listed below.

There is a 130 square-foot kitchen located in one of the single-storey buildings. Fixtures and appliances in place in the kitchen are listed below.

There are two cisterns located under classrooms in one of the single-storey blocks. They are fed by run-off from the roof. Storage capacity is about 56,000 gallons. This is considered adequate. A water pump and pressure tank are in place.

Operational Deficiencies

The pump and pressure tank may not be serviceable. This would need to be checked and the system upgraded as necessary.

There is no stand-by electricity generator.

A generator should be provided and an enclosure built to house it.

Available kitchen space is inadequate. An additional kitchen block of about 240 square-feet in area should be constructed.

Bathing facilities are inadequate. A new 270 square foot block should be constructed to house 8 showers.

There is ample space available for construction of these additional buildings.

Physical Deficiencies

Only some of the windows are protected by storm shutters.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted on the remaining windows and robust tower bolts should be fitted to the doors.

These buildings have exposed, roofed corridors. Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in this area, as well as at ridge and gable of each roof.

<u>Rating</u>

This Facility is rated Category B: for use after a hazard event, if serviceable, at 50% capacity.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$97,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$9,000
Provide generator	\$45,000
Construct building to house generator	\$12,000
Construct additional kitchen block of 240 square feet	\$36,000
Construct new 270 square foot bathing facilities block (8 showers)	\$50,000
Total:	<u>\$249,000</u>

ST. THOMAS (Lowlands) PRIMARY SCHOOL

<u>Vulnerability</u>

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

There are three prefabricated buildings on this compound. The structural system makes use of light, steel rigid frames with light gauge steel purlins spanning between frames.

Corrugated steel roof sheets are supported on the purlins. Both exterior walls and interior partitions are formed from corrugated metal panels. Standard X-bracing is positioned in each sidewall of each building.

Siting

The buildings are located on flat land on the coast. The site is subject to storm surge. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The buildings are in poor condition. All of the exterior steel is in need of urgent attention to arrest corrosion. In some places the roof overhang purlin which must anchor the edge of the roof sheeting in high wind is completely rusted through. There are also rusting problems with rafter overhangs and column bases.

Retrofit Recommendation

Rusted steel members should be replaced and the remainder should be clipped and wire-brushed and given one coat of rust-inhibiting primer and two coats of enamel.

Replace corroded steel members	\$7,000
Wire-brush and paint remaining steel members	\$11,000
Total:	<u>\$18,000</u>

PROSPCET PRIMARY SCHOOL

A Designated Emergency Shelter

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This single storey building has a floor area of approximately 9,600 square feet. It is constructed of light, pre-engineered steel trusses rigidly connected to steel columns. Wall cladding is of reinforced masonry. The roof has a low pitch and is clad with corrugated metal panels.

Siting

The site is not subject to flooding and there is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Capacity

When functioning as a shelter this building would have a capacity of 100 people.

Facilities

2 bathroom blocks, A and B, are located 80 feet away from the building across an open courtyard. Plumbing fixtures currently in place are listed below.

A third bathroom, C, is located within the building across an open courtyard.

There are two water cisterns on the property. They are filled by run-off from the roof. Each one has a storage capacity of about 12,000 gallons. This is considered adequate. One of the cisterns leaks in several places. Gutters and downspouts which take water to the cisterns are either in disrepair or missing altogether.

There is a kitchen measuring 28'x30' located within the building. Fixtures and appliances currently in place are listed below.

Operational Deficiencies

There is no standby electricity generator on the site.

In general, bathing facilities are inadequate. ATotal of 6 showers and 3 lavatories should be installed in an additional 200 square foot block to be constructed near the others. The needed space is available.

Plumbing fixtures in bathroom C should be rehabilitated.

The kitchen space is adequate. A second sink should be added.

A generator hut should also be constructed in the general vicinity of the bathrooms.

The leaking cistern should be rehabilitated and the guttering and downspout system should be repaired.

A pumping system should be installed for delivering water from the cisterns at adequate pressures to the fixtures.

Physical Deficiencies

The windows on this building are part metal louvers and part glass panels. The windows are not shuttered.

There is no evidence of bracing rods to provide racking stability of this steel-framed building. Such stability must therefore be provided by the masonry walls. In such a case the details of the connection of the steel columns to the masonry walls are crucial. Drawings of the building were not available and these connection details were not accessible for inspection in the field.

Retrofit Recommendations

It is recommended that protective window shutters be fitted as well as robust tower bolts to the doors.

It is also recommended that steel X-bracing rods be welded into place in at least two bays in each side wall.

This building has open, roofed corridors. It is recommended that additional roof sheet screws be inserted in this area as well as at ridge and gable ends.

Rating

In its present condition this facility is rated Category B: for use after a hazard event, if serviceable, at 50% capacity.

Storm shutters	\$67,000
Install robust tower bolts on doors	\$3,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$26,000
Add X-bracing rods in two bays in each side-wall	\$7,000
Install 6 showers and 3 lavatories in a new 200 square foot block	\$40,000
Rehabilitate plumbing fixtures in bathroom C	\$2,000
Add second sink in kitchen	\$600
Construct new generator hut	\$16,000
Rehabilitate leaking cistern and repair downspout and system	\$5,000
Install pumping system on the cisterns	\$14,000
Total:	<u>\$180,600</u>

BATHROOM

SEX	WATER CLOSETS	LAVATORIES	URINALS	SHOWERS	REMARKS
MALE	7	2	1		
FEMALE	3		1	2	
STAFF	2	2		2	

KITCHEN

GAS STOVES	ELECTRIC STOVES	FREEZERS	REFRIGERATORS	DOUBLE SINKS	REMARKS
2		1	1	1	

ST JOHNS PRIMARY SCHOOL

A Designated Emergency Shelter

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and earthquake.

Description

This 2-storey building has a floor area of approximately 2530 square feet. It is constructed of unreinforced and reinforced masonry with light, well-pitched, timber-framed roofs clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are short and are soffitted.

Siting

Access to the building is good. It is located near to a water course (ghaut) but there is little risk of flooding. The ghaut is deep and water levels are not expected to get that high. The risk of landslide in the area is low. The banks of the ghaut are heavily vegetated and appear quite stable.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Capacity

When functioning as a shelter this building would have a capacity of 36 people.

Facilities

A bathroom block is attached on one side of the building. Access is from the yard. Plumbing fixtures currently in place are listed below.

A 182 square-foot kitchen is located on the ground floor of the building. Fixtures and appliances currently in place are listed below.

Operational Deficiencies

There is no cistern or tank for storing water.

There is no stand-by electricity generator.

There are no facilities for bathing.

Physical Deficiencies

The windows are not shuttered.

The structure of the bathroom block is dilapidated. There is extensive full-depth cracking of the walls.

Retrofit Recommendations

Window shutters should be fitted and robust tower bolts should be fitted to doors. The existing bathroom block should be demolished and rebuilt. The new structure should be enlarged to 300 square feet to accommodate at least three showers in addition to fixtures now in place. The roof should be flat and of reinforced concrete construction so that a small generator room could be constructed thereon.

An elevated water tank should be constructed in the southeast corner of the site.

Rating

In its present condition this facility is rated Category C.

Use as a designated Emergency Shelter should be discontinued. This would be expected to be a temporary situation – until such time as the necessary support facilities are put in place.

Estimated cost	
Storm shutters	\$17,000
Fit tower bolts to doors	\$1,000
Demolish existing bathroom block	\$15,000
Rebuild (enlarged) bathroom block	\$60,000
Install generator	\$12,000
Construct elevated water tank	\$30,000
Total:	\$135,000

BATHROOM

SEX	WATER CLOSETS	LAVATORIES	URINALS	SHOWERS	REMARKS
MALE	2	1			
FEMALE	3	3			

KITCHEN

GAS STOVES	ELECTRIC STOVES	FREEZERS	REFRIGERATORS	DOUBLE SINKS	REMARKS
1			1	1	

FIREHALL, NEWCASTLE AIRPORT

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. Roof beams are of structural steel and roof cladding is corrugated metal sheets. There is a parapet on 3 sides.

There are not many windows but 2 of them are without shutters. There are also 3 large fire engine doors which are constructed of wood.

Siting

The building is situated on flat, low-lying land near the coast. It is not subject to flooding but would be subject to storm surges.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Protective storm shutters should be provided for installation over the windows when a hurricane approaches. Consideration should be given to providing steel braces for the large doors.

Storm shutters	\$16,000
Provide steel braces for large doors	\$ 2,000
Total:	<u>\$18,000</u>

FIREHALL BARRACKS - NEWCASTLE AIRPORT

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and to earthquake.

Description

This is a single storey concrete block building with a flat concrete roof. A second storey is to be constructed later. The windows are unprotected.

Siting

The building is situated on flat, low-lying land near to the coast. It is not subject to flooding but would be subject to storm surges.

There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The windows should be fitted with hurricane shutters.

Storm shutters	\$6,000
Total:	<u>\$6,000</u>

CHARLESTOWN FIRE STATION

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a new two-storey, reinforced masonry building with an attached high-bay building of similar construction for housing the fire engine. The gable roofs are well pitched and are clad with corrugated metal panels. The roof overhangs are short. Windows and wall vents are not shuttered. The wall opening which provides access for the fire engine does not have a door of any kind.

Siting

The site is flat but is not subject to flooding. There is no risk of damage by landslide.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Windows and vent blocks should be shuttered and a stout roll-up door should be fitted. Often it is found that a large, roll-up door which is rated for hurricane winds cannot be readily sourced. In that event auxiliary metal braces should be fabricated and stored in readiness for use in providing temporary reinforcement to the door.

Storm shutters	\$14,000
Install roll-up door	\$17,000
Fabricate auxiliary metal braces	\$500
Total:	\$32,500

COURTHOUSE /LIBRARY

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is an old-time, two-storey, unreinforced stone masonry building with 2-foot thick walls. The roof is framed with light timbers and is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhangs are short and there is a low parapet at each gable. The windows are shuttered.

A wooden clock tower rises perhaps 8 feet from the ridge of the roof.

Siting

The building is situated on flat ground near to the coast. However, the ground floor is set some 4 feet off the ground and would not be subject to storm surges. There is no flooding or landslide risk.

Condition

The condition of the building is good.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof is said to be more than 20 years old. A close and detailed inspection is indicated. This would reveal any need for replacement of roof sheets or for installation of additional roof sheet fasteners.

The presence of the clock tower represents a point of vulnerability in the roof envelope. The fixing of the tower to the main roof should be examined in detail to ensure its suitability.

A cost estimated is not applicable.

CHARLESTOWN PUBLIC MARKET

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a largely open-sided, single-storey structure supported on reinforced concrete columns. The roof is framed with light wood rafters and is clad with corrugated metal sheets.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land near the coast. It is not subject to flooding but is at risk from storm surge. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The condition of the building is fair.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof sheeting is said to be more than twenty years old. It should be inspected with a view to determine whether some or all of the sheeting should be replaced at this time.

Estimated Cost	
Contingency	\$6,000
Total:	<u>\$6,000</u>

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING CHARLESTOWN

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to hurricane and low vulnerability to earthquake.

Description

This is a two-storey building constructed of reinforced masonry faced with stone. The roof profile is a low-pitched gable and it is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhangs exceed two feet in length but they are protected all around by wood soffits. The windows are not protected by shutters.

There is an open, roofed corridor on one side. This is also constructed with a soffit.

Siting

The site is flat but is not subject to flooding. There is no risk of damage due to landslide.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted over the windows.

Storm shutters	\$13,000
Total:	<u>\$13,000</u>

PROSPECT POWER STATION ENGINE HALL

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a high-bay structural steel building with corrugated metal sheets on sidewalls and on the gable roof. Roof overhangs are very short. There are continuous ridge ventilators on the roof. There are three large doorways secured by one roll-up and two sliding doors. The windows do not have shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on gently sloping land which is not flood prone. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition generally. One small section of metal wall siding is missing from the west wall.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be provided to protect the windows.

All of the doors should be checked for flawless operation and should be repaired where necessary. Removable metal braces should be used to reinforce the roll-up door when hurricane winds are forecast.

The sidewall sheeting should be repaired. The connections of the ventilators to the roof structure should be checked to ensure that it is adequate.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$5,000
Door repairs	\$5,000
Door braces	\$2,000
Sidewall repair	\$500
Total:	<u>\$12,500</u>

NEWCASTLE POTTERY

An Essential Facility

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced concrete block building with a light timber-framed roof clad with corrugated metal sheets. There are no interior bracing walls along the 46-foot long sidewalls. Roof overhangs are generous and there is an open, roofed porch. Windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is situated on flat land set back from near the coast. It is sufficiently high above mean sea level (elevation 25 feet) to be protected form storm surges.

There are no flooding or landslide risks.

Condition

The condition of the building is fair.

Retrofit Recommendations

The plan configuration of this building is such that stability of the long unbraced sidewalls during a hazard event must be dependent on the ability of the roof to transfer lateral loads to the endwalls by diaphragm action. However, there is no roof decking in place to perform this function.

The roof sheets are said to be more than 20 years old.

It is recommended that this building be re-roofed. Once the roof sheets are removed properly fastened plywood decking of appropriate grade and thickness should be installed. Rafter anchorages should also be upgraded as necessary.

The roof overhang should be shortened and decking and sheeting above the porch should be securely fastened.

In general, the new sheets should be of trapezoidal profile and fasteners should be galvanized screws rather than nails.

Storm shutters should be fitted to protect the windows.

|--|

Storm shutters	\$9,000
Cut back roof overhang and make good	\$6,000
Re-sheet existing roof:	\$26,000
Install roof decking	\$15,000
Total:	<u>\$56,000</u>

OFFICE AND STOREROOM

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof is a lowpitched, mono-slope roof framed with light wood rafters and clad with corrugated metal panels. There are no storm shutters to protect the windows.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on flat land. It is not subject to flooding and there is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in poor condition. The roof is more than 20 years old. It leaks badly. Walls are cracked and are separating from the columns all around.

Retrofit Recommendations

The building should be re-roofed. The opportunity should be taken to shorten the roof overhangs and upgrade the rafter tie-down as necessary.

Cracks in walls should be routed out and repaired with mortar. Also, walls should be anchored to adjoining columns. This should be done by breaking out the wall at 48-inch intervals, drilling the column 4 inches deep and grouting-in a half-inch diameter bar, 12 inches long, using high strength epoxy bonding agent. The wall should then be repaired with concrete.

Storm shutters should be installed as protection for the windows.

Storm shutter	\$9,000
Cut back roof overhang and make good, Re- sheet existing roof	\$23,000 (metal sheeting only)
Rout out wall cracks and repair with mortar	\$8,000
Anchor walls to adjoining columns	\$10,000
Total:	<u>\$50,000</u>

Facility No. 84

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey, pre-engineered steel-framed building. Walls are four inches thick, prefabricated, composite cement-fibre panels.

The roof is a hip which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are about two feet long and are soffited. There is a corridor along one side and the main roof extends to cover the corridor. The corridor roof is also soffited.

The windows do not have shutters.

Siting

The building is located on sloping land which is not prone to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutter	\$33,000
Total:	\$33,000

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

ADMINISTRATION OFFICES

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a small, single-storey wood building. Walls are constructed of plywood nailed to studs which are braced at corners. The roof is flat and is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. Wall-to-floor and wall-to-roof anchorages were not determined.

Windows have no shutters.

Siting

The building is sited on gently sloping ground. It is not prone to flooding and there is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in dubious condition as there are signs of termite infestation of the framing members.

Retrofit Recommendations

Ceiling and floor should be opened so that wall anchorage could be inspected and confirmed.

Framing members should be inspected by a termite eradicator who should advise on the extent of destruction of the wood.

Shutters should be installed.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutter	\$6,000
Total:	<u>\$6,000</u>

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

(STORES SHED)

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey unreinforced stone masonry structure with a light, wood-framed hip roof which is clad with corrugated metal panels. The roof is not positively anchored on the walls. Roof overhangs are very short. Windows were at one time shuttered.

Siting

The building is sited on gently sloping ground which is not flood prone. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in poor condition. The roof sheeting is rusting all over. In some areas the sheet has rusted through and the resulting hole gives rise to leaks. There are cracks in the stonework. Wooden doors and window shutters are in a state of disrepair or are missing altogether.

Retrofit Recommendations

This building should be re-roofed. At the same time a reinforced concrete ring beam should be cast at the top of the wall and the rafters should be secured to it with hurricane clips.

The stonework should be repaired with a cement-sand-lime mortar.

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows. New doors should be installed.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutter	\$4,000
Doors	\$1,000
New roof sheeting	\$10,000
Wall repairs and Ring beam	\$5,000
Total:	<u>\$20,000</u>
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

WATER DEPARTMENT OFFICES

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey structure of mixed construction types-unreinforced masonry, reinforced concrete blockwork, reinforced concrete and wood. The upper storey (partial only) is of wood construction and the hip roof over this section is clad with corrugated metal sheets. The roof overhang is short. A reinforced concrete slab roofs the remainder of the lower storey.

The windows do not have shutters.

Siting

The building is sited on gently sloping ground which is not flood prone. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition generally.

The concrete roof is cracked in places and so there are some leaks.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

The concrete roof should be sealed.

Storm shutter	\$18,000
Sealing roof	\$13,000
Total:	\$31,000

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This two-storey, reinforced concrete building has reinforced concrete block walls and light, timberframed roofs clad with corrugated metal sheets.

Roof overhangs are short.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$67,000
Total:	<u>\$67,000</u>

CHARLESTOWN POST OFFICE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a two-storey building. The lower storey is constructed of stone masonry and concrete block masonry. The upper storey is constructed of braced wood studs with ship-lapped wood siding.

The roof is a well-pitched hip, framed with light wood rafters and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

A section of the building has a reinforced concrete roof.

Windows are shuttered except at the eastside of the upper floor.

Siting

The building is located on flat land near the coast and near to a major storm water channel. It would be subject to flooding if the channel is over-topped and it is also subjected to storm surges. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Cracking was observed at the interface of stone masonry and concrete masonry walls. No leaking was reported.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be provided for the upper level, east side windows – even though the windows are of the awning type.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$14,000
Total:	<u>\$14,000</u>

INFIRMARY, CHARLESTOWN

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, unreinforced stone masonry building. The roof is a well-pitched gable framed with light wood rafters and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

There is an open, roofed verandah on one side. The verandah roof is separated from the main roof. Most of the windows are shuttered.

\$25,000

Siting

The building sits on gently sloping ground which is well drained. There is no risk of landslide.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The three windows which are not shuttered should be protected with storm shutters.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be inserted in the area above the verandah.

Estimate	ed C	<u>ost</u>			
Storm s	hutte	ers			
A 1 1.	1	C 1			

Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$10,000
Total:	\$35,000

COTTON HOUSE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, unreinforced stone masonry building with a light, timber-framed roof. The roof is a well-pitched hip which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

The windows and french doors are not protected by shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is on flat land near the coast. There is no risk of flooding or of landslide but the site is subject to storm surges.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted as protection for windows and doors.

In as much as the roof is more than 20 years old it should be inspected closely to determine if it should be re-roofed at this time.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$68,000
Total:	<u>\$68,000</u>

Facility No. 91

CMC BUILDING

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This reinforced concrete building is essentially single storey but has a small upper floor. The gable roofs are framed from wood and are clad with corrugated metal sheets. The windows are not shuttered.

Siting

The building is located on flat land on the coast. It would be subject to storm surges. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$15,000
Total:	<u>\$15,000</u>

FOTHERGILLS PUMP HOUSE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, concrete block building with a reinforced concrete roof.

The windows do not have shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on sloping land which is not prone to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed as protection for the windows.

Storm shutters	\$8,000
Total:	<u>\$8,000</u>

GOVERNMENT REPAIR SHOP (PROSPECT)

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, unreinforced stone masonry building. Walls are 18 inches thick. The gable roof is well pitched and is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. There is an open, roofed verandah on one side. The roof over the verandah is separated form the main roof. The gable ends, from top of wall to ridge, are constructed of timber and are clad with wood shingles.

A nearby open sided shed forms part of this facility. The construction is not substantial. It should be considered highly vulnerable to hazard events.

Siting

The facility is located on sloping ground. Neither landslide nor flooding is a likely hazard.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Additional roof sheet fasteners should be installed in the area over the verandah.

Storm shutters	\$11,000
Additional roof sheet fasteners	\$4,000
Total:	<u>\$15,000</u>

NURSES QUARTERS

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single-storey, reinforced concrete building with concrete block walls. The roof is a wood framed mono-slope with a good pitch.

It is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short.

The rafters are held in the wall by an anchoring detail which is no longer recommended. See Appendix I.

The windows have no shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on gently sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged storm shutter should be installed as protection for the windows.

The roof sheeting is more than twenty years old. Although no breaks were reported it is recommended that the roof be inspected closely to determine whether the sheeting should be replaced at this time.

Storm shutter	\$5,000
Re-sheet existing roof	\$7,000
Total:	<u>\$12,000</u>

NURSES HOME

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates high vulnerability to earthquake and high vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced concrete block structure with light, timber-framed roof. The roof is a well pitched gable which is clad with corrugated asbestos sheets. The roof overhangs are short and the rafters are anchored on the wall by a fixing detail which is no longer recommended. (See Appendix A)

The exterior walls are 4 inches thick. There are no interior bracing walls. There is an open, roofed verandah on one side. This roof is separated from the main roof.

The windows are not protected by shutters.

Siting

The building is sited on flat land which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

<u>Condition</u> The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

In general, four inches thick concrete block construction is not recommended as exterior load bearing walls. Such walls cannot be relied upon to offer the required resistance to earthquake and hurricane wind forces.

Early consideration should be given to building these walls out to at least eight inches thickness. This could be done by installing a curtain of steel mesh reinforcing then adding the concrete thickness by the guniting process.

The open plan configuration of the building is unfavorable. Consideration should be given to redesigning the space so that six-inch thick bracing walls could be added. Failing this, a roof diaphragm would need to be designed to carry horizontal forces to the strengthened end walls. This would involve replacing the roof deck and the sheeting and additional rafters would likely be required.

The windows should be fitted with storm shutters.

Storm shutters	\$13,000
Strengthened walls	\$101,000
Roof sheeting	\$21,000
Install roof diaphragm	\$31,000
Total:	<u>\$166,000</u>

Facility No. 101

VETERINARY CLINIC

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey, reinforced concrete block building with light, wood-framed gable roof. It is clad with corrugated metal panels. Roof overhangs are about two feet long. There is an open-sided corridor along one side of the building. The main roof continues across the exterior wall to form the roof of the corridor.

The windows have no shutters.

Siting

The building is located on gently sloping land. It is subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The roof overhangs and the corridor roof should be fitted with soffits.

Storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$4,000
Soffit boards	\$9,000
Total:	<u>\$13,000</u>

Facility No. 102

DOCTOR'S RESIDENCE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This facility is a single storey unreinforced stone masonry structure. The roof profile is a gable, well pitched and clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. There is an open verandah which wraps around 2 sides of the building. The verandah roof is separated from the main roof.

There are outer wooden shutters to protect the glass panelled doors but the metal louvre windows are not fitted with shutters.

Siting

The building is situated on gently sloping land. The site is not subjected to flooding and there is no landslide risk

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

The roof sheeting is over 20 years old and is showing signs of corrosion.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted as protection for the windows. Consideration should be given to replacing the roof sheets, even through no leaks were reported.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$18,000
New metal sheeting (roof) shingles	\$49,000
Total:	\$67,000

DAY CARE CENTRE (HARDTIMES)

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates low vulnerability to earthquake and moderate vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This facility is a single storey reinforced concrete block building with a light, timber-framed gable roof which is clad with corrugated metal sheets. Roof overhangs are short. The rafters are attached to the wall by a fixing detail which is not recommended. (See Appendix I)

The windows are not protected with shutters.

Siting

The building is situated on flat land. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

The windows should be fitted with storm shutters. Saddle type rafter-to-wall hurricane straps should be installed at each rafter.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$12,000
Saddle-type hurricane straps	\$2,000
Total:	\$14,000

OFFICERS' LIVING QUARTERS BUTTLERS

<u>Vulnerability</u>

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This facility is a single storey reinforced concrete block structure with a flat concrete roof.

The windows are not protected with shutters.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is sited on sloping ground. It is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in excellent condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Hinged storm shutters should be installed to protect the windows.

There is an apparent sag in one part of the roof. No cracking was observed. This should be investigated and remedied by filling out the hollow with concrete screed.

Ponding of water on the roof is undesirable.

Estimated Cost	
Storm shutters	\$15,000
Fill hollow in roof with concrete screed	\$1,000
Total:	<u>\$16,000</u>

KITCHEN/DINING ROOM BUTLERS

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey unreinforced stone masonry building. The walls are twenty-two inches thick. The mono-slope roof is supported on wood rafters. It is clad with corrugated metal sheets. There are no roof overhangs. The windows are shuttered.

<u>Siting</u>

The building is located on gently sloping ground. There is no risk of flooding and no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in fair condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

None

COTTON GROUND HEALTH CENTRE

Vulnerability

The vulnerability assessment indicates moderate vulnerability to earthquake and low vulnerability to hurricane.

Description

This is a single storey structure with a well-pitched gable roof. Roof cladding is with corrugated metal sheeting. Roof overhangs are short. There are no verandahs.

Walls are 18 inches thick.

Many of the windows have no outer shutters.

Siting

The building is located on flat ground which is not subject to flooding. There is no landslide risk.

Condition

The building is in good condition.

Retrofit Recommendations

Storm shutters should be fitted to protect the windows.

Storm shutters	\$6,000
Total	<u>\$6,000</u>

APPENDIX V

Facilities Data Collected

Column Number	Description	Enter onto Assessment form
1	Facility Number	Number
2	Location	Location
3	Construction Year	Construction Year
4	Elevation	Elevation, in feet above sea level
5	Distance from Coast	Distance in miles
6	Engineering Level Formally engineered Not engineered Don't Know	FE NE DK
7	Code Used ASCE 7 MODEL Don't Know Other	ASCE 7 MODEL DK O
8	Interior Walls Moveable partitions Stud walls Masonry or Concrete walls Fibreboard panel None	Moveable Stud Masonry Fibreboard None
9	No. of stories	No. of stories
10	Class Reinforced Concrete Light Steel Heavy Steel Reinforced Masonry Unreinforced Masonry Timber Other	RC LS HS RM URM T O
11	Age of Roof	Years
12	Roof Geometry Flat Gable Hip Other	Flat Gable Hip O

Instructions for Entering Building Data for Structure Vulnerability Assessment

13	Roof Covering Built Up Roof with gravel	BUG
	Standing Seem Motel Boof	SEMD
	Matal Profiled Sheats	MDC
	Ashestes Compart Shests	MES
	Asbestos Cement Sneets	ACS
	Tile Roof	IK
	Timber Shingles	15
	Asphalt Shingles	AS
	Other	0
14	Roof Decking	
	Cast-in-place concrete slab	CS
	Pre-cast Concrete	PC
	Metal Deck	MD
	Wood Battens	WB
	Plywood	PW
	Wooden Planks	WP
	Other	0
15	Roof Framing	
	Reinforced Concrete	RC
	Steel Beam	SB
	Steel Truss	ST
	Open-Web Steel Joist	OWSJ
	Tapered Steel Beam	TSB
	Wood Truss	WT
	Wood Beam or Rafter	WBR
	Other	0
16	Wall Framing	
	Reinforced Concrete	RC
	Steel	STL
	Reinforced Masonry	RM
	Unreinforced Masonry	URM
	Wood	WD
	Other	0
17	Cladding	
.,	Reinforced Concrete Block	RCB
	Unreinforced Masonry	URCB
	Precast Concrete Flements	PCF
	Stone Panels	SP
	Metal Panels	MP
	Wood	WD
	Other	0
	onio	U

18	Roof-Frame Connection	
	Yes	Y
	No	Ν
	Don't Know	DK
19	Percent Of Wall Area	Percentage
20	Window Protection	
	Yes	Y
	No	Ν
	Don't Know	DK
21	Roof / Wall Area	Ratio
22	Surrounding Terrain	
	Coastal	С
	Open Field	OF
	Town	TW
23	Topography	
-	Essentially Flat	FL
	Hillside or Ridge	HR
	Cliff	CL
24	Debris Hazard	
	Yes	Y
	No	Ν
25	Storm Surge Hazard	
	Yes	Y
	No	Ν
26	Landslide Hazard	
	Yes	Y
	No	Ν
27	Rating	
	High	Н
	Moderate	Μ
	Low	L

				P	GDM - Struct	ural Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind	Hazard				
Country	:	St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isla	ind								
Enginee	r:												
Date:		_											
													_
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast (Mile)	Eng Level	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
1	B/terre, Central	1952	20'	0.2	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	3	RC	>20	FLAT	0	CS
2	B/terre, West	1972	300'	0.7	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	О
3	Old Road	1968	40'	0.11	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	0	MPS	WB
4	Sandy Point		RENTED PROPERTY										
5	St Pauls	1971	200'	0.6	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
6	Dieppe Bay						A B A N D	ONED					•
7	Tabernacle	1950	200'	0.5	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	WP
7B		1975	200'	0.5	FE	MODEL	STUD	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
8	Cayon	1972	350'	0.7	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
9	Stapleton	1973-1983	600'	2.3	NE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	HIP	MPS	PW
10	Basseterre						RENTED PE	ROPERTY					
11		1972	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	FIBREBOARD	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
11B	Basseterre	1972	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	FIBREBOARD	2	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
11C	Busselent	1972	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	FIBREBOARD	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
11D		1972	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	FIBREBOARD	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
12	Basseterre	1968	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	0

					I	PGDM - Structu	ıral Vulnera	bility Assessi	nent - Wind I	Hazard				
Country	:		St Kitts and	d Nevis -	St Kitts Isl	and								
Enginee	r:													
Date:			-											
	1	5	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility No.	Ro Fran P	oof ming S	Wall Framing	Cladding	Rf-Frame Connection	% of wall area covered by glass, mesh, vent blocks	Window Protection	Roof/Wall Area	Surrounding Terrain	Topo- graphy	Debris Hazard	Storm Surge Hazard	Landslide Hazard	Rating
1	RC		RC	URM	Y	21 to 60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	L
2	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	м
3	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
4		RENTED PROPERTY												
5	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	Y	М
6							1	ABANDONE	D					
7	WBR		RC	URM	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	Н
7B	ST		STL	0	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	М
8	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	N	N	L
9	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	Y	L
10							REN	TED PROPE	RTY					
11	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	N	Н
11B	ST		STL	0	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	N	н
11C	ST		STL	0	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	Ν	Н
11D	ST		STL	0	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	N	Н
12	WT		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	N	М

				Р	GDM - Struct	ural Vulnera	bility Assessr	nent - Wind	Hazard				
Country	V:	St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isla	and								
Enginee	r:												
Date:													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast (Mile)	Eng Level	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
13	Port Zante		PRIVATELY OWNED										
14	Port Basseterre	1991	25'	0	NE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	5 to 10	HIP	AS	PW
15	Port Basseterre	1982	13'	0	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	16 to 20	HIP	MPS	0
15B		1993	13'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	2	RC		HIP	AS	WP
16	Port Basseterre	1986	10'	0	FE	MODEL	NONE	1	LS	16 to 20	GABLE	MPS	0
17	RLB Int'l Airport	1974	130'	1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	0
17B	F	1974	130'	1	DK	DK	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	HIP	AS	PW
18	RLB Int'l Airport	1974	130'	1	FE	MODEL	NONE	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
19	Sandy Point					-	ГО ВЕ RECO	NSTRUCTEI	D				
20	Molineux	1986	380'	0.8	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1 1/2	RM	16 to 20	HIP	MPS	WB
21	Basseterre	Before 1973	100'	0.4	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	FLAT / GABLE	MPS	PW
21B	Bussetene	Before 1973	100'	0.4	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	FLAT / GABLE	MPS	PW
22	Bird Rock	1987	20'	0	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	LS	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	0

					I	PGDM - Structu	ıral Vulnera	ability Assessr	nent - Wind I	Hazard				
Countr	y:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isl	and		-						
Engine	er:													
Date:														
	1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility No.	Facility Roof No. Framing		Wall Framing	Cladding	Rf-Frame Connection	% of wall area covered by glass,	Window Protection	Roof/Wall Area	Surrounding Terrain	Topo- graphy	Debris Hazard	Storm Surge Hazard	Landslide Hazard	Rating
	Р	S				mesh, vent blocks								
13			1	r	1		PRI	VATELYOW	NED		1			r
14	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	С	HR	Y	N	Y	Н
15	0		RM	RCB	DK	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	Ν	Y	М
15B	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Y	Н
16	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25:1	C	FL.	N	Y	N	L
17	RC		RC RC	RCB	v	21 to 60 / >60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	HR	v	N	N	I
170	WDD		DC DC	DCD	I V	21 to 007 200	N	0.40.1 to 0.25.1	OF	EI EI	v	N	N	L U
1/B	WBK		RC.	RCB	Ĭ	21 to 60	IN	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	I	IN	N	н
18	TSB		STL	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	Н
19			-				TOBE	RECONSTRU	UCTED					
20	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	HR	Y	Ν	Ν	м
21	RC		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
21B	RC		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
22	SB		STL	MP	Y		N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	N	N	L

				PO	GDM - Structu	iral Vulnerat	oility Assessm	ent - Wind H	Iazard				
Country	:	St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isla	and		-						
Engineer	:												
Date:		-											
1 Facility	2 Location	3 Year	4 Elev	5 Distance	6 Eng	7 Code	8 Interior	9 No. of	10 Class	11 Age of	12 Roof	13 Roof	14 Roof
No.		Built	(feet)	from coast (Mile)	Level	Used	Walls	Stories		Roof (Yrs)	Geometry	Covering	Decking
23	Bird Rock	1977	75'	0.1	FE	0	MASONRY	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	О
24	Bird Rock	1973	60'	0.1	FE	MODEL	STUD / MASONRY	1	LS	5 to 10	FLAT / GABLE	MPS	MD
25	Bay Road						SEE FACILI	TYNO.36					
26	Basseterre	1949	40'	0.1	FE	MODEL	STUD	2	RC	>20	FLAT	О	CS
27	Cayon	2000	450'	0.7	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	<5	HIP	MPS	О
28	Molineux	1952	400'	0.9	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	HIP	AS	PW
29	Tabernacle	Before 1973	200'	0.5	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	WB
30	Saddlers	1954	100'	0.2	NE	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	GABLE / HIP	AS	PW
31	Dieppe Bay	1973 - 1983	50'	0.1	NE	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	<5	GABLE	AS	PW
32	St Pauls	1973 - 1983	170'	0.4	NE	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	PW
33	Sandy Point	1955	80'	0.10	NE	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	16 to 20	GABLE	MPS	PW

					P	GDM - Structu	ral Vulneral	oility Assessm	ent - Wind H	azard				
Country	:		St Kitts and	d Nevis -	St Kitts Isl	and								
Enginee	r:													
Date:			-											
	1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility No.	R Fra	oof ming	Wall Framing	Cladding	Rf-Frame Connection	% of wall area covered by glass,	Window Protection	Roof/Wall Area	Surrounding Terrain	Topo- graphy	Debris Hazard	Storm Surge Hazard	Landslide Hazard	Rating
	Р	S				mesh vent blocks								
23	SB		STL	RCB	Y	6 to 20	Ν		С	FL	Y	N	Y	М
24	STL		RM	RCB	Y	6 to 20	Ν		С	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	Н
25							SEE I	FACILITY N	O. 36					
26	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	L
27	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	Ν	L
28	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	Н
29	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
30	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	н
31	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	Н
32	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	М
33	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	HR	Y	Ν	N	L

				PG	GDM - Structu	iral Vulneral	oility Assessm	ent - Wind H	lazard				
Country	•	St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isla	nd								
Enginee	r:												
Date:						-							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast (Mile)	Eng Level	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
34	Old Road	1973 - 1983	35'	0.1	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	PW
35	Ponds Pasture	1975	8'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RC	>20	GABLE	MPS	PW
36	Basseterre	1960	10'	0	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	11 to 15	HIP	MPS	WB
37	Cayon						RENTED PI	ROPERTY					
38	Sandy Point					1	RENTED PI	ROPERTY		<u>.</u>	1		
39	Needsmust	1972	75'	0.8	FE	MODEL	NONE	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	0
40	Basseterre	1995	8'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	5 to 10	HIP	MPS	MD
41	Basseterre	1963 / 1995	15'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	3	RC	>20	FLAT	0	CS
42	Basseterre	1978	10'	0	FE	MODEL	NONE	1	LS	>20	GABLE	0	0
43	Basseterre	1958	155'	0.5	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	<5/>>20	HIP	MPS	WB
43B	Busseterre	1972	155'	0.5	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	>20	HIP	MPS	WB
44		1985	155'	0.5	FE	ASCE7	MASONRY	2	RM	11 to 15	GABLE	AS	PW
44B		1985	155'	0.5	FE	ASCE7	MASONRY	1	RM	11 to 15	GABLE	AS	PW
44C	Basseterre	1999	155'	0.5	FE	ASCE7	STUD	2	RM	<5	HIP	MPS	MD
44D		1969	155'	0.5	DK	ASCE7	MASONRY	1	RC	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	PW
44E		1969	155'	0.5	DK	ASCE7	MASONRY	1	RC	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	PW

					P	GDM - Structu	ral Vulneral	oility Assessm	ent - Wind H	azard				
Countr	y:		St Kitts and	d Nevis -	St Kitts Isl	and								
Engine	er:													
Date:							-							
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility No.	R Fra	Roof aming	Wall Framing	Cladding	Rf-Frame Connection	% of wall area covered by glass.	Window Protection	Roof/Wall Area	Surrounding Terrain	Topo- graphy	Debris Hazard	Storm Surge Hazard	Landslide Hazard	Rating
	Р	S				mesh, vent blocks				817				
34	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	М
35	WT		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Y	N	Н
36	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
37							RENT	TED PROPER	RTY					
38				_			RENT	TED PROPER	RTY					
39	SB		STL	MP	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
40	ST		RC	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	N	L
41	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
42	SB		STL	0	Y	>60	N/A	>5:1	С	FL	Y	Y	N	М
43	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	6 to 20 / 0 to 5	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	М
43B	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	6 to 20 / 0 to 5	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
44	RC		RM	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	н
44B	RC		RM	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	Н
44C	ST		STL	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
44D	RC		RC	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
44E	RC		RC	RCB	Y	6 to 20	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L

				Р	GDM - Struct	tural Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind	Hazard				
Country	•	St Kitts and	l Nevis -	St Kitts Isla	and								
Enginee	r:												
Date:		_											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast (Mile)	Eng Level	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
45	Basseterre	Before 1973	10'	0	FE	MODEL	STUD	2	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	0
46	Basseterre	1973	20'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MOVEABLE	3	RC	>20	FLAT / GABLE	MPS / BUG	CS / PW
47	Basseterre	1952	30'	0.3	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	FLAT	0	CS
48	Basseterre	Before 1973	35'	0.1	FE	MODEL	STUD	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	о
49	Basseterre	1973-1983	35'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	FLAT	0	CS
50	Basseterre	1950's	20'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY / STUD	3	RC	>20	HIP / FLAT	MPS	CS / PW /WP
51	Basseterre	1996	20'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	3	RC	5 to 10	FLAT	0	CS
52	Fortlands	Before 1973	50'	0.1	DK	MODEL	STUD	1	Т	>20	GABLE	MPS	WP
53	Basseterre		10'	0	FE	MODEL	MASONRY / STUD	2	LS	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	0
54	Basseterre	1974 / 1975	10'	0	FE	ASCE7	MASONRY	1	LS	5 to 10	FLAT / GABLE	MPS	MD
55	Basseterre	Before 1973	20'	0.15	DK	MODEL	STUD	2	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	0

					F	GDM - Structu	ıral Vulnera	bility Assessr	nent - Wind l	Hazard				
Country	y:		St Kitts and	d Nevis -	St Kitts Isl	and								
Enginee	er:													
Date:			-											
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility No.	R Fra	oof ming	Wall Framing	Cladding	Rf-Frame Connection	% of wall area covered by glass,	Window Protection	Roof/Wall Area	Surrounding Terrain	Topo- graphy	Debris Hazard	Storm Surge Hazard	Landslide Hazard	Rating
	Р	S				mesh, vent blocks								
45	ST		URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	С	FL	Y	Y	N	L
46	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
47	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
48	ST		RC	URM	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
49	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
50	RC		RC	RCB	N	6 to 20	Y		TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
51	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
52	WBR		WD	WD	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	HR	Y	Ν	Ν	L
53	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
54	ST		STL	RCB	Y	6 to 20	N		TW	FL	Y	Y	N	М
55	WBR		URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	L

				Р	GDM - Structu	ural Vulnera	bility Assessm	nent - Wind I	Hazard				
Country:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevis	s Island		-						
Engineer	:												
Date:													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility	Location	Year	Elev	Distance	Eng	Code	Interior	No. of	Class	Age of	Roof	Roof	Roof
No.		Built	(feet)	from coast (Mile)	Level	Used	Walls	Stories		Roof (Yrs)	Geometry	Covering	Decking
56	Charlestown	1998	15'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	<5	FLAT	0	CS
56B		1800's / 1950's	15'	0.1	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	2	URM	<5	GABLE	MPS	WP
57	Cotton Coursel	10501-	1201	0.6	NIE	MODEL	MASONRY /	1	UDM	. 20	ШБ	MDC	WD
57	Cotton Ground	1950 \$	120	0.0	NE	MODEL	MASONRY /	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	WP
58	Gingerland	1953	725'	1.8	NE	MODEL	STUD	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	WP
59	New Castle	1973	10'	0.3	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	LS	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	О
60	Charlestown	1951	150'	0.6	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	HIP	MPS / ACS	0
61	Charlestown	Before 1973	135'	0.6	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	HIP	MPS	WB
62	Charlestown						N O A C	CESS					
63	Charlestown	1996	135'	0.6	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	WB
64	Charlestown	1998	135'	0.6	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RM	5 to 10	HIP	AS	PW
65	Charlestown	1940's	15'	0.2	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	>20	HIP	MPS	WP
66	Brown Hill	1950 / 1997	390'	1.6	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RM	<5	GABLE	MPS	PW/WP
67	Butlers	1950's	160'	0.3	DK	MODEL	MASONRY	1	URM	<5	GABLE	MPS	WP
68	Combermere		145'	0.7	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	<5	HIP	MPS	PW
69	Gingerland	1944 / 1997	725'	1.8	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	<5	GABLE	MPS	PW

					F	GDM - Structu	ral Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind H	lazard				
Country	:		St Kitts and	d Nevis -	Nevi	s Island		-						
Engineer	::													
Date:														
	•													
	1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility	R	oof	Wall	Cladding	Rf-Frame	% of wall area	Window	Roof/Wall	Surrounding	Торо-	Debris	Storm Surge	Landslide	Rating
No.	Fra	ming	Framing		Connection	covered by glass,	Protection	Area	Terrain	graphy	Hazard	Hazard	Hazard	
	P	8				mesh, vent blocks								
56	RC		RC	RCB	Y	0 to 5	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
56B	ST		URM	SP	Y	6 to 20	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
57	WBR		URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	м
58	WBR		URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
59	SB		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Y	N	Н
60	WBR		RC / URM	RCB / SP	N	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
61	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
62								NO ACCESS	5					
63	WBR		RC / RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
64	WBR		RC / RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	Н
65	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
66	WBR		RC	RCB / SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	М
67	WBR		URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
68	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν		OF	FL	Y	Ν	N	L
69	WBR		RC / URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	L

				PO	GDM - Structu	ral Vulnerab	ility Assessme	ent - Wind Ha	azard				
Country:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevis	s Island								
Engineer:													
Date:						_							
	-										-		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast	Eng Level (Mile)	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
70	Gingerland	1978	750'	1.9	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	WB
71	St James	1979	200'	0.5	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	>20	GABLE	MPS	PW/WB
72		1990	50'	0.2	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	PW
72B	Charlestown	1990	50'	0.2	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	PW
72C		1990	50'	0.2	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	PW
73	St Thomas	1970	100'	0.2	FE	MODEL	METAL	1	LS	>20	GABLE	MPS	о
74	Prospect	1965	350'	1.5	FE	MODEL	STUD	1	LS	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	0
75	St John	+1988	725'	1.9	DK	DK	STUD	2	RM	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	PW
76	New Castle	1985-1988	10'	0.3	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	1	RC	11 to 15	GABLE	MPS	0
76B	How Clastic	2001	10'	0.3	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	<5	FLAT	0	CS
77	Charlestown	1996	15'	0.1	DK	DK	MASONRY	2	RM	5 to 10	GABLE	MPS	PW
78	Charlestown	*1877	10'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	2	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WP / CS
79	Charlestown	1940	8'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RC	5 to 10 / >20	GABLE	MPS / ACS	PW / WP
80	Charlestown	1982	15'	0.1	FE	MODEL	MASONRY	2	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB

re-built 1877 *re-roofed 1997 +upper level rebuilt

					PO	GDM - Structur	al Vulnerab	ility Assessme	nt - Wind Ha	azard				
Country:			St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevi	s Island								
Engineer:														
Date:														
				1	1	1	1				1		1	
Eagility	n	15 Poof	16 Wall	17 Cladding	18 Df Enomo	19	20 Window	21 Boof/Wall	22 Sumounding	23	24 Dabria	25 Storm Surga	26 Londolido	27 Boting
No.	Fra	aming	Framing	Cladding	Connection	covered by glass,	Protection	Area	Terrain	graphy	Hazard	Hazard	Hazard	Kaung
	Р	S				mesh, vent blocks								
70	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	<0.25	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	Н
71	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	М
72	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y / N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
72B	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y / N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
72C	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Y / N	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
73	TSB		STL	MP	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	С	FL	Y	Y	Ν	н
74	ST		STL	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	М
75	WBR		RM	RCB / SP	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
76	RC		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60 / >60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
76B	RC		RM	RCB	Y	6 to 20	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
77	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	М
78	WBR		RC / URM	SP	Y	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
79	WBR		RC	0	Y	>60	Ν	>5:1	C / TW	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
80	WBR		RM	RCB / SP	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L

				Р	GDM - Struct	ural Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind I	Hazard				
Country:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevis	Island								
Engineer	:												
Date:						2							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Facility No.	Location	Year Built	Elev (feet)	Distance from coast (Mile)	Eng Level	Code Used	Interior Walls	No. of Stories	Class	Age of Roof (Yrs)	Roof Geometry	Roof Covering	Roof Decking
81	Prospect	1984	250'	1.3	FE	MODEL	NONE	1	HS	16 to 20	GABLE	MPS	0
81B	Trospect	1973 - 1983	250'	1.3	NE	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	PW
82	New Castle	1973 - 1983	25'	0.3	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB
83	Prospect	1973 - 1983	250'	1.2	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB
84	Prospect	2000	260'	1.3	FE	MODEL	STUD	2	LS	<5	HIP	MPS	0
85		1991/2	135'	0.6	NE	DK	STUD	1	Т	11 to 15	FLAT	MPS	0
85B	Charlestown	1800's	135'	0.6	NE	DK	NONE	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	0
85C		1800's 1984 1997	135'	0.6	NE	DK	MASONRY	2	URM	5 to 10	FLAT / HIP	MPS / O	CS / PW
86	Stoney Grove	1994	85'	0.6	NE	О	STUD	2	RC	5 to 10	HIP	MPS	PW
87	Charlestown	Before 1973	10'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY / STUD	2	URM	>20	FLAT / HIP	MPS	WP
88	Charlestown	Before 1973	135'	0.6	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB
89	Charlestown						TOBERE	NOVATED					
90	Charlestown	DK	10'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	HIP	MPS	0
91	Charlestown	DK	10'	0	DK	DK	MASONRY	2	RC	>20	GABLE	MPS	PW
92	Fothergills	1973 - 1983	560'	1.3	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	FLAT	0	CS

					P	GDM - Structu	ral Vulnera	bility Assessm	nent - Wind H	Hazard				
Country	:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevi	s Island		-						
Engineer	:													
Date:														
	1	5	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility	Re	oof	Wall	Cladding	Rf-Frame	% of wall area	Window	Roof/Wall	Surrounding	Торо-	Debris	Storm Surge	Landslide	Rating
No.	Frai	ming	Framing		Connection	covered by glass,	Protection	Area	Terrain	graphy	Hazard	Hazard	Hazard	
	Р	S				mesh, vent blocks								
81	SB		STL	MP	Y	6 to 20	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	N	М
81B	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	М
82	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	С	FL	Y	Ν	N	н
83	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	М
84	TSB		STL	0	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
85	WBR		WD	0	DK	0 to 5	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	М
85B	WBR		URM	0	Ν	0 to 5	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	н
85C	WBR RC		O/WD	RCB / SP	Y	0 to 5 / 6 to 20	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	L
86	WBR		RC	RCB	N	21 to 60	Ν		OF	HR	Y	N	N	L
87	WBR RC		RM / URM / WD	RCB / URM / WD	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25.1	TW	FI.	Y	Y	N	I.
88	WBR		URM	URM	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25.1	TW	FL.	Y	N	N	L
80			<u>oran</u>	orun	-	21 10 00	то	BERENOVA	TED	12	-			
07	WT													
90	WBR		URM	SP	Y	6 to 20	Ν		TW	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
91	WBR		RM	RCB / URM	Y	6 to 20	Ν		С	FL	Y	Y	Ν	L
92	RC		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	N	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L
				Р	GDM - Structu	ural Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind I	Hazard					
-----------	--------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------------	---------------	--------------	----------------	---------------	--------	------------	----------	----------	---------	
Country:		St Kitts and	l Nevis -	Nevis	s Island									
Engineer:														
Date:						-								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Facility	Location	Year	Elev	Distance	Eng	Code	Interior	No. of	Class	Age of	Roof	Roof	Roof	
No.		Built	(feet)	from coast (Mile)	Level	Used	Walls	Stories		Roof (Yrs)	Geometry	Covering	Decking	
02	Stoney Crown	ABANDONED												
93	Stoney Grove													
94	Cades Bay		A B A N D O N E D											
94B														
95	Prospect	1943	250'	1.2	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB	
96	Belle Vue	TO BE DEMOLISHED												
97	Brown Hill	A B A N D O N E D												
98	Combermere	Before 1973	145'	0.7	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WB	
99	Gingerland	ABANDONED												
100	Charlestown	Before 1973	135'	0.6	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	ACS	WB	
101	Prospect	1973 - 1983	250'	1.2	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	>20	GABLE	MPS	0	
102	Charlestown	Before 1973	135'	0.6	DK	DK	STUD	1	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WP	
103	Gingerland	ABANDONED												
104	Hardtimes	After 1983	725'	1.8	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	RM	16 to 20	GABLE	MPS	PW	
105	Butlers	Before 1973	700'	1.4	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WP	
106	Butlers	DK	700'	1.4	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	0	MPS	WB	
107	Butlers	Before 1973	110'	0.6	DK	DK	MASONRY	1	URM	>20	GABLE	MPS	WP	

					P	GDM - Structu	ral Vulnera	bility Assessn	nent - Wind H	lazard				
Country:			St Kitts and	d Nevis -	Nevi	s Island								
Engineer:														
Date:														
	15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Facility	y Roof		Wall	Cladding	Rf-Frame	% of wall area	Window	Roof/Wall	Surrounding	Торо-	Debris	Storm Surge	Landslide	Rating
No.	Frai P	ming S	Framing		Connection	covered by glass, mesh, vent blocks	Protection	Area	Terrain	graphy	Hazard	Hazard	Hazard	
02		ABANDONED												
95														
94	_		A B A N D O N E D											
94B			r		1	1					1	1		1
95	WBR		URM	URM	Y	21 to 60	Ν	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	HR	Y	N	N	М
96			TO BE DEMOLISHED											
97			NOACCESS											
98	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
99			ABANDONED											
100	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	N	Н
101	WBR		RM	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
102	WBR		URM	URM	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	Ν	N	М
103	ABANDONED													
104	WBR		RC	RCB	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	TW	FL	Y	N	Ν	М
105	WBR		URM	URM	Y	21 to 60	Ν	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
106	WBR		URM	URM	DK	21 to 60	Y	0.49:1 to 0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	N	Ν	L
107	WBR		URM	URM	Y	21 to 60	Y	<0.25:1	OF	FL	Y	Ν	Ν	L

APPENDIX VI Unit Rates for Estimating Costs

UNIT RATES FOR ESTIMATING COST

Estimate Cost EC \$				
\$7.00/sf				
\$20.00/sf				
\$1.00/sf of floor area				
\$2.75./sf of floor are				
\$40.00/lin. ft				
\$.20.00./sf				
\$53.00/lf				
\$100/lin. ft				
\$3.00/sf, \$4.00/sf				
\$1,860/bay				
\$17,000				
\$12,000				
\$1,750				
\$1,750				
\$4,000				